Virtual Study of Natural Convection Heat Transfer in an Inclined Square Cavity

C.S. Nor Azwadi, M.Y. Mohd Fairus and S. Syahrullail
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia

Abstract: This study presented numerical prediction of natural convection heat transfer inside an inclined square cavity with perfectly conducting boundary conditions for the top and bottom walls. The modified Navier Stokes equations were solved using finite difference approach with uniform mesh resolution. The inclination angels were varied from 0° to 90° with 20° intervals. The results were presented in terms of streamlines and isotherms plots. The detailed heat transfer mechanism based on the average Nusselt number and inclination angles are presented. The effects of the boundary conditions on the sidewalls on the flow behavior are also demonstrated numerically.
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INTRODUCTION

The natural convection heat transfer inside a cavity has attracted many early studies (Lee and Hellman, 1969; Clifton and Chapman, 1969; Hassan and Mohamed, 1970; Rasoul and Prinos, 1997) with the main concern to understand the heat transfer mechanism and fluid flow characteristic around the surface (Qi, 2008). Currently, the natural convection plays important roles in many science and engineering applications such as casting solidification process, cooling of electrical components, solar collecting and heating-ventilating process. The fact that the velocity and the temperature equations are solved simultaneously makes the study of natural convection quite complex (Das and Reddy, 2006; Azwadi and Tanahashi, 2007). Among the studies on natural convection phenomenon inside an enclosed cavity that are thoroughly reviewed by Ostrach (1988) many are applying adiabatic boundary conditions for top and bottom walls but very few are having perfectly conducting walls despite its importance in real engineering application (Costhiuizen and Naylor, 1998; Bubvonich et al., 2002). The importance of the wall boundary condition is affirmed by the study by Kim and Viskanta (1984), who claimed that besides the flow characteristic, the thermal and the geometrical characteristic of the walls are also affecting the Nusselt number (Kim and Viskanta, 1984; Ha et al., 2002). To the best of researchers knowledge, only Yucek and Ozdem (2003) conducted investigations with perfectly conducting sidewalls and a variation of the mean Nusselt number with the Rayleigh number.

There are many types of geometrical configurations have being applied to better understand the phenomenon of natural convection in a cavity. Among those that have been demonstrated are the central conducting block (Azwadi and Tanahashi, 2008; Sun and Amery, 1997; Ha and Jung, 2000), the partial dividers (Yucek and Ozdem, 2003; Ha and Jung, 2000) and the different positions of the differentially heated walls (Kim and Viskanta, 1984). The effect of inclined cavity on the heat transfer characteristic has been investigated by Das and Reddy (2006), who figured out that for an air in an enclosed system, the Rayleigh number above $10^4$ will display a distinctive change in the convection heat transfer rate as the inclination angle increased. In the present simulation, we extend the value of Rayleigh number up to $10^5$ and investigate the effect on the convection as the inclination angle varied. Since, the inclined cavity study by Das and Reddy (2006) is done along with a central conducting block and adiabatic sidewalls, the present study is differentiated in terms of the absence of the heat source inside the cavity and the perfectly conducting side walls.

There are plenty of early studies on the effect of inclination angle on the natural convection heat transfer in an enclosed cavity. The earliest result reported the stability analysis of the flow by Hart (1971). An experiment by Hiroyuki et al. (1974) to estimate the flow characteristic, Nusselt number and critical Rayleigh number revealed that the Rayleigh number at $10^2$ gives the minimum and the maximum heat transfer rate as the angle increases. Besides that, Kuyper et al. (1993) claimed that the heat flux is strongly dependent on the Rayleigh number and inclination angle through the experiment which is done in the range of $10^4$ to $10^5$ Rayleigh number.
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In the present study, we predicted a natural convection heat transfer phenomenon in an enclosed inclined cavity by solving the modified Navier-Stokes equations and discretising using the finite difference approach. The aspect ratio was fixed to unity as we varied the inclination angle of the cavity. The sidewalls were maintained at different hot temperature and cold temperature while the top and bottom walls were set at perfectly conducting boundary condition. As far as the flow, we assumed a two dimensional, steady and laminar flow across the calculation domain. The objective of the present numerical study was to investigate the effect of inclination angle on the natural heat convection inside a perfectly conducting top and bottom walls cavity.

PROBLEM PHYSICS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In the present numerical study, the simulation was done at five different angles between 20° to 90°. As far as the fluid characteristic, the Prandtl number of 0.71 was used to represent circulation of air in the system. Furthermore, the Boussinesq approximation was included in the buoyancy force term so that all densities are assumed constant in the body force term except the one in the gravity term which changes with temperature. So, the body force term in \(x\) and \(y\) direction become:

\[
G_x = \beta \gamma (T - T_e), \\
G_y = \beta \gamma (T - T_e) \tag{1}
\]

The dimensionless numbers used in the fluid flow calculation are the Prandtl and Rayleigh numbers defined as follow:

\[
Pr = \frac{v}{\kappa} \tag{2}
\]

\[
Ra = \frac{PrT_e(T_e - T_i)L^3}{\nu Z} \tag{3}
\]

The geometry being solved in this study is shown in Fig. 1. The length of each side of the cavity is \(L\) and the hot wall is inclined with an angle of \(\phi\) with the horizontal axis. The wall opposite to the hot wall is maintained at cold temperature while the other two walls (bottom and top walls) are set at perfectly conducting boundary condition defined as follows:

\[
T = T_0 - \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)(T_i - T_e) \tag{4}
\]

For verifying the grid independence of the predicted results, a grid resolution study has been carried out and shown in Fig. 2, at an inclination angle of 90° and Rayleigh number of 10^7. The differences were found to be minor, for grid refinement from 81x81 to 101x101. Hence in the present simulation, a 101x101 grid has been used for all the cases studied.

NUMERICAL MODEL

In this study, the incompressible, laminar and two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations along with the continuity and energy equations are solved in terms of stream function and vorticity. Then, the finite difference approach will be applied to discretize the equations. The governing equations used in the present study are as followed:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{5}
\]

\[

\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) - \beta \gamma \cos (\phi) (T - T_e) \tag{6}
\]
Next, the pressure terms are removed by taking the y-derivative of Eq 6 and subtracting from the x-derivative of Eq 7. The resulting equation is:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \cos \phi - \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \sin \phi \right)
\]

The vorticity and stream function equation

\[
\omega = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
\]

\[
u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}
\]

is then be applied in Eq. 9 to rewrite the equation in term of vorticity, \( \omega \)

\[
u = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2} \right) - \rho \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \cos \phi - \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \sin \phi \right)
\]

and plugging in the stream function, \( \psi \), Eq. 12 becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \rho \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \sin \phi - \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \cos \phi \right)
\]

In term of the stream function, Eq. 8 and 10 can be defined as:

\[
-\omega = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

Before the discretization process, we introduce the following dimensionless variables:

\[
\Psi = \frac{\psi}{\delta}, \quad \Omega = \frac{\omega L^2 \delta}{\nu}, \quad x = \frac{X}{L}, \quad y = \frac{Y}{L}, \quad \theta = \frac{T - T_0}{T_1 - T_0}
\]

In term of these dimensionless variables, Eq. 13-15 become:

Finally, the second order finite difference is applied to Eq. 17-19 and the solutions are obtained in term of stream function and temperature. At the end of the computations, the average Nusselt number is also calculated along the hot and cold walls.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We have set up the physical problem and the calculation domain. We then derived the mathematical model to solve the natural convection problem before proceeding with the discretization process using the finite difference scheme. Here, the predicted numerical results will be discussed in terms of the isotherms and streamlines plots.

Figure 3a-e show the computed streamlines when the inclination angle is varied from 20° to 90°. As can be seen from Fig. 3a, the streamlines are rectangular in shape when the hot wall is at a right angle with respect to the horizontal axis. The air near the hot wall is heated and goes up due to the buoyancy effect before it curves up following the shape of the sidewall. Then as it is cooled by the cold wall, the air gets heavier and goes downwards to complete the cycle.

There are two types of cells captured namely the primary and secondary vortices. The primary vortex is transformed from a double cellular shape at higher

![Fig. 3: (a-e) Streamline plots](image-url)
Fig. 4: (a-e) Isotherms plot

inclusion angle (Fig. 3a) to a single cellular shape (Fig. 3e) at low inclination angle. When the hot wall is normal to the horizontal axis, there is no gravity component acting on the fluid along the sidewalls. As the cavity is inclined, the gravity component started to act along the sidewalls and assists the flow. The acceleration of the flow can be seen along the walls from angle 60° and lower, which cause the transformation of the primary. On the other hand, the secondary vortex are captured at inclination angle of 20° and 40°.

Figure 4a-e show the plots of isotherms for every simulation conditions. They demonstrate a denser collection of isotherms near the isothermal walls indicates high heat transfer rate at this region. The domination of vertical isotherms which are parallel with the hot and cold walls is reduced as the inclination angle varies from 20° to 90°. Qualitatively, it may be deduced from the isotherms that as the inclination angle increases, the convection mode of heat transfer dominates over the conduction mode.

The effect of the inclination angle on the Nusselt number is investigated and demonstrates in Fig. 5. As can be seen from the Fig. 5, the average Nusselt number on the hot and cold walls reaches its maximum value at the inclination angle of around 65°. This seems to be a “critical angle” where the natural convection is at the maximum point. This phenomenon can be explained by referring to Fig. 3 that for an inclination angle greater than 60°, the primary vortex is transformed from a single cellular to a double cellular structure and the cell size is getting bigger. Thus, more fluid is circulating at the centre of the cavity which does not reach either hot wall or cold wall which decreases the natural convection heat transfer mechanism.

![Fig. 5: Plot of average Nusselt number vs. inclination angle](image)

![Fig. 6: (a-d) Comparison of plots of isotherms and streamlines between for adiabatic and perfectly conducting boundary conditions](image)

The conduction effect can be seen clearly in the isotherms plots (Fig. 4). The direction of air movement in the streamlines is in agreement with the conduction effects that are captured in the isotherms result. Since, the convection is mainly driven by the movement of fluids, the advection of air triggers the convection heat transfer and moves the constant temperature line in the direction of its motion.

Moreover, the effect of boundary condition can be seen clearly from the comparison between the isotherms plot of the present study and that of by Azwadi and Tanahashi (2006) as shown in Fig. 6a-d. Even though the general pattern of the temperature lines is similar, however, there is a significant variation along the
sidewalls. The rate of change of temperature of the present study is more constant compared to the study with adiabatic boundary condition. This is expected since the perfectly conducting boundary condition is defined based on the linear decrease of the temperature from the hot wall to the cold wall. On the other hand, from the comparison of streamline plots, the perfectly conducting boundary condition does not show any significant effect on the flow behavior.

CONCLUSION

The natural convection in an inclined cavity had been simulated using finite difference approach where the Navier Stokes equation had been modified in terms of vorticity and stream function. The result of streamlines shows clearly depicting the flow pattern and vortex structure in the cavity. On the other hand, the isotherms plot has captured the isothermal lines pattern that indicates the dominant mode of heat transfer mechanism and the region of high heat transfer rate. Based on the isotherms plot, the heat transfers near the isothermal walls are higher compared to the outer parts of the cavity. The primary vortex is transformed from a single cellular to a double cellular as the inclination angle increases. In addition, the natural convection increases as the inclination angle increases until it reaches the critical angle after which the natural convection starts to decrease. Based on the average Nusselt number, we found that the maximum natural convection occurs in the region of 65° inclination angle. Moreover, the perfectly conducting boundary condition shows significant effect on the isotherms along the sidewalls where a linear change of temperature is captured.
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