Zerotillagge Sowing Method of Wheat Followed by Transplanted Rice (In Rice–Wheat System)
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Abstract: This study was conducted at the Rice Research Institute Dokri for three years from Rabi 1997-98 to 1999-2000. The object of this study was to compare zerotillagge-sowing method of wheat with conventional method for grain yield and net benefit. Zerotillagge sowing method gave 8.30 % more yields than conventional method. Moreover tillage cost was reduced up to 8 % while increase in net benefit 28 % was achieved. Economically zerotillagge-sowing method proved better with CBR 1.25 than conventional method with CBR 0.926.
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Introduction

The area under wheat in Pakistan is 8.463 million hectares giving production of 21.078 million tonnes with average yield of 2490 kg ha⁻¹ and rice is 2.515 million hectares giving 5.155 million tonnes production with an average yield of 2050 kg ha⁻¹ (1999-2000). In Rice–Wheat system in Pakistan particularly in Sindh any delay in wheat sowing after the November results loss in yield. Late sowing of wheat due to late harvesting of rice crop or the long time needed for land preparation. Research conducted on zerotillagge was fundamental to enhance productivity and sustainability of Rice-Wheat cropping system in Sindh, so that national and international demand is met. The expenditure incurred on land preparation and yield losses due to late sowing of wheat emphasized to conduct research on zerotillagge to lower the cost of cultivation.

Nayar et al (1998) reported that planting of rice after wheat under zerotillagge method in comparison of conventional method gave significantly more grain yield of 20.16 % than conventional method. Moreover tillage cost was reduced upto 98 % while 77 % increase in net benefit was achieved.

In Rice-Wheat system of South Asia any delay in wheat planting after the end of November results in 1-1.5 % loss in yield per day delay. Late planting can result from late sowing of the previous crop or the long time needed to prepare suitable seedbed. To reduce that problem zero and reduced tillage options have been introduced to farmers of the region (Ortiz-Monasterio et al, 1994). Regmi (1996) found that wheat yields declined because of delay in planting.

Materials and Methods

Zerotillagge v/s conventional sowing method experiment was conducted on an area of 0.5 acres at Rice Research institute Dokri for three years from Rabi 1997-98 to 1999-2000. Sowing of wheat crop was done in the 2nd week of December. In zerotillagge treatment no land preparation was done seed was drilled in standing rice stubbles when land came in condition. While in conventional method treatment land was prepared with two cultivator cross plowings and seed was mixed with third cultivator plowing. The wheat variety tested was TJ 83 (Tando Jam 83). The fertilizer was applied as per recommended dose i.e. 134 – 67 – 0 NPK kg ha⁻¹ and three irrigations were given to the crop throughout growing period.

Results and Discussion

In comparison of zerotillagge-sowing method and conventional sowing method, higher average yield of 3250 kg / ha was recorded under zerotillagge, whereas the yield of 3001.65 kg / ha was obtained under conventional sowing method. Moreover, tillage cost was reduced upto 8 %, while 28 % increased net benefit was achieved.

So economic point of view zerotillagge sowing method proved better than conventional method, the expenditure / income is shown on Table 2.

Table 1: Yield (kg / ha)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zerotillagge</td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>3167</td>
<td>3250</td>
<td>3250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>3167</td>
<td>2778</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>3001.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cost Benefit Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sowing Method</th>
<th>Expenditure (Per ha.)</th>
<th>Income (Per ha.)</th>
<th>Cost Benefit Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zerotillagge</td>
<td>9392.00</td>
<td>21125.00</td>
<td>1.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>10142.00</td>
<td>19540.00</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Based on the above findings the following conclusions could be drawn:

- In rice – wheat system, zerotillagge-sowing method is more beneficial than conventional method
- Expenditure incurred on land preparation can be saved by involving fewer amounts on land operational cost without any yield reduction.
- Irrigation water can also be saved by zerotillagge method of sowing.
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