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Abstract: Twenty different sites at Kenana Estate of Sudan were examined for their rhizosphere fungal mycoflora. A modified soil-dilution plate method was used for isolating the fungi. Twenty three different species in sixteen genera and six sterile fungal taxa were reported. Of these species twenty five were Hyphomycetes, three were Zygomycetes and one belongs to the order Sphaeriales. The richest taxa in abundance were Aspergillus (two species), Penicillium (one species), Alternaria (one species), Rhizopus (one species), Curvularia (one species) and Fusarium (one species). All of the reported species were new records to the area and thirteen are new records to the flora of Sudan. Fungal activities increased with plant age. When near crop maturity the number of colonies declined. The results of rhizosphere fungal mycoflora in different sites were slightly varying and the number and type of fungal colonies in some areas were nearly the same.
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INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is grown largely under long-term monoculture production with successive annual harvests obtained from an initial vegetative planting of stalks. Therefore, sugarcane cultivars are clones composed of genetically identical plants. Buds on planted stalk sections germinate and grow to produce the first plant cane crop. Following the first harvest of the stalks, buds on the stubble remaining in the soil can germinate to produce a ratoon crop. In Kenana Sugar Estate of Sudan, sugarcane plants are typically in the field continuously for 3-5 years. The stubble is then plowed out during the spring and following a 4-6 month plant-free fallow period, the crop is replanted during late summer.

Monoculture can lead to continuous multiplication of fungi and may also lead to poor root health or growth constraints and sometimes improvement of the crop stand (Gurside et al., 1997). Few investigations have been made concerning fungus flora in the rhizosphere of sugarcane in Sudan. In a preliminary survey of sugarcane mycoflora, Tarr (1963) isolated fourteen different fungal genera and thirty two species from different sugarcane plantations throughout Sudan. Subsequently, El Amin and Abdalla (1980) reported seventeen species in some sugarcane fields in Gunied factory of the Gezira area. They mentioned that lower fungi were mostly represented by mucoraceous genera such as Rhizopus, Mucor and Cunninghamamella, while Oomycetes were rare and Ascomycetes other than the perfect stages of Aspergillus were not common. Furthermore, Abdel-Rahim et al. (1983) studied the activities of sugarcane soil fungi in North West Sennar plantation. They also compared the abundance of soil fungi in two sugarcane soil types i.e., Dindir clay and Hago sandy. More so, they added that isolated fungi from both rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere soils were dominated by the genera Aspergillus and Rhizopus. Fungi including Fusarium sp., Curvularia sp. and dark sterile mycelia were present in higher frequencies on root surfaces than in the surrounding soils. In Kenana Sugar Estate no attempts have been made to survey rhizosphere mycoflora of sugarcane, therefore the objective of the present study was aimed at examining rhizosphere fungi of sugarcane and to determine if there are differences in microbial communities associated with rhizosphere in soil and possible changes in microbial communities resulting from monoculture production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Botany and Plant Pathology Laboratory, Gezira Research Station, Wad Medani.
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N, P and k by sending them to the Analytical Services Laboratory, Department of Soil Science, Gezira Research Station, Wad Medani.

**Isolation of mycoflora from soil:** The mycoflora of the soils was isolated following the soil dilution-plating technique of Johnson *et al.* (1969) and that modified by Warcup (1960). A sample of 10 g soil was placed in a graduated cylinder, added with sterilized distilled water to make a total of 100 mL. The suspension was stirred, poured into sterile 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask and shaken thoroughly for 30 min. One milliliter of the suspension was pipetted aseptically and dispensed in dilution test tubes with 9 mL of sterilized distilled water. Series of soil dilutions of 1:10, 1:100, 1:1, 000 and 1:10, 000 were prepared. One milliliter of the desired dilution ($10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$) was transferred aseptically into a sterile disposable petri dish with 10-12 mL of melted Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) adding one drop of 20% lactic acid to suppress bacterial growth (Simaga and Quimio, 1987). The composition of PDA was 200 g potatoes, 20 g dextrose, 15 g agar and distilled water one liter. Then the medium was autoclaved at 15 pounds per square inch pressure for 15 min. The plate was rotated by hand in a broad and slow swirling motion to disperse the soil suspension. Five Petri dishes were provided for each dilution. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 5 days. After incubation, a small portion of mycelium from each fungal colony was transferred into PDA slants.

Pure cultures of isolates were grown on standard and few selective media and identified using the fungal keys provided by Domsch *et al.* (1980), Simaga and Quimio (1987), Singh *et al.* (1991), Quimio and Hanlin (1999) and Quimio (2001). The soil fungi isolated were deposited at the Botany and Plant Pathology department, Gezira Research Station, Wad Medani.

The number of fungal colonies isolated and proportion of the total number of species were determined. The relative abundance of soil mycoflora in rhizosphere of sugarcane was measured using the formula:

\[ + + + = \text{High (11-up) detected isolates.} \]
\[ ++ = \text{Moderate (6-10) detected isolates.} \]
\[ + = \text{Low (1-5) detected isolates.} \]

**Data analysis:** Data obtained were pooled and the means were used in analysis for significant differences using appropriate statistical procedure (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the isolation studies of the rhizosphere mycoflora in sugarcane soils a total of twenty three species in sixteen genera with six sterile species were recovered (Table 2). Most of the fungal genera isolated belonged to the class Hyphomycetes. Three species were Zygomycetes and one to the order Sphaeriales. All these fungal species were new records from Kenana Sugar Estate of Sudan. According to their occurrence in the soil samples, Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Penicillium, Fusarium and Carvularia are of high occurrence. The two former fungal genera were present in all the soil samples and the other three were absent from two samples only.

Table 1: Total number of fungal colonies isolated from soil samples in Kenana sugarcane fields, with chemical analysis of soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>Total No. of fungal colonies isolated</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Organic matter (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P (ppm)</th>
<th>k (cmol kg⁻¹ soil)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23202</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42105</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31205</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42902</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14103</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43205</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41307</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51101</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13404</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32103</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32302</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51302</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51301</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13101</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32903</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22406</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51303</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82303</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22403</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31104</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Relative abundance of fungal taxa from rhizosphere of sugarcane at Kenana Sugar Estate of Sudan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungal group</th>
<th>Fungal species</th>
<th>Abundance*</th>
<th>Proportion of total number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucorales</td>
<td>Cunninghamella elegans Lendr.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mucor hiemalis Weimier</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhizopus oryzae West and Prins. Geerl.</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaeriales</td>
<td>Chaetomium kunze ex Fr.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acremonium sp.</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternaria alternata Keissl</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aspergillus candidus Link ex Fr</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. flavus Link ex Gray</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. fumigatus Fresen.</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. riger Tisch.</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. mellessa Yukiwa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. tamarii Kita</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Botrytis cinerea Pers. ex Nocca and Balb.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cladosporium cladosporides de Vries</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curvularia spicifera Boschijn</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fusarium sp.</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemicola grisea Traun var. grisea</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paecilomyces marquandii (Massee) Hughes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillium chrysogenum Thorn</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. expansum Link ex Gray</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. roquefortii Thorn</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trichoderma harzianum Rifai</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verticillium lecanii (Zimm.) Viegas</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile fungus 6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* + = Low (1-5) detected isolates; ++ = Moderate (6-10) detected isolates; +++ = High (11-up) detected isolates
Table 3: Total number of fungal colonies isolated from 10 g soil samples in some selected fields in Kenana area at three sampling dates*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling field</th>
<th>Early season</th>
<th>Mid season</th>
<th>Late season</th>
<th>No fungal genera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31104</td>
<td>25a</td>
<td>102a</td>
<td>85a</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32302</td>
<td>17b</td>
<td>67b</td>
<td>44b</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43205</td>
<td>32a</td>
<td>13c</td>
<td>97a</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41103</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>47c</td>
<td>22c</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51302</td>
<td>10c</td>
<td>27c</td>
<td>15c</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are means of 5 replicates. Means followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different (p<0.05)

**Mucor, Acremonium, Cladosporium, Trichoderma and Verticillium** were of moderate occurrence. Five genera were of low occurrence, namely Cunninghamella, Chaetomium, Botrytis, Humicola and Paecilomyces.

Significant variation in total number of fungal colonies and percent abundance of fungal species was observed in rhizosphere soil obtained from various study sites (p<0.05). Plots in the centre of the Estate i.e., field number 43205, 31103 and 32302 showed the highest isolated number of fungal colonies. The lowest number of fungal colonies was isolated from location number 51303 with an average number of eight fungal colonies (Table 1).

Fungal activities increased with plant age. In early season the number of colonies increased progressively following the existence of the crop until reached the peak level at the middle of the growing season with average number of 137 colonies. When near maturity the number of colonies declined with an average 97 colony counts (Table 3). The reason behind this might be due to root senescence at the end of the growing season and suitable foot was scarce in the niche, which may activate the competitiveness between mycoflora in soil.

The results obtained showed that organic matter, pH, N, P and K in soil have an effect on the population of fungi in soil (Table 1). Soils with high levels of organic matter have a more complex and active mycoflora. Also, significant correlation was detected between the percentage of soil organic matter and the number of colonies isolated at 5% level (Fig. 2). However, the number of fungi was inconsistent and slightly correlated with pH, N, P and K content in the soil (Table 1).

The addition of organic amendment to soil in Kenana Estate area promotes growth of saprophytic fungi which in turn reduces the populations of pathogenic fungi. This observation was in close agreement with other findings which suggest that compost can be used as a source of organic matter because according to Hottink and Boehm (1999), compost will provide (a) successful competition for nutrients by beneficial mycoflora, (b) antibiotic production by beneficial mycoflora, (c) successful parasitism against pathogens by beneficial mycoflora and (d) activation of disease resistant genes in plants by mycoflora (induced systemic resistance). Consequently, the coarse grass and corn (Zea mays) amendment increased the population of Trichoderma harzianum and controlled the foot rot disease incidence on sugarcane seedlings (Manohara et al., 2003). Applying the coarse grass to the soil every 3-5 weeks could maintain Trichoderma population in the soil with 40% field capacity (Manohara et al., 2004). However, the role of mycoflora antagonism in contributing to the activation of disease resistance genes in sugarcane plant has not been experimentally established (Glaz and Gilbert, 2006).

Qualitative differences between fungal communities were also detected with soil dilution plating on culture media and with sole carbon source (Hottink and Boehm, 1999). These findings could be significant, since members of these groups of microorganisms can inhibit the growth of root pathogens, including Pythium (Wahid et al., 1997). Evidence obtained with other plants besides sugarcane support the idea that plant type can influence the make-up of the rhizosphere microbial community (Quinno, 2001). A dilution plate culture method study conducted by Rouatt and Katzenelson (1961) compared microbial communities of rhizosphere, rhizoplane and non-rhizosphere soil of six different plant species. They found that rhizosphere soil microbial communities from six different crop plants tested were affected by plant type rather than soil type.

Moreover, Warcup (1970) reported that the most common fungi isolated using dilution plates from wheat field soil are fungi imperfecti, mycelia sterilia, hycomycetes and ascomycetes. According to Wahid et al. (1997), the genera Aspergillus and Penicillium are the richest amongst all the genera of class hyphomycetes found in soils of tomato field. It was reported by Domsch et al. (1980) that Aspergillus niger was found in soil with pH range of 4-8. There was an increase in relative numbers after soil fumigation, after manuring and NPK fertilization. Domsch et al. (1980) also
reported that *Cunninghamella elegans* is particularly frequent from cultivated soils, but it has also been reported from uncultivated soils, grassland, a vineyard with a high copper content and rice fields. Lewis and Papavizas (1985) mentioned that *Trichoderma* in natural soil requires substrates as source of nutrients to enhance growth, survival and competitiveness. *Trichoderma* occurs relatively frequently in the surface (litter) layer of soils and generally in slightly acid habitats.

Research in sugarcane also suggested that application of the broad-spectrum fungicide, mancozeb, decreased root colonization by a dematiaceous, sterile fungi and improved root health and plant growth (Magarey et al., 1997). Kao and Hsieh (1986) found that in monocultured sugarcane soil *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma* were predominated. Watanabe et al. (1974) also found that *Fusarium* was frequently isolated from both healthy and unhealthy sugarcane. The microbial community comparison was therefore, focused on the rhizosphere of sugarcane roots, since this community is most likely to affect plant growth (Bramley et al., 1996). Further studies are needed to correlate seasonal fluctuation of fungal communities in the rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere of sugarcane with crop stand and productivity in the area.
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