

**PJN**

ISSN 1680-5194

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF  
**NUTRITION**

**ANSI***net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan  
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544  
E-mail: [editorpjn@gmail.com](mailto:editorpjn@gmail.com)

## Nutrient Content and Microbial Quality of Soymilk-Carrot Powder Blend

E.U. Madukwe, P.E. Eme and C.E. Okpara

Department of Home Science, Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria

**Abstract:** This study evaluates the nutrient content and microbial quality of soymilk fortified with carrot powder. Carrots, soybeans, sugar and flavourings were bought from local retailers in Ogige main market, Nsukka in Enugu State, Nigeria. The fresh carrots were washed, scrapped, trimmed, sliced, sundried, grinded, packaged and stored in a labeled polythene bag. The soybean seeds were sorted, cleaned, washed, soaked for 18 hours, drained and blanched for 25 minutes at 89°C. The blanched beans were pulverized with hot water; the paste formed was diluted with water at 1:5 and then sieved to get the soymilk. The soymilk was cooked for 23 minutes at 87°C. Flavor agents and sugar were added, 20g each of carrot powder was added to 500ml and 600ml of soymilk respectively. Microbial loads of the samples were also determined. It revealed that proximate composition, vitamin and mineral contents of the fortified soymilk (CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>) were higher than the plain soymilk (CS<sub>1</sub>). The total viable count of microbes of the samples CS<sub>1</sub> and fortified soymilk (CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>) were  $4.85 \times 10^0$  CFU/mls,  $6.25 \times 10^1$  CFU/mls and  $6.80 \times 10^1$  CFU/mls, respectively. The result of the microbial counts revealed that the fortified soymilk (CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>) contain higher microbial loads than the plain soymilk (CS<sub>1</sub>). Nutrition educators should encourage the public to use carrot powder-soymilk blend because of its source of micronutrient. Further researches should be done on the best way of reducing contamination in carrot powder.

**Key words:** Chemical, microbial, quality, soymilk, carrot powder, fortified

### INTRODUCTION

The basic cause of malnutrition (protein-energy malnutrition and micronutrient malnutrition) is poverty. The poor strata in developing countries such as Nigeria have a lack of purchasing power and spend a large percentage of their income on staple food. Animal products and fruits that are important sources of micronutrients are often more expensive and unaffordable; therefore it can be expected that multiple micronutrient deficiencies rather than singular deficiencies to be common in these settings (Richard and Martins, 2008). Although reduced energy intake remains a problem in many settings, suboptimal intakes of several micronutrients are more widespread and may be present even when energy needs are met.

Soymilk is an aqueous, white, creamy extract produced from soybeans which resembles cow milk both in appearance and consistency. It is a highly nutritious food drink which contains protein, fat, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals. It is because of this nutritious value and comparative low cost (Wilson, 1995), that soymilk plays an important role in the dietary need of people in most developing countries.

Recently, the consumption of soymilk has greatly increased for reasons which include poverty alleviation and because it is recommended for people that cannot tolerate lactose since it does not contain lactose. It is continuously being used as a substitute to cow milk in most remote areas of Nigeria and indeed Africa. This

may also be because it has a few other known advantages over cow milk e.g. it has a beneficial effect in the prevention of protein energy malnutrition in infants and growing young children as well as in the prevention of osteoporosis and kidney diseases (Messina, 1995). Carrots are rich in carotenes, some compounds that the liver transforms to vitamin A (Vincent, 2004). In recent years, the consumption of carrot and its related products has increased steadily due to the recognition of antioxidant and anticancer activities of *b*-carotene in carrot which is also a precursor of vitamin A (Mridula, 2011). Carrots are processed into products such as dehydrated carrots, juice, beverages, candy, preserves and halwa (Vincent, 2004).

The nutritious nature of soymilk however, makes it prone to microbial attack if not properly processed and stored as the nutrients it contains are also required for the growth of most spoilage organisms. A large number of microorganisms such as mesophilic aerobic bacteria, coliforms, yeasts and moulds are known to be responsible for the spoilage of soymilk, producing undesirable changes in the milk (Osuntogun and Aboaba, 2004). In Nigeria and most West African countries, soymilk is produced mostly at home under not very hygienic conditions and is thus prone to contamination and spoilage by the microflora of the raw materials and utensils. The metabolic products of these organisms as well as their presence in soy milk, pose health hazards to the consumers.

The thrust of this study is to evaluate the nutrient content and microbial quality of soymilk-carrot powder blend.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Sources of materials:** Carrot, soybean, sugar and flavourings used were purchased from local retailers in Ogige main market, Nsukka in Enugu State, Nigeria.

### Sample preparation

**Production of carrot powder:** Fresh carrots (2.4kg) were washed, scrapped and its end trimmed to remove dirt. It was grated using a grating machine and sun dried for three days (until crispy). The dried carrots were grinded into powder with a blender, packaged and stored in labeled polythene bag (Seagate, 2002).

**Production of soymilk:** Soybeans (500g) were sorted and cleaned to remove dirt and stones; washed twice to remove dust; and soaked for 18 hours. The soaked beans were drained and blanched for 25 minutes at 87°C, pulverized with hot water, the paste diluted with water (1:5) and sieved to get the soymilk. The soymilk was cooked for 23 minutes at 87°C, flavor agents and sugar were added and the milk was allowed to cool (Enwere, 1998). The plain milk was coded CS<sub>1</sub>.

**Method of fortification:** Soymilk 500ml and 600ml were each fortified with 20 grams of carrot powder and were coded as CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The fortified soymilk provided 1/3 350 Retinol Equivalent (RE) of Vitamin A requirement of an adult (1000RE) per day. It also provided a considerable amount of vitamin C, iron and zinc.

**Chemical analysis:** The moisture, ash, fat, protein and crude fibre content of the samples were determined using the method of AOAC (2005) methods. Carbohydrate content was obtained by difference. The AOAC (2005) standard methods were also used to determine iron (using phenanthroline method) and zinc (using dithizone method). Beta carotene and vitamin C content of the samples were determined using the method of Pearson (1976).

**Data analysis:** The laboratory analysis was done in triplicates. The mean and standard deviation were calculated. The mean and standard error of mean was calculated. The analysis of variance using Duncan's new multiple range test was also used. Significance would be accepted at (P = 0.05). All these were done using SPSS (Statistical Packaged for Social Science) version 17.

## RESULTS

The results in Table 1 presents the proximate composition of the plain soymilk and soy milk fortified with carrot powder. The moisture content of the samples varied from 86.76 to 89.84%. CS<sub>1</sub> had the highest moisture value (89.84%) while the CS<sub>3</sub> has the least value (86.76%). The protein values of the products ranged from 2.08 to 2.41% and the sample CS<sub>3</sub> had the highest value (2.41%). The fat content of the sample followed the same trend with that of protein. It ranged from 1.18 to 1.49%. The crude fibre content of the products varied from trace to 1.04%. The CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub> had 1.04 and 0.84% crude fibre, respectively. The ash content ranged from 0.46 to 1.06% and the CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest ash value (1.06%). The trend of the carbohydrate content of the samples was similar to that of the ash value. The CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest value (7.31%) while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (6.44%).

The result in Table 2 presents the vitamin and mineral content of plain soymilk and soymilk fortified with carrot powder. Vitamin A contents of the products varied from 13.40 to 90.10RE/100g. CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest value followed by CS<sub>3</sub> while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (90.1, 78.4 and 13.4%, respectively). There were significant (P<0.05) differences in the vitamin A content of the products. Vitamin C of the products ranged from 8.07-9.82mg/100g. The sample CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest value (9.82mg/100g) while CS<sub>1</sub> has the least value (8.07mg/100g). There were differences in the vitamin C values of the products; however, it was not significant (P = 0.05). The iron content of the products varied from 1.44 to 1.86 mg/100g. CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest value followed by CS<sub>3</sub>, while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (1.86, 1.78 and 1.44 mg/100g, respectively). The zinc content

Table 1: Proximate composition of different soymilk beverages in percentages (%)

Samples	Moisture	Protein	Fat	Crude fibre	Ash	Carbohydrate
CS <sub>1</sub>	89.84	2.08	1.18	Trace	0.46	6.44
CS <sub>2</sub>	86.76	2.38	1.45	1.04	1.06	7.31
CS <sub>3</sub>	87.53	2.41	1.49	0.84	0.99	6.74

Key: CS<sub>1</sub>= Plain soymilk, CS<sub>2</sub>= 500mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder, CS<sub>3</sub>= 600mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder

Table 2: Vitamin and mineral content of the samples

	Beta-carotene (RE)/100g	Vitamin C (mg)/100g	Iron (mg)/100g	Zinc (mg)/100g
CS <sub>1</sub>	13.40±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	8.70±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.44±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	35.77±0.07 <sup>c</sup>
CS <sub>2</sub>	90.10±0.21 <sup>c</sup>	9.82±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.86±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	39.13±0.12 <sup>c</sup>
CS <sub>3</sub>	78.40±1.30 <sup>b</sup>	9.53±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.78±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	38.33±0.35 <sup>c</sup>

Key: CS<sub>1</sub>= Plain soymilk, CS<sub>2</sub>= 500mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder, CS<sub>3</sub>= 600mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder.

Note: Mean ± SD with different superscripts are significantly different with a<b<c (P<0.05)

Table 3: Microbial count of the samples

	TVC (ml)	Coliform (ml)	Mould (ml)
CS <sub>1</sub>	4.85×10 <sup>0</sup>	1.70×10 <sup>0</sup>	9.50×10 <sup>0</sup>
CS <sub>2</sub>	6.25×10 <sup>1</sup>	1.46×10 <sup>1</sup>	4.50×10 <sup>2</sup>
CS <sub>3</sub>	6.80×10 <sup>1</sup>	1.50×10 <sup>1</sup>	6.20×10 <sup>1</sup>

Key: CS<sub>1</sub> = Plain soymilk, CS<sub>2</sub> = 500mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder, CS<sub>3</sub> = 600mls of soymilk fortified with 20g carrot powder

differs from 35.77 to 39.13 mg/100g. It follows the same trend with that of iron values and the differences between the products was not significant (P = 0.05).

Table 3 presents the microbial count of plain soymilk and fortified soymilk. The total viable count differed. The values ranged from 4.85×10<sup>0</sup> to 6.80×10<sup>1</sup>. CS<sub>3</sub> contains the highest number of viable organisms followed by CS<sub>2</sub>, while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (6.80 x 10<sup>1</sup>, 6.25 x 10<sup>1</sup> and 4.85×10<sup>0</sup>, respectively). The coli form counts of the soymilk beverages were different. The values ranged from 1.70×10<sup>0</sup> to 1.50×10<sup>1</sup>. CS<sub>3</sub> had the highest number of coliform forming unit followed by CS<sub>2</sub>, while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (9.50×10<sup>0</sup>, 1.46×10<sup>1</sup> and 1.70×10<sup>0</sup>, respectively). The mould count of the soymilk beverages differed. The values ranged from 9.50×10<sup>0</sup> to 4.50×10<sup>2</sup>. CS<sub>2</sub> had the highest number of mould count followed by CS<sub>3</sub>, while CS<sub>1</sub> had the least value (4.50×10<sup>2</sup>, 6.20×10<sup>1</sup> and 9.50×10<sup>0</sup>, respectively)

## DISCUSSION

**Proximate composition:** The higher moisture content of CS<sub>1</sub> (89.84%) suggests that the product is more diluted than the soymilk fortified with carrot powder. The value corresponds with Enwere (1998) that about 92.75% of soymilk is water. The protein content of the soymilk blends were increased as a result of the added carrot powder. CS<sub>3</sub> has more protein content compared with the other products. This result confirms published work that observed that when nutrients from different foods are blended, the nutrients so produced would be better than any of the food alone (Egbekun *et al.*, 2004). The fat content of the control soymilk (CS<sub>1</sub>) (1.18%) was lower than that of the soymilk blends-CS<sub>2</sub> (1.45%) and CS<sub>3</sub> (1.49%). This is as result of the synergistic effect of the soymilk and carrot powder. This is in line with the work done by WSSH (2006) that the fat content of plain soymilk is (1.02%) and that it may vary depending on the ingredient added. The crude fibre of CS<sub>2</sub> (1.04%) and CS<sub>3</sub> (0.84%) were significantly increased, while that of CS<sub>1</sub> is trace. This agrees with the work done by Enwere (1998) that soymilk contains no crude fibre. The presence of crude fibre in CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub> suggests that carrot powder had significant amount of crude fibre (Vincent, 2004). The fortified soymilk had higher ash content than the unfortified soymilk. This might be as a result of higher mineral content of the carrot powder (Vincent, 2004). The carbohydrate content of the fortified soymilk blends had higher carbohydrate

content than that of the unfortified soymilk. The higher value for CS<sub>1</sub> (6.44%) corresponds with the work published by (Vincent, 2004), that soymilk contains 6.3% carbohydrate.

**Vitamin and mineral content:** The higher beta-carotene content of CS<sub>2</sub> (90.1RE) followed by CS<sub>3</sub> (78.4RE) suggest that they were fairly good sources of the nutrient. However, the lower value for CS<sub>1</sub> (13.4RE) is an indicative of its poor source of the nutrient. The higher micronutrients levels of CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub> suggests that they are better sources of beta-carotene, ascorbate, iron and zinc than CS<sub>1</sub>. On the other hand, the lower values of the micronutrients for CS<sub>1</sub>, suggests that plain soymilk is not a good source of the micronutrient as compared with CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>. These increases in the micronutrients might be attributed to synergistic effect of carrot to soymilk. This result confirms published work that observed that when nutrients from different foods are blended, the nutrients so produced would be better than any other foods alone.

**Microbial count:** The greatest problem encountered with spoilage organisms in the milk, where they multiply and cause unwanted effects. The significance difference in Total viable counts of CS<sub>3</sub> is indicative that the product would prone to deterioration as seen in Table 3. It is known that the higher the concentration of pathogenic micro-flora, the higher chances of spoilage of the products (Brooks and Asamudo, 2003). The higher concentration of coliform in the same product (CS<sub>3</sub>) is a further confirmation that it has a lower keeping quality as compared with the other two products. The higher level of mould in CS<sub>2</sub> is also indicative that its wholesomeness is questionable. On the other hand, the lower concentrations of pathogenic microflora content in CS<sub>1</sub> suggest its wholesomeness and should be recommended for public consumption. Molds are problematic in foods in that they discolour food surfaces, cause off odours and off flavours as well as produce toxins in certain instances (Momoh, Udobi and Urukotan, 2011). Microorganisms which are known spoilage agents of soymilk can be controlled using a combination of pasteurization, preservative and refrigeration (Momoh *et al.*, 2011).

**Conclusion:** This study showed that the nutritive values of all the fortified soymilk were improved both in quality. The proximate composition, mineral and vitamin content of the fortified soymilk were higher than the plain soymilk. The result of the microbial count revealed that the fortified soymilks (CS<sub>2</sub> and CS<sub>3</sub>) contain higher microbial loads than the plain soy milk.

**Recommendation:** Nutrition educators should encourage the public to use carrot powder-soymilk blend because it is a source of micronutrient.

Another method of drying should be used to get carrot powder in order to reduce contamination  
Carrot powder should be used to fortify other food products. It could be used to fortify infant foods e.g., pap  
Carrot powder could be used as one of the potentially locally available foods to treat micronutrient deficiency especially vitamin A deficiency in the community.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC, 2005. Official methods of analysis 14th Ed: Association of official analytical chemists, Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Brooks, A. and N.U. Asamudo, 2003. Microbiological and physico-chemical analysis of soymilk and soy flour sold in Uyo metropolis (Nigeria). *Gl. J. Pure Appl. Sci.*, 9: 12-17.
- Egbekun, M.K., P.C. Isolokeu and P.I. Akubor, 2004. Chemical and Sensory properties corn cassava-soybean flour blends for the production of "Ojeaku"- A Nigeria traditional food. *Book of Abstracts, Nutr. Soc. Nigeria*, pp: 9.
- Enwere, N.J., 1998. 'Foods of Plant Origin'. Afro Orbis Publications Ltd., pp: 22-27, 219-221.
- Messina, T.M., 1995. Soy products as common alternatives to cow milk products. *Annal. Microbiol.*, 50, 43-53.
- Momoh, J.E, C.E. Udobi and A.A. Orukotan, 2011. Improving the microbial keeping quality of Home made soymilk using a combination of preservatives, pasteurization and refrigeration. *Br. J. Dairy Sci.*, 2: 1-4.
- Mridula, D., 2011. Physico-chemical and sensory characteristics of  $\beta$ - carotene rich defatted soy fortified biscuits. *Afr. J. Fd. Sci.*, 5: 305-312.
- Osuntogun, B. and O.O. Aboaba, 2004. Microbiological and Physico-chemical Evaluation of some Nonalcoholic beverages. *Pak. J. Nut.*, 3: 188-192.
- Pearson, D., 1976. The chemical analysis of foods. 7th Edition. Churchill Livingstone Edingburg London.
- Richard, D.S. and W.B. Martin, 2008. Nutrition and Health in Developing Countries. Humana Press, pp: 497-499.
- Seagate, W., 2002. Processing of carrot powder. U.S.A. Chesa Publishers' Inc., pp: 9.
- Vincent, M., 2004. Gulf Hurricane Relief and providing critical aid to Evacuees. Alaska.
- Wilson, L., 1995. Soyfoods. In: Erickson, D.L. (Ed.), Practical Handbook of Soybean Processing and Utilization. AOCS Press, Chalingo.
- WISSH, 2006. Humanitarian soymilk. National Academy Press. Washington D.C.