ISSN 1816-4935
© 2006 Academic Journals Inc., USA

Resistance Problem of Coryneform Bacteria Isolated from Intensive Care Unit Samples
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Abstract: In this study the importance of the need to take coryneform bacteria as opportunistic pathogens of the respiratory tract, especially in ICU patients, into consideration was emphasized. Coryneform bacteria isolated from respiratory specimens of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) patients in hospital were identified to the species level and their antimicrobial susceptibilities were performed over a period of sixteen months, between January 2002 and April 2003. The identifications of isolated bacteria were done with API Coryne (Bio Mérieux, France) identification system. Twenty Coryneform bacteria were isolated from respiratory specimens; 10 (50 %) were Corynebacterium striatum, 5 (25 %) C. amycolatum, 3 (15 %) C. pseudodiphtheriticum and 2 (10 %) C. accolens. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of these strains against 16 antibiotics showed that all isolates were sensitive to vancomycin and ticoplanin, but C. amycolatum strains were multiply resistant to most of the antimicrobial agents. Thus emerging antimicrobial resistance in various species has created an additional need for accurate identification of coryneform organisms at the species level and continuous surveillance of their resistance patterns. In this study the importance of the need to take coryneform bacteria into consideration especially as pathogens of opportunistic infections in ICU patients and the importance of assessing their antibiotic sensitivity is emphasized.
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Introduction

Corynebacterium species are widely distributed and are members of skin and the mucous membrane (Coyle and Lipsky, 1990; Claridge and Spiegel, 1995; Riegel et al., 1996). Corynebacteria other than Corynebacterium diptheriae have since been referred to as diphtheroids and coryneforms and have been considered colonizers and contaminants (Funke et al., 1997; Brown, 2000). After long lasting discussion and confusion about their clinical significance, coryneforms have emerged as important pathogens. Noteworthy are the increased numbers of opportunistic infectors due to coryneform bacteria as the numbers and survival of severely immunocompromised patients increase and the numbers and types of medical devices used in both immunocompromised and immunocompetent patients increase. These organisms are reported to cause serious infections such as;
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bacteremia, valvular endocarditis, neurosurgical shunt infection, meningitis, brain abscess, peritonitis, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, pneumonia, empyema and urinary tract infections (Brown, 2000).

Identification of coryneform bacteria to the species level often causes problems (Funke et al., 1997). Coyle and Lipsky (1990) clearly stated that the recognition of infections caused by coryneform bacteria is highly dependent on the laboratorian’s ability to identify these species. In 1981 Hollis and Weaver were the first to systematically examine the full range of coryneform bacteria isolated from clinical specimens. Their guide for the identification of gram-positive rods turned out to be an invaluable source for clinical microbiologists aiming to identify clinical isolates and became the basis for later taxonomic investigations on coryneform bacteria (Funke et al., 1997). Also, numerous researchers have made suggestions related to this subject. Claridge’s and Spiegel (1995). Funke et al. (1997) published a comprehensive study regarding the identification and susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria.

The aim of this study was the identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria isolated from respiratory specimens of patients in ICU.

Materials and Methods

The coryneform bacteria were collected over a period of sixteen months from January 2002 to April 2003. A total of 394 respiratory specimens were routinely submitted for culture to the microbiology laboratory from ICU department of the University Hospital of Gaziantep located in the South-eastern part of Turkey, a 400-bed teaching hospital. The specimens were transported via Stuart’s transport medium to the laboratory. They were cultured on blood agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂-enriched conditions for 24-48 h. The bacteria that depicted coryneform bacteria morphology as pleomorphic bacilli representing V forms or Chinese letters with Gram stain were accepted as significant when found in pure culture in normally sterile sites or as a predominant organism in nonsterile sites of the respiratory tract. In addition to Gram staining, other differentiation procedures such as colony morphology, pigmentation, motility, catalase, oxidase reaction, nitrate reduction, urea hydrolysis and CAMP test were performed. Data were compared with identification schemes of Funke et al. (1997).

Strains which yielded coryneform bacilli with conventional methods, were identified to the species level with API Coryne system (Bio Merieux, France). Strains identified as being \textit{C. striatum} or \textit{C. amycolatum} with API Coryne system were further tested for tyrosine hydrolysis which was positive for \textit{C. striatum}, but negative for \textit{C. amycolatum} (Martinez-Martinez et al., 1995; Martinez-Martinez et al., 1996; Riegler et al., 1996; Funke et al., 1997; Lagrou et al., 1998). Another criteria which is useful in distinguishing these species is the colony morphology. As \textit{C. amycolatum} colonies appear yellowish, dry and granular on sheep blood agar, \textit{C. striatum} colonies are whitish, moist and smooth (Funke et al., 1997).

So far, the National Committees for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) has not yet published a suggestion related to antimicrobial susceptibility test for \textit{Corynebacteria} (Claridge and Spiegel, 1995; Funke et al., 1997; Ustaçelebi, 1999). At present, it is not clear which culture medium should be used for growing the inoculum for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, which medium is to be used for broth microdilution or agar dilution techniques, or which incubation conditions are appropriate for use. In some studies, the NCCLS categories for \textit{staphylococci} have been applied when testing coryneforms for susceptibility to penicillin, whereas others recommend the use of
Streptococcus interpretative criteria when assessing the activity of penicillin against coryneform bacteria (Funke et al., 1997). In conducted study ATB STAPH 5 (susceptibility test for staphylococci) (Bio Merieux, France) was used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria (Courvalin et al., 1985; Martinez-Martinez et al., 1995; Funke et al., 1996; Lagrou et al., 1998). This antimicrobial test comprises 16 antibiotics with following concentrations: penicillin (0.12 mg L\(^{-1}\)), oxacillin (2 mg L\(^{-1}\)), cephalothin (8 and 16 mg L\(^{-1}\)), ampicillin-sulbactam (8/4 and 16/8 mg L\(^{-1}\)), gentamicin (4 and 8 mg L\(^{-1}\)), netilmicin (8 and 16 mg L\(^{-1}\)); erythromycin (0.5 and 4 mg L\(^{-1}\)); clindamycin (0.5 and 2 mg L\(^{-1}\)), pefloxacin (2 and 4 mg L\(^{-1}\)), ciprofloxacin (1 and 2 mg L\(^{-1}\)), tetracyclin (4 and 8 mg L\(^{-1}\)), cotrimoxazol (2/38 mg L\(^{-1}\)), nitrofurantoin (32 mg L\(^{-1}\)), rifampicin (1 and 2 mg L\(^{-1}\)), vancomycin (4 and 16 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and teicoplanin (8 and 16 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The results were obtained as resistant, intermediate and sensitive. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 was used as control organism.

Results

A total of 584 respiratory specimens from the patients of ICU were sent to the laboratory for bacterial culture. In 20 of these specimens (12 bronchoalveolar washings, 4 tracheal aspirates, 4 plural fluids) coryneform bacteria grew as pure culture/predominant organisms. These bacteria were subjected to API Coryne identification test and 10 (50%) were identified as C. striatum, 5 (25%) as C. amycolatum, 3 (15%) as C. pseudodiphtheriticum and 2 (10%) as C. accolens. Each of the strains were isolated from different patients. In 4 of the cultures coryneform bacteria were isolated as the only pathogen, whereas in 9 of the cultures Pseudomonas aeruginosa, in one Actinobacter baumannii, in one Enterobacter aerogenes and in one Klebsiella pneumoniae grew together with coryneform bacteria. In 4 of the cultures coryneform bacteria were found both with P. aeruginosa and S. aureus.

Antimicrobial susceptibilities of these strains against 16 antibiotics showed that all isolates were sensitive to vancomycin, teicoplanin and except C. amycolatum to ampicillin-sulbactam, which strains were multiply resistant to most of the antibiotics too (Table 1).

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests showed that, 90% of C. striatum isolates were sensitive to netilmicin, 80% sensitive to cephalothin and gentamicin, 60% sensitive to penicillin, oxacillin,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>C. striatum (%)</th>
<th>C. amycolatum (%)</th>
<th>C. pseudodiphtheriticum (%)</th>
<th>C. accolens (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEN</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXA</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAM</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERY</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSU</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUR</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEC</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEN, Penicillin; OXA, Oxacillin; CFT, Cephalothin; FAM, Ampicillin-Sulbactam; GEN, Gentamicin; NET, Netilmicin; ERY, Erythromycin; CLI, Clindamycin; PEF, Pefloxacin; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; TET, Tetracycline; TSU, Cotrimoxazol; FUR, Nitrofurantoin; RFA, Rifampicin; VAN, Vancomycin; TEC, Teicoplanin
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ciprofloxacin and 50% to rifampicin. Isolates of *C. amycolatum* were 60% sensitive to rifampicin and 40% sensitive to penicillin, oxacillin, cephalothin, ampicillin-sulbactam, gentamicin, netilmicin and ciprofloxacin. Isolates of *C. pseudodiphtheriticum* were only 68% sensitive to penicillin, 33% to erythromycin and all isolates were resistant to clindamycin. *Corynebacterium accolens* isolates were 50% sensitive to penicillin, oxacillin, erythromycin and clindamycin.

**Discussion**

*Corynebacterium striatum*, *C. xerosis*, *C. minutissimum* and *C. pseudodiphtheriticum* are natural members of pharynx, nose and skin flora. However, these microorganisms are considered to colonize asymptptomatically after intensive use of antibiotics and *C. striatum* has been reported to be the cause of human infections with an increasing ratio. These microorganisms have been demonstrated as the cause of bacteremia, fatal pleuro-pulmonary infection, catheter-related bacteremia in neutropenic patients with cancer, native valve endocarditis, pacemaker-related endocarditis, meningitis, cerebrospinal fluid shunt infections in children, purulent conjunctivitis, chorioamnionitis, peritonitis and empyema (Coyne and Lipsky, 1990, Markowitz and Coudron, 1990; Van Bosterhaut et al., 1992; Watkins et al., 1993; Brandenburg et al., 1996; Weiss et al., 1996; Funke et al., 1997; Diulenci et al., 1998; Lagrou et al., 1998; Brown, 2000).

*Corynebacterium striatum* is primarily known as to cause respiratory tract and blood infections (Weiss et al., 1996). In this study, *C. striatum* strains make up to 50% of the coryneform bacteria isolated from tracheal aspiration cultures. In a similar study by Kriegel et al. (1996), 31 (65%) out of 48 *C. striatum* strains were recovered from respiratory tract. Brandenburg et al. (1996) isolated *C. striatum* from patients with serious nosocomial infections and regarded it as the cause of nosocomial infections. Leonard et al. (1994) identified an epidemic caused by *C. striatum* comprising of 18 patients and 66.7% of the specimens were sputum.

In our study 25% coryneform bacteria were identified to be *C. amycolatum* and 15% *C. pseudodiphtheriticum. Corynebacterium amycolatum* has been reported first in 1997 as the causative agent of a neonatal septicemia (Berner et al., 1997; Ustaçelebi, 1999) and then again in septicemia of two leukemia patients (De Miguel et al., 1999). Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum is a member of the oropharyngeal flora and is reported to be responsible for respiratory infections such as pneumonia and bronchitis in immunosuppressed patients. Endotracheal intubation and inhibition of cough reflex are risk factors for those infections (Manzella et al., 1995; Funke et al., 1997; Ustaçelebi, 1999).

*Corynebacterium accolens* is usually isolated from wound drainage and endocervical and respiratory specimens. In this study 10% of isolates was identified as *C. accolens*.

The National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) has not published specific guidelines for susceptibility testing of coryneform bacteria so far. This may reflect the underrecognition of coryneform bacteria isolated from clinical specimens. It is not clear which medium should be used for growing the inoculum for susceptibility testing, or which incubation conditions should be used. In a pragmatic approach, the NCCLS categories for staphylococci have been applied when testing coryneforms for susceptibility to penicillin (Claridge and Spiegel, 1995; Funke et al., 1997). In the present study this method was used also.

According to the literature, *C. striatum* is sensitive to penicillin G and β-lactam antibiotics and is resistant mostly to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, rifampicin and tetracycline. No vancomycin-resistant strain has been reported (Brown, 2000). In this study all *C. striatum* isolates were found to be sensitive to vancomycin, teicoplanin and ampicillin-sulbactam, whereas they were 60% sensitive.
to penicillin, oxacillin, ciprofloxacin and 50% to rifampicin and erythromycin. Riegel et al. (1996) in their study reported that C. striatum isolates were 100% sensitive to ampicillin and ceftazidine, 81% to gentamicin, 75% to ciprofloxacin, 63% to erythromycin and 50% to rifampicin. Brandenburg et al. (1996) reported C. striatum strains to be susceptible to penicillin, amoxicillin, erythromycin and vancomycin, but resistant to sulphonamides, clindamycin, tetracycline, rifampicin and chloramphenicol.

Isolates of C. amygcolatum were 60% sensitive to rifampicin and 40% sensitive to penicillin, oxacillin, cephalothin, ampicillin-sulbactam, gentamicin, netilmicin and ciprofloxacin, which were consistent with the literature (Riegel et al., 1996; Lagrou et al., 1998).

According to the information available in the literature, C. pseudodiphtheriticum isolates are sensitive to β-lactams, aminoglycosides, rifampicin and tetracycline, whereas C. accolens, is sensitive to penicillin, cephalosporins, erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracycline and aminoglycosides (Funke et al., 1997; Ustaçebi, 1999; Brown, 2000). In this study, C. pseudodiphtheriticum strains were only 66% sensitive to penicillin, 33% to erythromycin and all isolates were resistant to clindamycin. Corynebacterium accolens isolates were 50% sensitive to penicillin, oxacillin, erythromycin and clindamycin.

In conclusion, emerging antimicrobial resistance in various species has created an additional need for accurate identification of coryneform organisms at the species level and continuous surveillance of their resistance patterns. In this study, the importance of the need to take coryneform bacteria into consideration especially as pathogens of opportunistic infections in ICU patients and the importance of assessing their antibiotic sensitivity is emphasized.
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