Prevalence of *Dirofilaria immitis*, *Ehrlichia canis*, *Borrelia burgdorferi* Infection in Dogs from Diyarbakir in Turkey
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence of *Dirofilaria immitis* antigen, *Ehrlichia canis* and *Borrelia burgdorferi* antibodies, using an Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (Snap 3Dx test; IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, Maine, USA). Study was performed on total of 82 mixed-breed dogs (60 female and 22 male). Each dog was examined clinically, microscopic examination of stained smear. *D. immitis* antigen infection was detected in 2 dogs (2.4%) and *E. canis* antibodies were present in 4 dogs (4.8%). None of the tested dogs (82) was positive for *B. burgdorferi* antibodies.

Key words: Dirofilariosis, ehrlichiosis, borreliosis, serology, diagnostic test

INTRODUCTION

Dirofilariosis, ehrlichiosis and Lyme borreliosis are arthropod-borne diseases that can cause potent infections in companion and wild animals and occasionally can infect humans (Bowman et al., 2009; Labarthe et al., 2003; Pantchev et al., 2009).

Dirofilariosis is a parasitic disease transmitted by a mosquito (Aedes, Anopheles and Culex) and can cause cardiopulmonary disease. The etiologic agent, *Dirofilaria immitis*, is one of the most pathogenic nematode parasites in dogs (Gonzales et al., 2007) and in other species such as cats, foxes, bears, wolves and horses and rarely in humans (Saritas et al., 2005). Environmental factors like temperature, mosquitoes and aging play an important role in *D. immitis* infection in dogs. In recent years, several epidemiological surveys of this disease have been performed in many countries. The parasite is widely distributed in Africa, Asia, Australia, Latin America and Mediterranean countries (Oncel and Vral, 2005; Gonzales et al., 2007; Meriem-Hind and Mohamed, 2009; Song et al., 2003). Turkey is suitable country for development of this parasite due to climatic conditions and abundant intermediate hosts. Surveys of dogs from various parts of the Turkey have found from 0.5 to 46.2% of the animals are infected with *D. immitis* (Voyvoda et al., 1996, 2004; Agaoglu et al., 2000; Yıldırım, 2004; Yıldırım et al., 2007; Balıkoğlu and Selvi, 2005; Kozan et al., 2007; Yıldız et al., 2009).

Diagnosis of Dirofilariosis (heartworm) in companion animals is mainly performed by modified knott’s technique, the microfiliarial density test, rays or ultrasound and commercial serological tests such as Snap®, Idexx, DiroCHEK®, Synbiotics USA and Witness®, Agen, Australia (Agaoglu et al., 2000; Courtney and Zeng, 2001; Hoff et al., 2008; Tasic et al., 2008). Moreover, with recent advances in serology the potential now exist for veterinarians to use these tests in the diagnosis of
heartworm disease in dogs and cats. Heartworm assays today also allow semiquantification of heartworm infection allowing the practitioner to better plan adulticide therapy (Tzipory et al., 2010).

Ehrlichia canis is considered to be the major agent causing canine ehrlichiosis. It is the causative agent of Canine Monocytic Ehrlichiosis (CME) in dogs which is endemic in the west region of Turkey. E. canis are frequently reported worldwide. The disease occurs in tropical and subtropical areas throughout the world (Breitschwerdt et al., 1998). Several studies have been carried out in the west part of Turkey, seroprevalence were 21% (Batmaz et al., 2001; Dodurka and Bakirel, 2002; Serdar and Aziz, 2003). This seroprevalence varied depending on the location and the dog population. Clinical diagnosis may be confirmed by demonstrating the organisms within White Blood Cell, seen in intracytoplasmic inclusions bodies called morulae (Alleman, 2005; Rodgers et al., 1989; Rodriguez-Vivas et al., 2005; Scarpino et al., 2008). More commonly, a diagnosis is made by a combination of clinical signs, positive indirect serum fluorescent antibody titer and response to treatment (Maceira et al., 2005; Rungrapsip et al., 2009). The serological detection of anti E. canis antibodies may be performed by Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Test (IFAT), Immunoblotting (IB) or Dot-ELISA. Improvements in molecular biology techniques have led to the development of DNA detection of E. canis (Belanger et al., 2002; Harrus et al., 2002; Nakaghi et al., 2008).

Lyme borreliosis is a bacterial disease caused by infection with the spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi; in dogs, horse, cattle, sheep and humans (Gulabre et al., 2007; Rodgers et al., 1989). Hard-shelled ticks of the genus Ixodes, transmit B. burgdorferi by attaching and feeding on various mammalian, avian and reptilian hosts. Studies with dogs kept as pets in endemic areas have shown that approximately 5% of all infected dogs become ill. However, under experimental conditions, up to 75% of infected animals develop clinically apparent Lyme arthritis (Straubinger, 2000). There are no specific clinical, hematological, or biochemical pathognomonic changes that would confirm the diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. Therefore, additional tests, such as antibody and organism detection, need to be considered in order to produce a specific diagnosis. ELISA, Immunoblotting or Western blotting improves, an indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) with whole cell preparations or single recombinant antigens are useful for detecting antibody responses to infection (Bowman et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2000). Lyme disease has been reported in many countries of Europe, America and Asia including in Turkey (Bhide et al., 2008; Guner et al., 2003; Gil et al., 2005; Labarthe et al., 2006; Winkle et al., 2006; Wright et al., 1997).

An ELISA test (SNAP 3Dx, IDEXX Laboratories) has been developed for simultaneous diagnosis of D. immitis antigen and E. canis and B. burgdorferi antibodies. This ELISA test uses a synthetic peptide (C) derived from invariable region (Ir) as a diagnostic antigen. The test also provides for early diagnosis of D. immitis (specificity 100% and sensitivity 67 to 100%, depending on the number of adult female worms present), E. canis specific 98.2% and highly specific for B. burgdorferi (Bowman et al., 2009; Belanger et al., 2002; Labarthe et al., 2003; Tzipory et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2009).

The purpose of the current study was to investigate the prevalence of canine dirofilariosis, ehrlichiosis and Lyme borreliosis antibodies and by antigen detecting ELISA (in-office ELISA test kit SNAP 3Dx, IDEXX Laboratories) among dogs in Diyarbakir.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection:** Study was performed on total of 82 mixed-breed dogs (60 female and 22 male) between May and September 2009 in Diyarbakir. Fifty dogs of 82 were brought from stray and
32 dogs were owned. Blood samples were obtained by cephalic venipuncture and the samples were collected in a tube containing EDTA as anticoagulant, stored in a cooler box at 4°C and processed within 24 h. Blood parasite examinations were performed using a light microscope for direct detection. A commercially available in-office diagnostic kit (Canine SNAP 3Dx Test, IDEXX Laboratories) was used for the detection \textit{D. immitis} antigen, \textit{E. canis} and \textit{B. burgdorferi} antibodies. All samples were also examined clinically and microscopically for \textit{D. immitis}, \textit{E. canis} and \textit{B. burgdorferi}. Results were recorded according to age and sex on the report forms.

\textbf{ELISA test procedure}: The test can be conducted with canine serum, plasma, or whole blood. The C\textsubscript{4} synthetic peptide was conjugated to Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and to horseradish peroxidase (HRP), using standard methods. The HRP-C\textsubscript{4} peptide conjugate was contained in a conjugate diluent containing HRP-labeled anti-heartworm antibody, HRP-labeled \textit{E. canis} peptide conjugate, nonspecific proteins and detergent. The \textit{B. burgdorferi} or \textit{E. canis} antibody or \textit{D. immitis} antigen (if present) in the sample bind to the synthetic peptide-HRP conjugate and to the synthetic peptide-BSA conjugate. Two drops of blood, serum, or plasma were dispensed into a sample tube using the pipette provided with the kit. Five drops of conjugate were added to the sample and this mixture was dispensed into a sample well in the test device. The deposited blood sample and conjugate mixture flowed through the matrix of the test device, which contained substrate reagents. The C\textsubscript{4} ELISA test was considered positive for \textit{D. immitis}, \textit{E. canis} and \textit{B. burgdorferi} if color developed in the designated reaction area of the matrix. A positive control area in the device was used to verify that the sample had been properly prepared and that the reagents were adequately reactive (Labarthe \textit{et al.}, 2003).

\textbf{Statistical analysis}: In statistical analysis, seropositivity to \textit{D. immitis}, \textit{E. canis} and \textit{B. burgdorferi} in ELISA test was set as an outcome variable and the independent variables were sex, age (1-3, 4-7 years). The data were analyzed using SPSS version 15 statistical software package. Chi square and one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) tests were used for statistical analysis and p value <0.05 was considered significant.

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}
Two (2.43\%) of the 82 samples tested with antigen detecting ELISA kits showed a positive reaction for \textit{D. immitis} in this study. Positive reactions for \textit{D. immitis} were obtained in samples taken from stray dogs. More male (4.54\%) than female (1.66\%) dogs were affected as shown in the Table 1. Although, there was no significant difference between male and female (p>0.05). Four

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Distribution prevalence of \textit{D. immitis}, \textit{E. canis} and \textit{B. burgdorferi} according to gender and age}
\begin{tabular}{|c c c c c c c c|}
\hline
\textbf{Variable} & \textbf{Total dogs} & \textbf{Positive dogs} & \textbf{Negative dogs} & \textbf{Positive dogs} & \textbf{Negative dogs} & \textbf{Positive dogs} & \textbf{Negative dogs} & \textbf{p value 95\%} \\
\hline
\textbf{Gender} & & & & & & & & \\
Male & 22 & 1 (4.54\%) & 21 & 1 (4.54\%)* & 21 & - & 22 & *p<0.05 \\
Female & 60 & 1 (1.66\%)* & 59 & 3 (5\%)* & 57 & - & 60 & \\
Total & 82 & 2 & 80 & 4 & 78 & 82 & & \\
\textbf{Age} & & & & & & & & \\
1-3 years & 15 & - & 15 & 1 & 14 & - & 15 & \\
4-7 years & 67 & 2 & 65 & 3 & 64 & - & 67 & \\
Total & 82 & 2 & 80 & 4 & 78 & 82 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\footnotesize{*p<0.05 statistically not important between male female for positive percentage of \textit{D. immitis} and \textit{E. canis}}
\end{table}
samples (4.87%) were positive for *E. canis*. Two dogs of positive results were obtained from stray two of them owned dogs. More female (5%) than male dogs (4.54) were positive. There was no statistically significant between female and male (p>0.05). None of the samples had antibodies reactive for *B. burgdorferi*. Although we were unable to confirm active infection on clinically and microscopic examination.

This study were carried out in Diyarbakir, has shown low values for the prevalence of *D. immitis* (2.43%), *E. canis* (4.87%), no seropositive for *B. burgdorferi* in the dog population examined using commercially available in-office diagnostic (SNAP 3Dx, IDEXX) ELISA kit. Most dogs infected with dirofilariosis do not show any signs of disease for as long as two years. Unfortunately, by the time clinical signs are seen, the disease is well advanced. The signs of heartworm disease depend on the number of adult worms present, the location of the worms, how long the worms have been present and the degree of damage to the heart, lungs, liver and kidneys from the adult worms and the microfilariae (Anonymous, 2010).

*D. immitis* has been reported by many researchers in dogs in Turkey (Voyvoda *et al.*, 1996; Borku *et al.*, 1996). In most of the surveys canine dirofilariosis among dogs was determined generally by modified knott’s and ELISA techniques. By comparing the results of our survey with those of other studies, Agaoglu *et al.* (2000) for Van 46.2%, Yildiz *et al.* (2008) for Kirikkale 27.46%, Voyvoda *et al.* (2004) for Aydin 13.7%, Yildirim *et al.* (2007) for Kayseri 9.6%, Baliki and Sevgili (2005) for Elazig and 9.3%, Sahin *et al.* (2004) for Sanli Urfa 7.6%, Yildirim (2004) for Ankara 6.3%, Kozan *et al.* (2007) for Afyon 3.6%, Coskun *et al.* (1992) for Bursa 2.98% were reported. Our seroprevalence was lower than the prevalence earlier reported. Seroprevalence of present study were higher than Kozan *et al.* (2007) for Eskisehir 1.4%, Oncel and Vural (2005) for Istanbul 1.52% were reported.

Diyarbakir offers the ideal biotope to the mosquito vector of *D. immitis* (hot weather with suitable temperature; annual average temperature 22.5°C). In this study low prevalence of dirofilariosis in the dogs that live in Diyarbakir can be attributed to less opportunity for exposure to the mosquitoes, due to mosquito control programs employed by municipalities, or may be the dogs have been treated for parasitism.

The CME is an infectious disease with a high incidence. *E. canis* can be detected for a short period of time in monocytes but they cannot be found during subclinical and chronic stages of infection. Even so, the search for morulae in circulating monocytes is still the routine diagnostic method for ehrlichiosis (Moreira *et al.*, 2005) but in most cases unrewarding. The diagnostic is, in some cases, a combination of clinical and hematological signs (Cohn, 2003), but this signs may be confusing and variable (Waner *et al*., 2001). The CME has a worldwide distribution and a significant seroprevalence in dogs from Asia, Africa, Europe, North America and South America (Zhang *et al*., 2008) was reported. The seroprevalence of *E. canis* antibodies is reported considerable in some Middle East country such as Egypt (23%), Israel (30%) and Iran (14.63%), (Botros *et al*., 1995; Baneth *et al*., 1996; Harrus *et al*., 1997; Akhtardanesh *et al*., 2009). The presence of *E. canis* is confirmed in Turkey (Serdar and Aziz, 2003; Erdeger *et al*., 2003; Ulutas *et al*., 2007). Batmaz *et al.* (2001) reported that seroprevalence of *E. canis* 21% were found West of Turkey. In the present study, seroprevalence of CME has been determined 4.87% in Diyarbakir. Our finding was lower than reported seropositivity in Egypt (33%), Israel (30%) and Iran (14.63%), 21% in Western of Turkey. The low percentage of prevalence of *E. canis* due to less encountered to ticks because of living in city center. Thus, veterinarians should be aware that CME seems to be in Southeast of Turkey.

Active infection of dogs seropositive for *E. canis* could not be confirmed in our samples as clinical signs and hematological evaluation. We conclude that they were infected or previously exposed to
E. canis. Many authors already described that serology is the most appropriate test for the diagnosis of E. canis natural infection in dogs, especially in the chronic stage, when E. canis is rare in circulating blood.

Lyme Borreliosis is a commonly diagnosed, vector-borne disease in humans, dogs, cats, horse, cattle and sheep most often caused by infection with B. burgdorferi (Bhide et al., 2008; Gulanber et al., 2007). Not all animals infected with B. burgdorferi develop clinical disease. Evidence of clinical disease is low, suspected to be only 5-10% of infected dogs (Alleman, 2005). Seropositivity may be as high as 75% in endemic areas, but this may be the result of cross reactivity of IFA or ELISA with nonpathogenic borrelia or antibodies resulting from previous vaccination (Straubinger, 2000).

Lyme disease in dogs has been reported in several countries, with seropositivity ranging from very low to 53.7% (Bhide et al., 2004). Bhide et al. (2008) reported that Anti-Borrelia antibodies were found in 93 (23.2%) of the 400 dogs western Turkey. Gulanber et al. (2007) reported clinical case of lyme disease in a Saint Bernard dog. Although, B. burgdorferi infection has not been determined in present study.

Weather is a critical factor in the prevalence of the disease. Transmission depends on the intermediate host, which have certain climate requirements. Hot weather and suitable temperatures are necessary for development of mosquitoes and ticks. In this study the lower prevalence of vector borne diseases in dogs that live in such areas can be attributed to less opportunity for exposure to the mosquitoes, due to mosquito control programs employed by municipalities.

As a result this was the first serological survey of Diyarbakir dogs for antigen to D. immitis, E. canis and B. burgdorferi. These results indicate that the positive serology results indicate that those agents are present while Lyme disease is not an important disease in our region, but further epidemiologic studies should be performed to determine the distribution of lyme disease. The data will provide veterinarians with an increased awareness of the vector-borne disease agents in their practice areas and elevate their consideration of these infections that veterinarians should pay attention to this disease in their clinical practice and include it within the differential diagnosis and choosing appropriate diagnostic or prophylactic procedures. D. immitis, E. canis and B. burgdorferi can also cause zoonotic disease in humans. The public health implications of the study are therefore significant. Dogs may serve as indicators to identify the presence of vector-borne disease agents of both veterinary and public health importance. This study could be a baseline for future work and indicate the presence of all 2 agents in the Diyarbakir canine population.
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