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Abstract: This study is carried out to automate the small ruminant (sheep and goat) records and to use these records more effectively for animal breeding. It was aimed to calculate breeding values for animals by using this software. Additionally, it was aimed to calculate breeding values in terms of milk yield for male animals by using progeny testing (average offspring yield) which otherwise could not be measured by direct methods. Decision support systems, which help to decision making for flock owners and animal breeding persons, have been enhanced by using this software. Decision support systems such as determining of animals to be sold because of old age, determining of offspring that they have unknown father, accurately determining of yield of animals, health managements, determining of culling animals from flock were put into use of the software. Appropriate software SURPRO V1.0 was written by use of Visual basic 6.0 and MsAccess was used as database with this objective in mind.
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INTRODUCTION

Farmers and animal breeding persons require information on animal resources for further studies and evolving realistic strategies for improvement and rearing of livestock (Rai et al., 2008; Takma et al., 2009). Breeding goals identify the animal traits that farmers would like to improve and comprise many aspects other than high productivity with regard to cash products such as meat and milk. The definition of breeding goals constitutes the first decisive step in the development of genetic improvement strategies (Dossa et al., 2007). Record collection is the most important tool to improve of economic traits for all animal genotypes (Düzgünoğlu et al., 1996; Unalan and Cececi, 2007; Cole, 2007). For this aim, collection of completely and correct pedigree and yield records requires the experienced persons and labor-intensive works (Flint and Woolliams, 2008). There is an increased interest on dairy goat and sheep breeding in TURKIYE and its neighbor countries. These dairy enterprises collect the records for flock management and animal selection, however they do not gain adequately benefit from these data because of insufficiency of their record collection methods such as notebook and inflexible software (Önder and Torun, 2003).

As in other animal species, some software are used to record collection of sheep and goat breeding (Emms and Nicoll, 2002). However, these software could not meet the requests of farmers and animal breeding persons (Gootwine and Zenou, 1997; Dossa et al., 2007). Some software were prepared for these purposes but they not adequately enough for small or average farmers or they highly expensive to use (Önder and Torun, 2003).

This study aimed to prepare appropriate software which is capable of especially calculating the breeding values, evaluating the data for animal breeding, determining the reformed animals and
younglings with unknown father, calculating correct animal yields for dairy goat and sheep breeders. To achieve this aim, requirements of the dairy goat and sheep breeders were determined by bilateral discussions.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This project conducted at Ondokuz Mayis University, Agricultural Faculty, Department of Animal Science between 15 August 2007 and 15 August 2008. To programme the aimed software, Visual Basic 6.0 was used and Microsoft Access database which can perform with the support of Microsoft Jet 4.0 OLE DB Provider was used to record the data.

To test the software, data obtained from 352 Karayaka sheep (from 50 ewes and 5 rams) during 2005-2007 years at University of Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa was used. Some of the data was produced artificially to test the extreme situations. Same data was used to test the modules about the goats.

To check the results of breeding value estimations, Multiple Trait Derivative Free REML (MTRDEML), which is a well accepted software (Hulya Atil and Adel Salah Khattab, 2005), written by Boldman et al. (1995) was used.

Spearman's rank correlation (Guthrie, 2001) was used to compare the breeding value estimations calculated with SURPRO V0.1 and MTRDEML.

A public survey with random sampling method was carried out in 45 individuals to determine the user friendliness of this software. Users graded the software in terms of usability from 1 (very bad) to 5 (very good).

Appropriate database was designed only in Turkish with 42 tables and totally 799 fields belonging to these tables. Each table contains 16,642.13 fields as an average.

After the design of database, interfaces were designed with Visual basic 6.0 software. With this aim, 40 form and 28 data report were designed and coded. Totally 1228 objects were used in the forms and about 15000 code lines were written to bring into force the objects. Furthermore, 30 icons were drawn with the demo version of PrettyIconMaker (URL) software. The opening form (MDI-Form) of the software was given in Fig. 1.

Accessing to the forms can be possible by menus or toolbar. Toolbar contains the buttons to access the forms which can be frequently used. Forms which can be rarely used can be accessible from the menu bar. An example view of ewe form was given in Fig. 2.

---

Fig. 1: Opening form of the software
Fig. 2. A view of the form.

Connecting to the database from interfaces obtained by using SQL scripts instead of objects offered by Visual Basic gallery because these connections have several disadvantages such as misreading of the data just after recording it. Although, operations with SQL script cause to write more code lines; it does not produce mentioned run time errors. The SQL script mostly used in this software development.

To record milk yields it is essential that animal should have a birth regardless of the younglings are alive or dead. At the end of the lactation period, it is easy to calculate lactation milk yield depends on control values of daily milk yields. Lactation milk yields are calculated with the formula given below (Anonymous, 1990),

\[
LMY = aX_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i + \frac{X_{i+1}}{2} \right) \Delta t + 7X_n
\]

where, \( t \) is time between give birth and first control date, \( X_0 \) is value of the first control milk yield, \( X_i \) is i-th milk yield value, \( \Delta t \) is number of the days between two control dates and \( X_n \) is milk yield obtained from the last control.

Other yield records such as daily weight gain, greasy fleece weight and wool tracts can be recorded and related parameters can be calculated. A decision support module for a goal was given in Fig. 3 as an example.

The horizontal yellow lines seen in Fig. 3 indicate the case situation of 100%. Blue vertical lines (dark lines in the printed material) indicate the flock means for the trait. Green vertical lines (light lines in the printed material) indicate the location of the selected animal in the flock. Accordingly, average lactation milk yield of this animal is close to the flock mean, but lactation duration of this animal is too short. However, daily weight gain of this animal is over the flock mean. This view can be used to support the decision of farmers about the individual animal.

The most important goal of this software was to calculate the breeding value of each animal. Breeding value can be described as double the amount of average phenotypic deviation of offspring.
Fig. 3: A sample view of goat decision support module

Table 1: Heritability values for interested traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Heritability value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaning weight</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool yield</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool thickness</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool length</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily weight gain</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactation milk yield</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactation duration</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from population mean $g - h^2 = \frac{(P - \bar{P})}{n}$ formula was used to calculate breeding value because number of offspring won't be equal for all male animals (Simm, 1998). Here, $\bar{P}$: Population mean and $P_i$: phenotypic value of ith offspring. Breeding values of female animals can be calculated with formula given below because enough number of offspring can not be observed to calculate breeding value for female animals

$$g = h^2 \sum (P - \bar{P})$$

where, $h^2$ is heritability value of the trait for calculating season $\bar{P}$ is average phenotypical value of offspring for calculating season.

For this calculation it is essential to know heritability values for the traits. For this purpose, constant heritability values (Kaymak, 2003; Makuta et al., 2003; Shah et al., 2004) were used taking into the consideration of possibility to calculate negative heritability values when the variances of males are too small depending on flock size. Used heritability values were given in Table 1.

**RESULTS**

This software was presented to 48 users for examination who did not use it before. Users graded the software viewpoint of usability from 1 (very bad) to 5 (very good). Mean, SD, minimum grade, maximum grade and median values were found as 4.48, 0.37, 3, 5 and 5, respectively for this grade. Results showed that this software can be used easily and guidelines on the objects are useful.

The main goal of this software which is the calculation of breeding values was checked with the results of the breeding values obtained from MTDFREML. First ten of the breeding values and arrange in order of the animals was given in Table 2. Results were analyzed with the method of Spearman's rank correlation to determine whether there is a difference in ranking of animals with respect to their breeding values between two software. Obtained correlation coefficient is 0.984 (p<0.01). Results
Table 2: First ten of the breeding values and arrange in order for weaning weight of the ewes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ear No.</th>
<th>Breeding value</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Ear No.</th>
<th>Breeding value</th>
<th>Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001-010</td>
<td>251.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2001-010</td>
<td>245.70</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-034</td>
<td>248.21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2001-021</td>
<td>244.48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-021</td>
<td>248.21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2001-034</td>
<td>244.48</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-018</td>
<td>239.65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2001-018</td>
<td>234.86</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-045</td>
<td>235.34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2001-045</td>
<td>232.97</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-009</td>
<td>230.29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2002-009</td>
<td>226.61</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-017</td>
<td>224.98</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2001-017</td>
<td>222.06</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-087</td>
<td>216.05</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2000-087</td>
<td>212.81</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-092</td>
<td>214.94</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2001-092</td>
<td>211.51</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-023</td>
<td>211.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2001-023</td>
<td>208.30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...showed that there is a significant positive correlation between the breeding values calculated with developed software and MTDFREML. But this relation is not complete. In this case, rankings were checked to introduce the origin of the differences. This difference caused by the alteration of rankings of two animals with same breeding values. This software was named as SURPRO V0.1. It was understood that the other software (URL1, URL2, URL3, URL4, URL5) focused on only some traits such as fleece yield and meat yield while ignoring the other traits. Also, SURPRO V0.1 was given to the farmers in August 2008 to take responses from fieldworks.

**DISCUSSION**

The results showed that aimed goals which are especially calculating the breeding values, evaluating the data for animal breeding, determining the reformed animals and younglings with unknown father, calculating correct animal yields were achieved. Examined software such as URL1, URL2, URL3, and URL4 showed that they do not have modules both sheep and goat while SURPRO V0.1 has. Responses of the users showed that usability of this software was high. Examination of user's grade was not seen in obtained references. It was another difference from previous studies.

However, this software has some contradictions that heritability value can not be calculated from the recorded data. Because, flock sizes are small (Sahin and Yıldırım, 2002) and inbreeding is frequent in this country and its neighbours (Dizgine et al., 1996), this software uses constant values to avoid negative heritability values. Another deficiency of it is that deleted data can not be undelated because of being database application. Also inbreeding rate can not be calculated, while SelAction calculate (Rutten et al., 2002). Also, SURPRO V0.1 need Windows platform so, it does not work on other operating systems.

SURPRO V0.1 software was shown to meet the requirements of farmers and animal breeding persons. The major advantage of the software produced in this study is its ability to calculate the reliable breeding value when comparing the MTDFREML with other superiorities mentioned above. The other software had not these properties together. Since, farmers begin to work with SURPRO V0.1, negative feedback has not taken. With these properties, it can be said that this software achieved the aimed objectives.

For the future studies it can be suggested to use microchips to data collection if infrastructure is compatible.
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