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Abstract: Artificial Neural Network (ANN) approach was applied in developing software sensor for production of lactic acid using pineapple waste from Lactobacillus delbruekii. Lactic acid production currently is one of the significant materials in industry and production with renewable source such as pineapple waste made the production of lactic acid faced a lot of disturbances in measuring the quality of lactic acid produced. An artificial neural network (ANN) was developed to predict the concentration of lactic acid, using collected data from an offline analysis. Multi layer perceptron (MLP) was used for mapping between the input and output parameters. Two variables were used as input parameters. MSE was used to evaluate the predictive performance of MLP. Logsig and purelin was used as the activation function and Levenberg-Marquadt was utilized as the training algorithm. The result showed that having 2 inputs is better in predicting the concentration of lactic acid; instead of 1 input. The optimum structure found was 2-5-1.
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INTRODUCTION

Lactic acid has become one of prominence supplies to a lot of industry. Conventionally, lactic acid has been a well-liked ingredient in food industry. In pharmaceutical industry, lactic acid is used as the raw material for surgical appliances; in textile as one of the chemical properties in dye and the latest - lactic acid has been discovered as the raw substrate for the production of biodegradable polymer (Idris and Suzana, 2006; John et al., 2007; Marques et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2008). Alas, the demand of the lactic acid in the world was predicted will reach 500,000 (metric) tonnes per year worldwide by the year 2010 (John et al., 2007).

Biological processes has been known as a nonlinear process. Thus, supervision of the fermentation process must maintain certain variables within strict limits since biological systems are highly sensitive to abnormal changes in operation condition (Arriazo-Bravo et al., 2004). Lactic acid production currently is one of the significant materials in industry and production with renewable source such as pineapple waste made the production of lactic acid faced a lot of disturbances in measuring the quality of lactic acid produced.

Software sensor: Software sensor works in manner of cause and effect, the inherent biologic relation between measured and unmeasured states could affect the prediction accuracy significantly (Chen, 2006). A data-driven software sensor is an inferential model developed from process observations. The interactions of the signals can be used for calculating or to estimate new quantities that cannot be measured (Gonzaga et al., 2008).

Software sensor has been widely applied for the estimation and prediction of quality measurements that are normally determined through infrequent sampling and off-line analysis such as in fermentation (Kiviherju et al., 2008) and as in distillation column (Zamprogna et al., 2005). Software sensor is able to map out the relationship between hard to measure properties (output) with easily measured properties (inputs). Usually, the main function of offline software sensor is to do data processing, build neural network model. The data that the software used are read in from the data file generally. The data file is collected from the field of plant, keeping in the text format (Du et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007).

The attractive features of software sensor is one of many reasons that made software sensor gains interest from the researchers. Software sensor is easily implemented, low cost operating and maintenance and able to overcome the time delays cause by offline analysis (Fortuna et al., 2005).

An accurate, fast and reliable measurement is essential to any process, including fermentation.
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Typically, measurement of lactic acid concentration is involving a stage by stage procedure and takes a longer time, not to mention the cost.

The most frequently used procedures for the measurement of lactic acid concentration was done using high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). Nonetheless, the drawbacks of HPLC is include the need for expensive equipment, the need of the great expertise for the operation of the equipment, the need for sample extraction, high waste solvent production and slow effective process time for samples due to removal of solvent and water from collected sample fractions (Rivier, 2000; Fogelman et al., 2009).

In consequence, the objective of this study is to develop a software sensor that able to predict the lactic acid concentration under varying conditions. Hence in this current study, the effect of input numbers, hidden nodes and normalization method in predicting lactic acid concentration is being investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study, the data utilized in this work was obtained from the experimental work conducted by Idris and Suzana (2006). There are totally 8 process measurements available; glucose concentration, temperature, initial pH, percent concentration of sodium alginate, bead diameter of sodium alginate, fermentation time, cell concentration or cell number and lactic acid concentration. The selection of input variable is based on process knowledge, as well as considering reliability of process measurements (Lin et al., 2007). The fermentation was conducted for 72 h and the sampling was done every 4-8 h. There are 209 paired data from 19 sets experimental data.

Neural network design: Artificial Neural Network (ANN) has known used to solve a vast variety of problems in science and engineering; particularly in some areas where the conventional modeling method not giving good performance. Inspired from biological neuron, a well trained ANN is able to become a predictive model for a specific application. ANN is known to be used in diverse application prediction, forecasting, optimization, medicine and manufacturing (Najafi et al., 2007). The ability to grasp the inter relationship between two variables (input and output) has made ANN a very interesting tool.

Typical, two layer structure of multilayer neural network is chosen (Cheroutre-Vialette and Lebert, 2002; Fortuna et al., 2005; Li and Li, 2006; Oueguem-Kana et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2004). Based on the literature, logic and linear functions were selected as the activation function in hidden and output layer, respectively. This arrangement of function in function approximation problems or modeling is common and yields better results (Rashid et al., 2006, Najafi et al., 2007). To enable that each input variable provide an equal contribution in the ANN, the inputs in the model were preprocessed and scaled into a common numeric range [0 1] and [0.05 0.95]. The normalized value \( x_{\text{norm}} \) for each raw input/output dataset \( x_i \) was calculated as follows:

\[
x_{\text{norm}} = \frac{x_i}{x_{\text{max}}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
x_{\text{norm}} = 0.05 + 0.9 \times \left[ \frac{x_i - x_{\text{min}}}{x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}} \right] \tag{2}
\]

where, \( x_{\text{min}} \) and \( x_{\text{max}} \) are the minimum and maximum values of raw data.

The training and testing performance (MSE) was chosen to be 0.001. In selection of the optimum model, the smaller ANNs had the priority to be selected as the complexity and size of the network is also important. A regression analysis between the network response and the corresponding targets was performed to investigate the network response in more detail. Training algorithm of Levenberg-Marquadt (trainlm) was elected based on the literature (Rashid, 2004; Rashid et al., 2006; Herzog et al., 2009; Esnouf et al., 2006). There will be 2 structures/topologies to be developed, 1-x-1 and 2-x-1 (as shown in Fig. 1) model; \( x \) denotes the number of hidden sizes.

RESULTS

In the present study, the effect of normalization using Eq. 1 and 2, effects of hidden nodes and number of inputs were investigated. The result is tabulated in Table 1 and Table 2. R in Table 1 and 2 represents the correlation coefficient (R-value) between the signal outputs and targets. To have a more precise investigation into the
Table 1: Effect of hidden nodes on (a) = 1 number of input, (b) = 2 number of inputs. Normalization equation = 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. input</th>
<th>Hidden nodes</th>
<th>MSE train</th>
<th>MSE test</th>
<th>R train</th>
<th>R test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.9733</td>
<td>0.9656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.9799</td>
<td>0.9707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.9769</td>
<td>0.9642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.9750</td>
<td>0.9642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.9689</td>
<td>0.9622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.9691</td>
<td>0.9631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>0.9647</td>
<td>0.9528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.9652</td>
<td>0.9566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.9746</td>
<td>0.8299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.9714</td>
<td>0.9801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.9753</td>
<td>0.9826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.9771</td>
<td>0.9834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.9774</td>
<td>0.9824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.9889</td>
<td>0.9876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.9896</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td>0.9882</td>
<td>0.9334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.9869</td>
<td>0.9683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.4332</td>
<td>0.9939</td>
<td>0.4808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Effects of normalization method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>MSE train</th>
<th>MSE test</th>
<th>MSE train</th>
<th>MSE test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: Residual plot for model 1-7-1

model, residual plot was conducted, showed by Fig. 2 and 4 and regression analysis of outputs and desired target was plotted and showed as Fig. 3 and 5.

**DISCUSSION**

**Effects of hidden nodes:** In the study presented here, the size of hidden nodes in the range (1-17) were employed and analyzed. In general, 5 and 7 hidden nodes gave better result on the MLP performances for topology with 1 input and 2 inputs. Poor MSE performance can be observed for small hidden nodes, 1-4, as shown in Table 1. This might due to the model failed to differentiate between complex patterns leading to only a linear estimate of the actual trend. For hidden nodes more than 7, the structure fails to give good generalization. It can be seen

Fig. 3: Regression analysis (a) training set and (b) testing set, between the network response and the corresponding outputs of model 1-7-1

Fig. 4: Residual plot for model 2-5-1
performance compared to other structure. Residual plot for model 1-7-1 was conducted, as shown in Fig. 2. The error was seen scattered randomly in between -0.2 and 0.2; (-0.2 < error < 0.2). Meanwhile, Fig. 3 shows the regression analysis conducted for model 1 input for structure 1-7-1. The correlation coefficient for training set is 0.96148 and for test set is 0.96313 as depicted in Fig. 3.

Meanwhile, for model of 2 inputs, inputs variable used were glucose concentration (g L\(^{-1}\)) and cell number. It was found that the optimum structure that gave better performance compared to other structure was 2-5-1. Figure 4 shows the residual plot conducted for structure 2-5-1. From the figure, it can be seen that the error was scattered randomly and the error fall in the range -0.15 and 0.15 (-0.15<error<0.15). As from regression analysis, showed by Fig. 5, there is a high correlation between the predicted values by the ANN model and the measured values from the experimental data. The R-value for the training set was 0.98887 and for testing set was 0.98757 as depicted in Fig. 5.

It is found that the MSE values presented in Table 1(b) are mostly better than the MSE values presented in Table 1a. The regression analysis showed that Fig. 5 gave better correlation compared to Fig. 3. The range of error in residual plot of Fig. 2 was larger compared to the range of error in Fig. 4. Alas, this showed that having 2 inputs, give better predictive performance of ANN in predicting lactic acid concentration.

**Effects of normalization method:** Normalization is one of the critical elements in developing a software sensor. It was done in order to let the input and output value in the same order of magnitude and also to avoid any domination from any value of large magnitude [1,5]. Two normalization methods were studied here, using Eq. 1 and 2. There are significance differences between these two type normalization methods. It is found that the MSE-values presented in Table 2 for method 2 are better than the MSE-values for method 1. The best MSE-value for normalization method 1 is 0.0049; while for method 2 is 0.0025. Thus, normalization Eq. 2 gave better effects to both model compared to normalization Eq. 1.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the effects of number of inputs, hidden nodes and normalization method were studied. From the results, it showed that having too small of hidden nodes will resulted in incapability of network to differentiate a complex pattern and having too big of
hidden nodes will lead to over fitting. In this case, having
only 1 input is not sufficient to achieve good predictive
performance.

For future work, prediction of lactic acid
concentration can be carried out using different type of
inputs besides glucose concentration and cell number and
also using number of inputs more than 2. Other types of
ANN model can also be considered and compared with
MLP.
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