Investigating the Resistance of Wild Oat (*Avena ludoviciana* Durieu.) to Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl by Whole Plant Bioassay and Seed Bioassay
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**Abstract:** Greenhouse and laboratory experiments were performed to evaluate the resistant of wild oat *Avena ludoviciana* Durieu, populations to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. Populations of *A. ludoviciana* were collected from different locations in Iran, showed indications of resistance to this herbicide. Whole plant assay experiments included screening tests and dose response experiments whereas seed bioassay experiment consisted of D₅₀ determination and dose response experiments. Whole plant assay experiments were conducted as a randomized complete block design in four replications. The treatments were wild oat populations included FR₁, FR₂, FR₃, FR₄ (collected from Fars province), MR₁, MR₂, MR₃ (collected from Markazi province), KS, KR₁, KR₂, KR₃ (collected from Khuzestan province) and S (collected from location which had never been treated previously with any graminicide). Seed bioassay experiments were conducted using a randomized design with 4 replications. On the whole plant basis, resistance was found in, KR₁, KR₂, KR₃ and FR₄ and based on a seed bioassay, these populations were also resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. Resistance ratios (R/S) of resistant populations were different. Present findings also revealed that the seed bioassay could be used as a simple, comparatively rapid, inexpensive and accurate method for identifying wild oat populations resistant to Acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitors.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Since the introduction of the Aryloxyphenyloxypipionate (APP) and Cyclohexanedione (CHD) herbicides of ACCase inhibitors in 1977, farmers have increasingly become reliant on these herbicides for grass weed control II. The increase in the use of ACCase inhibitors led to a parallel increase in the evolution of resistant populations to these herbicides (Rubin, 1996). To date, many grass weed species *Lolium rigidum* Gaudin., *Phalaris minor* Retz., *Alopecurus myosuroides* Hudson. and *Avena fatua* L. have been reported to be resistant to ACCase inhibiting herbicides. The resistance of wild oat to ACCase inhibitors was first reported in the Canadian prairies in 1990, 14 years after the registration of diolofop-methyl and 7 years after that of sathoxydim (Heap *et al.*, 1993). Since then, resistance of wild oat to ACCase herbicides has been reported in many countries worldwide including, Canada, Australia, France, South Africa, United State and Chile (Heap, 2006).

Several methods have been developed to detect weed resistance to herbicides. They include whole plant assay, petri dish assay (Beckie *et al.*, 1990), chlorophyll fluorescence, leaf disc flotation and enzyme sensitivity assays (Moss, 1995). The most widely used test for identifying herbicide resistance is whole plant assay (Moss, 1999). The determination method should be rapid, accurate, cheap and rapidly available and should provide a reliable indication of likely effect of resistance on herbicide performance in the field (Do-Soon *et al.*, 2000). Seed bioassay is comparatively quick, inexpensive and is particularly useful for routine screening of large numbers of suspected resistant populations (Murray *et al.*, 1996). Petri dish bioassays, which generally involve either shoot length or root length as growth parameters to discriminate between resistant and susceptible biotypes exposed to herbicide solutions, have already been developed to screen resistance within populations. Such bioassay has been successfully used for documenting green foxtail (*Setaria viridis* (L.) P. Beauv.) resistance to trifluralin
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(Beckie et al., 1990), wild oat (Avena fatua L.) resistance to the aryloxyphenoxypropionate and cyclohexanedione herbicides (Murray et al., 1996) and detection of propanil and fenoxaprop resistance in Echinochloa colona (L.) Link. (Do-Soon et al., 2000).

In Iran, APP herbicides have continuously been used for selective control of wild oat and other grass weeds since 1980 (Zand and Baghestani, 2002). Recently, unsatisfactory control of wild oat (A. ludoviciana) using these herbicides has been reported from some wheat growing areas including Khuzestan, Fars and Markazi provinces, where wheat is grown. Poor control of this weed could not be attributed to improper application of these herbicides, but it may be due to evolution of herbicide resistance in A. ludoviciana populations at these locations. The objective of this study were (1) to determine whether A. ludoviciana populations in Iran have become resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl and (2) to compare the efficiency of the whole plant assay with the seed bioassay for identifying herbicide resistance in weed populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material: Ten suspected resistant A. ludoviciana populations were collected in 2001 from wheat fields in Fars (FR1, FR2, FR3, FR4), Markazi (MR1, MR2, MR3) and Khuzestan (KR1, KR2, KR3, KR4) provinces. Seeds of the suspected resistant populations were collected from many plants that survived an annual treatment with aryloxyphenoxypropionate herbicides that had been used for at least 4-5 successive years. A susceptible (S) population was also collected from location which had never been treated previously with any graminicide (Tal et al., 1996). Populations were coded based on the province and susceptibility or suspicious to resistance (for example: KR; suspicious to resistance population that was collected from Khuzestan province).

The present study consisted of two separate experiments, whole plant assay and seed bioassay experiments. Whole plant assay consisted of screening for resistance with fenoxaprop-p-ethyl and dose response experiments. Seed bioassay experiment included ID50 determination and dose response experiments. Both experiments were conducted at greenhouse facilities and laboratory of Plant Pest and Disease Research Institute, Tehran. It should be noted that all experiments were repeated twice.

Whole plant assay
Screening test: The experiment was conducted in a randomized complete block design with four replications. An individual pot containing 10 seeds was considered a treatment unit. Before planting and in order to break the seed dormancy, A. ludoviciana seeds were dehulled by hand and germinated on filter paper moistened with 8 mL distilled water in 9 cm plastic Petri plates. Plates were transferred to a refrigerator at +5°C in the dark for 24 h and then placed in a germinator at +20/10°C with a 16/8 h and darkness to germinate the seeds. Ten seeds of wild oat were planted at a depth of 1 cm in 12 cm diameter pots filled with a loam/sand/peat mixture in a 1:1:1 ratio. Pots were transferred to a greenhouse at 25/18°C day/night temperature regime. Pots were watered daily to field capacity.

Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl at 75 g ai ha⁻¹ was applied on wild oat at the two- to three-leaf stage. Herbicide were sprayed in a cabinet sprayer equipped with a flat-fan nozzle calibrated to deliver 200 L ha⁻¹ of spray solution at a pressure of 2 bar. Visual percent wild oat control was rated 28 Day After Herbicide Application (DAHA) using EWRC rating system (Sundral et al., 1997). Four weeks after treatment, number of survived plants in each pot was counted, then the plants were harvested and oven dried at 75°C for 48 h and weighed. Percent wild oat biomass was calculated by dividing plant biomass in the untreated pot by plant biomass in the untreated pot and multiplying by 100. Those populations that were distinguished as resistant were studied further in a dose-response experiment to determine the level of resistance to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl.

Dose-response experiment: Dose response experiment was conducted using 12*10 cm deep pots in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Preparation of planting material and seed germination condition were similar to screening test. The A. ludoviciana populations that were selected in the previous experiment were tested at a range of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl doses. The applied fenoxaprop-p-ethyl doses were 0, 7.5, 15, 30, 45, 600 and 1200 g ai ha⁻¹, that covered rang of 0.1 to 16 recommended doses.

Seed bioassay
Discriminating dose experiment: The objective of this experiment was to determine herbicide dose at which 50% coleoptile’s length of susceptible population reduces (ID50), as a discriminating dose between resistant and susceptible populations. The experiment was performed as a completely randomized design with four replications. 10 imibed seeds of S population (S) were placed over a filter paper in each Petri dish. Eight milliliter aqueous emulsion of commercially formulated fenoxaprop-p-ethyl was applied at a range of doses to sheet of filter paper.
lining the bottoms of petri plates. Then applied doses used were 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1 mg L⁻¹. Petri plates were kept for 48 h in the dark in a germination cabinet with a day/night temperature regime at 20/10°C, respectively. The coleoptile’s lengths were measured after 7 days. After determining the ID₉₀ of susceptible population, discriminating dose was applied to all populations.

**Dose-response experiments**: Dose response experiment was arranged as a completely randomized design with four replications. Seeds preparation and germination were the same as described in discriminating dose experiment. Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl was applied at doses of 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1 mg L⁻¹.

All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS software (SAS Institute, 1996). The assumptions of the variance analysis were tested by insure that the residuals were random, homogeneous with a normal distribution about a mean of zero. If the assumptions of variance were not adequately met, data were subjected to an arcsin square root transformation (for data calculated as percent of the check treatment) or square root transformation (for visual rating scores). A nonlinear regression equation (Ebrain and Cousins, 1989) was fitted to dose-response data and used to describe the response of the populations to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl:

\[ Y = k(1 + e^{a x}) + d \]

Where Y is dependent variable, x is the herbicide dose, e is the base of natural logarithm, k is the difference between the upper and lower asymptotes, k+d is the upper asymptote, d is the lower asymptote and b and g determine the shape of the curve. Regression equations were used for calculating herbicide application rates required to inhibit growth, surviving plant and to inhibit coleoptile’s length by 50% (ID₉₀). Resistance ratios (R/S) were then calculated by dividing the ID₉₀ of the resistant populations by the susceptible population.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Whole plant assay**

**Screening test**: At 28 DAHA, *A. ludoviciana* biomass, survival and visual injury were significantly different among the populations when treated by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (Table 1). KR₁, KR₂ and KR₃ showed the least biomass reduction and the highest plant survival, while other populations were satisfactorily controlled by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. These results are in agreement with the results of visual injury. According to Beekie et al. (2000), a population would be considered as resistant if show survival at least 50% and be able to keep its biomass at least 80% the untreated check. However, when biomass reduces to 50% the untreated check, the population could be considered as possibly resistant. As a result, KR₁, KR₂ and KR₃ were resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl while FR₂, was grouped as possibly resistant.

As observed, did not confirm our initial assumption about suspected resistance of Markazi and Fars populations. This indicates that control of *A. ludoviciana* at these locations would be attributed to other reasons like improper application, timing or method.

In this study, 75 g ai ha⁻¹ fenoxaprop-p-ethyl was considered as discriminating dose because it is the recommended dose fenoxaprop-p-ethyl for wild oat control and farmers almost use this rate for control of wild oat, especially in wheat fields.

**Dose-response experiments**: As observed in the screening test, KR₁, KR₂ and KR₃, which were chosen as resistant and FR₂ chosen as suspected resistant population. Population S also considered as the susceptible population. In dose-response experiment the relationship between shoot biomass and survival in these populations and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl doses were described by a sigmoidal model (Fig. 1 and 2). The dose response experiment showed that differences in shoot biomass and survival between the resistant and susceptible populations over all the range doses (Fig. 1 and 2). Among the populations, KR₃ was the highest resistant population. At the highest dose of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (1200 g ai ha⁻¹) that was applied in this experiment, shoot biomass of KR₃ population was 54.77% of control. Shoot growth of S population was also inhibited (48.26% of control) at half recommended dose (37.5 g ai ha⁻¹) (Fig. 1). R/S ratios indicated that although all populations were resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl, but

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Shoot biomass (% of control)</th>
<th>Survival plant (% of control)</th>
<th>Visual rating</th>
<th>Coleoptile’s (% of control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.2746e^*</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>1.5c</td>
<td>49.47e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
<td>0.4911cde</td>
<td>0.539c</td>
<td>1.5c</td>
<td>53.91cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR₁</td>
<td>0.3599c</td>
<td>0.348c</td>
<td>1.5c</td>
<td>50.56e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR₂</td>
<td>0.4477cd</td>
<td>0.721c</td>
<td>2.75b</td>
<td>52.41cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR₁</td>
<td>0.4391cd</td>
<td>0.549c</td>
<td>3.25b</td>
<td>54.88e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR₂</td>
<td>0.2860c</td>
<td>0.291c</td>
<td>1.5c</td>
<td>53.35cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR₃</td>
<td>0.3876cde</td>
<td>0.386c</td>
<td>1.5c</td>
<td>52.46cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR₄</td>
<td>0.6725bc</td>
<td>0.647bc</td>
<td>8.0a</td>
<td>66.02b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR₁</td>
<td>0.9294a</td>
<td>0.8309a</td>
<td>8.5a</td>
<td>97.65a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR₂</td>
<td>0.9862a</td>
<td>0.9268a</td>
<td>8.75a</td>
<td>97.06a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR₃</td>
<td>0.9854a</td>
<td>0.9414a</td>
<td>8.75a</td>
<td>98.21a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In each column, means with same letter(s) do not differ at 0.05 probability level according to Duncan multiple range test.

Table 1: Wild oat shoot biomass and survived plant and visual percent weed control, 4 weeks after fenoxaprop-p-ethyl application at whole plant assay experiment and coleoptile’s length 7 day after herbicide application at seed biomass.
there were clear differences in the level of resistance (Table 2 and 3). KR3 largely differed from other populations in this respect since its ID50 was more than 259 times population S (Table 2). KR1 level of resistance to fenoxaprop-<i>p</i>-ethyl is comparable with very high levels of resistance to ACCase inhibitors in some populations of

\[ Y = k(1+(e^{bX})^d) \]

Table 2: Parameter estimates of the shoot biomass of susceptible and resistant populations as a percentage of untreated control, 4 weeks after fenoxaprop-<i>p</i>-ethyl application. Data were fitted according to the non-linear regression model: \[ Y = k(1+(e^{bX})^d) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ID50</th>
<th>R/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-3.29741</td>
<td>1.608050</td>
<td>17.68</td>
<td>82.52</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR1</td>
<td>-5.76021</td>
<td>1.819940</td>
<td>41.93</td>
<td>58.07</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>814.00</td>
<td>23.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR2</td>
<td>-5.551237</td>
<td>1.638600</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>73.64</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>399.00</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR3</td>
<td>-5.63595</td>
<td>1.514770</td>
<td>49.75</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9200.00</td>
<td>250.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR4</td>
<td>-3.71479</td>
<td>0.832317</td>
<td>37.62</td>
<td>62.38</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>219.00</td>
<td>6.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( Y \): dependent variable, \( X \): The herbicide dose, \( d \): The base of natural logarithm, \( k \): The difference between the upper and lower asymptotes, \( k-d \): The upper asymptote, \( d \): The lower asymptote, \( b \) and \( g \): The shape of the curve, +Herbicide application rates required to inhibit growth by 50%, +Dividing ID50 of the resistant populations by the susceptible population

Table 3: Parameter estimates of the susceptible and resistant populations survival as a percentage of untreated control, 4 weeks after spraying fenoxaprop-<i>p</i>-ethyl. Data were fitted according to the non-linear regression model: \[ Y = k(1+(e^{bX})^d) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ID50</th>
<th>R/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-3.69688</td>
<td>1.608050</td>
<td>02.19</td>
<td>814.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>40.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR1</td>
<td>-5.97203</td>
<td>1.819940</td>
<td>02.6017</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>814.00</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR2</td>
<td>-5.68820</td>
<td>1.638600</td>
<td>02.32726</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3123.00</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR3</td>
<td>-6.21940</td>
<td>1.514770</td>
<td>03.24734</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>9200.00</td>
<td>228.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR4</td>
<td>-5.00183</td>
<td>0.832317</td>
<td>33.7653</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>148.68</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters definition as in Table 2

rigid rye grass (Lolium rigidum) occurring in Australia and Italian rye grass (Lolium multiflorum) in Oregon (Stanger and Appleby, 1989). Heap et al. (1993) reported that <i>A. fatua</i> population UMI was 150 times more resistant to sethoxydim than susceptible populations. Figure 2 show the effect of different fenoxaprop-<i>p</i>-ethyl concentrations on the survival of resistant and susceptible populations. In the resistant populations, four levels of response to fenoxaprop-<i>p</i>-ethyl were evident:
KR₂ > KR₃ > KR₄ > FR₄ (Table 2). These results were confirmed with relationship between survived plants in these populations and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl doses (Fig. 2 and Table 3).

**Seed bioassay**

**Discriminating dose experiment:** At 7 DAHA, fenoxaprop-p-ethyl at 0.1 mg L⁻¹ inhibited population S coleoptile’s length by 50% (Fig. 3). Thus, 0.1 mg L⁻¹ was chosen as the discriminating dose.

Results of statistical analysis 7 DAHA showed that fenoxaprop-p-ethyl significantly affected the populations coleoptile’s length (Table 1). Results showed that KR₁, KR₂, KR₃ and FR₄ germinated almost completely which is consistent with our finding in whole plant assay. Thus, these populations exhibited resistance to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl but the other populations were susceptible, although susceptibility of some populations was lower than S population. Bena Kashani et al. (2006) also observed resistance to clodinafop-propargyl in Khuzestan wild oat populations.

**Dose-response experiments:** Result of next experiment indicated that KR₁, KR₂, KR₃, FR₄ were resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. The response of resistant and susceptible (S) populations to increasing dose of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl is shown in Fig. 4. Effect of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl doses on coleoptile’s length was visible as soon as germination was initiated and after 7 days there were large difference between the KR₁, KR₂, KR₃, FR₄ and S biotypes. Detailed dose response curves have confirmed these observations (Table 4). The effective concentration of herbicide causing 50% reduction in coleoptile’s length (ID₅₀) was estimated from the dose-response curves (Table 6). In these experiments similar to whole plant assay, the ranking of populations resistance ratio was KR₂ > KR₁ > KR₃ > FR₄. FR₄ is considered as a low level resistant population because its R/S ratio was not much greater than 1. Population resistance levels that were obtained at seed bioassay were lower than those obtained at whole plant assay. Tal et al. (2000) stated that although the seed bioassay seems to be less accurate compared to the whole plant assay (lower R/S values) it is.
a reliable method for identifying populations of grass species resistant to ACCase inhibiting herbicides. Researcher confirmed the utility of the seed bioassay procedure for identifying ACCase inhibitor resistant wild oat populations, by testing appropriate concentrations of fenoxaprop-p and sethoxydin (Murray et al., 1996). The seed bioassay technique is a simple, comparatively quick and inexpensive, reliable, and is particularly useful for routine screening of a large number of susceptible or resistant populations (Heap, 1994). The close association between the results from two tested methods may be represented a similar response to the same physiological-biochemical trait-resistance to ACCase inhibitors (Tal et al., 2000).

CONCLUSIONS

Results show that KR, KR, and KR populations collected from Khuzestan province and FR population collected from Fars province have been confirmed to be resistant to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. The results and conclusions using seed bioassay were generally similar to those based on whole plant assay. The rapid and accurate identification of resistant weed populations through use of seed bioassay system will assist in determining the nature and extent of the problem of ACCase inhibitor resistance on the Iran wheat fields. Alternative and effective weed management practices can then be implemented before the problem becomes unmanageable.
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