Enumeration of Listeria and Enteric Bacteria of Public Health Significance on Meat Tables Before and After Sales of Meat in Ibadan Municipal Abattoir, Nigeria
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Abstract: A bacteriological assay was done on meat tables in Ibadan municipal abattoir, Nigeria. Swab samples from 1 cm² of the surface were obtained from meat tables before and after the sales of meat. The swab samples were analyzed for Listeria sp Count (LSC), Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC), Coliform Count (CC), Enterobacteriaceae Count (EC) and Salmonella sp Count (SSC). The mean values for LSC, EC and SSC were 8.20±0.06 log_{10} CFU/cm², 8.81±0.05 log_{10} CFU/cm² and 7.32±0.20 log_{10} CFU/cm² respectively before sales while after meat sales was 10.47±0.05 log_{10} CFU/cm² (LSC), 11.47±0.03 log_{10} CFU/cm² (EC) and 11.37±0.04 log_{10} CFU/cm² (SSC). The mean values for before and after meat sales of Coliform Count (CC) were 8.35±0.7 log_{10} CFU/cm² and 10.86±0.05 log_{10} CFU/cm² respectively while Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC) for before meat sales were 7.59±0.06 log_{10} CFU/cm² and 8.75±0.07 log_{10} CFU/cm² respectively. The mean values (log_{10} CFU/cm²) showed that EC> LSC> SSC for before meat sales while after sales showed that EC> SSC> LSC. A significant difference (p<0.05) was observed in all the counts before and after meat sales. A positive correlation (R² = 0.396) ensued for LMC and LSC before sales. Results reflect poor hygienic conditions of the meat tables and non adherence to HACCP programs.
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INTRODUCTION

Wood has been used for many centuries in the preparation and display of meat products. Recently, the use of wood in the meat industry worldwide has been diminishing, especially in the areas of meat processing, packaging and transportation aids. This is mainly because of modifications brought to the regulation in developed world. In Nigeria, wood is still been used for the production of meat carcasses and construction of retail meat tables. Wood is a porous and absorbent material where organic matter along with bacteria can become entrapped; cross-contamination is a main concern (Lauzon, 1998).

Meat is an ideal medium for the development and reproduction of micro-organisms particularly bacteria and rapid growth can be expected unless control is effected. Microbial contamination can cause spoilage of meat, reduces shelf life of meat and causes public health hazards (Rao, 1992). The microbial contamination of carcasses occurs mainly during processing and manipulation such as skinning, evisceration, storage and distribution at slaughterhouses and retail establishments (Gill, 1998; Abdalla et al., 2009). In developing countries, some traditional methods of handling, processing and marketing of meat undermine quality whereas poor sanitation leads to considerable loss of product as well as to the risk of food-borne disease (Garcia de siles et al., 1997).

Bacteria which are responsible for the most food borne disease contaminate meat directly and indirectly from animal excreta at slaughter process; also they may be transferred from the surfaces, utensils and other equipment (Arnold International Students, 1993; Yen, 2003). The external contamination of meat constitutes a constant problem in most developing countries abattoirs where they are potential sources of infection (Lawrie, 1979). The microbial surface contamination of carcasses has been repeatedly reported to have a significant effect on the meat shelf life. Moreover, Contaminants may also include pathogens which can penetrate into the meat (Eilmosalam and Wassef, 1971).

Slaughtering is a suitable progress for the contamination of the carcass by partially pathogenic bacteria (Forsythe and Hayes, 1998) so that all surfaces in contact with meat should be taken under control or kept clean to minimize the risk of bacteria contamination (Butterworth-Heineinann, 2000). Unsanitary methods spread such diseases as Salmonellosis, Cholera, E. coli food poisoning and Listeriosis that cause contamination of the meat, a serious public health concern (Neil Trent et al., 2002). Fecal matter is a major source of contamination and could reached carcasses through direct deposition as well as by indirect contact through contaminated and unclean carcasses equipment, surfaces, workers, installations and air (Borch and Arndt, 2002). Aerobic Plate Count (APC) and
Enterobacteriaceae Count (EBC) are generally used as hygienic indicators in the food chain (Warriner et al., 2002; Neil et al., 2004; Zweifel et al., 2005). Aerobic Plate Count (APC) depicts general microbial contamination. The Enterobacteriaceae Count (EBC) is a marker of possible fecal contamination. Peaces are the main source of pathogens such as E. coli 0157:H7, Salmonella or Campylobacter (WHO, 1995). Anonymous (2001) stated that Enterobacteriaceae count becomes a public health issue when the count is above acceptable value of 2.0logCFU set by decision of 2001/471/EC of the European commission. A standard of less than 1.3 log10 CFU and 1.3 log10 CFU were used for aerobic plate count and coliform count respectively by decision of 2001/471/EC of the European commission.

Ibadan Municipal Abattoir is a major Abattoir located in Ibadan North local government area of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. Ibadan is the largest city in West Africa and the second largest in Africa with land size covering an area of 240 km² and with human population of over 2 million by 2005 census. The city is located on geographic grid longitude 35°, SE, latitude 7°22' (Filani, 1994). Animals slaughtered in Bodija abattoir alone accounts for 65.23% of the total animal in Oyo State (Abiola, 1995).

This study enumerated Listeria sp., Listeria monocytogenes, coliform, Enterobacteriaceae and Salmonella sp. of bacteria loads of public health importance on meat tables before and after the sales of meat and to determine the bacteriological quality of the meat tables.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design: The study was to assess the bacteria loads of public health importance viz Listeria sp., Listeria monocytogenes, Enterobacteriaceae and Salmonella sp. before and after the sales of meat on 100 meat tables at different locations in Ibadan municipal, abattoir, Nigeria. The tables were selected by stratified random sampling methods. A total of 100 samples were collected from the surfaces of meat tables. Fifty samples were collected before meat sales (7.00 am) and remaining fifty samples collected after meat sales (4.00 pm). During collection, sterile swab sticks were soaked with sterile distilled water and placed on a measured 1 cm² as a point of collection on a meat table. The samples were kept in packs on transit to the laboratory to help maintain the microbial loads as described in the compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods (American Public Health Association, 1992).

Bacteriological assessment: 1 ml of the homogenized swab samples were suspended in 9 ml of sterile peptone water and vortexed. A dilution factor of 10³ (before meat sales) and 10⁴ (after meat sales) was used. 0.1 ml of the appropriate dilution was inoculated onto surfaces of three selective agar plates namely Deoxycholate citrate Hyne agar for Salmonella (Biotec lab. Ltd., UK), Listeria selective agar (with antibiotic supplement) for Listeria monocytogenes (Fluka 7014, Germany) and MacConkey for Enterobacteriaceae (Biotec lab. Ltd., UK). All the samples were done in replicates and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. The enumeration of bacteria isolates was carried out according to Barrow and Feltham (1993). The plates were assayed for Listeria sp. Count (LSC), Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC), Coliform Count (CC), Enterobacteriaceae Count (EC) and Salmonella sp. Count (SSC) were done according to method specified in FDA (Food and Drug Administration, 1995). Colony Forming Units (CFU) per ml of sample were calculated using the dilution factor of each and converted to log₁₀ CFU/cm² values. The counts were reported as means ± Standard Error of Mean (SEM).

Statistical analysis: The data were analyzed using SPSS 15 software 2006 (Statistical package for the social science SPSS Inc. and Chicago, IL, USA). All bacteria counts were converted to log₁₀ CFU/cm² for analysis and ANOVA was performed Statistical significance was set at a P value of <0.05 and p<0.01. Charts was plotted using Microsoft Excel 2009.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean values for Listeria sp Count (LSC), Enterobacteriaceae Count (EC) and Salmonella sp. Count (SSC) were 8.22log₁₀CFU/cm², 8.81log₁₀CFU/cm² and 7.32log₁₀CFU/cm² respectively for before sales with significant differences (p<0.05) between them while after meat sales were 10.47log₁₀CFU/cm² (LSC), 11.47log₁₀CFU/cm² (EC) and 11.37log₁₀CFU/cm² (SSC) (Table 1). The Coliform Count (CC) mean values were 8.35log₁₀CFU/cm² and 10.86log₁₀CFU/cm² before and after meat sales respectively while Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC) was 7.59log₁₀CFU/cm² before meat sales and 9.78log₁₀CFU/cm² after meat sales. The descending trend of mean values (log₁₀CFU/cm²) before meat sales was EC> SSC> LSC whilst after sales was EC> SSC> LSC (Fig. 1). A significant difference (p<0.01) in all the counts was observed between before sales and after sales (Table 2 and Fig. 1). There was a positive correlation between Listeria sp Count (LSC) and Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC) (Table 3 and Fig. 3). Also, between Enterobacteriaceae Count (EC) and Coliform Count (CC) (Table 3). A correlation significant exists (R²) in before sales between Listeria monocytogenes Count (LMC) and Listeria sp Count (LSC) (Table 3).
Table 1: Mean values of Enterobacteriaceae, Listeria and Salmonella counts in Log_{10} CFU/cm² before and after sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables (n)</th>
<th>Enterobacteriaceae count</th>
<th>Listeria sp. count</th>
<th>Salmonella sp. count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before sales (50)</td>
<td>8.81±0.05⁹</td>
<td>8.20±0.03⁹</td>
<td>7.32±0.20⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After sales (50)</td>
<td>11.47±0.03⁹</td>
<td>10.47±0.05⁹</td>
<td>11.37±0.04⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform count</td>
<td>LM count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before sales (50)</td>
<td>8.35±0.07¹</td>
<td>7.56±0.06¹</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After sales (50)</td>
<td>10.8±0.05⁹</td>
<td>9.78±0.07¹</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same superscripts are not significantly different at 0.05 levels values represent; Mean Log_{10} CFU/cm² ± standard error of mean; na—not applicable

Table 2: Mean values comparing counts in Log_{10} CFU/cm² before and after meat sales within each group of organisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Variable (Log CFU/cm²)</th>
<th>n=50</th>
<th>Mean (Log CFU/cm²)</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria</td>
<td>Coliform count</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>8.35±0.07</td>
<td>-27.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>10.8±0.06</td>
<td>-40.66**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>8.1±0.05</td>
<td>-28.48**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>11.47±0.04</td>
<td>-29.59**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria sp.</td>
<td>LM Count</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>7.62±0.06</td>
<td>-20.69**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>10.47±0.06</td>
<td>-20.69**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella sp.</td>
<td>Salmonella sp.</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>7.32±0.20</td>
<td>-20.69**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>11.40±0.04</td>
<td>-20.69**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean t-value significant at 0.01 level of significance

Table 3: Correlation between same group of organisms before and after meat sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Variable (Log CFU/cm²)</th>
<th>Mean (Log CFU/cm²)</th>
<th>Correlation (R² values)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>Coliform count</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>8.35±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>8.1±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coliform count</td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>10.8±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>11.47±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria sp.</td>
<td>LM Count</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>7.62±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listeria sp.</td>
<td>Before sales</td>
<td>8.2±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM Count</td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>9.78±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listeria sp.</td>
<td>After sales</td>
<td>10.47±0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation significant at 0.05 level of significance

Fig. 1: Enterobacteriaceae, Listeria and Salmonella sp. counts before and after sales

Meat carcasses are traditionally processed and marketed on wooden surfaces (meat tables) in Ibadan municipal abattoir, Nigeria thus allowing for environmental contamination. Microbial contamination of meat results in spoilage, reduced shelf life and cause public health hazards. It is generally accepted that microbial loads on surfaces and equipment vary in different food plants depending on the microbial quality of the food (Evans et al., 2004).
The mean values (log$_{10}$CFU/cm$^2$) showed that EC predominates and coliform, CC followed by LSC and its virulent strain: LMC and the least being SSC were present on meat table before the meat sales while EC predominates and coliform followed by SSC and LSC and its virulent strain: LMC. The log$_{10}$CFU/cm$^2$ values obtained for EC and CC were higher than the other counts, this in agreement with the work of Warnier et al. (2002); Nel et al. (2004); Zweifel et al. (2005) in which Aerobic plate count and EC were reported as hygienic indicators in food chain. Aerobic plate count depicts general microbial contamination and EC is a marker of possible fecal contamination. The extremely high count found this study could be as result of high level of unhygienic practices by the abattoir workers and the wood surface used.

The mean count for Enterobacteriaceae (8.81-11.47log$_{10}$CFU/cm$^2$) and coliform (8.35-10.86log$_{10}$CFU/cm$^2$) were higher than standards used in food processing industry as set by decision of 2001/471/EC of the European commission (Anonymous, 2001). A standard of less than 1.3logCFU/cm$^2$ was set for aerobic plate count, less than 2.0logCFU/cm$^2$ for EC and less than 1.0logCFU/cm$^2$ for CC. The Enterobacteriaceae and coliform counts in this study were also higher than reports made in earlier works done on meat contact surfaces by Sameha and Draz (1993) in which he reported that EC and CC were 5.0logCFU/cm$^2$ and 3.68logCFU/cm$^2$ of cattle carcasses surfaces inside the slaughter halls in Alexandria city, Egypt. Omer Cetin et al. (2006) reported 3.0logCFU/cm$^2$ for total aerobic count, 2.08logCFU/cm$^2$ for CC and 1.23logCFU/cm$^2$ for EC. The high EC and CC values obtained from the meat tables before and after meat sales must be decreased by applying HACCP procedures because E. coli and its subspecies and coliform group bacteria can cause serious problems on public health. Thus, it is an obligation to eliminate or minimize the presence of bacteria (Lowe et al., 2001).

Kusumangrump et al. (2003) reported that training and supervision to ensure proper hand washing and appropriate cleaning and sanitation procedures will reduce cross-contamination.

Salmonella was all samples after sales following 48 h of incubation. This could be attributed to increase contamination from human factors. In addition Salmonella sp. need a longer incubation time (48 h) for growth when compared to E. coli.

The results in this study indicates that the bacteriological quality of the meat tables were found to be higher when compared to European commission standards and other similar works done by Samaha and Draz (1993) and Omer Cetin et al. (2006) for Enterobacteriaceae count and coliform count. The counts obtained for after sales showed increased environmental contamination and bacteria multiplication. Results also reflect poor condition of our meat table where carcasses are placed and unhygienic practices involved in the abattoir. The levels of microbial contamination of meat tables in Ilbadan Municipal Abattoirs reflect the hygienic status of meat production in the developing world and meat tables made of wood. Wood as a food contact surface has diminished because is a porous and absorbent material where organic matter along with bacteria can become entrapped; cross-contamination is a main concern (Lauzon, 1998). Glass is sometimes used for food contact surfaces because of its smooth and corrosion-resistant surface (Dunsmore et al., 1981). Stainless steel resists impact damage better than glass but is vulnerable to corrosion, while rubber surfaces are prone to deterioration and may develop surface cracks where bacteria can accumulate (LeClercq-Perlat and Lalande, 1994). Equally important in meat contact surface is the clean ability of the surface once bacteria forming have attached. This is a major concern in meat processing surfaces. Furthermore, high values showed that the effective cleaning, sanitation programs and safe handling procedures were not applied as required on the meat tables. The production of good quality and safe meat products will be possible by HACCP procedures.

One of the most important steps in developing a HACCP system is the establishment of critical control points. In terms of fresh meat processing, safety hazards cannot be eliminated but they can be prevented or reduced. (Pearce et al., 2004). Other control measures should include an extensive education programs for proper hygiene, improvement of managements and introduction of different surfaces other than wood for the display of meat.
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