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Abstract: The soft switched PWM ZVS full bridge DC to DC converter and push-pull type LLC series resonant converter are compared for use in low output voltage power supply applications. It is shown that push-pull type LLC series resonant converter takes on the desirable characteristics of the conventional push-pull converter and LLC series resonant converter. Push-pull type has less conduction loss than that of full bridge converter. Analyses and simulation shows that for low power applications required turn ratio of the transformer is less so efficiency is more and switching stress is less for push-pull LLC series resonant converter than PWM ZVS full bridge DC to DC converter. The 48V DC is efficiently reduced to 12V DC using both DC to DC converters using 20KHZ switching frequency and all parameters are compared.
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INTRODUCTION

Now-a-days the kinds of control type soft switching circuits are few. Phase shifted full-bridge converter, asymmetrical half-bridge converter push-pull converter and LLC series resonant converters and so on are the typical topologies.

The Full-Bridge (FB) Zero-Voltage-Switched (ZVS) converter is one of the most attractive techniques. It is the most widely used soft-switched circuit in high-power applications (Redl et al., 1991; Sabate et al., 1991; Chen et al., 1995). This constant-frequency converter employs Phase-Shift (PS) control and features ZVS of the primary switches with relatively small circulating energy. In this technique a control circuit serves to supply pulsed control signals to the switching transistors of the converter for maintaining the output voltage at its desired level using phase shift control in known manner. Though the snubber approaches in (Redl et al., 1991; Sabate et al., 1991) offer practical and efficient solutions to the secondary-side ringing problem, they do not offer any improvement of the secondary-side duty-cycle loss.

Several techniques have been proposed to extend the ZVS range of FB ZVS converters without the loss of duty cycle and secondary-side ringing (Jain et al., 2002; Ayynar and Mohan, 2001; Mason and Jain, 2005; Jang and Jovanovic, 2004). Generally these circuits utilize energy stored in the inductive components of an auxiliary circuit to achieve ZVS for all primary switches in an extended load and input voltage range. Ideally, the auxiliary circuit needs to provide very little energy, if any, at full load because the full-load current stores enough energy in the converter's inductive components to achieve complete ZVS for all switches. As the load current decreases the energy provided by the auxiliary circuit must increase to maintain ZVS with the maximum energy required at no load. The energy stored for ZVS is independent of load as described by Jain et al. (2002) and Ayyanar and Mohan, (2001). Adaptive energy storage in the auxiliary circuit has been given by Mason and Jain (2005) and Jang and Jovanovic (2004). However, these converters have to use large inductors so high circulating energy is needed to achieve no-load ZVS. ZVS full bridge DC to DC converter with ZVS over the entire range is given by Borage et al. (2008). High power density multikilowatt DC to DC converter with galvanic isolation is given by Pavlovsky et al. (2009).

Conventional push-pull converter is used in low and medium power systems for the reason of less conduction loss than that of half bridge or full bridge converters and low side driving of both primary switches. As featuring in ZVS for primary switches over entire load ZCS for rectifier diodes wide input voltage range capability and high efficiency under all input voltages the LLC series resonant converter gains popularity in recent years (Lee et al., 2002; Wei et al., 2007).

Push-pull type LLC-SRC combines characteristics of both conventional push-pull converter and LLC series
resonant converter. An LCL resonant push-pull topology operating under ZVS condition was presented by Ryan et al. (1998). The circuit exhibits ZVS for the MOSFET switches and the resonant capacitor snubs the reverse recovery transient of rectifier diodes. Since the load of this topology is series connected with the resonant inductor the output current will swing corresponding to the resonant current and it’s difficult to reduce the ripple of output voltage. An LC resonant push-pull topology was presented in which the primary switches and secondary rectifiers turn on and turn off under zero-voltage and zero-current switching conditions respectively Boonyaroonate and Mori (2002). With most of the resonant current flowing through the output capacitor it’s easy to control the ripple of output voltage. But for resonant converters the large current or voltage stress on switching elements and higher conduction loss are difficult to control. Detail stage equations for each stage and design process for a conventional LLC series resonant converter have been proposed by Liu et al. (2006). High dc gain step-up push-pull type LLC series resonant DC-DC converter is proposed by Chen et al. (2008). The analysis of series-parallel resonant DC-to-DC converter has been illustrated by Padmanabhan et al. (2007). The above literature does not deal with comparison of push-pull LLC and PWM ZVS FB converters.

In this study, a FB ZVS converter with adaptive energy storage that offers ZVS of the primary switches over a wide load range with greatly reduced no-load circulating energy and with significantly reduced secondary-side duty cycle loss is considered for comparison with push-pull LLC series resonant converter.

OPERATIONAL PRINCIPLE OF FB ZVS CONVERTER

The circuit diagram of the FB ZVS converter is shown in Fig. 1. In the circuit since the ZVS energy stored in the primary inductor is dependent on its inductance value and the volt-second product of the secondary of auxiliary transformer TRA the size of the primary inductor can be minimized by properly selecting the turn ratio of auxiliary transformer TRA. As a result the size of the primary inductor is very much reduced compared to that of the conventional PS FB converter. In addition since the auxiliary transformer does not need to store energy, its size can be small. Finally because the energy used to create the ZVS condition at light loads is not stored in the leakage inductances of transformer Tr the transformer’s leakage inductances can also be minimized. As a result of the reduced total primary inductance i.e. the inductance of the primary inductor used for ZVS energy storage and the leakage inductance of the power transformer the modified converter exhibits a relatively small duty-cycle loss. This minimizes both the conduction loss of the primary switches and the voltage stress on the components on the secondary side of the transformer which improves the conversion efficiency. Moreover, because of the reduced total primary inductance the secondary side parasitic ringing is also reduced and is effectively controlled by primary side diodes D and D1 as shown in Fig. 1.

Technical specifications of Fig. 1: DC input voltage = 48V, 0.01 μHR = 250Ω, 28μH, 0.1 LC, 12μFC, 0.5μFC = 12μF, Operating frequency = 20 KHz.

Fig. 1: FB ZVS converter
To achieve ZVS energy stored in the primary inductor \(L_p(E_p)\) must be higher than total energy required to charge \(C_1\) and discharge \(C_2\):

\[ E_{p} = \frac{1}{2} L_p \left( \frac{I_0}{n} + V \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4n^2L_p f_s} \right) \right) \]  

(2)

where, \(C_1 = C_2 = C_r = \text{Capacitance across } Q_1 \text{ and } Q_2\) respectively:

\[ E_{p} = CV^2 \]  

(1)

where, \(f_s\) is the switching frequency and \(T\) is the duty cycle of switch:

\[ n = \text{Main transformer turn ratio} \]

\[ n_r = \text{Auxiliary transformer turn ratio} \]

**OPERATIONAL PRINCIPLE OF PUSH-PULL LLC SERIES RESONANT CONVERTER**

Power stage of the modified push-pull LLC series resonant converter is shown in Fig. 2. The main components of the converter are: two main switches \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) constitute the two push-pull branches respectively. The DC to DC converter further includes a resonant tank connected to the secondary of transformer comprising a series capacitor connected to a series inductor and a parallel inductor. The components of resonant tank are \(L_r C_r\) and \(L_m C_m\). \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) represent parasitic capacitor of \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) respectively. The output rectifier consists of four diodes \(D_1-D_4\).

**Technical specifications of Fig. 2:** DC input voltage = 48V, \(L_{s1} = 0.11\) \(\mu\)H, \(L_{s2} = 0.13\) \(\mu\)H \(C_1 = C_2 = 0.01*10^{-3}\) F, \(L_{ss} = 198\) \(\mu\)H, \(L_{sr} = 6.32\) mH \(C_{r} = 3.06\) \(\mu\)F, \(C_0 = 300\) \(\mu\)F, \(R = 25\) \(\Omega\)

Operating frequency = 20 KHz.

The control strategy is similar to a conventional LLC series resonant converter i.e., \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) conduct alternately in a switching cycle under variable frequency modulation. It is assumed that the converter is under steady operation and the output capacitor \(C_o\) is large enough to be considered as a voltage source. The series capacitor functioning with the series inductor provide a first characteristic resonant frequency represented by \(f_r\) and the series capacitor functioning with the series inductor and the parallel inductor to provide a second characteristic resonant frequency represented by \(f_m\) where \(f_r > f_m\):

\[ f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_r C_r}} \]  

(3)

\[ f_m = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{(L_m + L_r) C_r}} \]  

(4)

When the operation frequency is between first and second resonant frequency i.e. \(f_r < f < f_m\), the switches operate under zero-voltage-switching condition and the rectifier circuit operate under zero-current-switching condition. Fig. 4 has drawn when \(f_m < f < f_r\).

The boundary conditions for ZVS can be obtained according to energy balance:

\[ \frac{1}{2} n^2(L_{sr} + L_{ss}) \left( \frac{\Delta i}{2n} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} C_r (2V)^2 \]  

(5)

where, \(n\) is the transformer turns ratio. \(I_{sr}\) is the current across \(L_{sr}\).

**SIMULATION RESULTS OF FB ZVS CONVERTER**

The ZVS DC to DC converter is simulated using matlab simulink and the results are presented here.
Fig. 3: Simulink model of FB ZVS DC to DC converter

Fig. 4: Driving pulses

Fig. 5: DC input voltage

Fig. 6: Output voltage across Q₁ and Q₂
Fig. 7: Output voltage across Q5 and Q6,

Fig. 8: Voltage across the secondary

Fig. 9: DC output current and voltage

Fig. 10: Simulink model of modified LLC SRC
Simulink model of FB ZVS DC to DC converter is shown in Fig. 3. Driving pulses are shown in Fig. 4. DC input voltage is shown in Fig. 5. Output voltage across Q₁ and Q₂ is shown in Fig. 6. Voltage across Q₃ and Q₄ are shown in Fig. 7. Secondary voltage is shown in Fig. 8. DC output current and voltage are shown in Fig. 9. DC output voltage is 12V and the current 1A. It can be seen that the DC output is free from ripple.

For constant-frequency variable duty cycle control of the proposed converter switches Q₁ and Q₂ always operate with approximately 50% duty cycle whereas switches Q₃ and Q₄ have a duty cycle in the range from 0 to 50% as shown in Fig. 5.

**SIMULATION RESULTS OF PUSH-PULL LLC SERIES RESONANT CONVERTER**

The ZVS push-pull LLC series resonant converter is simulated using matlab simulink and the results are presented here.

Simulink model of LLC series resonant converter is shown in Fig. 10. Driving pulses are shown in Fig. 11. DC input voltage is shown in Fig. 12. Drain to source voltage across switch Q₁ is shown in Fig. 13. Secondary voltage is shown in Fig. 14. Voltage across Lₛ is shown in Fig. 15. DC output current and voltage is shown in Fig. 16. DC output voltage is 12V and the current is 2.46A. It can be shown that DC output voltage is free from ripple.

**Fig. 11: Driving pulses**

**Fig. 12: DC input voltage**

**Fig. 13: Drain to source voltage across switch Q₁**

**Fig. 14: Secondary voltage of transformer**

**Fig. 15: Voltage across Lₛ**

**Fig. 16: DC output current and voltage**
Fig. 17: Efficiency versus load resistance

Fig. 18: Load current versus efficiency from simulation

Fig. 19: Load current versus switching frequency from simulation

Fig. 20: Switch stress for push-pull LLC

Fig. 21: Switch stress for FB ZVS

Fig. 22: Voltage across the primary X axis 1 div = 0.02 m sec Y axis 1 div = 20 V

Fig. 23: Voltage across the secondary X axis 1 div = 0.02 m sec, Y axis 1 div = 30 V

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF FB ZVS CONVERTER

The DC to DC converter was built and tested at 48 V DC. The circuit parameters are as follows.
Fig. 24: Oscillogram of load voltage X axis 1 div = 0.02 m sec, Y axis 1 div = 10 V

Fig. 25: Voltage across the primary X axis 1 div = 0.02 m sec, Y axis 1 div = 30 V

Fig. 26: Voltage across secondary X axis 1 div = 0.02 m sec, Y axis 1 div = 10 V

Fig. 27: Oscillogram of load voltage

Fig. 28: Load current versus efficiency from experiment

Fig. 29: Load current versus switching frequency from experiment

R = 25ΩC_{s} = 100μ FL_{1} = 28 mHL_{s} = 0.02 mHand the switching frequency is 20 kHz. Experimental waveform of voltage across the primary is shown in Fig. 22 voltage across the secondary is shown in Fig. 23 and Oscillogram of load voltage is shown in Fig. 24.

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF PUSH-PULL LLC SERIES RESONANT CONVERTER

The DC to DC converter was built and tested for push-pull LLC series resonant converter at 48V DC. The circuit parameters are as follows.
R = 22Ω C₁ = 1000 µF L₁ = 6 mH C₂ = 2 µF and the
switching frequency is 20 kHz. Experimental waveform of
voltage across the primary is shown in Fig. 25 voltage
across the secondary is shown in Fig. 26 and Oscillogram
of load voltage is shown in Fig. 27.

COMPARISON

From Fig. 9 and 24, output voltage of FB ZVS
converter is nearly same as 12 V from simulation and
experiment. From Fig. 17, efficiency of push-pull LLC
converter is better than FB ZVS converter. From Fig. 20
and 21, switching stress of push-pull LLC converter is
less than FB ZVS converter. From Fig. 16 and 27, output
voltage of push-pull LLC is nearly same as 12 V from
simulation and experiment. From Fig. 18 and 28, load
current versus efficiency of both converters are nearly
same from simulation and experiment. From Fig. 19 and 29,
load current versus switching frequency of both
converters are nearly same from simulation and experiment.
From Fig. 28, the efficiency of the FB ZVS
converter is less than push-pull LLC converter and
decreases at light load but keep the efficiency curve flat
over a wide load current range. From Fig. 28 and 29,
the switching frequency operating range varies from
20 to 300 kHz which matches to the simulation results
diagram of Fig. 18 and 19, to some extent and keep the
frequency curve flat over a wide load range for both
converters. At low power and high efficiency requirement
push-pull LLC series resonant converter is better than FB
ZVS converter.

CONCLUSIONS

Soft switched ZVS DC to DC converters are analysed,
simulated, tested and results are presented using full
bridge and push-pull LLC series resonant converter.
Conversion of 48V to 12V is done using two methods
and results are compared. At low power applications like
battery charging high efficiency and low switching stress
must be maintained from no load to full load. It appears
that push-pull LLC series resonant converter is better
than modified FB converter. The experimental results
coincide with the simulation results.
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