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Abstract: Raw meat ball is a traditional raw meat product which is consumed particularly in the region of southeast and other parts of Turkey. Since raw meat ball is made from raw ground beef and consumed without cooking, it can be a risk factor for the consumers in terms of foodborne infections and toxications. Thus, its microbiological quality was examined. In ground beef samples, total aerob mesophiles, Staphylococci and Micrococc spp., S. aureus, Enterobacteriaceae, coliform, Enterococci spp., Pseudomonas spp., B. cereus, Yeast-Mould, E. coli, and E. coli 0157 H7 were counted at the average of 3.4x10^5, 2.2x10^5, 1.6x10^3, 1.4x10^3, 1.9x10^4, 1.8x10^3, 9.2x10^1, 1.2x10^3, 4.6x10^4, 6.8x10^3, and <2.0x10^7 cfu/g, respectively. Salmonella spp., were determined at the levels of 24.0 % (12 samples). Based on the Salmonella spp. and E. coli numbers, 24% and 2% samples did not comply with the TFC (Turkish Food Codex) criteria for ground beef, respectively. While all samples did according to the numbers of total aerobic mesophile, E. coli 0157 H7 and S. aureus. In raw meat ball samples total aerob mesophiles, Staphylococci and Micrococc spp., S. aureus, Enterobacteriaceae, coliform, Enterococci spp., Pseudomonas spp., B. cereus, Yeast-Mould, E. coli, and E. coli 0157 H7 were determined at the average of 4.3x10^3, 1.0x10^4, 7.3x10^3, 4.8x10^6, 1.7x10^3, 3.1x10^3, 7.9x10^3, 1.5x10^4, 6.7x10^4, 1.2x10^2, and <2.0x10^2 cfu/g, respectively. Salmonella spp., were determined at the levels of 36.0 % (18 samples). Based on the numbers of Salmonella spp., E. coli and S. aureus, 36 %, 8 %, and 28 % samples did not comply with the TFC criteria for raw meat ball, respectively, where as they did according to the numbers of E. coli 0157 H7. We conclude that consumption of raw meat ball poses a risk of foodborne infections or toxication due to its raw meat content for human health.
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Introduction
Raw meat ball is a traditional raw meat product which is consumed particularly in the southeast and other parts of Turkey. Although raw meat ball is produced widely there are no standards established in terms of production methods and technology, food additives and their quantities, ingredients and microbiological quality. Methods that are used during production and ingredients vary depend on the location. However, ground beef meat without fat, Burghol (parboiled cracked wheat), tomatoes or pepper pure, garlic, onion, parsley, olive oil, water, salt, and mixture of herbs-spices are added in general. Traditional raw meat ball is made with naked hands. It requires to be mixed up all the ingredients and to be prepared like a dough by the movements of smashing until required texture is obtained. The microbiological quality of the raw meat and other ingredients, personal hygiene and any contamination during the process will determine the microbiological quality of end product. Studies done on the microbiological quality on ground meat show that ground meat is a good medium for the growth of microorganisms and foodborne infections and toxications can occur due to some bacteria (e.g. E. coli, S. aureus, Salmonella spp. and sulphide reducing anaerobs) (Pivnick et al., 1976; Bensink, 1979; Fukushima et al., 1987, Ramasastry et al., 1999; Davidson et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2001) Since raw meat ball is consumed without cooking, it can be a risk factor for the consumers in terms of both foodborne infections and toxications. In this study, the microbiological quality of ground beef meat and raw meat balls were investigated in order to determine its risks for public health.

Materials and Methods
Samples: Fifty samples (about 100 g) of ground beef meat and 50 samples (about 100 g) of raw meat balls made from these ground beef meat were collected from restaurants in Bitlis district (Southeast Turkey). Each sample was placed in an individual sterile plastic bag. Samples were transported to the laboratory immediately after collection in ice-chest and tested upon arrival or stored at 4°C for no longer than 4 h.

Microbiological analysis: To analyze the samples of ground beef meat and raw meat balls, the methods stated in Compendium of Methods for the Examination of Foods (Vanderzant and Splittstoesser, 1992) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Anonymous, 1998)
were used. 10 g of each sample was placed in a sterile stomacher bag containing 90 ml of peptone water. Drop method was used to inoculate agar plates. Aerobic mesophiles were determined using Plate Count Agar (Oxoid CM 325), plates incubated at 30°C for 24-48 h. Enterobacteriaceae were counted on Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (Oxoid CM 485) aerobically and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Pink-red colonies with precipitation were taken into consideration. Coliforms and Escherichia coli (E. coli) were determined on Violet Red Bile Lactose Agar (Oxoid CM 107) aerobically and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Pink-red colonies with precipitation were streaked on Endo Agar (Oxoid CM) and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. IMVIC test was performed on colonies that showed shiny-metallic green to identify E. coli. Enterococci spp. were counted on Slanetz Bartley Medium (Oxoid CM 377) after incubating aerobically at 37°C for 24-48 h. The red colonies grown on this medium were taken into consideration. Staphylococci and Micrococci spp. were determined on Baird Parker Agar (Oxoid CM 275) aerobically at 37°C for 24-48 h. Typical black colonies with zones around and atypical black colonies were considered as Staphylococci spp., small brown-black colonies without zones around were considered as Micrococci spp. Colonies that were isolated as Staphylococci spp. were inoculated into Brain Heart Infusion Broth (Merck 1.10483), and were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Subsequently coagulase test (Merck 1.33306) was performed to isolate coagulase (+) Staphylococci spp. and these isolates were inoculated on DNase Agar (Merck 1.10449) for the identification of Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) and were confirmed by cell morphology, Gram reaction, catalase activity, sensitivity to lysostaphine. Pseudomonas spp. were isolated on Pseudomonas Agar (Oxoid CM 559) aerobically at 30°C for 24-48 h. Oxidase (+) colonies were taken into consideration. Bacillus cereus (B. cereus) was isolated on Cereus Selective Agar (Merck 1.05267) aerobically at 30°C for 24-48 h. Pink-purple, opaque colonies were chosen for further examinations (Gram stain, catalase test, motility test, nitrate reduction, tyrosine decomposition, anaerobic fermentation of glucose, VP reaction, production of acid from mannitol and arabinose). To isolate Salmonella spp., 25 g of samples were incubated in 225 ml buffered peptone water (Oxoid CM 509) at 37°C for 24 h. Subsequently 0.1 ml inoculated into Rappaport Vassiliadis Broth (Merck 1.07700) and were incubated at 43°C for 24-48 h. Streak plates were prepared on Salmonella Shigella Agar (Merck 1.07687) at 24 and 48 h incubation times and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Pink-red colonies with black centres were inoculated onto Triple Sugar Iron Agar (Merck 1.03915) and Lysine Iron Agar (Merck 1.11640). Biochemical and serological (Oxoid FT 203) tests were performed for the identification of Salmonella spp. For the isolation of anaerobes that capable of reducing sulphide, Tryptose Cycloserin Agar (Merck 1.11972) was inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Colonies with black zone (or without) were taken into consideration. Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol Agar (Oxoid, CM 0549) was used to isolate Yeast-Mould and incubated anaerobically at 30°C for 4-5 days. To detect the presence of E. coli O157 H7 in ground beef samples, a 25 g of sample was pre-enriched with modified novobiocin EC broth (mEC+n, Merck 14562, Berlin, Germany) at 37°C for 24 h. A swap of the enrichment broth was then spread onto selective CSTMAC (Cefixime-Tellurite Supplement and Sorbitol MacConkey Agar, Oxoid CM 813 and SR 172 E, Basingsloke, UK) and incubated at 42°C for 24-48 h. End of the incubation, colourless, sorbitol negative (-), suspected colonies were streaked onto Fluorocult Violet Red Bile (VRB) (Merck 1.04060, GERMANY) and these plates were incubated at 42°C for 24-48 h aerobically. Colonies grown on VRB were checked under UV light. The suspected colonies were Gram stained and IMVIC tests were performed. The colonies were then subjected to the agglutination test to determine the serotype of the bacteria using specific antisera to E. coli O157 (Oxoid, 200075, UK) and Dryspot E. coli O157 latex agglutination test (Oxoid, UK) for E. coli O157 carried out in parallel. Cultures identified as E. coli O157 were tested with antisera H7 (Oxoid, 211057, UK) as described by the manufacturer.

**Statistical analysis:** Mann Whitney test was used to analyze the results statistically.

**Results and Discussion:** The microbiological quality of ground beef meat and raw meat balls made with these ground beef meat are summarized in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4. In ground beef samples, total aerob mesophiles, Staphylococci and Micrococci spp., S. aureus, Enterobacteriaceae, coliform, Enterococci spp., Pseudomonas spp., B. cereus, Yeast-Mould, E. coli, and E. coli O157 H7 were counted at the average of 3.4x10⁷, 2.2x10⁴, 1.4x10⁷, 2.0x10⁷, 8.0x10⁵, 9.2x10⁵, 1.2x10⁷, 4.6x10⁵, 3.8x10⁶, and <2.0x10² cfug, respectively. Salmonella spp. were determined at the levels of 24.0 % (12 samples). Based on the Salmonella spp. and E. coli numbers, 24%, and 2% samples did not comply with the TFC criteria (Anonymous, 2000) for ground beef, respectively, while all samples did according to the numbers of total aerobic mesophile, E.coli O157 H7, and S. aureus. In raw meat ball samples total aerobic mesophiles, Staphylococci and Micrococci spp., S. aureus, Enterobacteriaceae, coliform, Enterococci spp., Pseudomonas spp., B. cereus, Yeast-Mould, E. coli, and E. coli O157 H7 were determined at the average of 4.3x10⁵, 1.0x10⁴, 6.3x10³, 4.8x10⁴, 1.7x10⁴, 3.1x10⁶, 7.9x1⁴, 1.5x1⁴, 6.7x1⁴, 1.2x1⁴, and <2.0x1⁴.
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Table 1: The contamination level of analyzed ground meat samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of aerob mesophiles</td>
<td>3.4x10³</td>
<td>1.0x10³</td>
<td>9.6x10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph. and Micrococcus spp.</td>
<td>2.2x10²</td>
<td>2.0x10²</td>
<td>7.6x10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>1.6x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>8.0x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>1.4x10¹</td>
<td>2.0x10¹</td>
<td>4.2x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform</td>
<td>2.0x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>2.6x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococci spp.</td>
<td>8.0x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>3.6x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas spp.</td>
<td>6.2x10¹</td>
<td>2.0x10¹</td>
<td>7.8x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>1.2x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>6.0x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast-mould</td>
<td>3.8x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>2.0x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>4.6x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>3.2x10¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli O157 H7</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
<td>&lt;2.0x10¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cfu/g, respectively. Salmonella spp., were determined at the levels of 36.0 % (18 samples). Based on the numbers of Salmonella spp., E. coli and S. aureus, 36%, respectively. 26% samples did not comply with the TFC criteria (Anonymous, 2000) for raw meat balls, respectively. These results show that the microbial quality of ground beef meat and herbs-spices, which are used in making raw meat ball such as cumin, black pepper, red pepper in general contained total mesophiles bacteria, Staphylococci and Micrococc spp. and coliform Yeast-Mould and B. cereus in the average of 10⁶-10⁷ cfu/g, 10⁵-10⁶ cfu/g, 10⁴-10⁵ cfu/g and 10²-10³ cfu/g, respectively. The results obtained in this study show raw meat ball samples had higher numbers of bacteria than ground beef meat samples. The average numbers of total mesophiles, Yeast-mould, S. aureus, and E. coli were 2 log₁₀ cfu/g, and Enterobacteriaceae were 1 log₁₀ cfu/g higher than ground beef meat used. Staphylococci and Micrococc spp. and coliform and Enterococci spp. were 3 log₁₀ cfu/g higher than the average numbers for the same microorganisms in ground beef meat. The level of B. cereus, Pseudomonas spp., and E. coli O157 H7 in the ground beef as same as raw meat ball whereas 12.0% increase was observed in the level of Salmonella spp. The differences in the microbial numbers of the ground meat and raw meat balls were statistically significant (P<0.0001). These differences may be explained by the microbial quality of ingredients used and personal hygiene. We conclude that consumption of raw meat ball poses a risk of foodborne infections or toxication.
due to its raw meat content for human health. In order to minimize the contamination level of raw meat balls use of best microbial quality ground beef meat and ingredients as well as good personal hygiene are required.

References
Elmalı and Yaman: Microbiological Quality of Raw Meat Balls


