Study on Weight Loss and Moisture Regain of Silk Cocoon Shells and Degummed Fibers from African Wild Silkmoths
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ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to determine weight loss and moisture regain properties of silk cocoon shells, shell layers and degummed fibers of the four African wild silkmoths Anaphe panda, Argema mimoseae, Epiphora bauhiniae and Gonometia postica and compare with the industry standard mulberry silkmoth, Bombyx mori. No. significant difference was observed between the moisture regain of the wild silk fibers after degumming. However, there were significant differences in weight loss and moisture regain between cocoon shells as well as shell layers. E. bauhiniae had the lowest weight loss and moisture regain of 23.19 and 5.64%, respectively while G. postica had the highest weight loss and moisture regain, 58.84 and 9.05%, respectively. The SEM micrographs also showed the presence of remnant sericin gum on the fibers surface. In both A. panda and E. bauhiniae, the outer shell layers had the lowest moisture regain and highest weight loss while the inner layer of E. bauhiniae and middle layer of A. panda lost the least weight. The physical structure and chemical composition of the cocoon shells and fibers contribute towards the variation in the moisture regain and weight loss and these features may have commercial implications due to their direct effect on the resulting fabric.
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INTRODUCTION

Silks are natural polymers which have been gaining wide use in a variety of applications such as degradable biomaterials, biomedical and functional bio-membrane materials and fibers besides their traditional use as a textile raw material (Zhang, 2002; Mondal et al., 2007a; Wilaiwan et al., 2010). The use of such silks, depending on their origin, can be justified both by the combination of mechanical properties, non-toxicity, biocompatibility and biodegradability depending on source and treatment. African wild silk fibers from Saturniidae (Epiphora and Argema spp.), Lasiocampidae (Gonometia spp.) and Thaumetopoeidae (Anaphe, Epaphe and Hyposesodes spp.) genera produce silk of potential commercial value and with significant economic importance due to their interesting mechanical properties (Raina, 2004; Delport, 2005). However, the performances of all silk biopolymers are affected when exposed to adverse environments.

Specifically, absorbed moisture is likely to determine the mechanical and physical properties of both the sericin gum coating and the integral structural proteins of the silks (Fut et al., 2009). Some silks can indeed be hygroscopic with a moisture regain of 11 at 65% Relative Humidity (RH) and
27°C (Ranganathaian, 2003; Sonthisombat and Speakman, 2004) which is larger than many other commercial fibers and more in line with wool (Salamone, 1999). This interaction of moisture and fiber has technical as well as commercial consequences. For example, in *Bombyx mori* Linn. silk, the absorption of water causes 1.6% fiber swelling in the longitudinal crosswise (Schoeber, 2007). This apparently small degree of swelling can influence the rate of heat and moisture vapour transfer through the textile fiber. Moreover, the weight change resulting from moisture absorption can affect the commercial weight of the fibers as well as affecting properties such as dying, finishing and apparent comfort of the product (Salamone, 1999).

However, most silk contains not only the fiber matrix protein but in addition have a coating of sericin or similar compounds. This sericin component of the composite silk fibre (typically of two fibre brins held together into the bave by the sericinaceous gum), is usually expressed as weight loss and is an important additional factor affecting the quality of a silk (Vishuprasad, 2004). The sericin content of a fiber is estimated by boiling silk (using a range of chemicals) and calculating the weight loss (Sonthisombat and Speakman, 2004). This weight loss from a raw silk filament depends on the water solubility and higher alkali sensitivity of the sericin as compared to the fibroin (Choubhury, 2006). Quantity and nature of sericin are fundamental characteristics in conferring distinctive traits to the cocoon. Sericin coating has important biological properties such as oxidation and UV resistance as well as antibacterial and moisture control properties (Mondal et al., 2007a). However, the sericin coating also gives a callous and stiff feeling to the fiber and hides the rich luster and whiteness of the silk brins (Arami et al., 2007) in addition to preventing the penetration of dye. These necessitate its removal by process of boiling to obtain an ideal fiber for the textile industry and any other specific applications. Because of these effects, understanding the amount of sericin present in silk bave as well as their water absorption properties of fibers has considerable technical implications for a silk as a commercial proposition. Hence, this study was conducted with the objective to determine the quantity of sericin gum and moisture regain properties in African wild silk cocoon shells and fibers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Weight loss:** This study was conducted between June to August, 2010 at the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology, Commercial Insects Program Laboratory. Cocoon shells of four wild silk species were collected from four rather different ecotypes in Kenya namely; the Kakamega (*Anaphe panda* Biosduval), the Arabuko Sokoke (*Argema. Mimosa* Biosduval), the West Pokot (*E. bauhiniae* Guer) and Mwingi district acacia bush lands (*G. postica* Walker) (Fig. 1). Cocoons of *B. mori* (Race ICIE II) were obtained for comparison from the Commercial Insect Program of icipe, International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology. Cocoons were cut with a surgical blade and cleaned by removing the pupal debris and other foreign materials such as leaves and branches. Twenty grams of cleaned cocoons were enclosed in wire mesh cages with a volume of 717 cm³ and boiled with 5 g L⁻¹ of Na₂CO₃ (Magadi soda) solution for 180 min. Boiled cocoons were soaked in star soft solution of 50 mL L⁻¹ of distilled water for 3 min and washed with hot and cold distilled water twice. Excess water was removed by filter paper and cocoon filaments were allowed to spend 24 h in oven at 110°C to insure uniform drying. The weight loss, expressed in percentage, was obtained using the equation (Nakpathom et al., 2009).

**Moisture regain of cocoon shells:** Moisture regain of undegummed but clean and weighed cocoon shells was determined by the oven drying procedure. Known weight of cocoon shells were
Fig. 1: Degummed fibers of (a) *Argema mimosae*, (b) *Anaphe panda* and (c) *Gonometa postica* showing the remnant gum

placed in an oven at 110°C for 24 h. Twenty grams of oven dried shells were placed at laboratory bench for 72 h at room conditions (23±2°C and 71±3% RH). The moisture regain percentage for the cocoon shells was obtained by Nawaz *et al.* (2002).

To avoid initial weight gain during the weighing process care was taken not to expose the samples to moisture.

**Moisture regain of degummed fibers:** Cleaned cocoon shells were first degummed and then dried in the oven for 24 h at 110°C. Twenty gram of degummed fibers were left on laboratory bench under room conditions (23±2°C and 71±3% RH) for 72 h. The moisture regain percentage for the fibers were obtained according to Nawaz *et al.* (2002).

**Weight loss and moisture regain of cocoon shell layers:** For this study, only cocoon shells of *A. panda* and *E. bauhiniae* were used. These species had been selected because of the clear distinction in the physical structure of the three layers; a leathery outer envelope, a fluffy intermediate layer and thick walled and rigid inner layer in their cocoon shells. Three distinct layers designated as outer, middle and inner were separated. Weight loss and moisture regain were determined by the same procedure as described above.

**Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) observation:** Degummed fibre samples were embedded in black wool and mounted onto copper stubs using double side sticking tape. The mounted
specimens were immediately coated with gold (JEOL JFC-1100E ion sputter) and observed with SEM (JEOL-JSM T330A) under an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

**Data analysis:** All percentage data were logarithmic transformed to stabilize the variance before the final statistical analysis. Both moisture regain and weight loss of the cocoon shells were analyzed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) (p<0.01) while two-way analysis was employed to analyze the difference in cocoon layers of *A. panda* and *E. bauhiniae* with PROC ANOVA (SAS Institute, 2010). Least Significance Difference (LSD) test was used to separate means.

**RESULTS**

**Weight loss and moisture regain of cocoon shells:** The effect of boiling cocoons shells of four African wild and *B. mori* silk on weight loss and moisture regain is presented in Table 1. There was a highly significant difference in weight loss between the cocoon shells. *G. postica* and *E. bauhiniae* cocoons had the highest and lowest weight loss (57 and 23%, respectively). *B. mori* and *A. mimosae* cocoons had comparable weight losses (29 and 31%) which were marginally higher than *A. panda* (26%). The SEM micrographs revealed that the fibers were covered with different quantities of sericin gum after the degumming treatment (Fig. 1). There were also significant differences in the moisture regain percentage between cocoon shells and degummed fibers. *A. mimosae* cocoons had the highest regain (9%) while *E. bauhiniae* cocoons gained the lowest moisture (5.64%). *B. mori* and *A. panda* cocoons gained marginally (statistically insignificant) more moisture (7.93 and 8.02%, respectively) than *G. postica* cocoon shells (7.11%) (Table 1). In contrast to the cocoon shells, the moisture regain of degummed wild silk fibers was not significantly different between species with *E. bauhiniae*, *G. postica* and *A. panda* gaining 10, 9.9 and 9.9%, respectively. However, *B. mori* had the lowest value (8.53%) which was significantly different from the other wild fibers (Table 1).

**Moisture regain and weight loss of cocoon shell layers:** Moisture regain of layers of *E. bauhiniae* and *A. panda* cocoon shells is shown in Table 2. There was significant difference in moisture regain of cocoon shell layers of *E. bauhiniae* and *A. panda*. The inner hard layers of both species have the highest regain (6.8 and 8.6% for *E. bauhiniae* and *A. panda*, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Weight loss (%)</th>
<th>Moisture regain (%)</th>
<th>Degummed fibers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cocoon shells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. mori</em></td>
<td>29.35±0.18*</td>
<td>7.93±0.35*</td>
<td>8.53±0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. postica</em></td>
<td>56.84±0.32</td>
<td>7.11±0.53*</td>
<td>9.96±0.46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. bauhiniae</em></td>
<td>23.19±0.45*</td>
<td>5.64±0.62*</td>
<td>10.42±0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. mimosae</em></td>
<td>31.39±0.97*</td>
<td>9.05±0.52*</td>
<td>9.75±0.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. panda</em></td>
<td>25.85±3.63*</td>
<td>8.02±0.35*</td>
<td>9.91±0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-value</td>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter in a column are not statistically significant (p>0.05) using the least significant difference test (LSD)
Table 2: Means±SE of moisture regain of layers of *E. bauhiniae* and *A. panda* cocoon shells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Moisture regain (%)</th>
<th>Degummed fibers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cocoon shells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. bauhiniae</em></td>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>5.27±0.35&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.5±0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>5.0±0.49&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.8±0.72&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>6.8±0.35&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.37±0.22&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. panda</em></td>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>6.96±0.56&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.0±0.42&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>7.46±0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.43±0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>8.63±0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.49±0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV, df, F-value, p-Value:
- CV: 7.46, 7.46, 8.97, 8.97
- df: 2, 2, 2, 2
- F-value: 5.95, 5.95, 4.68, 4.68
- p-Value: <0.05, <0.05, <0.05, <0.05

<sup>a</sup>Means followed by the same letter in a column are not statistically significant (p<0.05) using the least significant difference test (LSD)

Fig. 2: Weight loss of the three layers of *A. panda* and *E. bauhiniae* cocoon shells

However, there was no significant difference between the regain percentages of degummed shell layer fibers of *E. bauhiniae* while *A. panda* degummed layer fibers showed significant difference with the inner layer gaining more moisture (9.4%). The moisture regain for *A. panda* shell layers progressively increased towards the inner layer. There was highly significant difference in weight loss in both species. The outer skin like layers had the highest weight losses of 30.5 and 44.6% for *E. bauhiniae* and *A. panda*, respectively and the weight loss significantly decreased to the inner layer in *E. bauhiniae* (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The result clearly demonstrated that weight loss and moisture regain varies among the African wild silk cocoon shells and degummed fibers. The weight loss and moisture regain for *B. mori* was well within the limits of other studies, 19 to 30% (Arami et al., 2007; Gulrajani, 1988;
Sarovart et al., 2003) and 6.7 to 12% (Maji et al., 2002), respectively. In this study, the weight loss of wild silk fibers ranged from 23 to 56.8% and is inconsistent with previous reports that the sericin content of wild silk fibers is less than the mulberry silk and is as low as 12-16% (Cavaco-paulo and Guibitz, 2003; Prassong et al., 2009). Karumar (1999) reported 5-15% sericin gum coating content for tussah silk fibers. The degumming loss in Antheraea proylei, A. assama, A. pernyi and A. samamai was in the range of 7-13% except for A. mylitta and Philosamia Cynthia ricini which showed a 3.7 and 4.5% loss, respectively (Kato, 1991). However, higher sericin (gum) content of 38, 45 and 67.9% have been reported for Thai silk, Rondotia merciana and Bombyx mandarina cocoon shells, respectively (Dhavalikar, 1962; Ito et al., 1992). The food plants and the environment might have contributed to the higher quantity and differential solubility of sericin gum in African wild silk cocoon shells. Despite these higher values, the weight losses reported in this study for the wild silk cocoons might not be the true reflection of the gum content of the cocoons as verified by the intact inner hard parchment like layers for A. panda and E. bauhiniae and traces of sericin gum observed on the SEM images after degumming.

The variations in the amount of gum cementing substance (sericin) and fibroin in the cocoons shells might be due to a number of factors including taxonomic (genetic) difference in the sericin protein as well as external environmental variables (Shamitha and Purushotham, 2006) which together can determine the quality of cocoons for commercial purposes (Singhvi and Bose, 1991 cited in Shamitha and Purushotham, 2005). According to Kato and Hata (1998), the cocoon filaments of polyphagous silkworms with coarse filaments have higher values for the degumming loss than conventional cocoon filaments. This can be an explanation for the high weight loss of G. postica which is a polyphagous silkworm feeding on more than one acacia host plants including Acacia tortilis, A. elatior, A. nilotica, A. mellifera, A. mearnsii, A. hookii and A. brevispica (Fening et al., 2008; Ngoka et al., 2007). Nasreen et al. (1999) also reported difference in quality of B. mori cocoons from larvae fed on mixed leaves. Chemical composition of sericin also affects its solubility in hot water resulting in considerable variation in weight loss (Komatsu, 1975; Robson, 1985). The presence of certain amount of sericin after degumming shows its important role in the processing and application of the fibers.

Physical properties and chemical composition of silk fibers from mulberry and wild silkworms exhibit variability in different parameters (Mondal et al., 2007b; Srisuwan and Srihanam, 2009). Degummed wild silk fibers have higher regains than those of commercial mulberry silk fibers. Sen and Babu (2004) also observed similar results with the non-mulberry silk fibers having marginally higher moisture regain values of 10.76%. This is in contrast with Snowalker (1983) who reported degummed fibers to have lower moisture regain due to the loss of sericin. The difference in moisture regain might be due to variations in compactness of fibers, change in the quantity, composition and chemistry of the gum and surface structure of the shells. The moisture regain of cocoon shells and fibers could provide information on the extent of areas accessible to water vapour within the fibre. The higher moisture regain for A. mimosa cocoon shells might be due to the presence of holes/perforations in the cocoon surface providing more area for moisture ingress. The presence of higher ratios of hydrophilic versus hydrophobic amino acid residues in the chemical architecture of non mulberry compared to mulberry silks may also affect in the higher moisture regain of the wild silks.

The study showed decreased weight loss and increased moisture regain from outer to inner shell layers. Nawaz et al. (2002) revealed with the change in filament type and size (denier), moisture regain changes significantly due to the difference in structures of fibres as well as changes in liner
density and the arrangement of monomers in the polymer (Munro, 1987). The presence of foreign matters such as dust and the hair like britles on the outer layer of A. panda suggested the influence of physical structure in preventing fibers from absorbing moisture. The variation in weight loss and moisture regain among the different shell layers can be the demonstration of the difference in the amount and composition of sericin present in each layer. Such layer wise variation in cocoon property down the cocoon layers may confound objectionable fabric patterns either from visual or textural perspective (Das and Ghosh, 2010).

In conclusion, with other important qualities determined for some of the African wild silk cocoons and fibers, understanding the moisture regain and sericin content will have a significant importance in establishing quality parameters of the different fibers. The observed variation in the properties of cocoon layers suggests the need for separate boiling of layers in contrast to the usual practise of mass boiling if that were practically possible. Finally, present result suggests the need to selection bespoke degumming techniques for each type of silk in order to optimize the quality and quantity of the fibers that can be drawn from the different cocoon shells.
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