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Abstract: This review aims to achieve sustainable management of the fungal pathogens diseases by regulation and exploitation of the microbial diversity without causing degradation of environment and health problems. Development of sustainable, integrated pest management (IPM) approaches for plant diseases control; ecology and characterization of plant pathogens and biocontrol agents. Restoring beneficial organisms that attack, repel, or otherwise antagonize disease-causing pathogens will render a soil disease-suppressive. Plants growing in disease-suppressive soil resist diseases much better than in soils low in biological diversity. Beneficial organisms can be added directly, or the soil environment made more favorable for them through use of compost and other organic amendments. Compost quality determines its effectiveness at suppressing soil-borne plant diseases. More recently, a larger portion of the strategies utilized in agriculture have been biological control practices. In the broad sense, host genetics, soil amendments, fertilizer effects on pathogens, etc., are all part of the IPM picture.
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Introduction
Sustainable Agriculture defined as an integrated system of plant production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term; satisfy human food and fiber needs; enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agriculture economy depends; make the most use of non-renewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls; sustain the economic viability of farm operations and enhance the quality of life for farmers and ranchers and society as a whole (Gliessman, 1990; Mahaffee and Kloepper, 1994; Neate, 1994). Sustainable agriculture is a way of farming that can be carried out for generations to come (Folgarait, 1998). This long-term approach to agriculture combines efficient production with the wise stewardship of the earth’s resources. Sustainable agriculture include the following:

1) Meet human needs with a safe, high-quality and affordable supply of food and fiber.
2) Protect the natural resource base and prevent the degradation of air, soil and water quality.
3) Use nonrenewable resources efficiently.
4) Use natural biological cycles and controls.
5) Assure the economic survival of farming and the well-being of farmers, their families and communities.
6) Creation of institutional incentives and funding that focus public and private research, education, and technology development on integrating agricultural productivity and profitability with environmental stewardship.

New technology in all areas has improved agricultural production, thus its sustainability. Today’s agriculture is using best management practices (BMP’s), by targeting many of its applications, not broadcasting as was done in the past. New disease resistant hybrids, biological pest control, reduced fungicide use, cultural practices that reduce the incidence of diseases and better placement and reduced amounts of fertilizers are all being employed (Cook, 1994; Nisbet and Fox, 1991).

General suppression
Disease-suppressive soils: There are two types of disease suppression, specific and general. Specific suppression results from one organism directly suppressing a known pathogen. These are cases where a biological control agent is introduced into the soil for the specific purpose of reducing disease incidence. General suppression is the result of a high biodiversity of microbial populations that create conditions unfavorable for plant disease development (Nisbet and Fox, 1991; Neate, 1994; Harrison and Frank, 1999).

Introducing a single organism to soils seldom achieves disease suppression for very long. If not already present, the new organism may not be competitive with existing microorganisms. If soil conditions are inadequate, the introduced beneficial organism will not survive. This practice is not sufficient to render the soil “disease suppressive.” It is like planting flowers in the desert and expecting them to survive without water. With adequate soil conditions, inoculation with certain beneficial should only be needed once. A soil is considered suppressive when, in spite of favorable conditions for disease to occur, a pathogen either cannot become established, establishes but produces no disease, or establishes and produces disease for a short time and then declines (Schneider, 1982; Hermosa et al., 2000). Suppression is linked to the types and numbers of soil organisms, fertility level, and nature of the soil itself (drainage and texture). The mechanisms by which disease organisms are suppressed in these soils include induced resistance, direct parasitism (one organism consuming another), nutrient competition and direct inhibition through antibiotics secreted by beneficial organisms. Additionally, the response of plants growing in the soils contributes to suppression. This is known as induced resistance and occurs when the rhizosphere (soil area around plant roots) is inoculated with a weakly virulent pathogen. After being challenging by the weak pathogen, the plant develops the capacity for future effective response to a more virulent pathogen. In most cases, adding mature compost to a soil induces disease resistance in many plants. The level of disease suppression is typically related to the level of total microbiological activity in a soil. Larger the active microbial biomass, greater the capacity to utilize carbon, nutrients and energy in the soil, thus lowering their availability to pathogens. In other words, competition for mineral nutrients is high, as most soil nutrients are tied up in microbial bodies. High competition—coupled with secretion of antibiotics by some beneficial organisms and direct parasitism by others—makes for a tough environment for the pathogen (Chen et al., 1993). Our goal is to create soil conditions with all three of these factors present. Therefore we want high numbers and diversity of competitors, inhibitors and predators of disease organisms, as well as food sources on which these organisms depend. The food for beneficial organisms comes either directly or indirectly from organic matter and waste products from the growth of other organisms. It should be noted that general suppression will not control all soil-borne diseases. Rhizoctonia solani and Sclerotium rolfsii, for example, are not controlled by suppressive soils—their large propagules make them less reliant on external energy or nutrient sources and therefore they are not susceptible to microbial competition. With these two pathogens, “specific” beneficial organisms such as Trichoderma and Glomus will colonize the harmful propagules and reduce the disease potential (Granatstein, 1998).
Crop rotation and disease suppression: Avoiding disease buildup is probably the most widely emphasized benefit of crop rotation in vegetative production. Many diseases build up in the soil when the same crop is grown in the same field year after year. Rotation to a non-susceptible crop can help break this cycle by reducing pathogen levels. To be effective, rotations must be carefully planned. Since diseases usually attack plants related to each other, it is helpful to group vegetable rotations by family e.g., nightshades, alliums, cole crops, cucurbits. The susceptible crop, related plants and alternate host plants for the disease must be kept out of the field during the rotation period (Karien et al., 1994). Since plant pathogens persist in the soil for different lengths of time, the length of the rotation will vary with the disease being managed. To effectively plan a crop rotation it is essential to know which crops are affected by what disease organisms. In most cases, crop rotation effectively controls those pathogens that survive in soil or on crop residue. Nor will it help control pathogens that can survive long periods in the soil without a host—Fusarium, for example. Rotation, by itself, is only effective on pathogens that can overwinter in the field or be introduced on infected seeds or transplants. Of course, disease-free transplants or seed should be used in combination with crop rotation. The period of time between susceptible crops is highly variable depending on the disease. For example, it takes seven years without any cruciferous crops for clubroot to dissipate. Three years between parsley is needed to avoid damping off. Three years without tomatoes to avoid Verticillium wilt on potatoes. A three-year crop rotation is the standard recommendation for control of black rot (Ceratocystis fimbriata), stem rot (Fusarium oxysporum), and sour (Moniluchusdoe rhizos) in sweet potatoes.

Plant nutrients and disease control: Soil pH, calcium level, nitrogen form, and availability of nutrients can play a major role in disease management. Adequate crop nutrition makes plants more tolerant of or resistant to disease. Also, the nutrient status of the soil and the use of particular fertilizers and amendments can have significant impacts on the pathogen's environment. One of the most widely recognized associations between fertility and disease is the effect of soil pH on potato scab. Potato scab is more severe in soils with pH levels above 5.2. Below 5.2 the disease is generally suppressed. Sulfur and ammonium sources of nitrogen acidify the soil, also reducing the incidence and severity of potato scab. Liming, on the other hand, increases disease severity. While lowering the pH is an effective strategy for potato scab, increasing soil pH or calcium levels may be beneficial for disease management in many other crops. Adequate levels of calcium can reduce clubroot in crucifer crops (broccoli, cabbage, turnips, etc.). The disease is inhibited in neutral to slightly alkaline soils (pH 6.7 to 7.2) (Campbell and Arthur, 1990). A direct correlation between adequate calcium levels, and/or higher pH and decreasing levels of Fusarium occurrence has been established for a number of crops, including tomato, cotton, melons and several ornamentals (Jones et al., 1989; Yamazaki and Hosina, 1995). Calcium has also been used to control soil-borne diseases caused by Pythium, such as damping off. Crops where this has proved effective include wheat, peanut, peas, soybeans, peppers, sugarbeet, beans, tomato, onions, and snapdragon (Ko and Ching-Wen, 1989). Researchers in Hawaii reported reduction of damping off in cucumber after amending the soil with calcium and adding alfalfa meal to increase the microbial populations (Ko and Ching-Wen, 1989). Potassium fertility is also associated with disease management. Inadequate potash levels can lead to susceptibility to Verticillium wilt in cotton (Obrien-Wray, 1998). Phosphate can also be critical. Increasing phosphorus rates above the level needed to grow the crop can increase the severity of Fusarium wilt in cotton and muskmelon (Jones et al., 1989). In general, the combination of lime, nitrate nitrogen and low phosphorus is effective in reducing the severity of Fusarium.

Biological control: Biological control of plant disease is defined as the involvement of the use of beneficial microorganisms, such as specialized fungi and bacteria, to attack and control plant pathogens and the diseases they cause (Levits and Papavizas, 1991). These "specialized" fungi and bacteria are microorganisms that normally inhabit most soils. In their native habitat they compete with other microorganisms for space and food and in some cases they produce toxic substances that parasitize and/or kill other soil-inhabiting microorganisms such as Pythium sp., Phytophthora sp., Rhizoctonia sp., and other plant pathogens (Lolito et al., 1999). There are four different mechanisms by which biocontrol agents control other microorganisms. Most biocontrol agents apply only one of these four mechanisms, however, some may employ more than one.

- Direct competition with the target organism: In this case the biocontrol agent out competes the target organisms for nutrients and space.

- Antibiosis: With antibiosis, the biocontrol agent produces an chemical compound such as an antibiotic or some type of toxin that kills or has some sort of detrimental effect on the target organism.

- Predation or parasitism of the target organism: In this case the biocontrol agent can attack and feed directly on the target organism or the biocontrol agent can produce of enzymes and some sort of toxin that kills the target organism and then the biocontrol agent feeds on the dead target.

- Induced resistance of the host plant. It has been known for decades that once a plant is infected with a pathogen, that infection triggers some sort of reaction in the infected host plant that helps keep it from being infected with other pathogens. The infected plant becomes more "resistant" to other infections.

In the area of greenhouse floriculture and perennial production there are about a half dozen products that are currently popular. of these root shield appears to be the most widely used. Root shield is the T-22 strain of the soil inhabiting fungi Trichoderma harzianum (TIH). It uses both antibiosis and predation against many common soil inhabiting fungi that cause root and crown rots such as Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Fusarium and Sclerotinia. It appears to be one of the most popular biofungicides in the greenhouse industry and can be an asset to a disease management program if used properly. In order for any of these biocontrol agents to work for you, two simple rules must be followed. First off, all of these products must be used in conjunction with standard disease cultural controls. Cultural controls include, growing plants in a well drained media, not over watering, keeping the greenhouse relative humidity below 85%, practicing strict sanitation and making sure that the nutrient and pH conditions of the host plant a within the ideal range for proper growth and development.

Biocontrol of soil borne disease: Chemical control of soil borne plant diseases is frequently ineffective because of the physical and chemical heterogeneity of the soil , which may prevent effective concentrations of the chemical from reaching the pathogen. Biological control agents colonize the rhizosphere, the site requiring protection and leave no toxic residues, as opposed to chemicals. Micro organisms have been used extensively for the biological control of soil borne plant diseases as well as for promoting plant growth. Floroscent pseudomonas are the most frequently used bacteria for biological control and plant growth promotion, but Bacillus and Streptomycyces species have also been commonly used. Trichoderma, Gliocladium and Coniothyrium are the most commonly used fungal biocontrol agents (Holmik, 1986). Competition as a mechanism of biological control has been
exploited with soil borne Plant pathogens as with the pathogens on the phyloplane. Naturally occurring, nonpathogenic strains of Fusarium oxysporum have been used to control wilt diseases caused by pathogenic Fusarium spp. Molecular techniques have also facilitated the introduction of beneficial traits into rhizosphere competent organisms to produce potential biocontrol agents. Chitin and b-1,3-glucan are the two major structural components of many plant pathogenic fungi, except by corncylites, which contain cellulose in their cell wall and no appreciable levels of chitin. Biological control of some soil borne fungal diseases has been correlated with chitinase production, bacterial producing chitinases or glucanases exhibit antagonism in vitro against fungi (Haran et al., 1996; Baek et al., 1999).

Biocontrol of airborne diseases: Many naturally occurring microorganisms have been used to control diseases on the aerial surfaces of plants (Elad, 2000). The most common bacterial species that have been used for the control of diseases in the phyllosphere include Pseudomonas syringae, P. fluorescens, P. cepacia, Envidia herbicola and Bacillus subtilis. Fungal genera that have been used for the control of air borne diseases include Trichoderma, Ampelomyces and the yeasts Tilbetiopsis and sporobolomyces (Haggag and El-Gamal, 2001). Phytopathogenic bacteria possess several genes that encode phenotypes that allow them to parasitize plants and overcome defense responses elicited by the plant. In addition, phytopathogenic bacterial possess pathogenicity genes. Isogenic avirulent mutants can be produced by insertional inactivation of genes involved in pathogenicity. Nonpathogenic mutants of Envidia amylovora, produced by transposon mutagenesis, have also been used in the biological control of fire blight. Antibiosis has been proposed as the mechanism of control of bacterial and fungal diseases in the phyllosphere. Molecular biological techniques could be used to enhance the efficacy of biocontrol agents that use antibiotics as a more of action (García et al., 1994). Biocontrol agents must normally achieve a high population in the phyllosphere to control other strains, but colonization by the agent may be reduced by competition with the indigenous microflora. Integration of chemical pesticides and biocontrol agents have been reported with Trichoderma spp. and P. syringae. Biocontrol agents tolerant to specific pesticides could be constructed using molecular techniques. Resistance to the fungicide benomyl is conferred by a single amino acid substitution in one of the Btubulins of Trichoderma virens. The corresponding gene thereby producing a biological control agent that could be applied simultaneously or in alternation with the fungicide.

Inoculum products: The following is a partial list of soil inoculum and biocontrol products available for control of soil-borne diseases on a variety of plants:

- Liquid drench containing Bacillus subtilis GB03 for horticultural crops at seedling or transplanting or as a spray for turf target pathogen/disease is Rhizoctonia, Pythium, Fusarium and Phytophthora.
- Peat-based dried biomass from solid fermentation: aqueous suspension of Burkholderia cepacia for control of Rhizoctonia, Pythium, Fusarium and Phytophthora and disease caused by lesion, spiral, lance and stilet nematodes. Used in alfalfa, barley, beans, clover, cotton, peas, grain sorghum, vegetable crops and wheat as a seed treatment, in drip irrigation or as a seedling drench.
- Dry peat and formic acid formulation of Bacillus of Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp., Alternaria spp. and Aspergillus spp. attacking roots of cotton and legumes. Can be added to a slurry, or mixed with a chemical fungicide for commercial seed treatment.
- A seed inoculant of Pseudomonas cepacia for control of Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp., Pythium spp. in corn, vegetables and cotton.
- Agrobacterium radiobacter strain K-84 for control of crown gall disease caused by Agrobacterium tumefaciens in fruit, nut and ornamental nursery stock. Used as a dip or spray for root, stems or cuttings.
- Streptomycetes soil drench for suppression of Fusarium, Alternaria and Pseudomonas. Trichoderma fungus for suppression of Pythium, Rhizoctonia solani and Fusarium spp. Applied as granules or weatable powder mixed with soil or potting medium or as a soil drench. Includes crops like trees, shrubs, transplants all ornamentals, cabbages, tomatoes and cucumber.
- Gluconacetobacter virens GL-21 for damping-off and root rot pathogens such as Rhizoctonia solani and Pythium spp. of ornamental and food crops grown in greenhouses, nurseries, homes and interior-scapes. Sold as granules.
- Bacillus subtilis GB03 plus chemical pesticides. Used as a dust seed treatment for the planter box for seeding pathogens of barley, beans, cotton, peanut, pea, rice and soybeans.
- Trichodermia huzilum Riffal strain KRL-AG2 for control of Pythium spp. Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp. and Sclerotinia homeocarpa in bean, cabbage, corn, cotton, cucumber, peanut, potato, sorghum, soybean, sugarbeet, tomato, turf and greenhouse ornamentals. Applied as in-furrow granules, broadcast to turf, mixed with greenhouse soil, or mixing powder with seeds in the planter box or in commercial seed treatment.

The Trichoderma system: Trichoderma are one of a small group of beneficial fungi, which has proven commercially viable as a biological control agent. This microorganism is now registered as a bio-fungicide in France, the UK, Switzerland, Sweden, Belgium, Chile, New Zealand and the USA and regulations are pending in several other countries. Trichoderma thrives in the leaf litter or mulch in orchard situations and it requires a minimum organic carbon level of 1% to ensure proliferation in cropping locations. This species is a mycoparasite or saprophyte, which feeds on pathogenic fungi. There is now a body of photographic evidence highlighting this phenomenon where Trichoderma are seen actively parasitic basidiomycetes including Armillaria, mellea, Rhizoctonia solani and Chondrostereum purpureum. In fact, Trichoderma can control the growth of many opportunistic wood-feeding, decay fungi, as well as many soil-borne fungi responsible for seedling wilt and damping off (e.g. Fusarium and Pythium) (Baek et al., 1999; Elad, 2000). Trichoderma is completely safe for humans and livestock. In 55 years of research there has never been a recorded adverse reaction. The predatory qualities of Trichoderma are a big part of the appeal of this species of fungus for commercial applications, but there are other associated benefits that warrant consideration (Lorio et al., 1996).

Compost and disease suppression: Compost has been used effectively in the nursery industry, in high-value crops and in potting soil mixtures for control of root rot diseases (Haggag, Wafaa and Saber, 2000, 2001). Adding compost to soil may be viewed as one of a spectrum of techniques-including cover cropping, crop rotations, mulching, and manuring—which add organic matter to the soil (Holtink et al., 1991; Logsdon, 1995). The major difference between compost-amended soil and the other techniques is that organic matter in compost is already "digested". Other techniques require the digestion to take place in the soil, which allows for both anaerobic and aerobic decomposition of organic matter. Properly composted organic matter is digested chiefly through aerobic processes. These differences have important implications for soil and nutrient management, as well as plant health and pest management (Traniker, 1992; Hudson, 1994). Compost is effective because it fosters a more diverse soil environment in which a myriad of soil organisms exist. Compost acts as a food source and shelter for the antagonists that compete with plant pathogens, for those organisms that prey on and parasitize pathogens and for those beneficial that produce antibiotics. Root rot caused by Pythium
and Phytophthora are generally suppressed by the high numbers and diversity of beneficial microbes found in the compost. Such beneficial prevent the germination of spores and infection of plants growing in the amended soil (Goldstein, 1988; Harrison and Frank, 1986). Systemic resistance is also induced in plants in response to compost treatments. Hoitink et al. (1997) has now established that composts and compost teas indeed activate disease resistance genes in plants. These disease resistance genes are typically “turned on” by the plant in response to the presence of a pathogen. These genes mobilize chemical defenses against the pathogen invasion, although often too late to avoid the disease. Plants growing in compost, however, have these disease prevention systems already running (Goldstein, 1988). Induced resistance is somewhat pathogen-specific, but it does allow an additional way to manage certain diseases through common farming practices. It has become evident that in disease management, using a “one size fits all” approach to composting will not work. Depending on feed stock, inoculum and composting process, composts have different characteristics affecting disease management potential. For example, high carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N) tree bark compost generally works well to suppress Fusarium wilts. With lower C:N ratio composts, Fusarium wilts may become more severe as a result of the excess nitrogen, which favors Fusarium. (Hoitink et al., 1997). Compost from sewage sludge typically has a low C:N ratio. Some of the beneficial microorganisms that re-inhabit compost from the outside edges after heating has subsided include several bacteria (Bacillus species, Flavococcus baldastum and various Pseudomonas species) and several fungi (Streptomyces, Penicillin, Trichoderma and Gliocladium virine). The moisture content following peak heating of a compost is critical to the range of organisms inhabiting the finished product. Dry composts with less than 34% moisture are likely to be colonized by fungi and therefore are conducive to Pythium diseases (Hoitink et al., 1997). Compost with at least 40 to 60% moisture will be colonized by both bacteria and fungi and will be disease suppressive (Hoitink et al., 1997). Water is typically added during the composting process to avoid a dry condition. Compost pH below 6.0 inhibits bacterial biocontrol agents. Three approaches can be utilized to increase suppressiveness of compost. First, curing the compost for four months or more; second, incorporating the compost in the field soil several months before planting and third, inoculating the compost with specific biocontrol agents (Hoitink et al., 1997). Two of the more common beneficial used to inoculate compost are strains of Trichoderma and Flavobacterium, added to suppress Rhizoctonia solani. Trichoderma harzianum acts against a broad range of soil-borne fungal crop pathogens, including R. solani, by production of anti-fungal exudates. The key to disease suppression in compost is the level of decomposition—as the compost matures, it becomes more suppressive. Readily available carbon compounds found in low-quality, immature compost can support Pythium and Rhizoctonia. As these compounds are reduced during the complete composting process, saprophytic growth of these pathogens is dramatically slowed (Nelson et al., 1994). Beneficial such as Trichoderma hamatum and T. harzianum, unable to suppress Rhizoctonia in immature composts, are extremely effective when introduced into mature composts. For Pythium suppression, a direct correlation has been shown between general microbial activity and amount of microbial biomass and the degree of suppression. Pythium is a nutrient-dependent pathogen with the ability to colonize fresh plant residue, especially in soil that has been fumigated to kill all soil life. The severity of diseases caused by Pythium and R. solani relates less to the inoculum density than to the amount of saprophytic growth the pathogen achieves before infection (Cock, 1994). Consequently, soils that are antagonistic to saprophytic growth of Pythium sp.—as soils amended with decomposed compost—will support lower disease levels. As for Rhizoctonia, this fungus is highly competitive in colonizing fresh organic matter (Chung et al., 1988). Its ability to colonize decomposed organic matter is decreased or non-existent. There is a direct relationship between a compost’s level of decomposition and its suppression of Rhizoctonia—again pointing to the need for high-quality, mature compost. Like compost, raw manure is conducive to reester at first, then becomes suppressive after decomposition. In other words: organic amendments supporting high biological activity (i.e., decomposition) are suppressive of plant-root diseases, while raw organic matter will often favor colonization by the pathogen.

Determining and monitoring compost quality: It is clear that compost maturity is a key factor in its ability to suppress disease. The challenge involved in achieving and measuring that maturity is the primary reason why compost is not more widely used. Certainly, immature compost can be used in field situations, as long as it is applied well ahead of planting, allowing for eventual stabilization. However, good disease suppression may not develop because of other factors. For example, highly saline compost actually enhances Pythium and Phytophthora diseases unless applied months ahead of planting to allow for leaching. High-quality compost should contain disease-suppressive organisms and mycorrhizal inoculum (Hoitink et al., 1997).

Direct inoculation with beneficial organisms: There are a number of commercial products containing beneficial, disease-suppressive organisms. These products are applied in various ways—including seed treatments, compost inoculants, soil inoculants and soil drenches. Among the beneficial organisms available are Trichoderma, Flavobacterium, Sterotomycetes, Gliocladium spp., Bacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp. and others. A partial list of these products can be found in the resources section. These companies will send you their product and technical information upon request. Consider your cost and overall soil health before trying these products. Trichoderma and Gliocladium are effective at parasitizing other fungi, but they stay alive only as long as they have other fungi to parasitize. In soils with low fungal biomass (soils with low organic matter and plenty of tillage) these two beneficial have nothing to feed on. Compost is a great source of both the organisms and the food they need to do their jobs. A great diversity of bacteria and fungi occur in good compost.

References
Wafaa, M. Haggag: Sustainable agriculture management of plant diseases


