Modeling and Implementation of Generator and Network Simulator for Static Exciters using Matlab and Labview
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Abstract: Automatic voltage regulator AVR is designed for synchronous generator to keep the terminal voltage of the generator to the rated value. This study presents a linear mathematical model of the synchronous generator to simulate the electrical section of a gas power plant and do the functional test of a real excitation system. The complete system is mainly included synchronous machine, governor, gas turbine and transmission line. The simulator allows to analyze and verify tuning and performance of excitation system with limiter circuits and Power System Stabilizer (PSS). The method is specially developed for transient analysis of synchronous machines given by linear differential equations. Details such as the exciter circuit, turbine and governor systems of a synchronous machine which is linked to an infinite bus through two equivalent lines are given and this system is implemented in SIMULINK. To build the communication with excitation cubicle, Simulink software was linked to LabVIEW (Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench) by Simulation Interface Toolkit (SIT Server).
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INTRODUCTION

Synchronous generator excitation control is one of the most important measures to enhance power system stability and to guarantee the quality of electrical power it provides. The main control function of the excitation system which is presented by Weedy and Cory (1999) is to adjust the field voltage with respect to the variation of the terminal voltage. It must be able to respond quickly to a disturbance enhancing the transient stability and the small signal stability. The excitation system controls the generated EMF of the generator and therefore controls not only the output voltage but the power factor and current magnitude as well.

Classical methods that make use of linear models for designing controllers are valid only on small variation around an operating point. A number of new control theories and methods have been introduced to design high performance excitation controllers to deal with the problem of transient stability for nonlinear synchronous generator models. Among them the Lyapunov method which is described by Machowski et al. (1998) and Salem et al. (2003), singular perturbation methods, feedback linearization and sliding mode control presented by Loukianov et al. (2004) and Jiang and Wu (2002), linear optimal control presented by Wen et al. (1998), the adaptive control method associated with neuron technique presented by Werner et al. (2003), the fuzzy logic control theory presented by Hassan et al. (1994) and the nonlinear controller along with an observer are the most commonly used ones. Damn (2004) calculate stability function with non linear controller. Leon-Morales et al. (2001), calculate stability function with robust controller.

A model of the synchronous machine with full degrees of freedom is presented. The model is presented by Krause et al. (1986), for a transient stability investigation. The mathematical modeling of this system is described in this paper and then this system is transferred into transfer function Simulation result (time response) obtains from this transfer function.

For the implementation of the virtual laboratory LabVIEW, a product of National Instruments Inc. will be used. Clark (2005) showed it is a flexible, general-purpose graphical programming tool intended for a broad spectrum of applications.

This study attempt to present a digital fast simulator intended to probe dynamic state of excitation system in a.
typical power system using Simulink software to implement network and generator transient equations. NI LabVIEW will also provide an instant communication from Simulator to Excitation Cubicle. The considered synchronous machine has a rated power capacity of 200 MVA and rated voltage of 15.75 kV.

**Mathematical modeling of synchronous machine:** The mathematical description of the synchronous machine is obtained if a certain transformation of variables is performed. Park's transformation is simply transforming all stator quantities from common phase a, b and c into equivalent dq axis. Krause et al. (1986), has presented below relations.
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where as,

\[
\theta = \omega t, \theta_s = 0
\]

Following equation is the generator linkage flux equations in the rotor frame of reference are described in Per-unit. The machine equation in the rotor frame of reference becomes:
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where as:

\[
e_{ds} = \frac{V_{ds}}{V_{ts}} \frac{X_{ds}}{X_t}
\]

Now to calculate currents:
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(5)
Electrical torque value will be calculated by:

$$T_e = (\Psi_s^2 - \Psi_p^2)$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Rotor speed equations have been written as:

$$\rho_n = \frac{\omega_0(T_e + T_i - (\omega R - \omega e) \omega b)}{2H}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P} J \omega^2 \frac{\rho_n}{P_s}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\omega = \rho_n + \omega_0$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

and finally Rotor angle:

$$\theta_e = \rho_0 + \theta_0$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

**Simulation setup:** Owing to the fact that MATLAB - Simulink is one of the best mathematical software equipped to deal with every advanced equations, all dynamic equations of synchronous machine was modeled in Simulink environment.

Being exceptionally flexible, LabVIEW™ was chosen to establish an external bridge from Simulator to exciter. It is also the upper level software and human interface. All Charts and graphs were placed in its environment and that is beside data storing in TDM and TDMS databases, server client communications, implication of Governor and preset test programs.

Last but not least to make a SLI communication between Simulink and LabVIEW™, C++ has been used to materialize bilateral communication among MATLAB – Simulink and LabVIEW™.

There is also a server client possibility through Local Ethernet Network which has been equipped simulator with a chance to be controlled remotely or even be paired with another simulator (Fig. 1).

**Real data setup:** Real data has been gathered from an Iranian operational gas power plant located in north of Persian Gulf. Generator's model is a 200 MVA AnsaldaEnergia with two poles, rotating 3000 RPM - 50 Hz and studied Exciter were provided by Siemens Corps.

Functional tests of generator are secondary phase of commissioning. General concepts in these tests are based on IEC 60034-1 and IEC 146-1-1 standards. A normal procedure contains a vast number of tests but, some of the most important ones have been demonstrated here to prove the exactitude of the Simulator’s values in comparison with the real data of an online gas generator.

**Real and simulated data result**

**AVR:** Automatic Voltage Regulation is one of the most important functions of any exciter, aimed to keep the generator’s voltage close to its current set-point level. In this mode, Ue set-point will be monitored by Static Excitation Equipment. Exciter will use rotor’s current to incline or decline E, and as a result minimum deviation of Ue will be maintained automatically. This test has been applied in site by 3% increase in Ue set-point. Outcome has been shown in Fig. 2.
Fig. 2: Three percent increase in $U_g$ set-point in AVR

As it was expected, by an increase in $U_g$ set-point, $I_p$ Excitation current—Stepped up instantly. This action led to an increase in generator’s terminal voltage and an enlargement in amount of $Q$, the Reactive power. To perform this test in Network and Generator Simulator, it is needed to run the software while exciter is in AVR mode.
Fig. 4: The $3\% U_e$ step response

Field voltage regulation in $P=0.3\ PU$ (60 MW)
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Fig. 5: The $3\% U_e$ step response (Software)

When simulator passed the transient moments and became stable, $U_e$ Set-point can be increased either by DCS toolkit, or directly in exciter’s controller. It depends on whether you are in remote or local mode. Outcome of this test has been displayed in Fig. 3. These graphs are illustrating $0.5\%$ increase in generator voltage terminals. Take into the account that there is no $I_e$ displayed in Fig. 3 and that’s because using simulator comes with the
privilege of omitting field current. During normal operation in site, current injection in rotor by exciter may vary from 200 to 1440 amperes as a 200MVA AnsaldoEnergia synchronous generator had been coupled to the turbine. In factory by simulating this situation, using following Equations,

\[ V_r = \frac{N_i}{N_t} V_t \]  

\[ E_r = X_{em} \left( \frac{V_t}{V_r} \right) \]  

(11)

(12)

Simulink part of Simulator will convert the exciter voltage to \( E_r \).

**U_e step response (Field voltage regulation):** Step response analyses are a common exciter test to investigate dynamic responses of the equipment. Exciter reactions during this test will provide required data for tuning PID values in exciter controller. This will lead us to gain optimum level of speed and accuracy in normal operation. To have this function examined, a sudden decline in \( U_e \) set-point will be sent to exciter, while system reactions will be recorded in a fast recorder.

After some seconds by inclining \( U_e \) Set-point, it will go back to its previous value. Figure 4 illustrates mentioned test result in field.

Almost similar action will be measured to test the field voltage regulation in the factory using Network and Generator simulator. 3% up step in \( U_e \) set-point value will lead to system natural reactions displayed in Fig. 5.

As it was expected increasing \( U_e \) set-point will be followed by an increase in exciter's voltage and inevitably generated reactive power level. By declining 3% of \( U_e \) set-point and returning to preset value, all other factors will also go back to their original levels.

**Reactive power control function:** Another task which a synchronous generator is obligated to fulfill is Q-Control. In this mode, Exciter should keep reactive power rate close to an arbitrary set-point.

It should be emphasized that keeping Q rate almost stable in a weak network is often accompanied with steep variation in excitation current and as a result an oscillation of generator voltage. This is not a welcome behavior because this process can easily lead to a load rejection on condition that the generator or exciter's voltage restraint passes.

Q set-point can be either positive or negative and as far as \( U_e \) is in range and no restraint has been passed generator can be either capacitance or inductance. Figure 6 shows a mild increase and decrease in reactive power set-point by operator in field.

Just like real situation, in test field aided by supplementary DCS toolkit, Reactive power control mode
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**Fig. 6: Q control function of excitation system in P=60 MW**
Fig. 7: Q control function of exciter in P=60 MW (Software)

Fig. 8: Testing under excitation limit in 60 MW

has been selected and Q set-point was increased to 150 MVAR. Figure 7 is a snap shot showing Q-control function of simulator in test field.

**Limitations**

**Under and over excitation limits**: A set of limitations will be set for every power generation machine to keep it in an optimum work condition. When excitation current decreases, inevitably there will be a decline in amount of reactive power. This will also increase load angle δ and instability will come along if δ value goes beyond π/2; hence, to prevent a unfortunate event an under-excitation limit has been adjusted in every Exciter. In most exciters this limit such has been set on level of negative
reactive power level and when Q gets close to its limit level, Excitation systems will try to push it back to the work area in which load angle is $\delta_{\text{max}} < \delta_{e}$. Provided that despite exciters reaction, reactive power passes defined limit, there will be a load rejection (Disconnection from grid) either by exciter or protection relays. Because of destructive nature of this phenomenon, in real test, set-points will be moved to larger value to test this limitation. Figure 8 displays a moment in which by displacing machine’s limit from -36 to -36 Mvar under
excitation limit activated. When in simulating environment there will be no danger to play with generator set-points. And as AnsaldoEnergia generator supplier suggested to enable under excitation limit in -60 Mvar, this value has been set in Exciter.

As it has been shown in Fig. 9, to activate this limitation, $U_g$ set-point has been declined to 0.92 PU but before reaching to that level, under excitation limit was activated and by increasing $E_m$ reactive power has been forced back to safe work area.

Upper limit level of excitation current is totally dependent on the generator’s structure and its nominal power. As a result of exciter’s current accretion, beside reactive power, generator current will also increase. Normally as current flows in stator, its temperature will get intensified. Figure 10 provides exciter behaviors in time of
Fig. 13: Stator current limiting in 60 MW (Software)

Fig. 14: Generator symmetrical fault in 64 MW for 90 m sec
chasing over-excitation limit level from 200 to 36 Mvar in real situation. In most exciters, over excitation limit is not an instant alarm and it usually takes some seconds to get activated.
Figure 11 is over excitation limit test in Network and Generator simulator. \( U_e \) Set-point has been set to 1.02 PU or 1601.4 KV while network voltage was 0.994 PU. As it has been shown in the figure after some seconds over Excitation limit has been activated and by decreasing \( E_i \) reactive power has been plunged back to its green zone.

**Stator current limiting:** Just like over excitation, when a high level of active power is producing, there will be a high amount of current through generator’s stator windings which inevitably same rule of maximum level of current and stator winding over heating should be applied. In case of reaching to restrictions, it is excitation’s duty to decrease \( I_x \) and bring generator back to safe zone. Most excitation systems will command Turbine’s Governor to decline active power while reducing their own DC current \( (I_d) \). Figure 12 and 13 are real and simulated charts of this limitation. It’s obvious that in both Fig. 12 and 13 P and \( E_i \) has been scaled down after stator current limit activation.

**Balanced three phase fault analysis:** Study of faults is crucially important in designing and analysis of generators behaviors. In real operation of a 200 MVA Synchronous generator it’s almost impossible to conduct such a test in 64 MW and record fault values such as terminal voltage and generator current. By using simulator you can apply almost every kind of fault in test field and then investigate possible reactions of different exciter logics. Having the privilege of experiencing such events with an accurate simulator, valuable information will be gained to help engineers to not only grow a sophisticated insight out of machine and exciter but also adjusting the protection relays and intensify the level of safety. For instance, analysis of three phase symmetrical fault will help us to choose the best phase relays for a specific generator and measure exciter’s agility in facing such a fault. In this paper we intend to briefly point out a symmetrical three phase fault. This kind of fault is a rare one yet, the most severe and destructive fault which may occur on a generator’s bus bars.

A time adjustable three phase balanced fault has been implemented in the software which by clicking and activating it, \( V_i \) will get grounded for defined milliseconds. Figure 14 is an instant \( V_i \) fault for 90 m sec which were simulated in factory’s test field.

**CONCLUSION**

The aim of this study is to introduce a rich modeling of Synchronous machine and network connected to a real excitation system. Simulator setup assists engineers on stability studies and to use computer simulation as a tool for conducting generator’s transient and control studies. Next to having an actual system to experiment on, simulation is often chosen by engineers to study transient and control performance or to test conceptual designs and conduct pernicious tests.

This study tries to demonstrate the advantages of using MATLAB and LabVIEW for analyzing steady state power system stability and its capabilities for simulating transients in power systems.
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