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Abstract: A family of sixth order and fourth order P-stable methods for solving second order initial value problems is considered. The nonlinear algebraic systems, which results on applying one of the methods in this family to a nonlinear differential system, may be solved by using a modified Newton method. The local error estimation technique based on the derivation of suitable formula pairs is used in which we compute two approximations of the solution, one with a fourth order method and the other with a sixth order method. The error estimate is then obtained by subtracting our two approximations. The methods in each pair are chosen to have certain features in common. They have the same iteration matrix and some of the function evaluations are common to both methods. Finally numerical results are presented of these methods.
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INTRODUCTION

We consider the class of direct hybrid methods proposed by Cash (1981) then extended by Khiyal (1991) for solving the second order initial value problem.

\[ y'' = f(t, y), \quad y(0), y'(0), \text{ given} \tag{1} \]

The basic methods has the form

\[ y_{n+1} = y_n + h^2 \{n_1 + n_2\} \tag{2} \]

Where:

\[ n_1 = \beta_3 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + \gamma y_n \]
\[ n_2 = \beta_2 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + \beta_3 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) \]

The off-step values are defined by

\[ y_{e21} = A_1 y_{n+1} + B_1 y_n + C_2 y_{n-1} + h^2 m_1 \tag{3} \]
\[ y_{e22} = R_1 y_{n+1} + L_1 y_n + T_2 y_{n-1} + h^2 m_2 \tag{4} \]

\[ m_1 = q_2 y_{n+1} + u_2 y_{n-1} \]
\[ m_2 = q_4 y_{n+1} + v_4 y_{n-1} + W_2 y_{n+1} + X_2 y_{n-1} + Z_2 y_{n+1} \]

and

\[ y'_n = f(t_n, y_n) \]
\[ y'_{n+1} = f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \]
\[ y_{n+1} = f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \]
\[ y_{n+1} = f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \]

When the method (2-4) is applied to a nonlinear differential system (1), a nonlinear algebraic system must be solved at each step. This may be solved by using a modified Newton iteration scheme. The resulting iteration matrix involves \( J, J^2 \text{ and } J^3 \), where \( J \) is an approximation for the Jacobian matrix of \( f \) with respect to \( y \). Since matrix products are expensive, especially for large systems and any sparsity in \( J \) will be weakened in \( J^2 \) and \( J^3 \) and any ill-conditioning in \( J \) will be magnified in its powers, we wish to avoid the calculation of \( J^2 \) and \( J^3 \). Cash (1981), Thomas (1988), Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007b) have derived sixth order P-stable (Lambert and Watson, 1976) methods of the form (2-4) for which the iteration matrix is a true perfect cube.

By taking \( \beta_3 = 0 \) in (2) and choosing the remaining parameters appropriately, several authors (Cash, 1981; Chawla, 1981; Chawla and Neta, 1986; Costabile and Costabile, 1982; Khiyal, 1991; Thomas, 1988; Khiyal, 2007a) have derived fourth order methods of the form (2-4) which are P-stable.


We give necessary and sufficient conditions for there to exit

- Fourth order, P-stable, two-evaluations, two-step methods with iteration matrix \((I-\alpha h f' J)^2\)
- Sixth order, P-stable, three-evaluations, two-step methods with iteration matrix \((I-\alpha h f' J)^3\)
The method may be chosen so that the value of \( r \) is the same for both the fourth and sixth order methods. We derive some formula pairs. (The formula pair technique discussed by Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal and Thomas (2006).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The modified Newton iteration scheme for methods of the form (2-4) is given by:

\[
F'(y_{n+1}^{(p-1)}, y_{n+1}^{(p-1)} - y_{n+1}^{(p-1)}) = -F(y_{n+1}^{(p-1)}), p = 1, 2, \ldots
\]  

(5)

For sixth order methods as defined by Khiyal (2007b)

\[
F(y) = y - 2y_n + y_{n-1} - h^2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4)
\]

(6)

Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1 &= \beta_1(t_{n+1}, y) + \beta_2 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
n_2 &= \gamma f(t_{n}, y_n) \\
n_3 &= \beta_1(t_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + \beta_2 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
n_4 &= \beta_2 f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + \beta_3 f(t_{n-2}, y_{n-2}) \\
y_{n+1} &= A_n y + B_n y_n + C_n y_{n+1} + h^n m_i \\
y_{n+2} &= R_n y + B_n y_n + S_n y_{n+1} + h^n (m_2 + m_j) \\
m_1 &= s_1 f(t_{n+1}, y) + q_1 f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + u_1 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
m_2 &= Y_i f(t_{n+1}, y) + V_i f(t_{n}, y_n) + W_i f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
m_3 &= X_i f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + Z_i f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
F'(y) = 1 - m_i h^j J - m_i h^j J^2 - m_i h^j J^3
\]

(7)

\[
\begin{align*}
m_i &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 (A_n + A_{n+1}) + \beta_3 (R_n + R_{n+1}) \\
m_2 &= \beta_2 (s_1 + s_2) Y_i + Y_i + A_n (X_i + X_{n+1}) + A_{n+1} (Z_i + Z_{n+1}) \\
m_3 &= \beta_2 (s_1 (X_i + X_{n+1}) + s_2 (Z_i + Z_{n+1})
\end{align*}
\]

To avoid the calculation of \( F' \) and \( J' \) Thomas (1988) and Khiyal (1991) has shown that the iteration matrix (5) may be factorized as a true perfect cube

\[
(I - rh^i J)^3
\]

Where:

\[
r = \frac{1}{3} m_i.
\]

The necessary and sufficient conditions for this are

\[
m_i = -r^2
\]

(8a)

\[
m_i = r^3
\]

(8b)

The resulting methods are P-stable if and only if

\[
1 + \left(3r - \frac{1}{4} \right) H^i + \left(3r^2 - \frac{3}{4} r + \frac{1}{144} \right) H^2 \geq 0
\]

(9)

\[
1 + \left(3r - \frac{1}{12} \right) H^i + \left(3r^2 - \frac{3}{4} r + \frac{1}{360} \right) H^2 \geq 0
\]

(10)

hold for all H. These conditions are satisfied when \( r \) is greater than or equal to the largest real root of the polynomial equation

\[
r^3 - \frac{3}{4} r^2 + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{144} = 0
\]

(11)

Note that the largest root of (10) is \( r = 0.6564 \) to four significant figure. Then the iteration scheme (5) has the form

\[
(I - rh^i J)^3 (y_{n+1}^{(p-1)} - y_{n+1}^{(p-1)}) = -F(y_{n+1}^{(p-1)}), p = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

The Newton iteration scheme for fourth order methods of the form (2-4) with \( \beta_2 = 0 \) is given by

\[
F(y) = y - 2y_n + y_{n-1} - h^2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)
\]

(12)

Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1 &= \beta_1(t_{n+1}, y) + \beta_2 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
n_2 &= \gamma f(t_{n}, y_n) \\
n_3 &= \beta_1(t_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + \beta_2 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
n_4 &= \beta_2 f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + \beta_3 f(t_{n-2}, y_{n-2}) \\
y_{n+1} &= A_n y + B_n y_n + C_n y_{n+1} + h^n m_i \\
y_{n+2} &= R_n y + B_n y_n + S_n y_{n+1} + h^n (m_2 + m_j) \\
m_1 &= s_1 f(t_{n+1}, y) + q_1 f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + u_1 f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
m_2 &= Y_i f(t_{n+1}, y) + V_i f(t_{n}, y_n) + W_i f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\
m_3 &= X_i f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + Z_i f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
F'(y) = 1 - m_i h^j J - m_i h^j J^2 - m_i h^j J^3
\]

(13)

A true perfect square iteration matrix is

\[
(I - rh^i J)^2
\]
Where:
\[
    r = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \beta_4 + \beta_4 (A_3 + A_4) \right]
\]

For the fourth order methods, this is the case if and only if
\[
    4\beta_4 (u_4 + u_3) = - \left[ \beta_4 + \beta_4 (A_3 + A_4) \right]^3
\]

and the resulting methods are then P-stable if and only if
\[
    \beta_0 + \beta_4 (A_3 + A_4) \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{6}}{6}.
\]

**Derivation of formula pairs:** The three evaluations P-stable, sixth order methods of the type given by Thomas (1988), Khiyal (1991), Khiyal and Thomas (2006) and Khiyal (2007b) may be combined in a variable step code with appropriate evaluations P-stable fourth order methods of the type given by Thomas (1987), Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007a). The iteration matrix for the sixth order methods is \((1-h\bar{r})^3\) where, to ensure P-stability \(r \geq R\) and \(R\) is the largest root of the polynomial (10). The iteration matrix for the fourth order method is \((1-h\bar{r})^3\) where
\[
    \bar{r} = \frac{3 + \sqrt{6}}{6}
\]

for P-stability. For common iteration matrix we choose \(r \geq R\). The local error estimate is given by
\[
    L_e_{n+1} = y_n^{(4)} - y_n^{(4)}
\]

Where, \(y_n^{(4)}\) is the approximation for \(y(t_{n+1})\) obtained by the method of order 2m, \(m = 2\) and 3. The different formula pairs are:

**SHK64A:** The sixth order method is the method given by Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007b) for which \(y_{n+1}\) is independent of \(y_{n-1}\) and the points \(t_{n-2}, y_{n-2}\), \(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\) are coincident. For this method we must evaluate \(f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\) once per step. With this sixth order method, we combine a fourth order method given by Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007a) such that \(y_{n+1}\) is independent of \(y_{n3}\) and \(f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\) need only be evaluated once per step. Note that \(f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\) has to be evaluated once per step for each of the fourth and sixth order methods. We choose \(a_1\) to be the same for both methods and we also choose the coefficients in the expression (3) to ensure that \(f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\) is the same for both methods. This means that \(f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\) must be evaluated just once per step and may then be used for both the fourth and sixth order methods.

**Sixth order method:**
\[
    y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (y_n^{(0)} + y_n^{(0)}) + y_n^{(0)} + m_3 \right\}
\]

where:
\[
    m_3 = \beta_0 y_{n-0.5} + \beta_4 y_{n+0.5} + 2\beta_4 y_n
\]
\[
    y_{n-0.5} = \frac{1}{2} (y_n + y_{n+1}) - \frac{h^2}{16} (y_n + y_{n-1})
\]
\[
    y_{n+0.5} = A_1 y_{n+1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2A_1 \right) y_n + \left( A_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n-1} + h^4 m_3
\]
\[
    y_n = R_1 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + (1 - 2R_1) y_n + h^4 m_3
\]
\[
    m_3 = s_3 y_{n+1}^2 + q_3 y_{n+1}^2 + u_3 y_{n+1}
\]
\[
    m_3 = s_3 (y_{n+1}^2 + y_{n+1}) + v_3 y_{n+1} + Z_3 \left( y_{n+1} + y_{n+1} \right)
\]

Where:
\[
    \beta_0 = \frac{1}{60}
\]
\[
    \beta_1 = \frac{4}{15}
\]
\[
    \gamma = \frac{13}{30} - 2\beta_2
\]
\[
    Z_3 = \frac{1}{2\beta_3} \left[ -12r + 3r^2 - \frac{r^3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right]
\]
\[
    s_3 = \frac{1}{2\beta_2} Z_3
\]
\[
    A_3 = \frac{1}{12s_3}
\]
\[
    q_3 = \frac{9}{16}
\]
\[
    u_3 = s_3 + \frac{1}{16}
\]
\[
    \beta_2 R_2 = \frac{3}{2} r - \frac{1}{24} + 6s
\]
\[
    \beta_2 Y_3 = \frac{1}{720} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{12} \right) - \frac{r}{4} \beta_2 Z_3
\]
\[
    V_3 = - R_3 - 2y_n - 2z
\]
\[
    \beta_2 \text{ is free parameter (we choose } \beta_2 = 1)\]

**Fourth order method**
\[
    y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (y_n^{(0)} + y_n^{(0)}) + m_1 \right\}
\]
\[ m_{11} = \gamma \gamma_n^* + \beta_1 (\gamma_{n-0.5}^* + \gamma_{n+0.5}^*) \]
\[ y_{n-0.5} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{n} + \gamma_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{16} h^2 (\gamma_{n}^* + \gamma_{n+1}^*) \]
\[ y_{n+0.5} = A_{s} y_{n+1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2 A_{s} \right) y_{n} + \left( A_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n+1} + h^2 m_{12} \]
\[ m_{12} = s_{s} \gamma_{n+1}^* + q_{s} y_{n}^* + u_{s} y_{n-1}^* \]

Where:
\[ \beta_1 = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{6} \beta_1 \]
\[ \gamma = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \beta_1 \]
\[ A_{s} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2r - \frac{1}{12} \right) \]
\[ u_{s} = \frac{r^2}{\beta_1} \]
\[ s_{s} = \frac{1}{16} \]
\[ q_{s} = \frac{1}{8} \left( r^2 - 2r + \frac{1}{12} \right) - s_{s} \]

\[ \beta_1 \] is free parameter (we choose \( \beta_1 = 1 \)).

\( r \) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic Eq. 10 for both fourth and fourth order methods.

**SHK64B:** The sixth order method is the method given by Khial (1991) and Khial (2007b) for which \( y_{n-0.5} \) is identically equal to \( y_n^* \) and \( y_{n-0.5} \) is independent of \( y_{n+1}^* \). For this method we must evaluate \( r(t_{n-0.5}, t_{n-0.5}) \) once per step. We combine this method with a fourth order method given by Thomas (1987), Khial (1991) and Khial (2007a), for which \( y_{n-0.5}^* \) is identically equal to \( y_n^* \).

**Sixth order method**
\[ y_{n+1} - 2y_{n} + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (\gamma_{n+1} + \gamma_{n-1}) + m_{12} \right\} \] (18)
\[ m_{12} = (\gamma + \beta_1) y_{n}^* + \beta_2 (\gamma_{n-0.5}^* + \gamma_{n+0.5}^*) + \beta_3 \gamma_n^* \]
\[ y_{n-0.5} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{n} + \gamma_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{16} h^2 (\gamma_{n}^* + \gamma_{n+1}^*) \]
\[ y_{n+0.5} = R_{s} y_{n+1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2 R_{s} \right) y_{n} + \left( R_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n+1} + h^2 m_{12} \]
\[ \gamma_n = A_{s} (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + (1 - 2 A_{s}) y_{n} + h^2 m_{12} \]
\[ m_{12} = s_{s} (\gamma_{n+1}^* + \gamma_{n-1}^*) + q_{s} y_{n}^* \]
\[ m_{12} = \left\{ Y_{s} \gamma_{n+1}^* + (V_{s} + X_{s}) y_{n}^* + W_{s} y_{n+1}^* + Z_{s} \gamma_{n}^* \right\} \]

Where:
\[ \beta_0 = \frac{1}{60} \]
\[ \beta_2 = \frac{4}{15} \]
\[ Z_{s} = 540 \beta_1 \left( r^2 - 1 \right) \]
\[ \gamma = \frac{13}{30} \quad 2 \beta_1 \]
\[ A_{s} = \frac{15}{4Z_{s}} \left( -3r^3 + r + \frac{1}{4} \right) \]
\[ s_{s} = \frac{1}{144 \beta_1} \]
\[ R_{s} = \frac{15}{4} \left( 3r^2 + \frac{1}{60} - \beta_1 A_{s} \right) \]
\[ q_{s} = -A_{s} - 2s_{s} \]
\[ Y_{s} = \frac{1}{48} \]
\[ W_{s} = Y_{s} + \frac{1}{16} \]
\[ V_{s} + X_{s} = \frac{17}{48} \quad 8 - \frac{1}{16} \quad Z_{s} \]
\[ \beta_i \] are free parameters.

**Fourth order method**
\[ y_{n+1} - 2y_{n} + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (\gamma_{n+1} + \gamma_{n-1}) + m_{12} \right\} \] (19)
\[ \gamma_n = A_{s} (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + (1 - 2 A_{s}) y_{n} + h^2 m_{12} \]
\[ m_{12} = (\gamma + \beta_1) y_{n}^* + \beta_2 \gamma_n^* \]
\[ m_{12} = s_{s} (\gamma_{n+1}^* + \gamma_{n-1}^*) + q_{s} y_{n}^* \]

Where:
\[ \beta_0 = \frac{1}{12} \]
\[ \gamma = \frac{5}{6} \quad 2 \beta_1 \]
\[ A_{s} = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12} \right) \]
\[ s_{s} = \frac{r}{\beta_1} \]
\[ q_{s} = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \left( 2r^2 - 2r + \frac{1}{12} \right) \]
\[ \beta_i \] are free parameters.

\( r \) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic Eq. 10 for both the sixth and fourth order methods.

**SHK64C:** Consider the sixth order method given by Khial (1991) and Khial (2007b) for which the points
(t_{x_{n+1}}, y_{x_{n+1}}), (t_{x_{n+2}}, y_{x_{n+2}}) are coincident and \(y_{x_{n+1}}\) is independent of \(y_{x_{n+2}}\). For this method we must evaluate \(f(t_{x_{n+1}}, y_{x_{n+1}})\) once per step. We combine the method given by Thomas (1987), Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007a) for which the points \((t_{x_{n+1}}, y_{x_{n+1}}), (t_{x_{n+2}}, y_{x_{n+2}})\) are coincident.

**Sixth order method**

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-1}^*) + \gamma y_n^* + m_{n1} \right\}
\]

where:

\[
m_{n1} = 2\beta_0 y_n^* + \beta_2 (y_{n-2}^* + y_{n-2}^*)
\]

\[
y_{n-5} = \frac{1}{2} (y_n + y_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{16} h^2 (y_n^* + y_{n-1}^*)
\]

\[
y_{n-5} = R_x y_{n-1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2R_x \right)y_n + \left( R_x - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n-1} + h^4 m_{n5}
\]

\[
\bar{y}_n = A_n (y_{n-1} + y_{n-2}) + (1 - 2A_n) y_n + h^2 \left\{ s_n (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-2}^*) + q_n y_n^* \right\}
\]

where:

\[
\beta_0 = \frac{1}{12}
\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{5}{6} - 2\beta_2
\]

\[
A_n = \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 \left( \frac{r}{24} \right)
\]

\[
s_n = \frac{-r^2}{2\beta_2}
\]

\[
q_n = -A_n - 2s_n
\]

\(\beta_0, \beta_2, \gamma, A_n, s_n, q_n\) are free parameters.

\(r\) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic Eq 10 for both the sixth and fourth order methods.

**SHK64D:** Consider the sixth order given by Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007b) for which \(y_{x_{n+1}}\) is independent of \(y_{x_{n+2}}\) and \(y_{x_{n+2}} = y_{x_{n+1}}\). For this method we need to evaluate \(f(t_{x_{n+1}}, y_{x_{n+1}})\) once per step. We combine fourth order method given by Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007a) such that \(y_{x_{n+1}}\) is independent of \(y_{x_{n+2}}\). This means that \(f(t_{x_{n+1}}, y_{x_{n+1}})\) must be evaluated just once per step and may be used for both fourth and sixth order methods.

**Sixth order method**

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-1}^*) + \gamma y_n^* + m_{n0} \right\}
\]

where:

\[
m_{n0} = \beta_0 (y_{n-2}^* + y_{n-2}^*) + \beta_2 (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-1}^*)
\]

\[
y_{n-5} = \frac{1}{2} (y_n + y_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{16} h^2 (y_n^* + y_{n-1}^*)
\]

\[
y_{n-5} = R_x y_{n-1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2R_x \right)y_n + \left( R_x - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n-1} + h^4 m_{n0}
\]

\[
\bar{y}_n = A_n (y_{n-1} + y_{n-2}) + (1 - 2A_n) y_n + h^2 \left\{ s_n (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-2}^*) + q_n y_n^* \right\}
\]

where:

\[
\beta_0 = \frac{1}{12}
\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{5}{6} - 2\beta_2
\]

\[
A_n = \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 \left( \frac{r}{24} \right)
\]

\[
s_n = \frac{-r^2}{2\beta_2}
\]

\[q_n = -A_n - 2s_n
\]

\(\beta_0, \beta_2, \gamma, A_n, s_n, q_n\) are free parameters.

**Fourth order method**

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_0 (y_{n-1}^* + y_{n-1}^*) + \gamma y_n^* + 2\beta_2 \bar{y}_n^* \right\}
\]
\[ \beta_i Z_n = 8 \left( 12r^3 - 3r^2 + \frac{r}{4} - \frac{1}{360} \right) \]

\[ u_n = \frac{s_n + \frac{1}{16}}{\frac{1}{16}} \]

\[ q_n = \frac{1}{4} + 10s_n \]

\[ A_n = \frac{3}{8} - 12s_n \]

\[ \beta_i R_n = 3r - \frac{1}{60} + \beta_i - \frac{4}{15} A_n \]

\[ \beta_i Y_n = \frac{1}{144} + \beta_i (1 - R_n - 3Z_n) \]

\[ V_n = 1 - R_n - 2Y_n - 2Z_n \]

\[ \beta_i \] are free parameters.

**Fourth order method**

\[ y_{n+1} = 2y_n + y_{n-1} = \frac{h^2}{2} \left[ \beta_0 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + m_{24} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

\[ m_{24} = \gamma y_n + \beta_1 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) \]

\[ y_{n+0.5} = \frac{1}{2} (y_n + y_{n+1}) - \frac{h^2}{16} (y_n + y_{n+1}) \]

\[ y_{n+0.5} = A_n y_{n+1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2A_n \right) y_n + \left( A_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{24} \]

\[ m_{24} = s_n y_{n+1} + q_n y_n + u_n y_{n-1} \]

Where:

\[ \beta_0 = \frac{1}{60} - \beta_i \]

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{4}{15} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{13}{30} - 4 \beta_1 \]

\[ s_n = \frac{15r^3}{4Z_n} \]

\[ Z_n = 12r^3 - 3r^2 + \frac{r}{4} - \frac{1}{360} \]

\[ A_n = 1 - 12s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{12} \beta_i \]

\[ R_n = \frac{15}{4} \left( 3r - \frac{1}{60} + \beta_i (1 - A_n) \right) \]

\[ Y_n = \frac{1}{32} - \frac{1}{12} R_n - Z_n \]

\[ W_n + X_n = Y_n + Z_n + \frac{1}{16} \]

\[ V_n = \frac{5}{16} - R_n - 2 (Y_n + Z_n) \]

\[ \beta_i \] are free parameter.

**Fourth order method**

\[ y_{n+1} = 2y_n + y_{n-1} = \frac{h^2}{2} \left[ \beta_0 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + m_{27} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

\[ m_{27} = \gamma y_n + \beta_1 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) \]

\[ \bar{y}_{n+1} = A_n y_{n+1} + (2 - 2A_n) y_n + (A_n - 1) y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{24} \]

\[ m_{24} = s_n (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + u_n y_n \]

**Sixth order method**

\[ y_{n+1} = 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left[ \beta_0 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + m_{24} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

\[ m_{24} = \beta_1 (y_{n+0.5} + y_{n-0.5}) + \beta_i (\bar{y}_{n+0.5} + \bar{y}_{n-0.5}) \]

\[ y_{n+0.5} = \frac{1}{2} (y_n + y_{n+1}) - \frac{h^2}{16} (y_n + y_{n+1}) \]

\[ y_{n+0.5} = R_n y_{n+1} + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2R_n \right) y_n + \left( R_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{24} \]

\[ \bar{y}_{n+1} = A_n y_{n+1} + (2 - 2A_n) y_n + (A_n - 1) y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{24} \]

\[ m_{24} = s_n (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + (1 - A_n - 2s_n) y_n \]

Where:

\[ \beta_0 = \frac{1}{60} - \beta_i \]

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{4}{15} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{13}{30} - 4 \beta_1 \]

\[ s_n = \frac{15r^3}{4Z_n} \]

\[ Z_n = 12r^3 - 3r^2 + \frac{r}{4} - \frac{1}{360} \]

\[ A_n = 1 - 12s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{12} \beta_i \]

\[ R_n = \frac{15}{4} \left( 3r - \frac{1}{60} + \beta_i (1 - A_n) \right) \]

\[ Y_n = \frac{1}{32} - \frac{1}{12} R_n - Z_n \]

\[ W_n + X_n = Y_n + Z_n + \frac{1}{16} \]

\[ V_n = \frac{5}{16} - R_n - 2 (Y_n + Z_n) \]

\[ \beta_i \] are free parameter.

**Fourth order method**

\[ y_{n+1} = 2y_n + y_{n-1} = \frac{h^2}{2} \left[ \beta_0 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + m_{27} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

\[ m_{27} = \gamma y_n + \beta_1 (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) \]

\[ \bar{y}_{n+1} = A_n y_{n+1} + (2 - 2A_n) y_n + (A_n - 1) y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{24} \]

\[ m_{24} = s_n (y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}) + u_n y_n \]

**SHK64E: Consider the sixth order method given by**

Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007b) for which \( y_{n+0.5} \) is identically equal to \( y_n \), and \( y_{n+1} \) is independent of \( y_{n+1} \). For this method we need to evaluate \( f(t_n, y_{n+0.5}) \) once per step. We combine this method with a fourth order method given by Thomas (1987), Khiyal (1991) and Khiyal (2007b).
Where:
\[
\beta_\theta = \frac{1}{12} - \beta, \\
\gamma = \frac{5}{6}, \\
A_\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_\gamma} \left(2r - \frac{1}{12}\right), \\
s_\gamma = -\frac{r}{\beta}, \\
q_\gamma = \frac{5}{8} + \frac{1}{\beta_\gamma} \left(2r^2 - 2r + \frac{1}{12}\right).
\]

\(\beta_\gamma\) is a free parameter.

\(r\) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic
Eq. 10 for both sixth and fourth order methods.

**SHK64F**: Consider the sixth order method given by
Khayal (1991) and Khayal (2007b) for which \(y_{n+1}\) is
independent of \(y_{n+1}\) and \(Y_{n+1} = Y_s\). For this method
we need to evaluate \(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\) once per step. We combine
this method with a fourth order method given by Khayal
(1991) and Khayal (2007a) for which \(y_{n+1}\) is independent
of \(y_{n+1}\). This means that \(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\) must be evaluated
once per step and may be used for both fourth and sixth
order methods.

**Sixth order method**

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_\gamma (y^\prime_{n+1} + y^\prime_{n-1}) + (\gamma + \beta_\gamma) y^\prime_n + m_{32} \right\} \tag{26}
\]

\[
m_{32} = m_{32} \left[ \beta_\gamma y_n + \left(\frac{3}{2} - 2A_\gamma\right) y_n + \left(A_\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) y_{n+1} + h^2 m_{10} \right.
\]

\[
y_n = s_n \left[ y^\prime_{n+1} + q_n y^\prime_{n-1} + u_n y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{31} \right.
\]

Where:

\[
\beta_\gamma = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4}, \\
\gamma = \frac{5}{6} \beta, \\
A_\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \beta_\gamma \left(2r - \frac{1}{12}\right), \\
s_n = s_n - \frac{1}{16}, \\
q_n = q_n + \frac{1}{\beta_\gamma} \left(2r - \frac{1}{12}\right) - s_n.
\]

\(\beta_\gamma\) is a free parameter.

\(r\) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic
Eq. 10 for both sixth and fourth order methods.

**Fourth order method**

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left\{ \beta_\gamma (y^\prime_{n+1} + y^\prime_{n-1}) + m_{32} \right\} \tag{27}
\]

\[
m_{32} = m_{32} \left[ \beta_\gamma y_n + \left(\frac{3}{2} - 2A_\gamma\right) y_n + \left(A_\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) y_{n+1} + h^2 m_{10} \right.
\]

\[
y_n = s_n \left[ y^\prime_{n+1} + q_n y^\prime_{n-1} + u_n y_{n-1} + h^2 m_{31} \right.
\]

Where:

\[
\beta_\gamma = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4}, \\
\gamma = \frac{5}{6} \beta, \\
A_\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \beta_\gamma \left(2r - \frac{1}{12}\right), \\
s_n = s_n - \frac{1}{16}, \\
q_n = q_n + \frac{1}{\beta_\gamma} \left(2r - \frac{1}{12}\right) - s_n.
\]

\(\beta_\gamma\) is a free parameter.

\(r\) is greater than or equal to the largest root of the cubic
Eq. 10 for both sixth and fourth order methods.

**RESULTS**

We are mainly concerned with solving oscillatory
stiff initial value problem. We have tried a number of
explicit scalar (nonstiff) test problems of the form (1).
They give similar results and so we restrict our attention to one oscillatory example.

**Example 1:**

\[ y'' + \sinh y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 0 \]

This is a pure oscillation problem whose solution has maximum amplitude unity and period approximately six. We have calculated error as \(|\text{Error at } t = 6|\).

To verify that our techniques works for systems, we use a test problem a moderately stiff system of two equations

**Example 2:**

\[ y_1' + \sinh(y_1 + y_2) = 0, \quad y_1(0) = 1, \quad y_1'(0) = 0, \]
\[ y_2' + 10^4 y_2 = 0, \quad y_2(0) = 10^{-4}, \quad y_2'(0) = 0, \]

For this example we have deliberately introduced coupling from the stiff (linear) equation to the nonstiff (nonlinear) equation. For this example again we have calculated error as \(|\text{Error at } t = 6|\).

In Table 1-6, we present the following statistics:

- No. of evaluation of the differential equation right hand side \( f \), FCN
- No. of evaluation of the Jacobian \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \), JCB
- No. of iteration overall, NIT
- No. of iteration on steps where the iteration converges, NSIT
- No. of steps overall, NST
- No. of successful steps to complete the integration, NSST
- No. of steps where the stepsize is changes, NCST
- No. of failed steps, NFST
- No. of LU factorization of the iteration matrix, NFAC
- No. of function evaluation required on a per step basis, rather than on each iteration, NFPS

The results are given in Table 1-6. The cost of the starting technique is not included in the tables. Before we can employ this error estimation technique, we need a special starting technique to obtain the values \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \). Thus we take two steps with fourth order, two stages implicit Runge Kutta method. The technique used is given by Khiyal and Rashid (2005). After two steps we use the formula pair technique. After word at the end of each step, we form the error estimate \( L_{x_{i+1}} \). To estimate the local error we use predictor corrector approach. For predictor we use the polynomial of degree five given by Khiyal (1991).

\[ y_{x_{i+1}} = 16(y_{i} + y_{i-2}) - 30y_{i-1} - 12h^2y_{i-3}. \]

If the local error is satisfied, we use the sixth order direct hybrid method. At the each step, we form the error estimate,

\[ L_{x_{i+1}} = y_{x_{i+1}}^{(5)} - y_{x_{i+1}}^{(4)}. \]

Where, \( y_{x_{i+1}}^{(5)} \) is the approximation for \( y(t_{x_{i+1}}) \) obtained by the method of order \( 2m, m = 2 \) and \( 3 \). The step size for the next step is given by:

\[ h = h \left[ \frac{Tol}{2|L_{x_{i+1}}|} \right]^2. \]

Where, Tol is the local error tolerance and \( h \) is the current step size and \( h_{x_{i+1}} \) is the next predicted step size. We do not allow the step size to decrease by more than a

![Table 1: Methods compared for example 1 with Tol = 10^{-2}](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NIT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSIT</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHK64A</td>
<td>1.991×10^{-2}</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64B</td>
<td>7.863×10^{-2}</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64C</td>
<td>6.303×10^{-2}</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64D</td>
<td>2.593×10^{-2}</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64E</td>
<td>1.062×10^{-1}</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64F</td>
<td>2.348×10^{-2}</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 2: Methods compared for example 1 with Tol = 10^{-4}](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NIT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHK64A</td>
<td>1.130×10^{-4}</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64B</td>
<td>4.869×10^{-5}</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64C</td>
<td>4.362×10^{-4}</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64D</td>
<td>5.225×10^{-4}</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64E</td>
<td>2.113×10^{-4}</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHK64F</td>
<td>8.178×10^{-4}</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3: Methods are compared for example 1 with Tol = 10^{-4}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6A</td>
<td>5.236\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6B</td>
<td>5.056\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6C</td>
<td>5.068\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6D</td>
<td>5.466\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6E</td>
<td>5.041\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6F</td>
<td>5.231\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Methods are compared for example 2 with Tol = 10^{-2}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6A</td>
<td>4.263\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3659</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6B</td>
<td>4.531\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3439</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6C</td>
<td>4.534\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3437</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6D</td>
<td>2.407\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6E</td>
<td>4.632\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6F</td>
<td>2.830\times10^{-1}</td>
<td>3612</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Methods are compared for example 2 with Tol = 10^{-4}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6A</td>
<td>3.148\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>3561</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6B</td>
<td>9.400\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6C</td>
<td>9.400\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6D</td>
<td>2.431\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2555</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6E</td>
<td>9.400\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6F</td>
<td>1.320\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>3376</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Methods are compared for example 2 with Tol = 10^{-6}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>JCB</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NST</th>
<th>NSST</th>
<th>NCST</th>
<th>NFST</th>
<th>NFPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6A</td>
<td>1.120\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2578</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6B</td>
<td>1.522\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2216</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6C</td>
<td>1.522\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2216</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6D</td>
<td>1.684\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6E</td>
<td>1.525\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2303</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIK6F</td>
<td>1.120\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>2578</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

factor $\rho_1$ or increase by more than a factor $\rho_2$. These restrictions help to avoid large fluctuation in the step size caused by local changes in the error estimate. Also, we do not increase the step size at all unless it can be increased by a factor of at least $\rho_3$, where $\rho_2<\rho_3$. This restriction is designed to avoid the extra function and Jacobian evaluation involved in changing the step size unless a worthwhile increase is predicted. Following Thomas (1987), in our tests we take $\rho_1 = 0.1$, $\rho_2 = 2$ and $\rho_3 = 10$.

CONCLUSIONS

In the study, we have derived some formula pairs, consisting of a fourth order and a sixth order direct hybrid method. The two methods in each pair have been chosen to have some features in common, so that the computational cost of using the formula pair is reduced. The formula pairs provide an estimate of the local error and this allows the stepsize to be varied so that the size of the local error is controlled.
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