Development of Some Numerical Methods Applying Complexity Reduction Approach for Solving Scientific Problem
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Abstract: The objective of this study was to describe numerical methods that apply complexity reduction approach to solve various scientific problems. Due to their low in complexity, their computations are faster than their standard form. Some of the methods have even higher in accuracy compared to their standard methods. In this study, we will describe the development of some of the methods that have been recently used to solve various scientific problems.
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INTRODUCTION

Partial differential equations can simulate most scientific problems. Finite element, finite difference, boundary element, and finite volume methods have been used to solve such problems. All these methods can be categorized as mesh-based methods.

Various iterative methods have been proposed to solve any system of linear equations, which is generated by discretization of differential equations (Young, 1971, 1972, 1976; Hackbusch, 1995). Abdullah (1991) has proposed a complexity reduction approach scheme via the Explicit Decoupled Group (EDG) method in order to speed-up the computational execution time. Initially, the EDG method has been presented to solve two-dimensional Poisson equations. The method has been utilized to solve various problems (Ibrahim and Abdullah, 1995). Previous studies have shown that EDG have reduced computational complexity of its full-sweep methods, the Explicit Group (EG). Motivated by the concept of half-sweep iterations, Othman and Abdullah (2000) have proposed the quarter-sweep iteration via the Modified Explicit Group (MEG) method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To illustrate the implementations of the full-, half-, and quarter-sweep iterative schemes for solving any boundary value problem, this study will restrict to describe in finding computational molecules for only one dimensional (only consider in x space dimension) and two dimensional (only consider in x and y space dimension) spatial problems.

ONE-STAGE METHODS

Computational molecule for one dimensional problem:
Let us consider the following three equations:

- Poisson equation:
  \[ \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} = f(x), x \in [a, b] \] (1)

- Water quality model:
  \[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = -U \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + E \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}, x \in [s, b], t \geq 0 \] (2)
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Fig. 1: Nodes for (a) full-sweep, (b) half-sweep and (c) quarter-sweep cases (steady problem)

Fig. 2: Nodes for (a) full-sweep, (b) half-sweep and (c) quarter-sweep cases (unsteady problem)

- First-Order hyperbolic equation:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = f(x, t), x \in [a, b] t \geq 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The nodes distributions for steady and unsteady problems are given by Fig. 1 and 2, respectively.

To simplify the discussion, we consider uniform node points only as shown in Fig. 1 and 2. For this purpose, we assume the solution domain can be uniformly divided into $m = 2^p$, $p \geq 2$ and $R$ subintervals in $x$ and $t$ directions respectively. The subintervals in the $x$ and $t$ directions are denoted by $\Delta x$ and $\Delta t$ respectively, which are defined as:

$$\Delta x = \frac{(b-a)}{m} = \frac{h}{m} = \frac{n + 1}{m}$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{(T-0)}{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Generally, the second-order scheme for the full-, half-, and quarter-sweep finite difference approximation equations can be easily shown as:

$$\alpha C_{i+p} + \beta C_i + \Phi C_{i-p} = Y_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

for $i = 1, 2, 3, ... , m-p$, where $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\Phi$ are coefficients and $y_i = 1, 2, 3, ... , m-p$ are known values. The values of $p$, which corresponds to 1, 2 and 4, represents the full-, half-, and quarter-sweep cases respectively. Thus, the computational molecule for Eq. 5 is shown in Fig. 3.

The corresponding system of linear equations generated by Eq. 5 can be stated as:

$$AC = Y$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \phi \\ \alpha & \beta & \Phi \\ & \alpha & \beta & \Phi \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{m-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

Analogously, fourth-order finite difference approximation equations can also be performed on (1-3) and resulted in:

$$AC = Y'$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ e_1 & d_1 & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y' = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{m-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-p} \end{bmatrix}$$
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Computational molecule for two dimensional problems:
Let us consider 2D unsteady and steady problems given as:

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{f}, \\
\mathbf{A} &= \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{f} &= \begin{bmatrix}
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
\vdots \\
f_n
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align}

where, \(A_{ij}\) and \(f_i\) are the coefficients of the system of equations.

\begin{align}
\alpha_i C_{i,j} + \beta_i C_{i,j+1} + \gamma_i C_{i,j-1} + \delta_i C_{i+1,j} + \epsilon_i C_{i-1,j} &= Y_i \\
\beta_i C_{i,j} + \beta_i C_{i,j+1} + \gamma_i C_{i,j-1} + \delta_i C_{i+1,j} + \epsilon_i C_{i-1,j} &= Y_i \\
\gamma_i C_{i,j} + \gamma_i C_{i,j+1} + \delta_i C_{i,j-1} + \epsilon_i C_{i+1,j} + \beta_i C_{i-1,j} &= Y_i \\
\delta_i C_{i,j} + \delta_i C_{i,j+1} + \epsilon_i C_{i,j-1} + \beta_i C_{i+1,j} + \gamma_i C_{i-1,j} &= Y_i \\
\epsilon_i C_{i,j} + \epsilon_i C_{i,j+1} + \beta_i C_{i,j-1} + \gamma_i C_{i+1,j} + \delta_i C_{i-1,j} &= Y_i
\end{align}

where, \(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \text{ and } \epsilon_i\) are the coefficients of the system of equations. Thus, the computational molecule for each of (11-13) is as shown in Fig. 5a-e.

The corresponding system of linear equations generated by Eq. 11-13 can be stated in a matrix form as:

\begin{align}
\mathbf{AC} &= \mathbf{Y}
\end{align}

where, \(\mathbf{A}\) is a penta-diagonal matrix.
TWO-STAGES TECHNIQUES

Apart from the one-stage iterative methods, the concept of the two-stages iterative methods has been proposed widely to be one of the efficient methods for solving any system of linear equations. These methods involve two levels of virtual time such as $c^{(0)}$ and $c^{(2)}$. In developing two-stage iterative schemes, usually, the coefficient matrix, $A$ will be decomposed by using several splitting techniques. The following is a list of two-stage iterative methods that can be considered.

Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (IADE) Method: The Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (IADE) method proposed by Sahimi et al. (1993) is an extension of the Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method. Instead of using the Douglass-Rachford and Peacemen-Rachford variants, the general scheme of this method using the Mitchell-Fairweather variant can be expressed as:

\[
(tI + G_1)c^{(1/2)} = (tI - gG_1)c^{(0)} + Y \\
(tI + G_2)c^{(3/2)} = (tI - gG_2)c^{(1/2)} + gY
\]

where, $r$, $k$ and $I$ represent as an acceleration parameter, the $k$ iteration and an identity matrix respectively and the relation of $g$ and $r$ is given by $G=(6+r)/6$ The formulation of the IADE methods is to assume that the coefficient matrix, $A$ of the system (6) can be written generally as:

\[
A - G_1 + G_2 = \frac{1}{6} G_1 G_2
\]

Motivated by the concept of the complexity reduction techniques, the standard IADE method has been modified and now there are several various IADE called as the Reduced Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (RIADE) proposed by Sahimi and Khatim (2001), the Half-Sweep Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (HSADE) proposed by Sulaiman et al. (2004a), and the Quarter-Sweep Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (QSADE) proposed by Sulaiman et al. (2004b).
Various mean schemes: The Arithmetic Mean (AM) iterative method (Ruggiero and Galligani, 1990) can be named as the Full-Sweep Arithmetic Mean (FSAM). In 2004, this standard AM has been modified via implementing the concept of the half-sweep iteration and called as the Half-Sweep Arithmetic Mean (HSAM) method (Sulaiman et al., 2004c). Further studies of the HSAM method have been conducted by Sulaiman et al. (2005). Let the coefficient matrix, A is represented as the sum of three matrices:

\[ A = L + D + T \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where, L, D and T are strictly lower triangular, diagonal and strictly upper triangular matrices respectively. The general scheme for the FSAM, HSAM and QSAM methods can be represented as:

\[
\begin{align*}
(D + rL)C^{(0)} &= ((1 - r)D - rT)C^{(0)} + rY \\
(D + rT)C^{(0)} &= ((1 - r)D + rL)U^{(0)} + rYZ \\
C^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2}(C^{(0)} + C^{(0)})
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where, \( r \) and \( C^{(0)} \) represent as an acceleration parameter and an unknown vector at the \( k^{th} \) iteration respectively.

Apart from the average mean, geometric mean have also be utilized with the complexity reduction approach to form the Half-Sweep Geometric Mean (HSGM) and Quarter-Sweep Geometric Mean (QSGM) for solving a two-point boundary value problem (Sulaiman et al., 2008).

The general scheme for the FSGM, HSGM and QSGM methods can be represented as:

\[
\begin{align*}
(D + rL)C^{(0)} &= ((1 - r)D - rT)C^{(0)} + rY \\
(D + rT)C^{(0)} &= ((1 - r)D + rL)U^{(0)} + rYZ \\
C^{(0)} &= \sqrt{C^{(0)}C^{(0)}}
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where, \( r \) and \( C^{(0)} \) represent as an acceleration parameter and an unknown vector at the \( k^{th} \) iteration respectively.

APPLICATIONS IN WAVE PROPAGATION PROBLEM

Inspired by the concept of the half- and quarter-sweep iteration, a method called High Speed Low Order Finite Difference Time Domain (HSLO-FDTD) have been proposed to solve free space wave propagation problems (Hasan et al., 2006, 2007a). Through numerical experiments in the previous studies, it has shown that the HSLO-FDTD method has successfully reduce 67% for 1D and 85.2% for 2D for solving free space wave propagation compared to conventional FDTD. Further studies of the HSLO-FDTD have also been conducted by Hasan et al. (2007b). An extension to fourth order approximation with complexity reduction approach called High Speed High Order Finite Difference Time Domain (HSHO-FDTD) to solve 1D and 2D free space wave propagation problems have also been proposed (Hasan et al., 2009). Research conducted shows that HSHO-FDTD have improve not only the speed of solving 2D wave propagation problem (compared to conventional FDTD method), but also improved the accuracy (compared to HSLO-FDTD method).

CURRENT WORK AND FUTURE RESEARCH

As mentioned in the second, third and fourth sections, we, actually, can reduce the complexity of existing method via complexity reduction techniques by considering the same order of the approximations. Obviously, the advantage of the complexity reduction approach is to reduce the complexity of the original method. As a result, the methods have successfully speed-up the computational time.

Beside the finite difference methods, we have also applied the same concept of the complexity reduction approach to galerkin type of finite element methods (Sulaiman and Abdullah, 2001; Sulaiman et al., 2005). Overall, the complexity reduction approach have successfully speed-up the computational execution time. This is because of the computational complexity of the method applying complexity reduction approach is lower than the conventional methods.
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