Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasound Findings in Patients with Carpal Tunnel Syndrome
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Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is a common problem that is caused by pressure on a nerve in the wrist and is associated with various factors. We compared Cross-Sectional Area (CSA) of the inlet and outlet in CTS patients and healthy controls and define the best cut off point of CSA and assess the utility of US in the diagnosis of CTS patients as well. This cross-sectional, age-group-matched case-control study was performed on 39 patients aged 42-65 years with electro-physiologically confirmed idiopathic CTS and 35 healthy controls from Golestan Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran from Jan 2013 to Feb 2014. Neurological and electro-diagnostic tests for patients were performed by an expert neurologist as the gold standard diagnostic test for CTS cases. Hundred wrists were evaluated. Electromyography (EMG) showed that 16 (32%) cases were mild, 17 (34%) were moderate and 17 (34%) were severe. The results showed that the CSA of carpal tunnel inlet, carpal tunnel outlet and AP diameter were significantly different between the two groups (p<0.001, p = 0.009, respectively). The sensitivity and specificity of carpal tunnel inlet were 92 and 96%, for carpal tunnel outlet were 92 and 92%, for AP diameter were 64 and 58%. Longitudinal evaluations are necessary to better know the utility of ultrasound for diagnosing CTS. The accuracy of diagnosis increases in parallel with the increase in the stages and severity of CTS. Therefore, patients with severe CTS would not need the more uncomfortable, costly and invasive techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is a common problem that is caused by pressure on a nerve in the wrist and is associated with various factors (Aboonq, 2015; Uchiyama et al., 2010). Fractures, dislocations, tumors, some chronic diseases, such as diabetes or thyroid disorders and repetitive movements of the wrist, are conditions that cause pressure on the median nerve at the wrist and ultimately cause CTS (De-La-Llave-Rincon et al., 2012). This syndrome is the most common entrapment neuropathy, which can cause varying degrees of disability (Cutts, 2007). The prevalence of CTS was 2.7 cases per 1000 person in the general population (Atroshi et al., 1999; De Krom et al., 1992) and 2.3 cases per 1000 person in the US working population (Dale et al., 2013).

The overall prevalence of this disorder in the general population of Sweden was reported from 2.7-4% (Borg and Lindblom, 2000) and in Italy 329 cases per 100,000 people per year have been reported (Mattioli et al., 2009; Rosecrance et al., 2013). The prevalence of CTS in Iran was 16.7% among dentists (Haghighat et al., 2012) and in pregnant and non-pregnant Iranian women was 3.4 and 2.3%, respectively (Yazdanpanah et al., 2012).

Though physiologic and clinical information have been considered as acceptable tools for CTS diagnosis, due to the low sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy, these methods are not completely reliable; thus, in case of clinical susceptibility for definitive diagnosis, it is recommended to use Electro-Diagnostic Sensitivity (EDS) methods (De Krom et al., 1990; El Miedany et al., 2008; Macfarlane and Williams, 1990). Ultrasound (US) is an available, non-invasive, cost effective and easy imaging technique that almost has no harm to the body and could be applied for CTS diagnosis (Tai et al., 2012). However, US could be an alternative imaging technique for the diagnosis of CTS, but due to low sensitivity and specificity as well as a relatively high rate of false negative and false positive results, the precise diagnostic value of this method is controversial (Mondelli et al., 2008; Tai et al., 2012).

Thus, aimed to compare Cross-Sectional Area (CSA) of the inlet and outlet in CTS patients and healthy controls and define the best cut off point of CSA and assess the utility of US in the diagnosis of CTS patients as well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population: This cross-sectional, age-group-matched case-control study was performed on 39 patients aged 42-65 years with electro-physiologically confirmed idiopathic CTS and 35 healthy controls from Golestan Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran from Jan, 2013 to Feb, 2014. The study was approved by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences Ethical Committee and all patients were signed the inform consent prior to enrollment.

Inclusion criteria: All clinically suspected CTS aged 42-65 years who had symptoms such as paresthesia and painful hands, particularly at night, were enrolled.

Exclusion criteria: Pregnant patients and those, who have any signs of systemic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes mellitus, acromegaly and hypothyroidism as well as pathological conditions, such as polyneuropathy radiculopathy weakness and muscle atrophy or have surgery, trauma or fracture of the wrist, were excluded.

Methods: After explaining the study process personal information including gender, age, height and weight were recorded. Physical examination and diagnostic tests for CTS was performed for all patients. Neurological and electro-diagnostic tests for patients treated were performed by an expert neurologist as the gold standard diagnostic test for CTS cases. The work was done at room temperature and skin surface temperature controlled at 32-34°C was maintained. The positive findings for the diagnosis of CTS include: Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity (SNCV) average of less than 45 m per second, Distal Motorischen Latenz (DML) more than 2.4 m sec and Sensory Nerve Action Potential (SNAP) less than 15 microvolt. Based on these tests, patients are divided into four groups: Normal, all tests normal: Mild, slowing in SNCV and normal DML; moderate, slowing in SNCV and prolonged DML and severe, no sensory response and prolonged DML. We only considered last three groups of patients are considered.

Ultrasound: Ultrasound was performed using a linear-frequency probe (GE Voluson). Patient was positioned in the sitting position and the forearm was placed supine. Wrist was located in a resting and neutral position and the fingers are placed in the semi-flex condition. The CSA was calculated for carpal tunnel inlet and outlet. Each measurement was repeated twice and the mean value was considered. Ultimately, the ultrasound findings in the case and control groups were compared.

Statistical analysis: Data was analyzed by SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), presented as Mean±SD. For comparing continuous variables the Kruskal-Wallis test was applied. The ROC curve was used to determine optimal cut-off values of the median nerve inlet and outlet CSA. Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated. The p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

By comparing the two groups, the only difference in terms of Body Mass Index (BMI) values was statistically significant \((p = 0.001)\) (Table 1).

Hundred wrists were evaluated. Electromyography (EMG) showed that 16 (32%) cases were mild, 17 (34%) were moderate and 17 (34%) were severe (Table 2).

The results showed that the CSA of carpal tunnel inlet, carpal tunnel outlet and AP diameter were significantly different between the two groups (Table 3). With a cutoff point of 11.11 mm² for the median nerve CSA at the carpal tunnel inlet (Table 4), the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound were 92 and 96%, respectively (area under the curve = 0.93, \(p<0.001\)) (Table 5). With a cutoff point of 10.81 mm² for the median nerve CSA at the carpal tunnel outlet, the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound examination were 92 and 92%, respectively (area under the curve = 0.94, \(p<0.001\)).

In ROC curve analysis, the area under the curve was significant for mild, moderate and server CTS (Table 4). The ROC curve analysis was also performed to assess the utility of ultrasound examination in prediction of CTS (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

We found that the median nerve cross-section at the carpal tunnel inlet and outlet significantly differed between patients with CTS and normal individuals. Electro-Diagnostic Studies (EDS) provide valuable information about median nerve transfer and reduce both sensory and motor conduction speed in patients with CTS. Although, the physiological information is considered as the gold standard for the diagnosis of CTS, the sensitivity has been reported in the range of 49-86% and false negative in the range of 16-34% (Al-Hashel et al., 2014; Jablecki et al., 1993; Witt et al., 2004). Ultrasound has been introduced as an alternative method of diagnosis in comparison with EDS (Kamolz et al., 2001), due to many advantages, including low cost, safety, mobility and ease of use. The diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography for the diagnosis of CTS has been investigated in many studies and different cut off points for the CSA has been reported (Kerasnoudis, 2013; Tai et al., 2012, 2013). This difference may be due to difference in the sample size, study design and patient’s characteristics. In most previous studies, concordance of NCS and ultrasound findings in defining the severity of CTS has been reported (De Krom et al., 2009; Mohammadi et al., 2010; Moran et al., 2009; Pazzaglia and Padua, 2010).

In our study, the sensitivity and specificity of carpal tunnel inlet were 92 and 96%, while different studies have been reported the sensitivity and specificity of carpal tunnel inlet, ranging from 42-98 and 51-100% (Sarraf et al., 2014). Thus ultrasound has an acceptable accuracy in the diagnosis of patient with CTS and may help in the detection of severity and stage of disorder progression. Recently, Chiavaras et al. (2014) reviewed a number of diagnostic pitfalls related to ultrasound evaluation of the hand and wrist in which these pitfalls relate to evaluation of some disorders, such as

![Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the case and control group](image1.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>53.70±6.96</td>
<td>50.28±6.95</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI*</td>
<td>29.84±3.34</td>
<td>27.90±2.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>26 (52%)</td>
<td>27 (54%)</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24 (48%)</td>
<td>23 (46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Body mass index (BMI)

![Table 2: The results of Electromyography (EMG)](image2.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 3: CSA of carpal tunnel inlet, carpal tunnel outlet and AP diameter between the two groups](image3.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAS1</td>
<td>11.92±0.99</td>
<td>9.40±0.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS2</td>
<td>10.81±1.01</td>
<td>9.35±0.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP diameter of carpal tunnel</td>
<td>1.04±0.089</td>
<td>1.01±0.052</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 4: Cut off point and area under the curve for the carpal tunnel inlet, carpal tunnel outlet and AP diameter](image4.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cut off value (mm²)</th>
<th>Area under the curve</th>
<th>Asymptotic 95% confidence interval</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAS1</td>
<td>11.115</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS2</td>
<td>10.815</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP diameter of carpal tunnel</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 5: Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy for ultrasound](image5.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Positive predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Negative predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAS1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP diameter</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>61.70</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSA1: Carpal tunnel inlet, CSA2: Carpal tunnel outlet
inflammatory arthritis, CTS, ulnar collateral ligament of the thumb and muscle variants (Chiavaras et al., 2014). Thus, ultrasound has been shown to be a relatively valuable imaging method for CTS, knowledge of potential pitfalls makes this procedure somehow controversial due to the possibility of misdiagnosis and achieving high diagnostic accuracy is uncertain as well.

**CONCLUSION**

Continued studies and longitudinal evaluations are necessary to better know the utility of ultrasound for diagnosing CTS. Moreover, Small bodies of research evidences for the independent use of ultrasound for diagnosis of CTS are existed, but ultrasound assessment of the median nerve may consider as a screening tool in
the first step of subjects with suspected CTS. The accuracy of diagnosis increases in parallel with the increase in the stages and severity of CTS. Therefore, patients with severe CTS would not need the more uncomfortable, costly and invasive techniques. Further researches are essential to find the best combination of ultrasound and other imaging techniques for screening and diagnostic CTS as well as compare the results with using ultrasound individually.
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