Characterization of the Structure Feature of Bimetallic Fe-Ni Catalysts
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Abstract: Production of hydrogen gas from biomass gasification usually comes with several problems such as the existence of unacceptable level of tars and also ineffectiveness of the catalysts performance due to coke deposition. In order to eliminate most of the inconvenience encountered, new types of catalyst have been developed. In this study, bimetallic Fe and Ni supported on zeolite beta have been prepared by incipient wetness impregnation method with different calcination temperatures (500-700°C). The interaction of active metals and support on the structure, metals transition and reduction were characterized by physicochemical techniques such as BET, XRD, FESEM-EDX and TPR. The results showed that the active metals incorporated with zeolite beta in bimetallic systems exhibit a strong Fe-Ni/BEA interaction, which stabilizes Fe” and Ni” ions in the lattice. Reducibility of nickel increased in the presence of Fe, which was confirmed by the combination of active metals reduction peaks attributed to weak interaction with the support, FeO to Fe2O3 to FeO and strong interaction with the support between FeAl2O4 and NiAl2O4. Bimetallic catalysts have a bigger surface area with increasing calcination temperatures, which is closely related to high activity in the gasification reaction. It was found that different calcination temperatures give a significant effect to the precursor whereby FeNi/BEA (600°C) showed better physicochemical properties than other samples with high reducibility even though it has low surface area.
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INTRODUCTION

The production of hydrogen from gasification of biomass as an alternative fuel for transportation, power generation and chemical feedstock is the principal part of the effort to meet the goal of a biomass-based technology. The development on this process was started over century ago and has sustained into the present. However, this effort is allied with a number of problems (Asadullah et al., 2003). The main problem is the formation of solid residues consisting of char, ash, volatile alkali metals and tar (Uddin et al., 2008) which is very harmful and limits the hydrogen production. Moreover, the continual build up of tar present in produce gas can cause blockage and damage to the equipment which can reduce the efficiency of the gasification system (Sutton et al., 2001).

Recently, interest has grown on the subject of catalysis of biomass gasification to eliminate tar in the product and emphasize on the production of hydrogen-rich gas (Hu et al., 2006). Among Ni, Co, Fe, Ru and Pt catalyst, supported Rh catalyst showed best performance in steam gasification. It was demonstrated that catalysts having a loading of 1.2×10−4 (Rh)g-cat can convert 98-99% of the carbon in biomass to products at 873 K (Asadullah et al., 2003). For economic reason, nickel and iron based catalysts were still the preferred choice due to their wide availability and cheapness (Uddin et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2007). Several nickel based catalysts have been investigated and found to be very active in destruction of tar (Sato and Fujimoto, 2007). However, the activity of the catalyst is sensitive to nickel loading and metal dispersion (Sutton et al., 2001). The reaction is frequently accompanied by coke formation and sintering of Ni metal particles, leading to catalyst deactivation.

Iron catalyst, which contains hematite Fe3O4, is also active in reforming hydrocarbon and diminishes the tar content in the gas mixture. According to the previous
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study (Nordgreen et al., 2006) when metallic Fe is utilised as tar-depleting catalyst in the gasification of biomass, the product gas has significantly lower tar content. Furthermore, surface area of the iron oxide catalyst played an important role in the catalytic tar decomposition. It was found that the addition of Al₂O₃ to iron oxide was one method for the improvement of the surface area without deactivation (Uddin et al., 2008).

In order to provide high catalytic reaction in biomass gasification, the usage of a bifunctional bimetallic catalyst consisting of active metal and an acidic zeolite beta or so-called International Zeolite Association Framework Designation: BEA has been focused in this study. BEA has been used as support for several catalysts because of their molecular sieve properties as well as shape selective characteristic and excellent acid support (Jordao et al., 2007). It has also been reported that some significant advantages can be added when amorphous support was replaced with crystalline materials such as zeolite or BEA. Selectivity will be improved due to higher density of acidic sites present in the zeolite, higher activity during reaction and additional resistance to sulphur poisoning (Jordao and Cardoso, 2001).

In this study, BEA supported bimetallic Fe-Ni in which Fe and Ni are compatible elements has been prepared at different calcination temperatures. The objectives of this study are to identify the changes caused in the properties of these catalysts by the insertion of a second metal and effect of calcination temperatures to the precursor. This is because the variation of the catalysts properties can reflect the interaction among metal and support and also the agglomeration features of the active sites on the metal catalyst.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Catalyst preparation: For the preparation of bimetallic catalysts, the metals were loaded into the support via a two-step incipient wetness impregnation method. For the first step impregnation, 5wt% metal loading of Ni based catalysts was prepared. First, BEA as the support is calcined at 500°C for 16 h. Then, a required amount of NiCl₂·6H₂O was dissolved in sufficient amount of distilled water followed by adding 95% of BEA to the metal salt solution under continuous stirring. The slurry formed will be left for impregnation for 4 h under stirring and later dried at 120°C for 16 h. Finally, the dried samples will be calcined at 500-700°C for 16 h. The second metal is introduced in the second impregnation step using another 5 wt% of Fe metal, yielding 5%Fe5%Ni/BEA which is designated as FeNi/BEA where in YX/BEA (T°C), metal X is impregnated first, followed by metal Y and T is calcination temperature.

Catalyst characterization: BET surface area, volume and BJH pore size distribution of the bimetallic catalysts were determined by N₂-physisorption using micromeritics ASAP 2000 apparatus at liquid-N₂ temperature of -196°C. The samples were degassed under nitrogen at 120°C for overnight before they were analyzed. The Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using a Bruker diffractometer using Cu-Kα radiation to identify the crystalline phases and crystal size of FeNi/BEA catalysts. The Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR) experiments were performed to determine the reducibility of the metal present on the catalyst surface and investigate interaction between the metal and support. The TPR runs utilized 5%H₂/N₂ with a flow rate of 20 cm min⁻¹, and the temperature was programmed to increase at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 800°C. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) analysis was conducted to study the morphology of the catalysts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Textural properties of catalyst: BEA has tridirectional system of interconnected channels (Kang et al., 2008) with 12-ring orifice and 3D pore structure (Chen et al., 2006) providing large and small cavities. The frameworks are composed of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃. Based on N₂-physisorption terms, the textural properties like BET surface area and pore volume of the catalysts prepared using BEA as support were calculated and summarized in Table 1 and their pore size distribution patterns are shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1 shows that the surface areas of the catalysts are varied widely where fresh BEA has bigger surface area 529.04 m² g⁻¹ compared to the bimetallic catalyst. Once BEA is incorporated with active metals, the surface area is reduced. The decrease in the surface area of the bimetallic catalysts are 15.8, 16.5 and 15% in the case of after calcinations at 500, 600 and 700°C, respectively. The influence is so pronounced in some instances that Fe and Ni have occupied the BEA pore which resulted in the surface area reduction. Catalysts with more available surface area generally are more active, more adsorptive, sinter at lower temperature and exhibit more catalytic activity (Webb and Orr, 1997). Moreover, the surface area of the catalyst is identical with the pore volume where the size of pore volume is reduced when more active metals were impregnated with BEA.
Table 1: Textural properties of the catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>BET surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Porosity (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500°C</td>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>529.045</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeNi/BEA</td>
<td>445.446</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600°C</td>
<td>FeNi/BEA</td>
<td>441.816</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700°C</td>
<td>FeNi/BEA</td>
<td>449.752</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Pore distribution of the catalysts

Fig. 2: XRD patterns for (a) BEA and FeNi/BEA calcined at different calcination temperatures (b) 500°C (c) 600°C (d) 700°C

In the present study, the composite of FeNi/BEA is found to exhibit a wide pore distribution plot (Fig. 1) which scattered in the mesopores region, 2-50 nm and macropores region from 50 to 130 nm. Nitrogen volume uptake increased as number of pores increased in the range of 20-60 nm when BEA was incorporated with active metals. Hence, high BET surface area, high pore volume and wide pore distribution of the bimetallic catalysts in terms of N₂ physisorption may be closely related in promoting high activity in the gasification reaction.

**Powder X-ray diffraction:** The XRD patterns of the prepared catalysts via incipient wetness impregnation method with different calcination temperatures are displayed in Fig. 2. Both active metals revealed two diffraction peaks, which are corresponding to hematite, α-Fe₂O₃ phase and bunsenite, NiO phase, respectively.

The peaks for iron phase with the appearance of (104) and (110) plane positioned at the 2θ of 33.1° and 35.6° meanwhile for NiO phase with the appearance of (111) and (200) plane at the 2θ of 37.3° and 43.3°. These planes are in agreement with data reported in the JCPDS index and from previous study (Kang et al., 2008; Rynkowski et al., 1993; Zielinski, 1982). The peaks for BEA which appeared as a major plane were at the 2θ = 8° and 22.5°. The intensity of fresh BEA diffraction peaks was high and quite broader illustrating that the peaks contain SiO₂ and Al₂O₃. However, when FeNi was incorporated with BEA, the intensity of BEA diffraction peak became lower and shifted to higher 2θ value. This may due to the formation of interacted species between iron and nickel with alumina or silica in BEA. However, formation of nickel aluminates and iron aluminates phase have not detected probably due to the lack of crystallinity as observed previously by Zielinski (1982) and confirmed by Salage et al. (1996).

Temperature is one of the important factors, which influence the product components and shapes where it can break up the precursor and eventually decelerates the crystallization. Referring to the prepared catalysts with different calcination temperatures from 500 to 700°C, characteristic lines lower with lower value of 2θ as well as their intensities were slightly shifted due to the agglomeration of the particles. The situation is obviously in the case of BEA wherein the diffraction peaks were decreased with increasing of calcination temperatures. This indicates that the temperature has also contributed to the crystallization of the prepared catalysts. Referring to the JCPDS index, the bimetallic catalysts had hexagonal structure (39-5415) for Fe₂O₃ and cubic structure (47-1049) for NiO, respectively.

The crystallite size of the catalysts can be estimated based on the basis of line broadening analysis using Scherer's formula. The calculation was relied on the intensity value of the main metal peak. It can be clearly observed that the bimetallic catalysts has greater particle size when calcined at higher temperature, 700°C. This could be due to agglomeration of the active metals after prolonged treatment at high temperature.

**Field emission scanning electron microscopy:** The morphology of the prepared catalysts was examined by FESEM and the images are displayed in Fig. 3. From the Fig. 3a, the BEA which is a conventional catalyst has been determined in fine particles with indistinct features.
Fig. 3: FESEM images of the prepared catalysts (a) BEA and FeNi/BEA at different calcination temperatures (b) 500°C (c) 600°C (d) 700°C
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
<td>FeNi/BEA</td>
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</table>
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<th>Table 3: EDX data for bimetallic catalysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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When BEA impregnated with bimetallic structure and calcined at 500°C, the prepared catalysts revealed a crystalline phase instead of indefinite features. The crystalline phase with hexagonal structure was referring to Fe₂O₃, the second metal in bimetallic catalyst as confirmed in XRD characterization. Thus, this ascribed to the fact that the Fe₂O₃ crystalline phase submitted little contribution to the metal dispersion resulting less interaction with nickel and support. Particularly, too high iron loading results in the formation of Fe₂O₃ crystalline phase on the support. However, the crystallization was improved after calcination at 600°C but decreased at 700°C where a minor crystalline phase can still be observed.

The surface elemental compositions of the prepared catalysts were characterized by EDX analysis and the results are shown in Table 2. Fe and O have been detected verifying the prepared catalyst is in the form of hematite, Fe₂O₃ phase which validate the XRD analysis. Meanwhile Ni and O confirm that the catalyst is in the form of nickel oxide, NiO phase and Si-O with Al-O in the form of BEA. When the Fe and Ni were impregnated together with BEA, it shows that both phases were present in the bimetallic catalysts. The weight percentage for both metal are different where the first metal loading with support has less percentage compared to second metal. This is implies that the second metal has covered the first metal during the impregnation Table 3.

Temperature Programmed Analysis (H₂ TPR): Figure 4 shows the TPR profile of the catalysts calcined at 500°C with Ni/BEA and Fe/BEA which were used as standard. The reduction of 5%Ni/BEA gives two stages with peak maxima at 420 and 590°C, respectively. Zielinski (1982) indicates that the low temperature peak on the TPR curve is due to the reduction of NiO not bound with the support which refers as “free nickel oxide”. Meanwhile the higher temperature peak is corresponded to the reduction of nickel that had reacted with the support forming nickel aluminate, NiAl₂O₄ so-called “fixed nickel oxide”. The formation of this aluminate is possible as a result of the reaction of extra-framework aluminate which is present in the zeolite sample. Due to the framework silica/alumina ratio, roughly small amount of alumina that might be present in the sample is outside of the framework and is available for reaction with the nickel oxide to form the nickel aluminate (NiAl₂O₄). The XRD
Calcination temperatures: It was found that the preparation procedure such as types of nickel salt used, support and calcination temperature is one of the factors that contributed to the fraction fixed nickel oxide development (Zielinski, 1982). The reduction profile of 5%Ni/BMA is in accordance with Cheng et al. (2001) where nickel in NiO is reducible below 500°C while nickel in NiAl₂O₄ is reducible above 500°C or 600°C.

The phase transformations of 5%Fe/BMA during TPR process showed three stages (between 500°C-800°C) with the existence of several reduction phases of iron oxide, which might be attributed to weak interaction of the support, Fe₂O₃ to FeO, and to FeO as confirmed by Wan et al. (2007) and strong interaction with support, FeAl₂O₄. Reduction of Fe₂O₃ ends at FeO phase rather than Fe because FeO is a metastable phase of iron oxide on the support (Wielers et al., 1989). The strong interaction between Fe-Al₂O₃ provided the stabilization of FeO phase on Al₂O₃ (Zhang et al., 2006) which could further retard the transformation of FeO to Fe (Wan et al., 2007). It should be noted that a lower broad peak between 300-500°C was observed and as reported by Virginie et al. (2008) the peak shows the reduction of free iron oxides.

Interaction of FeNi/BMA (500°C) significantly intensify the peak and shifts the reduction peak into lower temperature where the H₂ consumption increased from 34.31 μmol g⁻¹ to 41.12 μmol g⁻¹. This result is in agreement with fesem analysis where the morphology of the FeNi/BMA (500°C) catalyst shows a hexagonal structure of Fe₃O₄ located on the support. However, the peak gradually disappeared at 600 and 700°C calcinations temperature (Fig. 5). These types of oxides are easily reduced and its existence can cause several difficulties during reaction such as sintering and carbon deposition on the catalyst surface which will lead to catalyst deactivation (Virginie et al., 2008).

The variation in the TPR profile of the bimetallic catalysts in Fig. 5 shows the reductions of nickel and iron phase at 500-800°C region were overlapped into a broad peak, which suggested that the stabilization of Fe⁺⁺ and Ni⁺⁺ ions in the lattice. The reduction performed undergoes process of Fe₃O₄ to Fe₂O₃ to FeO, Fe₂Al₂O₄ and NiAl₂O₄. In the case of FeNi/BMA (500°C) the peak itself split into two: the Fe₂O₃ to FeO phase and FeO while NiAl₂O₄ reduction appeared first as a discrete peak and the second one representing the reduction of FeAl₂O₄. A possible reason for this phenomenon is non-homogeneous mixing of Fe-Ni species due to less metal dispersion as reported by FESEM analysis and hence Fe could not promote the reduction efficiently. However, smooth reduction peaks appeared for 600°C and negligible for 700°C calcinations. Consequently, iron facilitates the reduction of nickel when the several reduction phases are well mixed, resulting in the corresponding peaks appearing at much lower temperature.

The reduction peak associated to the reduction of free nickel oxide NiO to Ni⁺ shifted towards lower temperature as the temperatures of calcination increases. The H₂ consumption for the reduction of free nickel oxide, NiO to Ni⁺ was 500.04, 531.47 and 394.43 μmol g⁻¹ for the catalysts calcined at 500 to 700°C. Less H₂ consumption were observed for reducing Ni in NiO in the case of FeNi/BMA (700°C) bimetallic catalyst due to agglomeration of the active metal during the impregnation as confirmed by calculating the crystallite size. Meanwhile, the H₂ consumption for second reduction peaks which represent combination of several phases were 445.46, 551.16 and 494.93 μmol g⁻¹ for 500, 600 and 700°C calcination temperatures, respectively.
CONCLUSION

BET analysis shows that the insertion of a second metal reduced the surface area of the bimetallic catalyst. However, the higher calcination temperatures of FeNi/BEA catalyst produced higher the surface area. The pore distributions of FeNi/BEA catalysts lie in the mesopores and macropores region with diameter between 2 and 130 nm. Powder XRD revealed both active metals Fe and Ni showed as two diffraction peaks which are corresponding to Fe$_3$O$_4$ and NiO. FeNi/BEA (500°C) catalysts revealed a hexagonal structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$ which submitted little contribution to the metal dispersion compared to the catalyst calcined at 600 and 700°C. The profiles obtained from TPR suggest that, for bimetallic catalysts, the presence of iron facilitates the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ cation due to well combination of several reduction phases attributed to weak interaction and strong interaction with the support. Different calcination temperatures give a significant effect to the precursor whereby FeNi/BEA (600°C) shows better physicochemical properties than other catalysts. Eventhough the catalyst has low surface area, it has high reducibility and has an improvement in the crystallization resulting in lower operation system and a more stabilized activity.
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