



Plant Pathology Journal

ISSN 1812-5387

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Biological Control of Damping Off and Root Rot of Wheat and Sugar Beet with *Trichoderma harzianum*

Heidi I.G. Abo-Elnaga

Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut 71526, Egypt

Abstract: *Fusarium nivale* (Fries) Samules and Hallett, *Fusarium graminearum* (Schwabe) and *Fusarium tricinctum* (Corda) Sacc. caused damping off and root rot of wheat. *Fusarium lateritium*, (Nees) *Fusarium xylarioides* (Steyaert) and *Fusarium camptocearas* (Wollenw and Reinking.) caused damping off and root rot of sugar beet. Each of *Trichoderma harzianum* and its filtrate inhibited the growth of the entire tested *Fusarium* spp. *In vitro* treatment of either the seeds of Sads1 wheat or the seeds of Dempoloy sugar beet growing in the soil with *T. harzianum* decreased damping off and root rot severity of each wheat and sugar beet compared with untreated seeds and untreated soil with *T. harzianum* under greenhouse condition during growing seasons 2009 and 2010. *T. harzianum* increased the (shoot and root) seedling dry weight compared with seedling grown without bioagent. Disease reduced in seed coating method more than soil method.

Key words: *Fusarium nivale*, *Fusarium graminearum*, *Fusarium tricinctum*, *Fusarium lateritium*, *Fusarium xylarioides*, *Fusarium camptocearas*, *Trichoderma harzianum*

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is considered an essential crop in human nutrition. Damping off and root rot diseases caused by *Fusarium nivale*, *F. graminearum* and *F. tricinctum*, these are an important diseases of wheat (Burmeister and Plattner, 1987; Bushnel *et al.*, 2003; Bai and Shaner, 2004; Schaafsma and Lincei, 2005; Tunali *et al.*, 2006a, b; Kohl *et al.*, 2007; Nedelink *et al.*, 2007; Chibundu *et al.*, 2008; Gargouri-Kammoun *et al.*, 2009). Sugar beet is considered as the second crop for sugar in Egypt. Damping off and root rot caused by *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptocearas* is an important disease of sugar beet (El-Kazzaz *et al.*, 2008). Herbicides and fungicides are environmental pollutants, therefore, using biological control such as *T. harzianum* which is one the efficient biocontrol agents that commercially produced to prevent development of several soil pathogenic fungi (Shalini *et al.*, 2006; Ozbay and Newan, 2004; Osman *et al.*, 2011; Yadav *et al.*, 2011). Different mechanisms have been suggested as being responsible for their bio-control activity which includes mycoparasitism, antibiosis, competition for nutrients and space and secretion of chitinolytic enzymes (Harman, 2000). Chitinase 42 kDa produced by *Trichoderma harzianum* has been proven as a prime compound to be excreted into the hyphae of the pathogen causing localized cell wall lyses at the point of interaction (Muskhazli *et al.*, 2008). The most effective biocontrol agent was *Trichoderma harzianum*. Hyphal interactions between *T. harzianum* and *R. solani* were observed by

scanning electron microscopy. *T. harzianum* attached to the host by hyphal coils (Tarek and Moussa, 2002). *Trichoderma harzianum* not only prolonged the metabolic activity of the entrapped organism but also it promotes slow release of microbial spores into the medium for successful enzyme production (Attitalla and Salleh, 2010). The objective of the study was to show that disease reduced more in seed coating method than soil method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pathogens: *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum* and *F. tricinctum* were isolated from naturally infected wheat plants as well as *F. Lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptocearas* were isolated from naturally infected sugar beet plants and showing damping off and root rot symptoms on cultivated plants in Assiut Governorate, Egypt. Isolation technique was carried out according to Galal and Hefnawy (2002). Isolated fungi were purified using single spore and hyphal tip protein patterns technique and identified according to descriptions in the manual of the isolated fungi were identified on the basis of morphological and culture characteristic according to Nelson *et al.* (1983), Booth (1971), Moubasher (1993) and Leslie and Summerell (2006) and then confirmed by Assiut University Mycological Center (AUMC).

Trichoderma isolation: The microorganism was isolated from rhizosphere and bulk soil of wheat fields according to Elad and Chet (1983).

Inoculum preparation of antagonistic *Trichoderma*: To produce inoculum 80 g wheat seeds, 80 g sand and 80 mL distilled water for *Trichoderma harzianum* were separately added to 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask and sterilized twice at 121°C for 20 min, with a 3 day interval between autoclaving. Flasks containing autoclaved medium were inoculated with *Trichoderma* young colonies grown on 1/4-strength PDA, respectively and incubated in light at 21-25°C, until completely colonized 10 days for soil treatment application the prepared inoculum was aired, milled and incubated until application time in ice house (Duffy *et al.*, 1997) to supply suspension of *Trichoderma* spore for seed coating method 20 mL distilled water was added to flasks containing *Trichoderma* inoculums (Not milled sporulated. Stock suspension was collected into 20 mL tubes and homogenized by vibrator. Quality of the inoculum the number of propagules per gram or milliliter inoculums was set 10^6 propagules per gram of inoculums for soil treatment and 10^7 conidia on seed surface for seed coating method using colony forming unit and haemocytometer laboratorial process, respectively.

Greenhouse tests: The effect of *T. harzianum* on the incidence of damping off of wheat and sugar beet disease was carried out under the greenhouse conditions at the Assiut University during growing seasons 2010 and 2011 Salty loam soil with 1% organic matters was used. Completely randomize of designs of seed coating and soil treatment methods were accomplished in greenhouse. Sterilized pots (25 cm in diameter) were filled with sterilized clay loam soil. The soil was artificially infested with tested fungi in individually by adding infested barley grains medium (Fahim *et al.*, 1981) at the rate of 5% of soil weight and mixed well the pots were maintained under greenhouse. The surface sterilized Sads1 wheat seeds and Dempoloy sugar beet seeds were coated with (*T. harzianum*) at a rate of 10^7 conidia/averagely on seed surface for seed coating method.

In soil treatment method, contaminated soil was treated with inoculums of *Trichoderma* isolates averagely 10^6 propagules per gram at the rate of 10 g kg⁻¹. Five wheat seeds or five sugar beet seeds were planted in each pot at 2 cm depth.

The percentage of pre and post emergence damping off, survival seedlings and disease index for seedlings were recorded after 21 and 45 days, respectively from planting. Disease index were recorded at the end of the experiment by using the scale of 0-4 used by (Achenbach and Jeunifer, 1996). At the end of the experiment the dry weight of shoot and root was.

Evaluation of antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* species

In dual culture technique (*in vitro*): *T. harzianum* was carried out according to Coskuntuna and Ozer (2008) by using dual culture technique. *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptocearas*, separately, on PDA medium for 7 days at 25°C. Disc (5 mm-diameter) from each bio-control fungus was inoculated on surface of PDA medium in side of petri dish. A disc (5 mm-diameter) of *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptocearas*, separately was inoculated at equal distance of the opposite side of petri dish. Petri dishes were inoculated with each pathogenic fungus only as control. Three Petri dishes for each bio-control-pathogenic fungus treatment as well as the control were used as replicates. The inoculated Petri dishes were incubated at 25°C at 7 days when the pathogen fungi covered the plate surface of the control treatment, then *T. harzianum* and pathogens were evaluated based on radial growth of colony of pathogen, over growth of *Trichoderma*.

Antagonistic effect of *T. harzianum* as decrease of the mycelia growth of pathogenic fungi was determined using the following formula:

$$\text{Antagonistic effect} = \frac{A-B}{A} \times 100$$

Where:

A = The diameter of mycelia growth of pathogenic fungus in control

B = The diameter of mycelia growth of pathogenic fungus with *Trichoderma* fungus

Culture filtrate (nonvolatile metabolites) and early volatile metabolites tests:

Mycelia disks of each *Trichoderma* isolate grew on 1/4-strength PDA was separately inoculated into 100 mL flasks containing potato dextrose liquid and incubated at 20-29°C and 120 RPM in rotary shaker incubator for 10 days. The cultures were then filtered through 0.22 mm Millipore filters and 15 mL of these filtrates were added into sterile Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL 1/4-strength PDA with 25% further agar at 45°C. After medium solidifying, mycelia disks of *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptocearas* individually agent derived from actively growing colonies were placed on one edge of medium plates and were incubated at 25±3°C (Dennis, 1971; Kucuk and Kivanc, 2003, 2004). For early volatile metabolites test, pathogen and *Trichoderma*

actively growing colonies were subculture on PDA and incubated in dark condition at 25°C. Then, opened Petri dishes containing 48 h old colony agent *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptoceras* placed on 24 h old colony of *Trichoderma* and were airtight using parafilm. Control was Petri dishes containing PDA medium. The Petri dishes were incubated in the same temperature and dark conditions (Dennis, 1971; Fiddaman and Rossall, 1993). Radial growth on pathogen was measured daily in both tests. Inhibitory percentages were calculated by above formula.

Statistical analysis: Data were subjected to statistical analysis and means were compared using LSD at test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS

In vitro antagonistic effect of *T. harzianum* against the cause of wheat and sugar beet damping off disease: Dual culture assays provided evidence that *T. harzianum* substantially reduced the growth of *F. nivale*, *F. graminearum* and *F. tricinctum* the causal pathogens of wheat as well as *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptoceras* the causal pathogen of sugar beet compared with the control. The *Trichoderma harzianum* grew over and sporulated of the different *Fusarium* spp. resulting of complete degradation (Table 1-2).

Data also indicate that the *T. harzianum* and its filtrate inhibited the growth of the pathogens (*F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptoceras*) (Table 3-4).

F. xylarioides and *F. graminearum* showed the highest percentage of growth reduction while the *F. lateritium* and *F. camptoceras* showed the lowest percentage of growth reduction.

Data also indicate that filtrate of *T. harzianum* significant different inhibited between (*F. nivale*, *F. graminearum*, *F. tricinctum*) the causal pathogen of damping off wheat. Data also indicate that filtrate of *T. harzianum* significant different inhibited between *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and *F. camptoceras*) the causal pathogen of damping off sugar beet.

Antagonistic effect of *T. harzianum* against the causative pathogen of wheat and sugar-beet damping off and root rot disease under greenhouse conditions: Coating wheat seeds with fungal bioagent or soil treatment with fungal bioagent resulted in different degree of protection against

Table 1: Reaction and antifungal of *Trichoderma harzianum* on the causative agent of wheat damping off and root rot *in vitro*

| Fungi | Inhibition zone |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> | - |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> | - |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> | - |
| -: Over growth | |

Table 2: Reaction and antifungal of *Trichoderma harzianum* on the causative agent of sugar beet damping and root rot *in vitro*

| Fungi | Inhibition zone |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Fusarium lateritium</i> | - |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> | - |
| <i>Fusarium camptoceras</i> | - |
| -: Over growth | |

Table 3: Effect of culture filtrates of *T. harzianum* on growth on the causative agent of wheat damping-off and root rot

| Fungi | Growth reduction (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> | 29.6 |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> | 33.3 |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> | 19.5 |

LSD 5% = 4.519

Table 4: Effect of culture filtrates of *T. harzianum* on growth on the causative agent of sugar beet damping-off and root rot

| Fungi | Growth reduction (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Fusarium lateritium</i> | 44.4 |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> | 40.0 |
| <i>Fusarium camptoceras</i> | 33.3 |

LSD 5% = 0.176

the causal pathogen at the seedling stage. Minimal amount of disease were observed on plants inoculated with pathogen and bioagent compared with untreated control. Science *T. harzianum* reduced the percentages of disease incidence and disease severity of *Fusarium* spp. the causal pathogen of wheat and sugar beet compared with control.

The highest percentage of seedling survival, shoot dry weight, root rot weight and the least disease severity were associated with *F. graminearum* and *F. lateritium* in two growing seasons but the lowest percentage of seedling survival, shoot dry weight, root rot weight and highest disease severity were associated with *F. tricinctum* and *F. camptoceras* in tested seeds treatment. Seed coating method was more effective than soil treatment method. Seed and soil treatment decreased seedling damping off and increased the average of shoot dry weight and root dry weight of wheat and sugar beet compared with the untreated and control). Data also indicate that *T. harzianum* reduce the percentages of pre not significantly caused by *Fusarium nivale* *F. graminearum* and *F. tricinctum* during growing two seasons. Data also indicate that *T. harzianum* reduce the percentages of disease severity significantly caused by *Fusarium nivale*, *F. graminearum* and *F. tricinctum*.

Table 5: Effect of *T. harzianum* on disease incidence and disease severity of damping off and root rot of wheat and dry weight of shoot and root under green house condition during growing season 2010

| Treatment | Disease incidence (%) | | | | Survival | | Disease severity (%) | | Shoot dry weigh (g) | | Root dry weight (g) | |
|--|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|------|---------------------|-------|
| | Pre | | Post | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed |
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> | 12 | 8 | 24 | 20 | 64 | 72 | 43 | 33 | 2.62 | 2.80 | 2.14 | 2.32 |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> | 8 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 80 | 88 | 25 | 27 | 2.04 | 2.12 | 3.28 | 3.32 |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> | 20 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 60 | 68 | 43 | 37 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.50 |
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 12 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 76 | 84 | 20 | 21 | 4.91 | 4.94 | 3.00 | 3.10 |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 4 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 84 | 92 | 17.2 | 13 | 5.28 | 5.50 | 5.20 | 5.92 |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 16 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 68 | 72 | 25 | 27 | 4.00 | 4.04 | 2.42 | 2.25 |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| LSD 5% | 21.24 | 19.653 | 16.314 | 16.804 | 28.909 | 31.782 | 22.802 | 23.124 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.612 | 0.234 |

Table 6: Effect of *T. harzianum* on disease incidence and disease severity of damping off and root rot of wheat and dry weight of shoot and root under green house condition during growing season 2011

| Treatment | Disease incidence (%) | | | | Survival | | Disease severity (%) | | Shoot dry weigh (g) | | Root dry weight (g) | |
|--|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|------|---------------------|-------|
| | Pre | | Post | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed |
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> | 24 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 56 | 68 | 43.0 | 37 | 2.68 | 2.8 | 2.14 | 2.32 |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> | 8 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 80 | 88 | 25.0 | 29 | 2.04 | 2.12 | 3.28 | 3.32 |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> | 20 | 16 | 24 | 20 | 56 | 64 | 43.0 | 41 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 1.50 |
| <i>Fusarium nivale</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 12 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 72 | 80 | 20.0 | 21 | 4.11 | 4.94 | 3.00 | 3.70 |
| <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 16 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 72 | 84 | 17.2 | 17 | 5.28 | 5.50 | 5.20 | 5.42 |
| <i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 20 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 60 | 68 | 20.0 | 29 | 3.60 | 4.04 | 2.42 | 2.52 |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0.00 | 0 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| LSD 5% | 22.028 | 19.653 | 16.013 | 16.804 | 24.24 | 26.843 | 22.802 | 27.203 | 0.175 | 0.58 | 0.612 | 0.234 |

Table 7: Effect of *T. harzianum* on disease incidence and disease severity of damping off and root rot of sugar beet and dry weight of shoot and root under green house condition during growing season 2010

| Treatment | Disease incidence (%) | | | | Survival | | Disease severity (%) | | Shoot dry weigh (g) | | Root dry weight (g) | |
|--|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | Pre | | Post | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed |
| <i>Fusarium lateritium</i> | 40 | 36 | 12 | 8 | 48 | 56 | 57 | 49 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 20 |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> | 60 | 56 | 16 | 12 | 24 | 32 | 77 | 68 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 9.2 | 9.18 |
| <i>Fusarium camptoceras</i> | 60 | 56 | 16 | 16 | 24 | 28 | 77 | 73 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| <i>Fusarium lateritium</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 28 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 64 | 72 | 41 | 33 | 14 | 15 | 39.32 | 39.8 |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 36 | 32 | 12 | 8 | 52 | 60 | 53 | 45 | 6.14 | 6.2 | 24.8 | 24.9 |
| <i>Fusarium camptoceras</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 40 | 36 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 56 | 53 | 49 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 60 |
| LSD 5% | 7.631 | 11.814 | 9.785 | 11.032 | 10.349 | 16.314 | 11.128 | 15.401 | 0.32 | 0.345 | 0.33 | 0.289 |

Table 8: Effect of *T. harzianum* on disease incidence and disease severity of damping off and root rot of sugar beet and dry weight of shoot and root under green house condition during growing season 2011

| Treatment | Disease incidence (%) | | | | Survival | | Disease severity (%) | | Shoot dry weigh (g) | | Root dry weight (g) | |
|---|-----------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|--------|----------------------|------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | Pre | | Post | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed | Soil | Seed |
| <i>Fusarium lateritium</i> | 36 | 32 | 12 | 4 | 52 | 64 | 51 | 45 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 21 |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> | 56 | 48 | 12 | 8 | 32 | 44 | 70 | 60 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 9.58 | 9.6 |
| <i>Fusarium camptoceras</i> | 52 | 48 | 12 | 12 | 36 | 40 | 68.2 | 63 | 2.18 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| <i>Fusarium</i> + <i>lateritium</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 24 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 68 | 76 | 39 | 37 | 15 | 16 | 39.34 | 39.8 |
| <i>Fusarium xylarioides</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 28 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 64 | 72 | 43 | 41 | 6.54 | 6.66 | 24.9 | 25.1 |
| <i>Fusarium</i> + <i>camptoceras</i> + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 32 | 28 | 16 | 4 | 52 | 68 | 47 | 53 | 3.74 | 3.9 | 9.74 | 9.7 |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 62 | 63 |
| LSD 5% | 13.177 | 11.251 | 10.958 | 8.157 | 12.866 | 15.757 | 8.12 | 9.93 | 0.345 | 0.237 | 0.298 | 1.939 |

Control untreated during growing two seasons Data also indicate that *T. harzianum* reduce the percentages of pre and the percentages of disease severity significantly caused by *F. lateritium*, *F. xylarioides* and

F. camptoceras. Data also indicate that *T. harzianum* increase shoot dry weight, root rot weight significantly of wheat and sugar beet compared with the untreated and control during growing two seasons (Table 5-8).

DISCUSSION

T. harzianum has been reported as the best antagonists for damping off disease caused by *Fusarium* spp. under laboratory conditions. *T. harzianum* completely overgrew on the colony of the pathogenic fungi. *Trichoderma* sp. have been previously demonstrated (Howell, 2003; Harman *et al.*, 2004; Harman, 2006). *T. harzianum* treatment reduced the mycelia growth of the pathogenic fungi due to the rapid growth of *T. harzianum* which colonized medium surface and substrate. These observations are similar to Kucuk and Kivan (2004) and Mir *et al.* (2011).

Results also indicated that *Trichoderma* sp. reduced the disease incidence at pre and post emergence stage in pots. These results agree with those recorded by Kohl *et al.* (2007), El-Meleigi *et al.* (2007), Hajjehgari *et al.* (2008) and Siameto *et al.* (2010).

Results based on soil treatment with the *T. harzianum* demonstrated reduction to incidence of damping off and root rot disease in wheat and sugar beet under pot conditions (Riunge *et al.*, 2007; Kohl *et al.*, 2007; El-Meleigi *et al.*, 2007; Hajjehgari *et al.*, 2008; Siameto *et al.*, 2010).

Treatment with *Trichoderma* sp. gave the highly protection to wheat and sugar beet seedlings against damping of disease at post emergence stage compared with pre emergence. It is may be related to the ability of *Trichoderma* strains is mycoparasitism mediated by the production of chitinases and other cell wall-degrading enzymes (Chet, 1987; Lorito *et al.*, 1996).

Treating seeds and soil with *T. harzianum* greatly increased the average of shoot dry weight and root dry weight of wheat and sugar beet as compared with the control (Zafari *et al.*, 2008).

Trichoderma harzianum may be very useful fungi in biological control against cereal aggressive and toxigenic *F.* species preventing *Fusarium* mycotoxin accumulation in plant tissues my results indicated that the potential of using of *Trichoderma* competitors for the control of mycotoxin production in grain as well as to reduce the toxigenic *Fusarium* inoculums levels in cereal debris it is considered a biocontrol strategy to reduce DON levels in crops residues as previously recorded by Busko *et al.* (2007).

It is concluded that *Trichoderma* species may be very useful fungi in biological control against wheat and toxigenic *Fusarium* species to reduce their inoculums and to prevent *Fusarium* mycotoxin accumulation in plant tissues.

REFERENCES

- Achenbach, L.A. and P. Jeunifer, 1996. Use of RAPD markers as a diagnostic tool for the identification of *Fusarium solani* isolates that cause soybean sudden death syndrome. *Plant Dis.*, 80: 1228-1232.
- Attitalla, I.H. and B. Salleh, 2010. Improvement of carboxymethyl cellulase and xylanase production by alginate immobilized *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Biotechnology*, 9: 529-532.
- Bai, G.H. and G. Shaner, 2004. Management and resistance in wheat and barley to *Fusarium* head blight. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 42: 135-161.
- Booth, C., 1971. The Genus *Fusarium*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England, ISBN-10: 851980465, Pages 237.
- Burmeister, H.R. and R.D. Plattner, 1987. Emanation production by *Fusarium tricinctum* and its affection germination wheat seeds. *Physiol. Biochem.*, 77: 1483-1487.
- Bushnel, W.M.R., B.E. Hazen and C. Pritsh, 2003. Histology and physiology of *Fusarium* head blight. In: *Fusarium head blight of wheat and barley*, Leonard, K.J. and W.R. Bushnell (Eds.), St. Paul, MN, Aps press, pp: 44-83.
- Busko, M.J., C.D. Popiel and J. Perkowski, 2007. Solid substrate bioassay to evaluate impact of *Trichoderma* on trichothecene mycotoxin production by *Fusarium* species. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 88: 536-541.
- Chet, I., 1987. *Trichoderma*: Application, mode of action and potential as a biocontrol agent of soil borne plant pathogenic fungi. In: *Innovative approaches to plant disease control*, Chet, I. (Ed.), Wiley, New York, pp: 137-160.
- Chibundu, N., A. Ezekiel, C. Odebode and F. Stepheno, 2008. Zearalenone production by naturally occurring *Fusarium species* on maize, wheat and soybean from Nigeria. *J. Biol. Environ. Sci.*, 6: 77-82.
- Coskuntuna, A. and N.N. Ozer, 2008. Biological control of onion basal rot disease using *Trichoderma harzianum* and induction of antifungal compounds in onion set following seed following seed treatment. *Crop Prot.*, 27: 330-336.
- Dennis, G.J.W., 1971. Antagonist properties of species group of *Trichoderma* 2. Production of volatile antibiotics. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, 57: 25-39.
- Duffy, B.K., B.H. Ownley and D.M. Weller, 1997. Soil chemical and physical properties associated with suppression of take-all of wheat by *Trichoderma koningii*. *Phytopathology*, 87: 1118-1124.

- El-Kazzaz, M.K., G.B. El-Fadly, M.A.A. Hassan and G.N.N. El-Kot, 2008. Identification of some *Fusarium* spp. using molecular biology techniques. Egypt J. Phytopathol., 36: 57-69.
- El-Meleigi, M.A., Z.M. Hassan and G. Ibrahim, 2007. Biological control of common root rot of spring wheat by coating seeds with *Bacillus* or *Trichoderma* spp. J. KAU: ENV. Arid Land Agric. Sci., 18: 3-12.
- Elad, Y. and I. Chet, 1983. Improved selective medium for isolation of *Trichoderma* sp from soil. Phytoparasitica, 11: 55-58.
- Fahim, M.M., M.A. Kararah, A.A. EL-Gharbawi and K.A.M. Abada, 1981. Studies on fungi causing root-rot sugar beet with special reference to *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Egypt J. Phytopathol., 13: 1-10.
- Fiddaman, P.J. and S. Rossall, 1993. The production of antifungal volatile by *Bacillus subtilis*. J. Applied Bacteriol., 74: 119-126.
- Galal, A.A. and F.A. Hefnawy, 2002. Evaluation of the generation eight for 12 wheat Hybrids to root rot infection. Proceedings of the 3rd Scientific Conference of Agricultural Sciences Assiut, October 1, 2002, Egypt, pp: 254-255.
- Gargouri-Kammoun, K., Gargouri and G. Samia, 2009. Pathogenicity and aggressiveness of *Fusarium* and *Microdochium* on wheat seedling under controlled conditions. Tunisian J. Plant. Protec., 4: 135-144.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edn., John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, USA., ISBN-13: 9780471879312, Pages: 680.
- Hajjighrari, B., M. Torabi-Giglou, M.R. Mohmmad and M. Davari, 2008. Biological potential of some Iranian *Trichoderma* isolate in the control of soil borne plant pathogenic. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 7: 967-972.
- Harman, G.E., 2000. Themys and dogmas of biocontrol changes in perception derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* strain T-22. Plant Dis., 84: 377-393.
- Harman, G.R., C.R.A. Howell, Viterbo, I. Chet and M. Lorito, 2004. *Trichoderma* species-opportunistic a virulent plant symbionts. Nat. Rev., 2: 43-56.
- Howell, C.R., 2003. Mechanisms employed by *Trichoderma* species in the biological control of plant disease. The history and evolution of current concepts. Plant Dis., 87: 4-10.
- Kohl, J., B.H. de Dehaas, P. Kastelein, S.L. Burgers and C. Waalwijk, 2007. Population dynamics of *Fusarium* spp. and *Microdochium nivale* in crops and crop residues of winter wheat. Phytopathol., 79: 971-978.
- Kucuk, C. and M. Kivanc, 2003. Isolation of *Trichoderma* spp. and of their antifungal activity determination of their antifungal biochemical and physiological Lectures. Turk. J. Biol., 27: 247-253.
- Kucuk, C. and M. Kivanc, 2004. *In vitro* antifungal activity of strains of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Turk. J. Biol., 28: 111-115.
- Leslie, J.F. and B.A. Summerell, 2006. The *Fusarium* Laboratory Manual. Blackwell Publishing, State Avenue, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Lorito, M., V. Farkas, S. Rebuffat and C. Kubieck, 1996. Cell wall synthesis is a major target of mycoparasitic antagonism by *Trichoderma harzianum*. J. Bacteriol., 178: 6382-6385.
- Mir, G.H., L.S. Devi, S. Ahmad, V.M. Kumar and P. Williams, 2011. Antagonistic potential of native isolates of *Trichoderma viride* on corm rot pathogen complex of saffron (*Crocus sativus*) in Kashmir. Plant Pathol. J., 10: 73-78.
- Moubasher, A.H., 1993. Soil Fungi in Qatar and other Arab Countries. Center of Scientific and Applied Research, University of Qatar, Doha, Qatar, ISBN-13: 9992121025, pp: 566.
- Muskhazli, M., Q.Z. Faridah, R. Salfarina, T. Nor Farizan, I. Nalisha and G.L.F. Wallis, 2008. Confirmation of non n-glycan linked mannose glycosylation in chitinase 42 kDa secreted by *Trichoderma harzianum* BIO10671. Asian J. Biochem., 3: 235-242.
- Nedelink, J., H. Moravcova, H. Hajslova, J. Lancova, K. Vanova and J. Salava, 2007. *Fusarium* spp. in wheat grain in the czech republic analyzed by PCR method. Plant Prot. Sci., 43: 135-137.
- Nelson, P.E., T.A. Toussoun and W.F.O. Marasas, 1983. *Fusarium* Species an Illustrated Manual for Identification. Pennsylvania State University, UK.
- Osman, M.E.H., M.M. El-Sheekh, M.A. Metwally, A.E.A. Ismail and M.M. Ismail, 2011. Antagonistic activity of some fungi and cyanobacteria species against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Int. J. Plant Pathol., 2: 101-114.
- Ozbay, N. and S.E. Newan, 2004. Biological control with *Trichoderma* spp. With *Emphasis* on *T. harzianum*. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 7: 478-484.
- Riunge, G.M., J.W. Muthomi and R.D. Narla, 2007. Effect of antagonistic microorganisms on severity of *Fusarium* head blight of wheat and grain yield. Afr. Crop Sci. Conf. Proc. Sci. Conf. Prod., 8: 827-832.
- Schaafsma, A.W. and L.T. Linciel, 2005. Effect of seedling rate and seed treatment fungicides on agronomic performance *Fusarium* head blight symptoms and Don accumulation in two winter wheat. Plant Dis., 83: 1109-1038.

- Shalini, K.P. Narayan, Lata and A.S. Kotasthane, 2006. Genetic relatedness among *Trichoderma* isolates inhibiting a pathogenic fungi *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 5: 580-584.
- Siameto, E.N., S. Okoth, N.O. Amugune and N.C. Chege, 2010. Antagonism of *Trichoderma farzianum* isolates on soil borne plant pathogenic fungi from Embed district. *Kenny J. Yeast Fungal Res.*, 1: 47-54.
- Tarek, A. and A. Moussa, 2002. Studies on biological control of sugar beet pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 2: 800-804.
- Tunali, B., J. Nicol, F.Y. Erol and G. Altiparmak, 2006a. Pathogenicity of Turkish crown and head scab isolates on stem bases on winter wheat under greenhouse conditions. *Plant Pathol. J.*, 5: 143-149.
- Tunali, B., I. Ozseven, O. Buyuk, D. Erdurmus and A. Demirci, 2006b. *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol accumulation of wheat in Marmara region and reactions of wheat cultivars and lines to *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum*. *Plant Pathol. J.*, 5: 150-156.
- Yadav, J., J.P. Verma and K.N. Tiwari, 2011. Plant growth promoting activities of fungi and their effect on chickpea plant growth. *Asian J. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 291-299.
- Zafari, D.M., M. Koushki and E. Bazgir, 2008. Biocontrol evaluation of wheat take all disease by *Trichoderma* screened isolates. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 7: 3653-3659.