American Journal of

Plant Physiology

ISSN 1557-4539

Academic
Journals Inc.

www.academicjournals.com

American Journal of Plant Physiology 8 (2): 84-92, 2013
ISSN 1557-4539 / DOI: 10.3923/ajpp.2013.84.92
© 2013 Academic Journals Inc.

Fractional Purification and Kinetic Parameters (K_m and V_{max}) of Polyphenol Oxidase Extracted from Three Segments of *Solanum melongenas* and *Musa sapientum* Fruits

[1]P.C. Chikezie, [1]A.R. Akuwudike, [1]C.M. Chikezie and [2]C.O. Ibegbulem
[1]Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria
[2]Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: P.C. Chikezie, Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria Tel: +2348038935327

ABSTRACT

Largely, kinetic properties of Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) involved the study of enzyme extracts obtained from whole fruits and vegetables. In the present study, PPO was extracted from three segments of *Solanum melongenas* and *Musa sapientum* fruits and partially purified. The specific activity of PPO was measured at each purification step to ascertain level of enzyme purity. In all cases, PPO conformed to Michaelis-Menten kinetics, showing different values of kinetics parameters. Michaelis-Menten constant for PPO (PPO_{Km}) of *S. melongenas* mid-section and anterior segments showed no significant difference ($p<0.05$), whereas the posterior gave PPO_{Km} = 4.6±0.49 mM ($p>0.05$). Maximum PPO activity (PPO_{Vmax}) was highest in the posterior segment: PPO_{Vmax} = 0.602±0.09 U. Mid-section of *M. sapientum* exhibited the highest K_m value (PPO_{Km} = 5.8±0.69 mM) compared with the anterior (PPO_{Km} = 3.9±0.69 mM) ($p>0.05$) and posterior PPO_{Km} = 4.9±0.11 mM segments ($p<0.05$). Overall, *M. sapientum* PPO_{Km} values were relatively higher than those of *S. melongenas*. Posterior *S. melongenas* exhibited the highest PPO_{Vmax} = 0.602±0.09 U, whereas the lowest value was registered in the anterior segment of *M. sapientum* PPO_{Vmax} = 0.234±0.09 U. Substrate specificity for PPO ($PPO_{Vmax/Km}$) extracted from various segments of *S. melongenas* was in the increasing order of Mid-section > Posterior > Anterior, whereas that of *M. sapientum* was Mid-section > Anterior > Posterior. $PPO_{Vmax/Km}$ between the two fruits showed strong positive correlation (r = 0.862339). Catechol was a better substrate for $PPO_{S.\ melongenas}$ than $PPO_{M.\ sapientum}$. The experimentally observed kinetic parameters of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* signified the presence of PPO isoenzymes and non-uniform distribution of PPO in the two fruits.

Key words: Polyphenol oxidase, *Solanum melongenas*, *Musa sapientum,* kinetics parameters

INTRODUCTION

Enzymatic browning describes the discoloration of fruits and vegetables, often facilitated by a collection of enzymes collectively called Polyphenol Oxidases (PPO) (Broothaerts *et al.*, 2000; Gouzi *et al.*, 2010). The enzyme action of PPO is initiated with the disruption of cellular integrity. Furthermore, senescence, wounding, or other tissue damage can cause a mix in the content of plastid and vacuole which engenders the browning reactions (Casado-Vela *et al.*, 2005; Escobar *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, Thipyapong *et al.* (2004), posited the connection between PPO

activity and development of plant water stress and potential for photo-inhibition and oxidative damage. Enzymatic browning reaction is initiated by interaction of phenolic compounds with PPO in the presence of molecular oxygen (Kavrayan and Aydemir, 2001). PPO catalyzes two reactions namely, hydroxylation of monophenols to give o-diphenol (monophenol oxidase, cresolase tyrosinase activity EC. 1.14.18.1) (Klabunde *et al.*, 1998; Fawzy, 2005) and oxidation of o-diphenol to o-quinones (diphenol oxidase, catecholase activity EC.1.10.3.1) (Mayer, 2006; Madani *et al.*, 2011). The o-quinones readily polymerize and/or react with endogenous amino acids and protein molecules and their derivatives to form complex brown or related pigments (Klabunde *et al.*, 1998; Casado-Vela *et al.*, 2005; Prohp *et al.*, 2009). Some of PPO substrates that occur naturally in fruits and vegetables, very suitable to enzymatic browning are chlorogenic acid, catechin and epicatechin (Queiroza *et al.*, 2008).

PPO is a copper (Cu^{2+}) containing metalloenzyme predominantly located in the chloroplast thylakoid membrane (Valero and Garcia-Carmona, 1998). The two atoms of Cu^{2+} are tightly bound to three histidine residues of a polypeptide chain (Klabunde *et al.*, 1998). The enzyme exists in isoforms (Casado-Vela *et al.*, 2005; Chikezie, 2006; Anderson *et al.*, 2006; Escobar *et al.*, 2008) and as zymogen (Gandia-Herrero *et al.*, 2004; Selles-Marchart *et al.*, 2006). PPO activation can be achieved by variety of treatments such as urea (Okot-Kotber *et al.*, 2002), polyamines (Jimenez-Atienzar *et al.*, 1991), anionic detergents such as Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS) (Kanade *et al.*, 2006) and trypsin or proteinase K (Marques *et al.*, 1994; Laveda *et al.*, 2001). PPO in plant tissues exist in two major states. These are 85% met-PPO and 10-15% oxy-PPO forms. PPO is often isolated in the met-PPO form (Parkin, 2008).

The molecular weight of PPO extracted from different plant species have been reported by several studies. Probably due to partial proteolysis of the enzyme during its isolation, the molecular weight of plant PPO are very diverse and variable; *Eriobotrya japonica Lindl*; 59.2-61.2 kDa (Selles-Marchart *et al.*, 2006), *Brassica oleracea*; 39 kDa (Fujita *et al.*, 1995), *M. sapientum*; 62 kDa (Galeazzi *et al.*, 1981), *Phaseolus vulgaris* L; 120 kDa (Beena and Gowda, 2000), *Malpighia glabra* L; 52 and 38 kDa (Kumar *et al.*, 2008) and *Brassica rapa*; 65 kDa (Nagai and Suzuki, 2001).

Solanum melongenas commonly referred to as garden egg in Nigeria and banana (*Musa sapientum*) are fruits widely grown as cash and food crops in the Tropics. Browning reaction is a crucial and limiting factor determining the shelf life and acceptability of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables. Understanding the biochemical properties and kinetics of PPO is an imperative for applying control measures to mitigate this undesirable reaction.

Previous study on the kinetic properties of PPO involved the study of enzyme extracts obtained from whole fruits and vegetables (Anthon and Barrett, 2002; Yagar and Sagiroglu, 2002; Selles-Marchart *et al.*, 2006; Chisari *et al.*, 2007; Kumar *et al.*, 2008; Queiroza *et al.*, 2008; Prohp *et al.*, 2009; Gouzi *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, there are reports on isoforms (Vamos-Vigyazo, 1981; Casado-Vela *et al.*, 2005; Chikezie, 2006; Altunkaya and Gokmen, 2011) and non-uniform distribution of PPO in plant systems (Qudsieh *et al.*, 2002; Sirhindi, 2003). Therefore, these earlier reported kinetic properties of PPO extracted from whole fruits and vegetables probably did not represent the true kinetic features of the various PPOs in those plant specimen. The present study seeks to measure two kinetic parameters, Michaelis Menten (K_m) and maximum velocity (V_{max}), of PPO extracted from the posterior, mid-section and anterior segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits. The study will give an insight into kinetic properties and, by extension, relative abundance/distribution of PPO in the three portions of the two fruits under investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and preparation of fruit samples: Fresh and disease free fruits of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* were harvested from a private botanical garden in Umuoziri-Inyishi, Imo State, Nigeria between 17th -30th of July, 2012. The fruits were identified and authenticated by Dr. F. N. Mbagwu at the Herbarium in the Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria. The two fruits were washed under continuous current of distilled water for 5 min and air dried at room temperature. The stalk (*S. melongenas*) and rind (*M. sapientum*) were removed manually. The samples were cut into three distinct segments: anterior, mid-section and anterior and stored at -4°C until used for analyses.

Extraction and purification of PPO: Extraction and partial purification of PPO was according to the methods of Madani *et al.* (2011) with minor modifications. Ten grams (10 g) of the sample was homogenized in external ice bath, using Ultra-Turrax T25 (Janke and Kunkel, Staufen, Germany) homogenizer set in 80 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) containing 10 mM ascorbic acid for 180 sec at intervals of 60 sec. The homogenate was quickly squeezed through two layers of clean cheese cloth into a beaker kept in ice. The crude extract samples were centrifuged at 32000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Solid ammonium sulphate $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ was added to the supernatant to obtain 80% $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ saturation and precipitated proteins were separated by centrifugation at 32000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The precipitate was re-dissolved in 10 mL distilled water and ultra-filtered in a Millipore stirred cell with a 10 kDa membrane (Millipore 8050, Milan, Italy). The filtrate was dialyzed at 4°C against distilled water for 24 h with 4 changes of the water during dialysis. The dialyzed sample constituted the partial purified PPO extract and was used as the enzyme source from the corresponding segments of the two fruits. Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford (1976) using bovine serum albumin as standard at λ_{max} = 595 nm. One unit of PPO activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that causes an increase in absorbance of 0.001 mL^{-1} min^{-1} under the condition of the assay (Oktay *et al.*, 1995). The procedure and measure of PPO purification is summarized in Table 1.

Determination of PPO activity: PPO activity was measured immediately after the extract was partially purified. Enzyme assay was according to the methods of Qudsieh *et al.* (2002) with minor modifications (Chikezie, 2006). Enzyme activity was determined by measuring the increase in the absorbance at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer (U-2000 Hitachi, Japan). The reaction mixture contained 3.5 mL of 0.20 M phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8), 1 mL of each serial dilutions of 12-0.75 mM catechol and 0.5 mL of enzyme solution in a final volume of 5 mL. The mixture was quickly transferred into a cuvette and the change in absorbance was monitored at λ_{max} = 540 nm at a regular interval of 30 sec. The rate of the reaction was calculated from the initial linear slope of activity curves.

Evaluation of kinetic constants: The K_m and V_{max} values of PPO were measured with the use of the Lineweaver-Burk (reciprocals of initial enzyme velocity (V_o) and substrate (catechol) concentration [S]). Thus: $1/V_o$ versus 1/[S] values) graphs (Lineweaver and Burk, 1934).

Statistical analysis: The experiments were designed in a completely randomized method and data collected were analyzed by the analysis of variance procedure while treatment means were separated by the Least Significance Difference (LSD) incorporated in the statistical analysis system (SAS, 2006). The correlation coefficients between the results were determined with Microsoft Office Excel, 2010 version.

Table 1: Specific activity of polyphenol oxidase extracted from three segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits at various purification steps

	Specific activity (U/mg protein)					
	S. melongenas			*M. sapientum*		
Purification step	A	MS	P	A	MS	P
Centrifuged at 32000 g for 20 min at 4°C	0.68±0.87	0.78±0.67	2.08±0.87	0.58±0.99	1.98±0.67	1.28±0.95
80% $(NH_4)_2SO_4$	2.72±1.07	2.48±0.37	5.14±0.36	1.98±0.90	3.72±0.81	2.08±1.27
Ultra-filtration	5.18±0.65	5.88±0.91	7.77±0.15	5.91±1.27	6.88±1.07	4.78±1.05
Dialyzed at 4°C	6.78±0.77	7.18±0.27	8.07±0.47	7.78±1.03	7.85±0.77	6.98±0.93
Percentage yield	11.3±0.78	11.9±0.9.1	12.8±0.71	10.0±0.97	12.1±0.59	10.3±0.87
[protein] mg mL^{-1}	0.012±0.7	0.017±0.9	0.025±0.7	0.010±0.9	0.018±0.5	0.011±0.8

A: Anterior, MS: Mid-section, P: Posterior. The results are mean±S.D of three (n = 3) determinations

RESULTS

The specific activity of PPO extracts, which was a measure of level of enzyme purity, is summarized in Table 1. A cursory look at Table 1 showed increasing level of PPO specific activity with the progression of each purification step. The posterior segment of *S. melongenas* gave highest protein content (0.025±0.7 mg mL^{-1}) at the end of the purification step. In addition, the posterior segment of *S. melongenas* exhibited the highest specific enzyme activity throughout the purification steps with values ranging between (2.08±0.87-8.07±0.47 U mg^{-1} protein). Comparatively, dialysis at 4°C caused marginal increases in specific enzyme activity during the various purification steps. The percentage yield of the various extracts was not significantly different ($p<0.05$) at the end of the purification steps.

The kinetic parameters of PPO extracted from three segments of the two fruits are presented in Table 2. The K_m values of PPO (PPO_{Km}) extracted from the three segments of *S. melongenas* was in the range of 1.5±0.09-4.6±0.49 mM. Furthermore, PPO_{Km} of mid-section and anterior segments showed no significant difference ($p<0.05$), whereas the posterior gave PPO_{Km} = 4.6±0.49 mM; $p>0.05$. Overall, PPO_{Km} of the three segments of *S. melongenas* was in the order: Mid-Section, Anterior<Posterior. PPO maximum activity (PPO_{Vmax}) was highest in the posterior segment (PPO_{Vmax} = 0.602±0.09 U) compared with the other two segments: mid-section PPO_{Vmax} = 0.393±0.60 U; $p>0.05$ and anterior PPO_{Vmax} = 0.251±0.04 U; $p>0.05$.

PPO extracted from the m id-section of *M. sapientum* exhibited the highest K_m value (PPO_{Km} = 5.8±0.69 mM) compared with the anterior (PPO_{Km} = 3.9±0.69 mM) ($p>0.05$) and posterior (PPO_{Km} = 4.9±0.11 mM segments) ($p<0.05$). *M. sapientum* anterior PPO_{Vmax} was not significantly different ($p<0.05$) from posterior PPO_{Vmax}. *M. sapientum* mid-section PPO_{Vmax} was highest compare to other two segments ($p>0.05$). An overview of Table 2 showed that *M. sapientum* PPO_{Km} values were relatively higher than those of *S. melongenas*. Posterior *S. melongenas* exhibited the highest PPO_{Vmax} = 0.602±0.09 U, whereas the lowest value was registered in the anterior segment of *M. sapientum* PPO_{Vmax} = 0.234±0.09 U.

Substrate specificity for PPO ($PPO_{Vmax/Km}$) extracted from various segments of the two fruits was in the range of 0.049-0.262 U mM^{-1}. For *S. melongenas* enzyme extract, the increasing order of $PPO_{Vmax/Km}$ was Mid-Section>Posterior>Anterior, whereas that of *M. sapientum* was Mid-Section>Anterior>Posterior. $PPO_{Vmax/Km}$ between the two fruits showed strong positive correlation (r = 0.862339).

Table 2: Michealis Menten constant (K_m) and maximum velocity (V_{max}) of polyphenol oxidase extracted from three segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits

	S. melongenas		*M. sapientum*	
Fruit segment	$K_{m\ (mM)}$	$V_{max\ (U)}$	$K_{m\ (mM)}$	$V_{max\ (U)}$
Anterior	2.0±0.99[a]	0.251±0.04[a]	3.9±0.69[a]	0.234±0.09[a]
Mid-section	1.5±0.09[a]	0.393±0.60[b]	5.8±0.69[b]	0.420±0.06[b]
Posterior	4.6±0.49[b]	0.602±0.09[c]	4.9±0.11[b,c]	0.241±0.05[a, c]

The results are means (X)±S.D of three (n = 3) determinations. Means in the columns with the same letter are not significantly different at p<0.05 according to LSD

Table 3: Catechol specificity for polyphenol oxidase extracted from three segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits

	V_{max}/K_m (U mM^{-1})	
Fruit segment	*S. melongenas*	*M. sapientum*
Anterior	0.126	0.060
Mid-section	0.262	0.072
Posterior	0.131	0.049

DISCUSSION

The enzyme extracts from the three segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* exhibited PPO activity which was in conformity with previous reports elsewhere (Chikezie *et al*., 2007; Unal, 2007; Queiroza *et al*., 2008). The present kinetic study showed that in all cases, $PPO_{S.\ melongenas}$ and $PPO_{M.\ sapientum}$ conformed to Michaelis-Menten kinetics, exhibiting different values of kinetics parameters. In concord with the present findings, Rocha and Morais (2001), had earlier noted that PPO isolated from higher plants oxidized a wide range of monophenols and o-diphenols with highly variable V_{max} and K_m values.

A measure of affinity of the enzyme for its substrate is defined by the K_m value. Overall, PPO extracts from *S. melongenas* exhibited higher affinity for the experimental substrate (catechol) than those extracted from *M. sapientum*. The affinity of plant PPO for the phenolic substrates was generally low (high K_m values, 2±6 mM) according to Nicolas *et al*. (1994). Likewise, the results presented here showed that PPO_{Km} extracted from the three segments of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* was in the range of 1.5±0.09-5.8±0.69 mM (Table 2). The variability of PPO_{Km} in the three segments of the two fruits confirmed differences in affinity of the enzymes for phenolic substrates. According to Altunkaya and Gokmen (2011), the variability in PPO_{Km} is diagnostic of isoenzymic forms of PPO in *Lactuca sativa*. They noted that substrate specificity of two fractions of PPO extracts (PPO_1 and PPO_4) was different in terms of V_{max}/K_m values and the order of affinity of the isoenzymes for various substrates varied. Furthermore, Marshall *et al*. (2000), averred that variations in K_m values of *Mangifera indica* fruit extracts with concomitant difference in affinity between mono- and polyphenol substrates for the enzyme was an indication of the presence of isoenzyme in *M. indica* fruits. In another study, Cornish-Bowden and Cardenas (2010), in their study showed that variability in kinetic parameters of non-Michaelis-Menten enzymes provided necessary information for analyzing metabolic pathways associated with isoenzymes. Values of PPO_{Km} of the mid-section and posterior segments of *S. melongenas* showed significant difference ($p>0.05$) whereas, the difference in K_m values of *M. sapientum* enzyme extract between the mid-section and posterior segments was not significant ($p<0.05$) (Table 2). These observations indicated the presence of isoenzymic forms of PPO in the corresponding segments of the two fruits

as reported elsewhere (Vamos-Vigyazo, 1981; Casado-Vela *et al*., 2005; Chikezie, 2006; Altunkaya and Gokmen, 2011). In another perspective, the K_m values could also give an insight into the physiologic concentrations of the PPO substrates in the three portions of the two fruits under investigation. More than four decades ago, Sheen (1969) posited that there is correlation between phenolic quantity and oxidase activity, which varied depending upon the organs and tissues. However, it is worthy to note here that the experimentally observed K_m value is a function of pH and ionic strength of the enzyme assay solution (De Jesus Rivas and Whitaker, 1973; Janovitz-Klapp *et al*., 1989; Valero and Garcia-Carmona, 1998; Nicolas *et al*. (1994). The variability of PPO_{Vmax} in the various segments of the two fruit enzyme extracts was a pointer to the fact that differences exist in the relative abundance and distribution of PPO in biologic tissues and systems (Qudsieh *et al*. 2002; Sirhindi, 2003; Escobar *et al*., 2008; Zamorano *et al*., 2009).

PPO V_{max}/K_m defines the suitability of the experimental substrate (catechol) for PPO extracted from the two fruits. An overview of Table 3 showed that catechol exhibited relatively low specificity for $PPO_{M. sapientum}$ compared to $PPO_{S. melongenas}$. Previous studies have established that certain categories of phenolic compound are poor substrate to PPO by virtue of their specificity ratio V_{max}/K_m (Rocha and Morais, 2001; Forteaa *et al*., 2009). For instance, monophenol (tyrosine) was found to be a poor substrate for the apple PPO (Nicolas *et al*., 1994; Rocha and Morais, 2001). Richard-Forget *et al*. (1992) showed that several compounds such as chlorogenic acid and catechins appeared to be better substrates than 4-methylcatechol for PPO extracted from Red Delicious apples.

CONCLUSION

The kinetic parameters indicated that catechol was a better substrate for $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ than $PPO_{M. sapientum}$. Furthermore, the experimentally observed kinetic parameters of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* signified the presence of PPO isoenzyme and non-uniform distribution of PPO in the two fruits.

REFERENCES

Altunkaya, A. and V. Gokmen, 2011. Purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase peroxidase and lipoxygenase from freshly cut Lettuce (*L. sativa*). Food Technol. Biotechnol., 49: 249-256.

Anderson, J.V., E.P. Fuerstb, W.J. Hurkman, W.H. Venselc and C.F. Morrisd, 2006. Biochemical and genetic characterization of wheat (*Triticum* spp.) kernel polyphenol oxidases. J. Cereal Sci., 44: 353-367.

Anthon, G.E. and D.M. Barrett, 2002. Kinetic parameter for the thermal inactivation of quality-related enzymes in carrots and potatoes. J. Agric. Food Chem., 50: 4119-4125.

Beena, P. and L.R. Gowda, 2000. Purification and characterization of a polyphenol oxidase from the seeds of field bean (*Dolichos lablab*). J. Agric. Food Chem., 48: 3839-3846.

Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem., 72: 248-254.

Broothaerts, W., J. McPherson, B. Li, E. Randall, W.D. Lane and P.A. Wierma, 2000. Fast apple (*Malus x domestica*) and tobacco (*Nicotiana tobacum*) leaf polyphenol oxidase activity assay for screening transgenic plants. J. Agric. Food Chem., 48: 5924-5928.

Casado-Vela, J., S. Selles and R. Bru, 2005. Purification and kinetic characterization of polyphenol oxidase from tomato fruits (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv. *Muchamiel*). J. Food Biochem., 29: 381-401.

Chikezie, P.C., 2006. Extraction and activity of polyphenoloxidase from kolanuts (*Cola nitida* and *Cola acuminata*) and cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*). J. Agric. Food Sci., 4: 115-124.

Chikezie, P.C., B.A. Amadi and C.M. Chikezie, 2007. Evaluation of two polyphenoloxidase kinetic parameters at three stages of *Musa sapietum* fruit maturation. Inter. J. Agric. Food Sys., 1: 63-67.

Chisari, M., R.N. Barbagallo and G. Spagna, 2007. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase and influence on browning of cold stored Strawberry fruit. J. Agric. Food Chem., 55: 3469-3476.

Cornish-Bowden, A. and M.L. Cardenas, 2010. Specificity of non-Michaelis-Menten enzymes: Necessary information for analyzing metabolic pathways. J. Phys. Chem. B, 114: 16209-16213.

De Jesus Rivas, N. and J.R. Whitaker, 1973. Purification and some properties of two polyphenol oxidases from Bartlett Pears. Plant Physiol., 52: 501-507.

Escobar, M.A., A. Shilling, P. Higgins, S.L. Uratsu and A.M. Dandekar, 2008. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase from Walnut. J. Am. Soc. Horticul. Sci., 133: 852-858.

Fawzy, A.M., 2005. Purification and some properties of polyphenol oxidase from Apple (*Malus Domestica* Borkh.). Minia J. Agric. Res. Dev., 25: 629-644.

Forteaa, M.I., S. Lopez-Mirandaa, A. Serrano-Martineza, J. Carrenob and E. Nunez-Delicadoa, 2009. Kinetic characterisation and thermal inactivation study of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase from table grape (Crimson Seedless). Food Chem., 113: 1008-1014.

Fujita, S., N.B. Saari, M. Maegawa, T. Tetsuka, N. Hayashi and T. Tono, 1995. Purification and Properties of Polyphenol Oxidase from Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem., 43: 1138-1142.

Galeazzi, M.A.M., V.C. Sgarbieri and S.M. Constantides, 1981. Isolation, purification and physicochemical characterization of polyphenoloxidase (PPO) from a dwarf variety of banana (*Musa cavendishii*, L.). J. Food Sci., 46: 150-155.

Gandia-Herrero, F., F. Garcia-Carmona and J. Escribano, 2004. Purification and characterization of a latent polyphenol oxidase from beet root (*Beta vulgaris* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem., 52: 609-615.

Gouzi, H., T. Coradin, E.N. Delicado, M.U. Unal and A. Benmansour, 2010. Inhibition kinetics of *Agaricus bisporus* (J.E. Lange) Imbach polyphenol oxidase. Open Enzyme Inhib. J., 3: 1-7.

Janovitz-Klapp, A., F. Richard-Forget and J. Nicolas, 1989. Polyphenoloxidase from apple partial purification and some properties. Phytochemistry, 28: 2903-2907.

Jimenez-Atienzar M., P.M. Angeles and F. Garcia-Carmona, 1991. Activation of polyphenol oxidase by polyamines. Biochem. Int., 25: 861-868.

Kanade, S.R., B. Paul, A.G. Appu Rao and L.R. Gowda, 2006. The conformational state of polyphenol oxidase from field bean (*Dolichos lablab*) upon SDS and acidpH activation. Biochem. J., 395: 551-562.

Kavrayan, D. and T. Aydemir, 2001. Partial purification and characterization of polyphenoloxidase from peppermint (*Mentha pipetita*). Food Chem., 74: 147-154.

Klabunde, T., C. Eicken, J.C Sacchettini and B. Krebs, 1998. Crystal structure of a plant catechol oxidase containg a dicopper center. Nat. Struct. Biol., 5: 1084-1090.

Kumar, V.B.A., T.C.K. Mohan and K. Murugan, 2008. Purification and kinetic characterization of polyphenol oxidase from Barbados cherry (*Malpighia glabra* L.). Food Chem., 110: 328-333.

Laveda, F., E. Nunez-Delicado, F. Garcia-Carmona and A. Sanchez-Ferrer, 2001. Proteolytic activation of latent Paraguaya peach PPO. Characterization of monophenolase activity. J. Agric. Food Chem., 49: 1003-1008.

Lineweaver, H. and D. Burk, 1934. The determination of enzyme dissociation constants. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 56: 658-666.

Madani, I., P.M. Lee and L.K. Hung, 2011. Partial purification and characterisation of polyphenol oxidase from *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Biotechnology and Food Science, April 1-3, 2011, Bali Island, Indonesia, pp: 110-114.

Marques, L., A. Fleuriet, J.C. Cleyet-Marel and J.J. Macheix, 1994. Purification of an apple polyphenol oxidase isoform resistant to SDS-proteinase K digestion. Phytochemistry, 36: 1117-1121.

Marshall, M.R., J. Kim and C. Wei, 2000. Enzymatic Browning in Fruits, Vegetables and Sea Foods. FAO, Rome, Italy.

Mayer, A.M., 2006. Polyphenol oxidases in plants and fungi: Going places, A review. Phytochemistry, 67: 2318-2331.

Nagai, T. and N. Suzuki, 2001. Partial purification of polyphenol oxidase from Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L). J. Agric. Food Chem., 49: 3922-3926.

Nicolas, J.J., F.C. Richard-Forget, P.M. Goupy, M.J. Amiot and S.Y. Aubert, 1994. Enzymatic browning reactions in apple and products. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 34: 109-157.

Okot-Kotber, M., A. Liavoga, K.J. Yong and K. Bagorogoza, 2002. Activation of polyphenol oxidase in extracts of bran from several wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars using organic solvents, detergents and chaotropes. J. Agric. Food Chem., 50: 2410-2417.

Oktay, M., I. Kufreviolu, I. Kocacaliskan and H. Sakiroglu, 1995. Polyphenol oxidase from Amasya apple. J. Food Sci., 60: 494-496.

Parkin, K.L., 2008. Enzymes. In: Fennema's Food Chemistry, Damodaran, S., K.L. Parkin and O.R. Fennema (Eds.). 4th Edn., CRC Press, New York.

Prohp, T.P., K.E. Ekpo, E.V. Osagie, A. Osagie and H. Obi, 2009. Polyphenol contents and polyphenol oxidase activities of some Nigerian kolanuts. J. Nutr., 8: 1030-1031.

Qudsieh, H.Y., S. Yusof, A. Osman and R.A. Rahman, 2002. Effect of maturity on chlorophyll, tannin, color and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity of sugarcane juice (*Saccharum officinarum* var. Yellow cane). J. Agric. Food Chem., 50: 1615-1618.

Queiroza, C., M.L.M. Lopes, E. Fialho and V.L. Valente-Mesquita, 2008. Polyphenol oxidase: Caracteristics and mechanisms of browning control. Food Rev. Int., 24: 361-375.

Richard-Forget, F.C., M.A Rouet-Mayer, P.M. Goupy, J. Philippon and J.J. Nicolas, 1992. Oxidation of chlorogenic acid, catechins and 4-methylcatechol in model solutions by apple polyphenol oxidase. J. Agric. Food Chem., 40: 2114-2122.

Rocha, A.M.C.N. and A.M.M.B. Morais, 2001. Characterization of polyphenoloxidase (PPO) extracted from Jonagored apple. Food Control, 12: 85-90.

SAS, 2006. Statistical Analytical System Package 9.1 Version. SAS Institute, Cary, NC., USA.

Selles-Marchart, S., J. Casado-Vela and R. Bru-Martinez, 2006. Isolation of a latent polyphenol oxidase from loquat fruit (*Eriobotrya japonica* Lindl.): Kinetic characterization and comparison with the active form. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 446: 175-185.

Sheen, S.J., 1969. The distribution of polyphenols, chlorogenic acid oxidase and peroxidase in different plant parts of tobacco, *Nicotiana tabacum* L. Phytochemistry, 8: 1839-1847.

Sirhindi, G., 2003. Polyphenol oxidase production and activity under high temperature exotherm in vegetative organs of *Thuja orientalis*. Environ. Info. Arch., 1: 574-580.

Thipyapong, P., M.D. Hunt and J.C. Steffens, 2004. Antisense down regulation of polyphenol oxidase results in enhanced disease susceptibility. Planta, 220: 105-117.

Unal, U., 2007. Properties of polyphenol oxidase from Anamur banana (*Musa cavendishii*). Food Chem., 100: 909-913.

Valero, E. and F. Garcia-Carmona, 1998. pH-dependent effect of sodium chloride on latent grape polyphenol oxidase. J. Agric. Food Chem., 46: 2447-2451.

Vamos-Vigyazo, L., 1981. Polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase in fruits and vegetables. Crit. Rev. Food Sci., 15: 49-127.

Yagar, H. and A. Sagiroglu, 2002. Partially purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase of quince. Turkish J. Chem., 26: 97-103.

Zamorano, J.P., O. Martinez-Alvarez, P. Montero and M.D.C. Gomez-Guillen, 2009. Characterisation and tissue distribution of polyphenol oxidase of deep water pink shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*). Food Chem., 112: 104-111.