Knowledge Management, Responsibility and Organizational Factors that Affect Microfinance Support to Phuket, Thailand Entrepreneurs

Naphatrade Thampradit and Wanno Fongsuwan
Administration and Management College, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Chalongkrung Soil, Ladkrabang, Bangkok, 10520, Thailand

Corresponding Author: Naphatrade Thampradit, Administration and Management College, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Chalongkrung Soil, Ladkrabang, Bangkok, 10520, Thailand

ABSTRACT

The financial cost of entrepreneurial borrowing in Thailand, in particular Phuket, an island province with a large tourism and marine industry, is difficult and expensive. With the bank of Thailand’s recent rule changes, licensed yearly bank loans of greater than 15% are now legal but the inability to get loans from legitimate sources still drives the small borrowers into the arms of loan sharks who charge as much as 20% a month. Still, these same licensed financial institutions continue to offer almost no lending services to very small business operators, particularly street vendors and wet market traders, because borrowers such as these lack financial and accounting track records and are high-risk. In Bangladesh, however, the Grameen Bank microfinance system implemented a revolutionary tool to eradicate poverty of the rural people and overcome the many obstacles to lending, becoming the largest microfinance bank in Bangladesh and probably the biggest microcredit organization in the world. It provides loans to asset-less and landless poor people whom no commercial bank will give a loan. This study is, therefore, focused on the mechanisms that affect the 37% of all loans made within Thailand, specifically those made to SMEs and various forms of entrepreneurs. The researchers, therefore, undertook this study focusing on influences of knowledge management, organizational factors and client responsibility that affect microfinance support. Phuket province was selected, as it has a significant tourism and marine industry largely made up of smaller, entrepreneurial enterprises.
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INTRODUCTION

In the competitive business world of today in which flexibility, speed and adaptability are essential for survival and progress, small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) play an extremely important role in any country’s economic development. And as a part of this economic development, SMEs in Thailand have contributed greatly to the economic vitality in many ways with SME’s share of GDP reaching 38%. If farm income and agricultural processing are also included, this figure rises to 50% (OSMEP, 2003). Additionally, as a percentage of exports, the SME share of manufactured goods reached 38.2% of the total value of Thailand’s exports. When employment is taken into consideration, Thai SMEs employ about 69% of the nation’s workers (OSMEP, 2003). Thus, SMEs are vitally important to the Thai economy.

The term “SME” encompasses a broad spectrum of definitions. The definition varies from country to country. Generally, these guidelines are based upon either head count or sales or assets.
For example, Egypt defines SMEs as having more than 5 and fewer than 50 employees (Pandya, 2012), whereas Vietnam considers SMEs to have between 10-300 employees (MoEA, 2006). Thailand, on the other hand, defines Small to Medium sized Enterprises (SMEs) as those employing less than 200 employees, having investment capital of less than 100 million Baht and fixed assets of less than 100 million Baht (OSMEP, 2005).

However, the Inter-American Development Bank defines SMEs as having a maximum of 100 employees and less than $3 million in revenue. In Europe, they are defined as having manpower fewer than 250 employees and United States define them with employees less than 500 (Natarajan and Wyrick, 2011). As general guidelines, the World Bank defines SMEs as those enterprises with a maximum of 500 employees, $15 million in annual revenue and $15 million in assets.

Research from Kachembere (2011) noted that SMEs are playing pivotal role in promoting grassroots economic growth and equitable sustainable development. It is not only the fact that high rates of economic growth contribute to economic and social development and poverty reduction. However, more it depends on the quality of growth. Quality of growth includes the composition of growth, its spread and distribution and most importantly the degree of sustainability. Hence, it becomes important to understand various factors responsible for quality growth through the route of SMEs.

The contribution of small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) to the Thai economy in terms of business numbers, employment, income and economic growth increased rapidly from 1994-2008. Their total number increased from 438,805 in 1994 to 2,827,533 in 2008. By 2008, they represented over 99% of all business establishments in the country and were particularly dense in the trade and repairs, services and manufacturing sector. On average, they employed more than 7 million workers annually over the period 1994-2008, equivalent to more than 73% of total employment in the private sector 2 and contributed 37.9% of total GDP by 2008 (OSMEP, 2008).

SMEs are now generally recognized as being the most significant enterprises in accelerating Thai economic growth and development (Dhanani and Scholtes, 2002; Wiboonyanunthikul, 2002; Ha, 2006). SMEs also play important roles and functions in assisting large enterprises, particularly in the context of regional production networks (Regnier, 2000; Brimble et al., 2002; Mephokey, 2003; OSMEP, 2007; OSMEP, 2008), by being key sources of goods, services, information and knowledge (Regnier, 2000). SMEs also contribute to regional development, poverty alleviation and economic empowerment for minorities and women (Harvie, 2008). SMEs are, therefore, the backbone of the Thai economy, contributing greatly to the social and economic development of the country (Brimble et al., 2002; Huang, 2003; Sahakijpicharn, 2007).

The cost of success, however does not come cheap as recent news from the Thai Finance Ministry, indicates that the ministry will issue licenses to non-financial institutions that will allow them to make microloans with annual interest rates of more than 15%. The aim is to combat loan sharks and only microfinance loans ranging from B30,000-B100,000 (about $1,000-$3,300 USD) will qualify, because the government wants to offer individuals a working chance (Phuket News, 2013). Earlier in 2012, the director-general of Thailand’s Fiscal Policy Office stated that “The United States has allowed underground lenders to register their businesses and Thailand might apply this model to solve problems related to our off-system money market” (The Nation, 2012). The bank of Thailand’s deputy governor for financial institutions stability stated that he supported the plan as well (The Nation, 2012).
In one of the poorest countries of the world however, another method was created to bring microfinancing to the poorest of the poor. This method and the legacy of Professor Muhammad Yunus are today the topics of countless articles, studies and research.

The beginning of Grameen Bank and Dr. Yunus began, the early 1970s when Professor Muhammad Yunus envisioned a means of alleviating poverty by circumventing, the major impediment to lending to the poorest in society the need for collateral. He tested this instinct in an experiment in 1976 when he lent about $27 to twenty women about 62% each in an ordinary Bangladeshi village. To his pleasant surprise, all the borrowers repaid the loans, in the process convincing him that this success could be replicated across Bangladesh. Just 30 years later, Grameen Bank has more than 3.2 million borrowers (90% of whom are women), 1,178 branches, services in 41,000 villages and assets of more than $3 billion (Mainsah et al., 2004).

In keeping with Yunus's dislike of handouts, since its founding Grameen Bank's objective has been to promote financial independence among the poor. Yunus encourages all borrowers to become savers so that their local capital can be converted into new loans; since 1995, Grameen has funded 90% of its loans with interest revenue and deposits, aligning the interests of its borrowers and depositor shareholders. Grameen is proud of this record, as it represents a reversal of conventional financial institutions' traditional conversion of deposits from rural areas into loans for the urban, educated elite. Grameen distinguishes itself from such institutions by converting deposits made in villages into loans for women in villages (Yunus and Jolis, 1998).

A new study by the World Bank (Khandker and Samad, 2014) is distinctive because of its size (it covers more than 3,000 households in 87 villages) and longevity, interviews took place over 20 years. Bangladesh has well over 500 microfinance providers and the survey found that almost a third of rural households are members of more than one. Critics of microfinance argue that borrowing from multiple sources leads to over-indebtedness, trapping people in poverty.

The study found no evidence of that, however. Rather, borrowing, whether from one institution or several, increases personal expenditure, household assets, the labour supply and children's education. Moreover, loans do benefit women more than men as they are designed to.

A 10% increase in men's borrowing raises household spending by 0.04% and the male labour supply by 0.18% (though the figures are modest, they are significant). Borrowing by women pushes up household spending by one and a half times as much and the female labour supply by nearly three times as much (because even a tiny loan frees women to work who might otherwise be trapped in household chores). It also raises school enrolment rates by 8% points. And multiple credit institutions encourage households to diversify their income-earning activities. According to the study, the Bangladesh government should look at the study and stop interfering with Grameen's efforts to cut poverty.

For Thailand with more than 2 million small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) representing 99% of the total, microcredit is one tool in helping domestic micro-enterprises. In addition, Phuket as the largest island province in Thailand whose economy is centered around marine and ocean tourism which has become a large and growing source for potential business, employment and foreign tourism. The population has increased steadily with a higher standard of living and consumption rate compared to other regions. Therefore, it is necessary to have SMEs to meet the needs of consumers in Phuket.

In 2011, a Phuket province census found that 1 in 7 households had an entrepreneurial business and the business operator suffered from lack of loans. The researchers' objectives were to study factors affecting the financing of entrepreneurial companies in Phuket.
The purpose of the study was to assist in developing systems for entrepreneurial and small business funding which helps with the creation and survival of small enterprises.

CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT

To measure the success of a business, two groups of factors need to be considered in the analysis. These include both quantitative targets and qualitative goals (OSMEP, 2008).

**Quantitative targets**: Include return on investment, stock market prices, return on sales, cash flow, dividends, payout and financial ratios. Many other scholars and institutions have undertaken research in these areas such as the (NESDB, 2002), who noted that the ratio of export profits of production and global or regional market share (Pongprasertchai, 2007; Shelton *et al.*, 2005; Meengern, 2008; Rujithamrongkul, 2005) is a measure of the success of the operation.

**Qualitative goals**: Include technology leadership, controlling costs, managing finances, leadership reputation and image, focusing on market share, social goals, being a leader in quality and service and focusing on the customer. As a result, customers have been satisfied (Pongprasertchai, 2007; Polsaram, 1998).

**Knowledge management**: Knowledge can be characterized as information in context, together with an understanding of how to use it. Examples would include knowledge about drainage in a street, derived from looking at a schematic and understanding how the placement of houses may or may not affect drainage (Mayo, 2001; Stewart, 1997).

Intentional capital is to be defined as the non-financial and non-physical resources used by and within a company, it is knowledge which can be converted into profits (Sullivan, 1999). Intellectual management deals with the interactions between all resources, tangible and intangible, to create maximum value (Sullivan, 1999).

Knowledge management is a method to assess intellectual capital. This is a task that needs to be analyzed as the potential in terms of the dissemination of knowledge to the user is great. To protect intellectual capital, users must seek opportunities to make better decisions about products and services through an increase in intellectual capital which adds value and flexibility (Chongvisal, 2010).

Trainer (2002) concerned, The Simpler Way, it was discussed how life and communities could be simplified with simpler lifestyles in small, highly self-sufficient local economies where individuals were more cooperative in creating a new economy, producing less than the present economy. These individuals should also exhibit different values, especially cooperation not competition and frugality and self-sufficiency not acquisitiveness and consuming. Additionally, Trainer (2002) argues that there must be a new approach to poverty alleviation and the old 'neo-classical' approach doesn't work.

Knowledge, of how consumers perceive alternative banks on important attributes, provides a foundation for understanding market structure. Market structure analysis can assist the bank in identifying potential opportunities in differentiation and in assessing the viability of low cost as a competitive advantage giving 'best value' to customers (Young, 1999) as well as, a way to learn in different ways (Loucks *et al.*, 2010). An example might be that lenders are required to provide training to the borrower, helping them know about the loan type so that it is used appropriately.

Responsibility: In modern business operations, entrepreneurs should not only aim for profit or return on investment alone. They need to take responsibility consisting of responsibility to employees, customers and creditors which is considered good investment and corporate social responsibility (Wongprasert, 2009). Social responsibility is a principle which takes under consideration four aspects; economic, legal, ethical and public charity (Saengsuratham, 2007). Therefore, the responsibility for the provision of loans or microcredit from Grameen Bank is that regardless of the subject’s collateral, the bank will make a loan to a lender group (Iqbal, 2002) which is a different policy from banks. This is to add a shared responsibility to pay the debt or increase the ability to pay back debts to financial institutions which is a fundamental characteristic of the operation of Grameen Bank which gives social development opportunities to the disadvantaged. If any members of the group are weak and need help, a supportive social network acts as a safety net which provides assistance or social responsibility (Valente, 2011).

Organizational factors: SWOT analysis is an acronym for strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and strengths (Fig. 1) which is a powerful strategic management and planning tool. The technique is credited to Albert Humphrey who led a convention at the Stanford Research Institute in the 1960s and 1970s using data from Fortune 500 companies (Humphrey, 2005):

- Helps a firm to identify its core competencies
- Helps a firm to focus on the future given its past and present condition
- Enables a firm to make a U-turn of its weaknesses
- Helps a firm to build its strengths
- Points to the opportunities that a firm can maximize to reap maximum gains
- Is a source of strategic planning as well as marketing
- Helps the firm to redefine and set its overall objectives

Organizations can choose to act or not to act (Dye, 2012), so it can be seen that the Grameen Bank microfinance policies are different from banks.

In the 1980s, Dr. Salehuddin Ahmed, of the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC), declared that “small is beautiful” but “big is necessary”. He was referring to the beauty of the smallest of enterprises as an essential means for many of the world’s poor, particularly women, to make a living. He said “if you walk with your hand in someone else’s pocket, you must go where they go” (Coyle et al., 2006).

Without determining the type of borrower certain types of financing consider the credit group (Iqbal, 2002) which provides the consideration for the loan which is a different manner from the general case (Kajimo-Shakantu and Evans, 2007) such as the history of savings of the loan which is the consideration or conditions that are oriented to the women involved (Iqbal, 2002) and so on.

From the above conceptual review and development, the researchers have developed the following five hypotheses for the present study (Fig. 2):

H1 : Knowledge management influences responsibly
H2 : Knowledge management influences microfinance
H3 : Responsibility influences microfinance
H4 : Organizational factors influence knowledge management
H5 : Organizational factors influence microfinance
Fig. 1: SWOT analysis

Fig. 2: Results model

METHODOLOGY

Data collection: The sample group for this study included 119 bank executives from both private and public banks from the island province of Phuket, Thailand using both quantitative and qualitative research methods.

Quantitative research: A sample is a set drawn from the population (Keller, 2009). As the non-probability sampling is applied, there is no specific method in determining sample size. But, it is not practical to collect data from the entire target population, so the researcher uses a sample instead (Field, 2005). "A minimum sample size of 100-200 is often recommended (Comrey, 1973, 1978; Gorsuch, 1983; Guildford, 1954; Hair et al., 1979; Lindeman et al., 1980; Loo, 1983). The recommendation for a minimum sample size of 100-200 observations is probably based on the argument that a correlation coefficient becomes an adequate estimator of the population correlation coefficient when sample sizes reach this level (Guadagnoli and Velicer, 1988)."
As this study was to employ factor analysis and multiple regressions, the sample size was based on obtaining the minimum requirement for those techniques. As general rule, for factor analysis, the minimum is to have 5 times as many observations as there are variables to be analyzed (Hair et al., 1998). Although a minimum ratio is 5:1 for multiple regression, the desired level is between 15-20 observations for each independent variable, while 200 is considered optimal (Hair et al., 1998). The final sample size obtained was comprised of 119 respondents.

**Qualitative research:** Qualitative study was conducted by collecting information from the management of individual banks to verify the models derived from quantitative study. With a sample of 10 individuals selected for sampling by use of non-probability sampling techniques while using random sampling (purposive sampling).

**Questionnaire design:** For this study, the measurement instrument or questionnaires utilized was prepared from the literature. This questionnaire was used to investigate how and which variables affected micro financing of Phuket entrepreneurs. The 7-Point Likert Scale (Likert, 1932) was used for a post-study survey. The draft questionnaire was created with items which were later checked for their content validity by five experts in their respective fields based on the Item-Objective Congruence (IOC) index. The items with IOC index higher than 0.5 were acceptable. In order to test the proper reliability of the questionnaire, the questionnaire was piloted with 119 banking sector executives and calculated for proper reliability value by determining the internal consistency measured by coefficient alpha (a-coefficient) of Akron BAC (Cronbach) to calculate the average value of the correlation coefficient.

**Measurement**

**Dependent variable microfinance:** (Micro_finance) analysis used a measurement instrument or questionnaires utilizing a 7-Point Likert Scale (Likert, 1932) and have been constructed with the scales developed enabling measurement of corporate image, profit and income revenue (Office of Small and Medium Enterprises Promotion) (OSMEP, 2008; NESDB, 2002; Pongprasertchai, 2007; Shelton et al., 2005; Meengern, 2008; Rujithamrongkul, 2005; Pongprasertchai, 2007; Polsaram, 1998).

**Independent variables**

**Knowledge management:** Knowledge analysis used a measurement instrument or questionnaires utilizing a 7-Point Likert Scale (Likert, 1932) and have been constructed with four aspects (Table 1) including Learning Methods (type), Learning Method (learn) and Experiences (experience) (Chongvisal, 2010; Trainer, 2002; Young, 1999; Loucks et al., 2010).

Responsibility (responsibility) analysis used a measurement instrument or questionnaires utilizing a 7-Point Likert Scale (Likert, 1932) and have been constructed with four aspects (Table 1) including Debt Repayment Responsibility (respond_debt) and Social Development Responsibility (respond_social) (Wongprasert, 2009; Saengsuratham, 2007; Iqbal, 2002; Valente, 2011).

Organizational factors (org. factor) a nalysis used a measurement instrument or questionnaires utilizing a 7-Point Likert Scale (Likert, 1932) and have been constructed with four aspects (Table 1) including Strategies (strategy), Policies (policy) and Credit Terms (condition) (Dye, 2012; Ahmed, 2009; Iqbal, 2002; Kajimo-Shakantu and Evans, 2007).
Table 1: Statistic values presenting convergent validity of reflective scales of latent variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct/Item</th>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>t-stat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning methods</td>
<td>0.9196</td>
<td>48.7838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning category</td>
<td>0.9001</td>
<td>46.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>0.8039</td>
<td>18.1596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social development responsibility</td>
<td>0.9524</td>
<td>79.0967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt repayment responsibility</td>
<td>0.9123</td>
<td>30.4518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>0.9270</td>
<td>70.7429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit terms</td>
<td>0.9162</td>
<td>28.7144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td>0.9091</td>
<td>0.503548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfinance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits</td>
<td>0.9132</td>
<td>52.1617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0.8774</td>
<td>32.5589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate image</td>
<td>0.8613</td>
<td>21.0902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Partial Least Squares has been applied for analysis of quantitative data by the researcher. It is data analysis for Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) relating to the determination of manifest variable and latent variable and testing of research hypothesis exhibiting in structural model analyzed by using the applications of PLS-Graph (Chin, 2001):

- According to the analysis result of scale validity and reliability, scale investigation has been conducted using internal consistency measurement coefficient alpha (α-coefficient) of Akron BAC (Cronbach) to calculate the average value of the correlation coefficient was found that alpha coefficients ranged from 0.888-0.933 which is considered to have high reliability.
- In case of measure variables with reflective analysis, convergent validity has been conducted. Loading is used as consideration criteria and must be positive quantity and indicator loading has been more than 0.707 and all values have been statistically significant (t>1.96) representing convergent validity of scales (Lauro and Vinzi, 2004; Henseler et al., 2009; Piriyakul, 2010) and analysis results as shown in Table 1.

Knowledge management (knowledge) factors underlying the external variables influence on Learning methods (learn), Learning category (type) and Experience (experience), with loading values of 0.9195, 9001 and 0.8039, respectively. There was a significant level of 95% confidence (t-stat>1.96) which considers such factors highly reliable. These factors affect microfinance.

Responsibility (responsibility) factors underlying the external variables influence on the responsibility for Social Development (respond_social) and Debt Repayment Responsibility (respond_debt) and with loading values of 0.9524 and 0.9123, respectively. There was a significant level of 95% confidence (t-stat>1.96) which considers such factors highly reliable. These factors affect microfinance.

Organizational factors (org. factor) factors underlying the external variables influence on Policies (policy), Credit Terms (condition) and Strategies (strategy), with loading values of 0.9270, 09162 and 0.9091, respectively. There was a significant level of 95% confidence (t-stat>1.96) which considers such factors highly reliable. These factors affect microfinance.
Microfinance (micro-finance) factors underlying the external variables influence on Profit (profit), Income (revenue) and corporate image (image), with loading values of 0.9132, 0.8774 and 0.8613, respectively. There was a significant level of 95% confidence (t-stat>1.96) which considers such factors highly reliable affecting lending to entrepreneurs:

- The reflective model in Table 1 shows the discriminant validity of the internal latent variables and the correlation of variables. It also depicts the scale reliability which has been analyzed from Composite Reliability (CR) as well as the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and R2. The CR value should not go below 0.60 and the AVE values should also drop below 0.50 and R2 values should not be under 0.20 (Lauro and Vinzi, 2004; Henseler et al., 2009; Boontawan and Montree, 2010)
- Table 2 shows that the measurement of the study is a measurement of discriminant validity, indicating a high reliability

The samples were analyzed to assure the research hypotheses criteria of the following 5 assumptions (Table 3). Furthermore, the structural analysis model framework was used to study the t-test coefficients and their relationship of each path of the t-test hypotheses with significance greater than 1.96. This explains the results obtained from analysis as shown in Table 1, 2 and 3.

An analysis of knowledge management, responsibility and organizational factors that affect microfinance support to Phuket, Thailand entrepreneurs found that of the 5 assumptions, only hypothesis 2 (H2) was not supported. Knowledge management, therefore, has no direct and positive effect on microfinance which means at the statistically level of 0.01, it was insignificant. But the factors that influence indirectly through responsible factors responsible are shown in Fig. 2 and 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Knowledge management</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Organizational factors</th>
<th>Micro-finance knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge management</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.6744</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.2546</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational factors</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfinance</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.6119</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical significance level is at 0.01 and diagonal figures mean \( \sqrt{AVE} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>t-stat</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1: Knowledge management influences responsibility</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>6.7673</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: Knowledge management influences microfinance</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.3124</td>
<td>Unsupported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: Responsibility influences microfinance</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>1.9819</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4: Organizational factors influences knowledge management</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>22.9581</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5: Organizational factors influences microfinance</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>4.0418</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Hosmer-Lemeshow test (Table 4) is a statistical test for goodness of fit or logistic regression models. It is used frequently in risk prediction models. The test assesses whether or not the observed event rates match expected event rates in subgroups of the model population. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test specifically identifies subgroups as the deciles of fitted risk values. Models for which expected and observed event rates in subgroups are similar are called well calibrated. Model Validation or PLS fit index is a measure of the following GoF equation as follows: (Piriyakul, 2010):

\[ \text{GoF} = \sqrt{\text{Commun} \times \text{R}^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{J} R_i^2} \]

Goodness of Fit (GoF) = $\sqrt{0.879 \times 0.833} = 0.955$ or 96%.

It can be concluded that the accuracy of the overall structural equation model and measurement equation is greater than 96%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings and influencing factors from the Knowledge Management, Responsibility and Organizational Factors that affect microfinance support to Phuket, Thailand entrepreneurs’ revealed as follows:
Organizational factors: These will consist of policies, strategies and credit terms which contribute to the granting of loans (microfinance) to small business entrepreneurs. This is consistent with the research of (Dye, 2012) which stated that organizations can choose to act or not to act, so it can be seen that the Grameen Bank microfinance policies are different from banks.

Other case studies show that, if savings systems are flexible and suitable to their needs, women are capable of saving and repaying housing loans. The results also suggest that the accumulated group savings and the savings schemes themselves act as good collateral. However, despite of showing interest in involvement in the low-income sector, banks do not have a financially viable and workable business model to exploit this potential market (Kajimo-Shakantu and Evans, 2007).

Iqbal (2002) additionally examined the role of microcredit on the liquidity constraints faced by poor landless and near-landless households in rural Bangladesh. The objective of the study was to examine whether collateral free interest bearing micro loans from the Grameen Bank could help households overcome such constraints and utilize their labor resources to rise above poverty. The findings indicated that the application of small amounts of credit offers scope to reduce poverty among the poorest households in Bangladesh, namely by having a strong significant effect on employment and income levels. Most interestingly, the results also demonstrate that the “unexpected” or “surprise” credit, that households receive, have a positive impact on both household labor use and household income.

Responsibility factors: These will consist of the development of social responsibility and commitment to the community which is a factor in affecting the granting loans to small entrepreneurs. This is consistent with other study that entrepreneurs need to be responsible in many areas such as with their customers, employees, investors, creditors and society (Wongprasert, 2009) which noted that a measure of the success of the organization is not only the earnings but it must be responsive to the expectations of society or in other words, have social responsibility. It is a factor that can determine the survival and advancement of the business to sustainable growth in the future.

Institutions which provide loans to entrepreneurs and small businesses to demonstrate their social responsibility aspects provide opportunities for access to capital (Valente, 2011) due to the current era of globalization.

Access to credit can enable the landless poor to acquire resources and utilize them towards an income-earning endeavor as they see it fit (Iqbal, 2002). Hence, credit seems to be the key. Perotti (1996) found a positive and significant relationship between credit availability and the rate of economic growth. Chuta and Carl (1984) have also reported that credit and capital are the greatest assistance needs and perceived bottlenecks to rural entrepreneurs.

Knowledge management: A variable influencing entrepreneurial retail lending including learning methods, learning categories and experience. This is consistent with the study of Trainer (2002) which noted that economic development must be implemented to suit each community and each culture and will require the development of knowledge in various aspects in order to increase the competitiveness of the organization. (Tangkoonsombati, 2006) also analyzed the market (Young, 1999) education about the allocation of funds knowledge about equivalent credits is important.

Microfinance: Microfinance in recent years (which in its wider dimension microcredit known as microfinance), has become a much favoured intervention for poverty alleviation in the developing
countries and least development countries. Therefore, it is not surprising that microfinance has emerged as one of the significant approaches to poverty alleviation (Ahmed, 2003).

The importance of earnings, revenues and brand image is also consistent with the study of the Office of Small and Medium Enterprises Promotion (OSMEP, 2008) which stated that the goal of the business in addition to quantity goals should also include the number of results in terms of profit and revenue (Shelton et al., 2005; Rujithamrongkul, 2005; Meengern, 2008). Organizations also need qualitative goals which include leadership, reputation and public image including the credit institution helping the individual to find organizations where they can find social assistance.

CONCLUSION

This study indicated that the microfinance will create profit and revenue for the bank as well as helping the image of the bank's corporate social responsibility (Valente, 2011). The greatest influencing variables (both direct and indirect) on microfinance are organizational factors because organizational factors influence knowledge management and responsibility. Organizational factors elements include organizational policy, the lending conditions, corporate policies and strategies, all contributing to the direction of the organization itself.
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