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Abstract: At high rotational speed operating condition, there is much significance to analyze the bearing’s dynamic rigidity, especially when the supporting precision is required. Based on classic analysis methods and essential theories of ball bearing, including Hertz contact theory and raceway control theory, develop a solving procedure to analyze the dynamic rigidity of high-speed angular contact ball bearing, according New-Raphson method. This procedure is greatly simplified and easy to be realized by program, since the correlations of the variables are founded. Selecting HP7005CTA under the fixed-pressure preload, used in high-speed motorized spindle as an example, we calculate the contact deformation, stress and angle between ball and raceway, then conclude the bearing’s dynamic rigidity. The results show the effects of axial preload, rotational speed, inner and outer raceway curvature on bearing’s axial, radial and angular rigidity.
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INTRODUCTION

Angular contact ball bearings play an important role in supporting the high-speed precision motorized spindle used for grinding machine. The bearing’s dynamic rigidity determines the supporting performance, grinding precision and lifetime. Besides, higher dynamic rigidity has positive influence in reducing the vibration and noise (Harris, 2007; Jones, 1959; Foord, 2006).

Based on calculation of contact stress, angle and rigidity between ball and raceway, this paper analyzes the dynamic rigidity of high-speed angular contact ball bearing under fixed-pressure preload. More energy is expended in programming and analyzing the effects of various preload, rotational speed and raceway curvature on bearing’s dynamic rigidity. The final conclusion has theory meaning to increase bearings’ dynamic rigidity and optimization of motorized spindle.

ESSENTIAL THEORIES

Static analysis of bearing: Under fixed-pressure preload $F_0$, bearing’s contact angle and stress will be changed, comparing the situation of initial zero preload.

$\delta_i$ is the distance between the center of inner and outer rings. $\alpha$ is the contact angle, while $\alpha'$ represents the initial contact angle.

The relations of these values are as below (Harris, 2007):

$$\frac{F_i}{ZD^2K} = \sin(\alpha) \left( \frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\alpha' - 1} \right)^5$$  \hspace{5mm} (1)

$$\delta_i = \frac{A\sin(\alpha - \alpha_i)}{\cos\alpha}$$  \hspace{5mm} (2)

where, $Z$ means the number of balls, $D_w$ is the diameter of ball, $K$ is a constant. Newton-Raphson method can be used to calculate the equation (1) and get the value of $\alpha$.

As the basis of dynamic analysis, the results of static analysis are referred to be initial iteration values of bearing’s dynamic analysis.

Hertz contact rigidity and bearing’s rigidity: According to Hertz contact theory, the contact rigidities between ball and raceways are:

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1.5F_i}{3\pi E'} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{2e_{ij}}{l_{ij}} \sum_{k \neq j} Q_{ij}^{(k)}$$  \hspace{5mm} (3)

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1.5F_i}{3\pi E'} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{2e_{ij}}{l_{ij}} \sum_{k \neq j} Q_{ij}^{(k)}$$  \hspace{5mm} (4)

where, $i$, $o$ stand for inner and outer contact separately. $Q$ means the contact stress between two bodies.

In the Figure 1, $\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_o$ are the dynamic contact angles between ball and raceways. Axial and radial components of contact rigidities are:
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and stress. Next step, we will look for the method to calculate the contact angle and stress.

**Geometric deformation inside bearing:** With the change of working force loaded on bearing, both the contact angle and stress between ball and raceways will produce a corresponding variation. In the Fig. 2, the outer ring is assumed to be fixed.

\[ \delta, \delta_1, \text{and} \theta \text{ are the relative displacements of inner and outer ring in axial, radial and angular directions. As shown in Fig. 2:} \]

\[ A_m = BD_x \sin \alpha + \delta_{m} + R_{i} \theta \cos \psi_m \]  

\[ A_{m} = BD_x \cos \alpha + \delta_{m} \cos \psi_m \]  

where, \( R_i \) is the distance from center of inner ring to bearing center of curvature. \( R_i = d_{in}/2 + (f_i - 0.5) D_w \cos \alpha \).

Besides, the following equations can be obtained (Harris, 2007; Chen et al., 2012):

\[ X_{hi}^2 + X_{ij}^2 = [(f_i - 0.5) D_w + \delta_{ij} ]^2 \]  

\[ (A_{hi} - X_{hi})^2 + (A_{ij} - X_{ij})^2 = [(f_i - 0.5) D_w + \delta_{ij} ]^2 \]  

**FORCE ANALYSIS**

Based on quasi-static analysis of roller bearing and outer raceway control theory, it can be obtained that \( \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_m = 0 \) (Harris, 2007):

As shown in Fig. 3, the following equations can be obtained:

\[ Q_x \sin \alpha_i - Q_x \sin \alpha_i + 2 \frac{M_i}{D_x} \cos \alpha_i - 0 \]  

\[ Q_x \cos \alpha_i - Q_x \cos \alpha_i - 2 \frac{M_i}{D_x} \sin \alpha_i + F_i = 0 \]  

where, Hertz contact stress between inner, outer ring and ball are expressed by \( Q_i = K_i \delta_{i}^{1/2}, Q_m = K_m \delta_{m}^{1/2} \).

\( K_i \) and \( K_m \) are the constant curvatures of stress-deformation and can be calculated by literature reference (Harris, 2007; Panda and Dutt, 2003).

Considering the axial and radial balance of inner ring under load, the following equations can be obtained:

\[ F_y = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_j \sin \alpha_j = 0 \]
\[ F_x - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \sin \alpha_i = 0 \]  
(16)

\[ M - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \sin \alpha_i R_i \cos \psi_i = 0 \]  
(17)

where, \( F_x, F_r \) and \( M \) means the axial, radial and moment loads on angular contact ball bearing.

We can find the values of \( \cos \alpha_s, \sin \alpha_w, \cos \alpha_v, \sin \alpha_v, Q_x \) and \( Q_y \). The following Eq. 18-22 can be obtained from 13-17:

\[ \frac{\lambda_n M_p X_{\alpha} / D_w - K_p \delta^\alpha_{i,j} (A_{ij} - X_{\alpha})}{(f - 0.5)D_w + \delta_0} = 0 \]  
(18)

\[ \frac{\lambda_n M_p X_{\alpha} / D_w - K_p \delta^\alpha_{i,j} (A_{ij} - X_{\alpha})}{(f - 0.5)D_w + \delta_0} = 0 \]  
(19)

\[ F_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_p \delta^\alpha_{i,j} (A_{ij} - X_{\alpha}) = 0 \]  
(20)

\[ F_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_p \delta^\alpha_{i,j} (A_{ij} - X_{\alpha}) = 0 \]  
(21)

\[ M - \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_p \delta^\alpha_{i,j} (A_{ij} - X_{\alpha}) \sin \psi_i = 0 \]  
(22)

**SOLVING PROCEDURE OF DYNAMIC RIGIDITY CALCULATION**

The Eq. 11, 12 and 18-22 are the basis to analyze bearing’s dynamic performance. Because \( j \) varies from 1 to \( Z \), there are \( (4Z+3) \) equations from which \( X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}, \delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_0, \delta \), and \( \theta \) can be calculated by Newton-Raphson method.

Furthermore, we found that \( X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}, \delta_x, \delta_y \) are actually the functions functions of contact angles \( \alpha_x \) and \( \alpha_y \) as shown in the equations below:

\[ X_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha_x} \tan \alpha_x - A_{\alpha_y} \tan \alpha_y \]  
(23)

\[ X_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha_x} \tan \alpha_x - A_{\alpha_y} \tan \alpha_y \]  
(24)

\[ \delta_x = \frac{A_{\delta_x} \cos \alpha_x - A_{\delta_y} \sin \alpha_y}{\sin(\alpha_x - \alpha_y)} \]  
(25)

\[ \delta_y = \frac{A_{\delta_x} \sin \alpha_x - A_{\delta_y} \cos \alpha_y}{\sin(\alpha_x - \alpha_y)} \]  
(26)

\( M_p \) and \( F_r \) can also be expressed by contact angles \( \alpha_x \) and \( \alpha_y \). Since these correlations are found, the solve procedure of nonlinear equations will be simplified greatly. The flow chart of solving bearing’s dynamic rigidity is shown in Fig. 4.
RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF DYNAMIC RIGIDITY

According to the solving procedure above, we select the high-speed precision angular contact ball bearing HP7005CTA as an object to calculate, then explore its dynamic rigidity. The parameters of bearing HP7005CTA are listed in Table 1. The dynamic rigidity values obtained through the simulation are shown in Fig. 5-16.

Effect of preload on dynamic rigidity: The effect of preload variation on dynamic rigidity is investigated,

Fig. 5: Axial rigidity under various preload
Fig. 6: Radial rigidity under various preload
Fig. 7: Angular rigidity under various preload

Fig. 8: Axial rigidity at various rotational speed
Fig. 9: Radial rigidity at various rotational speed
Fig. 10: Angular rigidity at various rotational speed
Table 1: Parameters of bearing 62052RS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inside diameter of bearing d/mm</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside diameter of bearing D/mm</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of bearing B/mm</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of ball D_b/mm</td>
<td>5.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact angle α°</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of balls Z</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus E/GPa</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio ν</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density ρ / kg/m³</td>
<td>7.8×10³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

when the inner ring rotational speed is 48000 r min⁻¹. From Fig. 5-7, we can conclude that bearing’s axial, radial and angular rigidities are increased with the rise of axial preload. The reason is there will be bigger contact angles and stress between ball and raceways, when the preload pressure is larger.

**Effect of rotational speed on dynamic rigidity:** Bearing’s dynamic rigidities also vary with the rotational speed changes, as shown in Fig. 8-10.

As the rotational speed increases, radial rigidity is increased, while axial and angular rigidity are decreased. This is because the increasing centrifugal force reduces the outer contact angle α₀, while the inner contact angle α₀ is increased and the outer contact rigidity K₀ is increased, the inner contact rigidity K₀ is decreased.

**Effect of inner and outer raceway curvatures on dynamic rigidity:** Fig. 11-16 show the correlations between bearing’s dynamic rigidity and raceway curvatures, at the rotational speed 48000 r min⁻¹.
Fig. 16: Angular rigidity for various outer curvature

In Fig. 11-13, there is slowly rise of three rigidity curves when the inner raceway curvature is increased. But, according to Fig. 14-16, axial, radial and angular rigidities are decreased sharply with the increase of outer raceway curvature. Outer raceway curvature is an important factor to define bearing’s dynamic rigidity. So, according the simulation results, we should take into account the outer raceway curvature, aiming at better high-speed angular contact ball bearing’s dynamic rigidity.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, aiming at the better high-speed angular contact ball bearing’s dynamic rigidity, we studied on classic analysis methods and developed an improved solving procedure. The dynamic rigidity was analyzed for various operating conditions and geometric parameters. The effects of various factors on dynamic rigidity are as follows:

- The larger preload pressure, the bigger axial, radial and angular rigidities of angular contact ball bearing
- Under fixed-pressure preload, radial rigidity is increased, while axial and angular rigidities are decreased at the higher rotational speed
- There is slowly rising of dynamic rigidity when the inner raceway curvature is increased. But, axial, radial and angular rigidities are decreased sharply with the increase of outer raceway curvature
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