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ABSTRACT
Semi-supervised clustering which uses the limited labeled data to aid unsupervised clustering, has become a hot topic in recent years. But the limited labeled data may be imbalanced and can not cover all clusters in some cases and most of the existing semi-supervised clustering algorithms can not deal with imbalanced dataset well and have no the ability of detecting new clusters. In view of this, an adaptive semi-supervised clustering algorithm with label propagation is proposed. Two most of interesting characteristics of the proposed algorithm are that (1) It uses the limited labeled data to expand labeled dataset based on an adaptive threshold by labeling their k-nearest neighbors, (2) It detects whether there exist new clusters in the unlabeled dataset according to a proposed measure criterion. Three standard datasets are used to demonstrate the performance of the proposed algorithm and the experimental results confirm that the accuracy of the proposed clustering algorithm is much higher than that of three compared algorithm and in addition the proposed algorithm has the ability of detecting new clusters.
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INTRODUCTION
A recent trend in data mining and machine learning researches is combining the techniques developed for unsupervised learning and supervised learning to handle datasets with background knowledge. Semi-supervised clustering is one of the foci and it improves the performance of clustering by learning from the labeled data. Semi-supervised k-means clustering (Wagstaff et al., 2001; Basu et al., 2002, 2004; Leng et al., 2008; Dang et al., 2010) and density-based clustering are two important methods (Lelis and Sander, 2009; Ruiz et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2012). Wagstaff et al. (2001) proposed two kinds of pairwise constraints: the must-link and cannot-link and made the domain knowledge into k-means clustering. Basu et al. (2002) exploited labeled data to generate initial seed clusters. Basu et al. (2004) utilized EM and Hidden Markov Random Fields to propose a semi-supervised clustering algorithm, HMRF-KMEANS. Leng et al. (2008) used labeled data to initialize the process of k-means clustering and obtained the similarity threshold of clusters based on the label information, utilized similarity threshold to guide k-means clustering. Dang et al. (2010) presented a novel initialization method by propagating the labels of labeled data to more unlabeled data.
Semi-supervised density-based clustering is another popular semi-supervised clustering method (Lelis and Sander, 2009; Ruiz et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2012). Lelis and Sander (2009) exploited labeled data to find values for ε, given a fixed value of MinPts and used minimal spanning tree to partition dataset. Ruiz et al. (2010) proposed a semi-supervised clustering C-DBSCAN, which partitioned data space into denser subspace to build a set of initial local clusters, used the must-Link constraints to merge density-connected local clusters and merged adjacent neighborhoods while remaining cannot-Link constraints. Zhao et al. (2012) proposed a document clustering, Constrained DBSCAN (Cons-DBSCAN), which selected informative document pairs for obtaining user feedback by using active learning approach and incorporated instance-level constraints to guide the clustering process in DBSCAN.

Laterly, researchers also paid attention to semi-supervised hierarchical clustering (Bohm and Plant, 2008), semi-supervised graph clustering (Kulis et al., 2005), semi-supervised clustering based on kernel approach (Yin et al., 2010; Baghsah and Shouraki, 2009, 2010). Bohm and Plant (2008) expanded the clusters starting at all labeled objects simultaneously. Kulis et al. (2009) proposed a new semi-supervised clustering algorithm, SS-KERNEL-KMEANS, which partitioned vector-based and graph-based data by optimizing a semi-supervised clustering objective. Yin et al. (2010) tried to solve the violation issue of constrains to propose an adaptive Semi-supervised Clustering Kernel Method (SCKMM), which estimated the parameter of the Gaussian kernel automatically (Baghsah and Shouraki, 2009, 2010) also exploited the method of metric learning to improve the performances of semi-supervised clustering algorithms.

Since the size of labeled data is very small, some clusters may have no data with label and the distribution of labeled data in a given dataset is not same as the whole data space. How to detect those clusters which have no labeled data is not an easy work and it is the problem to be solved in this study. The k-nearest labeled data of an unlabeled data object may not be in the same clusters, most of existing semi-supervised learning algorithms assign this unlabeled data object with a wrong label. However, on the whole data space, the labels of data should be the same as their k-nearest neighbors. In addition, although the size of the labeled data is very small and this leads that they can not cover all the clusters, the labeled data give some priori knowledge about the dissimilarity between clusters, the minimum value of the distances between core objects in different clusters is used to determine whether there needs to increase new clusters. In this study, an adaptive semi-supervised clustering algorithm is proposed based on the facts above. The proposed clustering algorithm has mainly the following two advantages in comparison with other semi-supervised clustering:

- The proposed clustering algorithm achieves label propagation by using the labeled data to expand their k-nearest neighbors according to a criteria which is automatically obtained based on the characters of the given datasets and the expanded model only requires one parameter
- When the size of labeled data is very small, especially for the number of labels is less than the real number of clusters in the given dataset, the proposed method obtains the dissimilarities between clusters by using the distances between core objects in different clusters and uses the dissimilarities to detect whether there exists new cluster automatically, if there exist new clusters, it increases new clusters one by one
MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to describe the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm simply, some definitions of concepts are given as follows.

Definition 1: KNN(x). Given one data object x, KNN(x) is the set of k nearest neighbors of x in C and KNN(x, j) denotes the jth nearest neighbor of x.

Definition 2: k_dis(.). Given a dataset D and one data p, pєD, k_dis(p) is defined as Eq. 1:

\[ k_{-}\text{dis}(p) = \min_{x \in \text{KNN}(p)} \{\text{dis}(p, x)\} \tag{1} \]

and k_dis(D) is the distance set which is constructed by the all k_dis(P).

Definition 3: Core objects. Given a dataset D, one data p and an integer k, pєD, if k_dis(p)≥avg(k_dis(D)), then p is a core object. Where the meaning of k_dis(p) and k_dis() are the same as definition 1 and avg(k_dis(D)) is the average of k_dis(D).

Definition 4: dis(C_i, C_j). Given two clusters C_i and C_j, dis(C_i, C_j) is defined as Eq. 2:

\[ \text{dis}(C_i, C_j) = \min \{\text{dis}(x, y) | x \in \text{Core}(C_i), y \in \text{Core}(C_j)\} \tag{2} \]

where Core (C_i) and Core (C_j) are the core objects set in C_i and C_j, respectively.

Definition 5: dis(p, C). Given one cluster C_i and one data p(pєC_i), dis(p, C_i) is defined as Eq. 3:

\[ \text{dis}(p, C_i) = \min \{\text{dis}(p, x) | x \in \text{Core}(C_i)\} \tag{3} \]

where Core (C_i) are all the core objects in C_i.

Definition 6: dis(p, C). Given a cluster set C and one data p(pєC), where C = C_1 ∪ C_2 ∪ ... ∪ C_k, dis(p, C) is defined as Eq. 4:

\[ \text{dis}(p, C) = \min_{i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}} \{\text{dis}(p, C_i)\} \tag{4} \]

where the meaning of dis(p, C_i) is the same as definition 5.

Semi-supervised clustering algorithm with label propagation: In general, the distribution of labeled data in a given dataset is not the same as the whole data space, especially for the imbalanced dataset. A data point and its majority k-nearest labeled data may not be in the same cluster, which leads to the result that most of the existing semi-supervised learning algorithms cannot work well, especially when the size of labeled dataset is very small. However, in the whole data space, the label of a data point should be the same as that of its majority k nearest neighbors. The proposed semi-supervised clustering with label propagation is based on this idea and it expands the
labeled dataset by labeling k nearest neighbors of labeled dataset. Once an unlabeled data is labeled, it is added into labeled dataset. If the difference of density between clusters is large in multi-density datasets, the expanding process can not use the same threshold and the threshold should be generated automatically according to the density of each cluster which the labeled data point belongs to. The proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm uses a threshold to expand the neighbors of each labeled data and the threshold is generated automatically based on the cluster which the labeled data belongs to. The detail of the process of label propagating is shown in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Semi-supervised clustering algorithm with label propagation
1. Input dataset D(X, X0, ..., Xn), labeled dataset DL and the value of parameters k.
2. Let flag = 0, which contains n element.
3. Calculate dis_km(i, j), dis_km(i, j) is the distance between i-th data and its j-th nearest neighbor.
4. Let avgdis denote the average of k-th column of dis_km.
5. Find out the core labeled dataset core_labeled_data based on avgdis, and let core_labeled_num denote the number of core labeled data.
6. Find out the core unlabeled dataset core_unlabeled_data based on avgdis, and let core_unlabeled_num denote the number of core unlabeled data.
7. Take one data xi with flag(i) = 0 from the dataset DL
8. For j = 1:k
9. If KNN(xi, j) is unlabeled and dis_km(i, j) = avgdis
10. Use the label of xi to label its j-th neighbor and add its j-th neighbor into DL.
11. If the j-th neighbor of xi is core object
12. Remove it from core_unlabeled_data to core_labeled_data and let core_unlabeled_num = core_unlabeled_num - 1, core_labeled_num = core_labeled_num + 1
13. End IF
14. End IF
15. End For
16. Set flag(i) = 1, which denotes that xi has been used to labeled its k-nearestneighbor.
17. If there exists one data denote xi with the flag(i) = 0 in DL
18. Use the same method of steps 8-15 to deal with KNN(xi).
19. End IF
20. Repeat the steps 17-19 until each data in DL has been used to deal with its k nearest neighbors.
21. Return the DL, core_labeled_data and core_unlabeled_data

Method for detecting new clusters: The proposed algorithm uses the distances between clusters to detect whether there exist new clusters in the rest unlabeled data. If the distance between two clusters is calculated by all the data in these two clusters, then the data objects lie in the boundary determine it. The boundaries of clusters are vague in some datasets, so it is suitable to use all data to calculate the distances between clusters. In order to measure the distances between clusters better, core objects are used to solve the above problem. Since the core objects do not lie in the boundaries of clusters, they are used to define the distances (dissimilarities) between clusters, which mainly eliminates the influence of boundary data. Labeled data are viewed as the priori knowledge and they are used to expand the labeled data. If the labeled data can not cover all clusters, then there exist some clusters which have not one labeled data. If the proposed algorithm does not detect new clusters, then the data in these clusters will be assigned to other clusters which have labeled data forcibly. This subsection tries to detect new clusters by using core objects and proposes an algorithm for detecting new cluster. If there exists one or more core objects have not been labeled, the proposed algorithm calculates dis(C0, C) (C0 ≠ C, and they are the existing clusters) and dis(p, C) (C is the set of existing clusters and p is an unlabeled core object), utilizes dis(C0, C, and dis(p, C) to determine whether there exist new clusters. The detail of description for detecting new cluster is given in algorithm 2.
Algorithm 2: Detecting new clusters
1. Input dataset $D (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$.
2. Running algorithm 1 to get $D_i$, avgdis, core_labeled_data and core_unlabeled_data.
3. Suppose that the number of different labeled data is $m$, partition $D_i$ into $m$ clusters $C_1, C_2, ..., C_m$ according to the labels of data in $D_i$.
4. While core_unlabeled_data is not null
5.     For $i = 1$ to $m$
6.         For $j = 1$ to $m$
7.             $d_{dis} (C_i, C_j) = \min \{d_{dis}(x, y) | x \in \text{core}(C_i), y \in \text{core}(C_j)\}$
8.         End for
9.     End for
10. $\text{MinClsDist} = \min_{i,j} \{d_{dis}(C_i, C_j)\}$
11. $\text{Max} \_ \text{dis} = \max_{x \in \text{core}\_\text{labeled}\_\text{data}} \{d_{dis}(x, C_j) | C_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} U_{C_k}\}$
12. $x_{i} \leftarrow \max_{x \in \text{core}\_\text{labeled}\_\text{data}} \{d_{dis}(x, C_j) | C_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} U_{C_k}\}$
13. If $d_{dis}(x_{i}, C) > 2 \times \text{Max} \_ \text{dis} (\text{MinClsDist}, \text{avgdis})$
14.     $m = m+1$, $m$ is the label of new cluster $C_m$, add $x_{i}$ into $C_m$
15.     core_unlabeled_data = core_unlabeled_data($x_{i}$), core_unlabeled_num = core_unlabeled_num + 1.
16. Else
17.     Break.
18. End if
19. Use the steps 7-20 of algorithm 1 to expand the $C_m$.
20. End While
21. Partition $D_i$ into clusters $C_1, C_2, ..., C_m$ according to the labeled data in $D_i$.
22. Deal with the rest of unlabeled data in $D_i$, assign the unlabeled data to the cluster which is most similar with it.
23. Return the clusters $C_1, C_2, ..., C_m$.

Algorithm 2 detects new clusters by comparing $d_{dis}(x_{i}, C)$ with $2 \times \text{Max} \_ \text{dis}(\text{MinClsDist}, \text{avgdis})$. Algorithm 2 adds a new cluster if $d_{dis}(x_{i}, C) > 2 \times \text{Max} \_ \text{dis}(\text{MinClsDist}, \text{avgdis})$, which means that there exist at least one unlabeled core object is far enough from the existing clusters and it should be in a new cluster. Once a new cluster is generated, the labels of the core object and the new cluster are given. Algorithm 2 uses the labeled data in the new cluster to expand it. The proposed algorithm adds new clusters one by one until the condition increasing new clusters does not hold.

RESULTS
Three UCI datasets (Bache and Lichman, 2013), IRIS, Wine and Page Blocks are used to demonstrate the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm and its performance compared with that of a semi-supervised clustering algorithm SSDBSCAN which is a novel method and uses the labeled data to guide the process of clustering (Ruiz et al., 2010). In order to show the accuracy of the proposed method can reach that of some classification algorithms, the proposed method is compared with two classification algorithms, KNN and Bayes Net. Firstly, one data is selected from each cluster and the rest of labeled data are selected from the dataset randomly. These selected data are viewed as labeled data and the rest of the data in the given dataset as the unlabeled dataset. Secondly, some clusters are removed from the labeled dataset and the rest of labeled dataset are viewed as the labeled dataset to detect new clusters. And in the experiment, the value of $k$ is set to be 5 and its meaning is the same as that in algorithm 1.

IRIS dataset: This subsection selects 8 subsets from IRIS dataset and the experimental results are shown in Fig. 1.

The clustering results of proposed method, SSDBSAN, KNN and BayesNet are shown in Fig. 1a. The experimental results show that the proposed semi-supervised clustering has a better result than SSDBSAN, especially in the case of giving few labeled data. Increasing the number of labeled data does not influence the accuracy of the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm.
but the accuracy of SSDBSCAN increases greatly with increasing the number of labeled data. The accuracy of KNN and BayesNet are close to that of the proposed clustering algorithm but in most cases, the proposed algorithm has a better result than the compared algorithms. In order to demonstrate that the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm has the ability of detecting new clusters, one cluster is removed from the original labeled dataset. The data in the cluster which has no labeled data will be assigned to other clusters by KNN, BayesNet and SSDBSAN. The three algorithms have lower accuracies in the modified dataset than the original dataset. Figure 1b plots only the error ratios of the proposed method in the modified dataset and original dataset. Figure 1b shows that the error ratios with detecting new clusters are close to that of without detecting new clusters, which means that the proposed method can detect new clusters on IRIS dataset.

Wine dataset: The experimental results with 8 labeled datasets are shown as Fig. 2. Figure 2a shows the relation between Clustering accuracies and the number of labeled data. The proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm has much lower error accuracy than the compared algorithm SSDBSCAN. The most interesting result/phenomenon is that the proposed algorithm has lower error accuracy compared with KNN classification.

The data in the cluster which has no labeled data will be assigned to other clusters by KNN and SSDBSAN. They have lower accuracies in the modified dataset than the original dataset, so Fig. 2b plots only the error ratios of the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm under two dataset (modified dataset and original dataset). Although, removing one cluster from each labeled dataset, the error accuracy of the proposed method is influenced little, which shows that the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm has low error accuracy with few labeled data, even for the labeled data do not cover all clusters.

Page blocks dataset: This subsection selects 10 labeled datasets, the rates of them to the whole dataset are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10%, respectively and Fig. 3 shows the experimental results. Figure 3a shows the error ratio of the proposed method is much lower than that of
SSDBSCAN and KNN and is close to that of BayesNet. Page Blocks dataset is an imbalanced dataset and in the experiment, using the Euclidean distance as similarity measure and utilizing the similarities between data, finding that many data and their k-nearest neighbors are not in the same cluster and which leads to the error ratio of KNN to be high. Although the error ratio of the proposed clustering algorithm is higher than BayesNet, the difference of error ratios between the proposed clustering algorithm and BayesNet is not significant. The modified dataset is generated by removing two clusters from each labeled dataset and the data in the cluster which has no labeled data will be assigned to other clusters by KNN, BayesNet and SSDBSCAN. The three algorithms have lower accuracies in the modified dataset than the original dataset. Figure 3b only plots the error ratios of the proposed semi-supervised method in the modified dataset and original dataset.
Although, removing two clusters from each labeled dataset, the error accuracy of the proposed method is influenced little, which shows that the proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm has low error accuracy with few labeled data, even for the labeled data can not cover all clusters.

DISCUSSION

The proposed semi-supervised clustering algorithm uses labeled data to expand labeled dataset by labeling k-nearest neighbors of labeled data in order to achieve better clustering results. Detecting new clusters is very important in many semi-supervised learning algorithms, even for online algorithms. In comparison with the algorithms in references (Ruiz et al., 2010; Leng et al., 2008; Dang et al., 2010), the proposed algorithm has ability of detecting new clusters on the dataset in which the differences of densities between clusters are not large, then the performance of the proposed algorithm is better than that of them. In addition, the accuracies of the proposed algorithm are higher than that of KNN on the three datasets. If the differences are large, then there are many data in low density clusters not to be labeled in the process of label expanding. The proposed method uses labeled core objects to guide the process of clustering but employing core objects to expand labeled dataset is not suitable in the multi-density datasets. How to use label information of labeled data adequately in the multi-density datasets will be investigated in the future work.
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