Influence on Normalization and Magnitude Normalization for Price Forecasting of Agricultural Products
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Abstract: In order to obtain suitable model and increase accuracy in price forecasting, data pretreatment approach via data normalization and the order of magnitude normalization which has the effect on price forecasting is proposed in this paper. The new data preprocessing method that normalized or normalizing the order of magnitude of the original data based on the proposed approaches are described in detail. The data of agricultural products extracted from Website based on improved Back Propagation (BP) neural network and Support Vector Machine (SVM) are utilized the proposed normalization methods and obtain the different results. Experiments demonstrate that the best forecasting average accuracy based on SVM is improved 0.33 percent by normalization and 0.35 percent by normalization of magnitude 10 and the best forecasting average accuracy based on improved BP neural network with no normalization is the best one, but the best of normalization of magnitude 10 can be lifted 0.66 percent compare with the average accuracy of no normalization. Experiments demonstrate that the proposed approach performance and proves a new data pretreatment method via normalization is meaningful and useful for the model research of price forecasting.
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INTRODUCTION

Price forecasting has been a hot research and topic. Nowadays, price changes have great effect on both businesses and consumers. Price forecasting is meaningful and useful not only for businesses but also for consumers. It will bring an active market which brings the benefits to the businesses even the government officials. In particular, it has a significant impact on the electronic market. On the one hand, replacement of electronic products is growing fast, especially the products of computers and mobile phones. Quick update brings a severe unstable of price. Faced with the unstable prices, years of experience of the businesses are also becoming useless. Under the rapid development of the economic environment at present based on the Websites, judgment ahead of the market will make businesses’ works fruitful or in vain.

But then, the psychology of consumers also changes with the economic development. The unstable prices make some consumers become rational. It shows that the demand for consumers is unstable when the reaction of consumers is projected onto the market.

However, big enterprises continue to quickly update their products, the businesses can only cut prices constantly and passively and the consumers can only wait to see.

Without a doubt, price forecasting is worth to study. At home and abroad, great deals of research are done in different fields of price forecasting, such as electricity market (Pindoriya et al., 2008; Lira et al., 2009; Lei and Shahidehpour, 2010; Catalao et al., 2011), oil futures (Rotering and Ilia, 2011) and gold futures (Xu et al., 2009) etc. A variety of methods have been proposed for different fields such as forecasting power prices using a hybrid econometric model (Gonzalez et al., 2012; Gradjojevic and Genay, 2011); forecasting stock price based on wavelet and TSK Fuzzy rules (Chang and Fan, 2008); a dynamic demand forecasting in smart grids (Motamedi et al., 2012); theoretical foundations for efficient coordination of wind power and price-responsive demand (Ilie et al., 2011); short-term forecasting of stock price based on support vector regression (Chen et al., 2012), etc. And all of these methods have gotten the ideal results in the papers proposed. In all of methods that have been proposed, most of them are concerned about the modifications on the existing prediction algorithm. They change the various parameters of the algorithm, or modify existing algorithms directly from the internal. Of course, part of them is also concerned on the data pretreatment, but the magnitude of the data they used is the same, such as gold, electricity price and futures etc.

In this study, the data of eight types of agricultural products are used which are beef, soybean oil, mutton,
blend oil, white sugar, rice, pork and flour. The data are all extracted from the Website (http://www.abchina.com.cn/RuralSvc/Information/RealtimePrice/AgriculturalMarkets_Information/) from January 2012 to December 2012, a total of one year of data. The orders of the original data are 1, 10, 100, 1000 and 10000. The magnitude of the original data is normalized to different orders. Experiments with these pre-processed data based on SVM and improved BP neural network obtain different results. Experiments with the pretreatment data, the order of which is one obtain the best results. The results prove that the novel approach is a good idea and further researches on it are meaningful.

**NOTATIONS AND IMPROVEMENT MODELS**

**Notations:** Some definitions used in this paper are given as follows:

Single errors of predicted value:

\[ e_t = Y_t - \hat{Y}_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  
(1)

Relative errors of single predicted value:

\[ \hat{e}_t = \frac{e_t}{Y_t} = \frac{Y_t - \hat{Y}_t}{Y_t}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  
(2)

Mean Absolute Errors (MAE):

\[ \text{MAE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} |e_t| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} |Y_t - \hat{Y}_t| \]  
(3)

**Improved SVM algorithm:** SVM is a supervised learning model with associated learning algorithms that analyze data and recognize patterns, used for classification and regression analysis.

Assume time sequence data normalized is \( \hat{\Lambda}_{\text{norm}} = \{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_n\} \), any element is \( \forall \hat{x}_i \in \hat{\Lambda}_{\text{norm}}, i \in [1,n] \) and normalized data is \( \hat{\Lambda}_{\text{norm}} = \{\hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_{10}, \hat{\Lambda}_{100}, \hat{\Lambda}_{1000}, \hat{\Lambda}_{10000}\} \). For the orders of the original data of agricultural products have five orders of magnitude, so the normalization defines as \( \hat{\Lambda}_1, \) one order of magnitude normalization defines as \( \hat{\Lambda}_i \) and so on. So the equations can be denoted as follows:

Polynomial (homogeneous):

\[ k_{\text{pol}}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = (\hat{x}_1 \cdot \hat{x}_2)^t \]  
(4)

Polynomial (inhomogeneous):

\[ k_{\text{pol}}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = (\hat{x}_1 \cdot \hat{x}_2 + 1)^t \]  
(5)

Gaussian radial basis function:

\[ k_{\text{RBF}}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j) = \exp(-\gamma |\hat{x}_i - \hat{x}_j|^2) \]  
(6)

\( \gamma > 0 \), sometimes parameterized using \( \gamma = 1/2\sigma^2 \).

Hyperbolic tangent:

\[ k_{\text{tan}}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j) = \tanh(k_{\text{pol}}(\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j + c)) \]  
(7)

For some (not every) is \( k > 0 \) and \( c < 0 \).

**Improved BP neural network approaches:** BP NN always uses activation functions. First of all, preprocessing the original data must be done to get the experimental data. The pretreatment data of \( \hat{A}_t \) is given by Eq. 8.

\[ \hat{A}_t = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_n) \]  
(8)

Then, \( z \) is defined as the number of a forecast sample and \( D \) is defined as the number of the forecast value. The training function of the model is given by equation (9) and the forecasting function of the model is given by equation (10). Both of them are built-in functions of the Matlab.

\[ \text{NET} = \text{newff}(P,T,\text{NEURON}), \text{NET} = \text{train(NET},P,T(9)) \]

\[ \text{NET} \text{ (Test)} \]  
(10)

Then, \( j \) is defined as the number of the different Neuron of BP neural network. When forecasting the first value, many different Neuron are given. And the different Neurons are given by the Eq. 11.

\[ \text{Neurons} = \{\text{neuron}_1, \text{neuron}_2, \ldots, \text{neuron}_j\} \]  
(11)

Then, different net will be trained to forecast the first value with the Neurons which is given by equation through the Eq. 12.

\[ \text{net}_t = \text{newff}(P,T,\text{neuron}_t), \text{net}_t = \text{train(nets}_t,P,T) \]  
(12)

In the Eq. 12, \( P \) is the training sample set and \( T \) is the test forecast value set of training. A forecasting sample Test is necessary to be built. And Test is given by Eq. 13.

\[ \text{Test} = [t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_j], t \in \hat{A}_t \]  
(13)
Then, the forecast values of the \((n+1)\) day of \(A_c\) can be gotten through the Eq. 13. \(Y_n\) is defined as the forecast values of the \((n+1)\) day of \(A_c\) and it is given by Eq. 14.

\[
Y_n = \text{net}_i(\text{Test}) 
\]  
(14)

In \(Y_n\), the best value is selected to be the forecast value of the \((n+1)\) day of \(Y_n\) and it is also selected to be the first forecast value \(y_1\). Three best Neuron in the Neurons are selected according to the three best forecast values in \(Y_n\). And three best Neuron is given by Eq. 15.

\[
\text{Neuron} = \text{Neuron}_{1}, \text{Neuron}_{2}, \text{Neuron}_{3}
\]  
(15)

A custom weight \(W\) is given by equation (16) and in this paper \(W = [2, 4, 2]\).

\[
W = (w_1, w_2, w_3) 
\]  
(16)

\(\text{Neuron}\) is defined as the best Neuron and it is given by Eq. 17.

\[
\text{Neuron} = \text{Neuron}_{1} * w_1 + \text{Neuron}_{2} * w_2 + \text{Neuron}_{3} * w_3 
\]  
(17)

Then, when forecasting the rest values, a constant network is being used. The net is given by Eq. 18.

\[
\text{net} = \text{newff}(P, T, \text{Neuron}); \text{net} = \text{train}(\text{net}, P, T) 
\]  
(18)

When forecasting the rest values, the previous forecast value will be seen as the one of the values of the forecast samples for the next prediction. And it is given by Eq. 19-21.

\[
(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n) = (t_{n-1}, t_{n-2}, ...) 
\]  
(19)

\[
t_2 = y_n 
\]  
(20)

\[
\text{Test} = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_1] 
\]  
(21)

Finally, \(Y_n\) is defined as the all forecast values and it is given by Eq. 22.

\[
Y_n = \text{net}(\text{Test}) 
\]  
(22)

**EXPERIMENTS PREPARATIONS**

The experimental original data are all extracted from the Website (http://www.abchina.com.cn/RuralSvc/Information/RealtimePrice/AgriculturalMarkets Information/) from January 2012 to December 2012, a total of one year of data. The orders of the original data are 1, 10, 100, 1000 and 10000. One week has an original data which express average piece weekly.

Some improvements are done based on the original model on the basis of our previous research work (Zhu et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2011a; Zhu et al., 2011b; Zhu et al., 2011c; Zhu et al., 2012a; Zhu et al., 2012b; Wu and Zhu, 2012; Zhu et al., 2012c). In past research, many improved methods have been proposed based on original models which are applied to some different fields, such as frequency algorithm and its application in stock index movement prediction (Zhang et al., 2012), optimization of neural networks using variable structure systems (Mohseni and Tan, 2012) and divide and conquer and difference-map BP decoders for LDPC codes (Yedidia et al., 2011) etc.

Different types of agricultural products are defined as PD1 to PD8 and different types of magnitude normalization using SVM or improved BP neural network model are defined as MD1 to MD12 which are shown in Table 1 and 2, respectively.

| Table 1: The defining of agricultural products |
|---|---|---|
| PD numbers | Product name | Product name |
| PD1 | Beef | PD5 | White Sugar |
| PD2 | Soybean Oil | PD6 | Rice |
| PD3 | Mutton | PD7 | Pork |
| PD4 | Blend Oil | PD8 | Flour |

| Table 2: The defining of price forecasting model |
|---|---|
| MD | Price Forecasting Model |
| MD1 | Improved BP neural network with no normalization |
| MD2 | Improved BP neural network with normalization |
| MD3 | Improved BP neural network with normalization of magnitude 1 |
| MD4 | Improved BP neural network with normalization of magnitude 10 |
| MD5 | Improved BP neural network with normalization of magnitude 100 |
| MD6 | Improved BP neural network with normalization of magnitude 1000 |
| MD7 | Improved BP neural network with normalization of magnitude 10000 |
| MD8 | SVM model with no normalization |
| MD9 | SVM model with normalization |
| MD10 | SVM model with normalization of magnitude 1 |
| MD11 | SVM model with normalization of magnitude 10 |
| MD12 | SVM model with normalization of magnitude 100 |

The simulation software is the MATLAB of MathWorks. The software version is R2011b (7.13.0.564).

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The experiment results which are gotten with the preprocessed data based on the improved algorithm are shown above which are SVM model and improved BP Neural Network. In order to get the influence of our proposed data pretreatment approach, we select different normalization methods for SVM model and improved BP Neural Network respectively. The actual price define be AP and the forecast price define be FP respectively.
Experimental Results for Each Agricultural Product: Eight different types of agricultural products are opted to experiment on the SVM model and improved BP Neural Network respectively. Figure 1 to 8 shows the experimental results respectively.

**Experimental MAE for Each MD:** The MAE of ten MD is calculated based on different type of agricultural products which SVM model and improved BP Neural Network are used and the experimental results shown as Fig. 9-20, respectively.

**Experiments analysis:** Analysis results of twelve methods can be drawn that all of them are experimental results. The effect of magnitude differences in the original data of agricultural products based on improved BP neural network is not obvious. Certainly, the result of experiments based on improved BP neural network is still very meaningful. Among the better results, the best result is still the result of the experiment which is done with the data the magnitude of which is normalized to the different order the same as the experiment based on SVM model. Relative to the great changes based on the SVM model, the order of magnitude of the data has a bit effect on the BP neural network. So a little improve proves the new method is general to SVM model. One step further, the new idea and the new method is general to other algorithms.

Table 3 shows the average MAE of eight PD via twelve MD. Table 4 shows the each week average MAE of price forecasted via twelve MD.

![Fig. 1: The forecast price of PD1 on ten MD](image1)
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Fig. 3: The forecast price of PD3 on ten MD

Fig. 4: The forecast price of PD4 on ten MD

Fig. 5: The forecast price of PD5 on ten MD
Fig. 6. The forecast price of PD6 on ten MD

Fig. 7. The forecast price of PD7 on ten MD

Fig. 8. The forecast price of PD8 on ten MD
Fig. 9. The MAE of different PD on MD1

Fig. 10. The MAE of different PD on MD2

Fig. 11. The MAE of different PD on MD3
Fig. 12. The MAE of different PD on MD4

Fig. 13. The MAE of different PD on MD5

Fig. 14. The MAE of different PD on MD6
Fig. 15. The MAE of different PD on MD7

Fig. 16. The MAE of different PD on MD8

Fig. 17. The MAE of different PD on MD9
Fig. 18. The MAE of different PD on MD10

Fig. 19. The MAE of different PD on MD11

Fig. 20. The MAE of different PD on MD12
Table 3: The defining of agricultural products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD</th>
<th>MAE of FP</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>MAE of FP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD1</td>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>PD5</td>
<td>White Sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD2</td>
<td>Soybean Oil</td>
<td>PD6</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD3</td>
<td>Mullet</td>
<td>PD7</td>
<td>Pork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD4</td>
<td>Blend Oil</td>
<td>PD8</td>
<td>Flour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The defining of agricultural products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MD</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>MAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MD1</td>
<td>0.009545</td>
<td>MD7</td>
<td>0.015049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.011225</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.017148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.014815</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.020821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.016245</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.022515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.013903</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.018383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD2</td>
<td>0.017016</td>
<td>MD8</td>
<td>0.025183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.019385</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.025716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.021958</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.026706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.028212</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.037430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.021655</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.026774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD3</td>
<td>0.009966</td>
<td>MD9</td>
<td>0.009987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.012190</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.008377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.015819</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.005431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.016750</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.002999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.013674</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.004541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD4</td>
<td>0.009168</td>
<td>MD10</td>
<td>0.015808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.011313</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.015991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.013867</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.018664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.016845</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.017554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.013298</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.017019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD5</td>
<td>0.008314</td>
<td>MD11</td>
<td>0.008106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.008237</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.002942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.006399</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.005806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.008489</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.002925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.020360</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.003291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD6</td>
<td>0.015356</td>
<td>MD12</td>
<td>0.002297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.016010</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.003275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.017944</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>0.005510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.018523</td>
<td>FoW</td>
<td>0.004520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.016958</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>0.003850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Fig. 1-8 and Fig. 9-20, we know the best FP is not the same for the different MD, it told us that if we want to get the best FP, we should to do more experiments. But from Table 3 and 4, we know that if we not always want to get the best, we can use the one model to get the FP, but we need to normalize the original data. However, from Table 3 and 4 we can also get that the different data normalization method may suitable different product. That may used by our experience, but from tables we can know no normalization or normalization of magnitude 100 for improved BP neural network is the better and the no normalization or normalization of magnitude 10 for SVM method may the better way to save time and may suitable our requirements.

CONCLUSIONS

The market of agricultural products changes very fast. The price forecasting models is focused on many researchers. The data mining and pretreatment is an important way to improve the forecast models. We do lots of experiments in order to reveal the effect on price forecast model. So we process the original data depend on normalization and magnitude normalization. After experiments, we find that the normalization can affect the MAE and speed on the different model. Experiments demonstrate that the best forecasting accuracy which is gotten based on SVM obtains 99.67% which is normalized of magnitude 10 and the best forecasting average accuracy which is gotten based on improved BP neural network obtains 98.70% which is no normalized, but the highest accuracy only one week can get 99.36 which is normalized of magnitude 100. Experiments demonstrate that the proposed approach performance and proves a new data pre-treatment method is meaningful and useful for the model research of price forecasting.
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