Establishment and Characteristics of Immortal Goat Endometrial Epithelial Cells and Stromal Cells with hTERT
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Abstract: The endometrial cell has important use in study of local endocrine-immunoregulatory of uterus in vitro. However, the primary cells were limited by the scarcity of adequate material and the finite lifespan. To establish immortal endometrial cells, the human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (hTERT) gene was introduced into primary goat Endometrial Epithelial Cells (EECs) and Endometrial Stromal Cells (ESCs) and obtained two immortalized cell lines, hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs. After hTERT introduction, the biological characteristics of these cells were detected and compared with the primary cells. The results showed that the immortalized hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs retained common cellular characteristics such as morphology, anchorage requirement, contact inhibition and serum-dependence. Their growth covers accorded to the normal growth regularity. The Keratin and Vimentin were also detected. In addition, these cells possessed normal diplodyd karyotype, retained responsiveness to sex-steroid hormones and lacked transformed phenotypes on soft agar or in nude mice. In short, the immortalized goat endometrial cell lines (hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs) had been developed what were karyotypically, morphologically and phenotypically similar to the primary parent cells. To date, hTERT-EECs had been passaged for 60 times and hTERT-ESCs for 65 and they would be convenient and consistent resources for in vitro research.
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INTRODUCTION

Uterus is a peculiar immunologic organ because of its function and complex mechanism of action. In gestation period, the uterus ensures the fetus has enough nutrition and would not be rejected by the matrix as an allograft. On the other hand, the uterus also makes the matrix avoid the damage of fetal antigen. Throughout the reproductove process the endometrium plays an important role. The endometrium is a particular tissue characterized by constant and rapid cell proliferation, differentiation and shedding (Satoru et al., 2003). Because the uterus is a primary target of sex steroid in vivo, the proliferative activity of endometrium is exquisitely regulated by the cooperative actions of estrogen and progesterone (Asselin et al., 1996; Wen et al., 2006). For the close relationship with embryonic implantation and pregnant maintenance, the endometrium is very important in the study of reproductive physiology. Cultured endometrial cells in vitro are adequate material to research the cellular mechanisms such as growth and differentiation, the mechanism of ovarian hormone and interaction of uterus and embryo. But the use of cultured cells for in vitro studies is limited by the availability of tissue, difficulties in culturing, and limited life span (Masumune et al., 2003). All of these make studies difficult to undertake and reproduce.

So many efforts had been turned to establish the immortalized cell lines with unlimited replicative potentiality and normal cellular functions (Matsumura et al., 2004; Piao et al., 2005; May et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2006). hTERT is well known to avoid replicative senescence and effectively gives normal cells an unlimited life span in culture without any change in the fundamental cellular characteristics (Graciela et al., 2004; Nakamura et al., 2002). And the transfection of hTERT
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had established immortalized cell lines in many species (Argyle et al., 2003; Buser et al., 2006; Hombach-Klonisch et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2007). Thus, we tried to establish new goat endometrial cell lines. The primary goat EECs and ESCs were separated and cultured and then the pCMVneo-hTERT was transfected into these cells to obtain immortal clones. The process of immortalization might change the normal cellular karyotypes and functional characteristics (Graciela et al., 2004), so the cells biological characteristics must be detected.

The immortalization of goat EECs and ESCs would permit establishment of continuous cell lines facilitating study of their cell growth, differentiation and function. It would also supply useful material to reconstruct endometrium in vitro and greatly benefit the further study of ovarian hormone functions, embryos implantation and local endocrine-immunoregulation of uterus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and immortalization:** Primary goat endometrial cells (EECs and ESCs) were isolated from the endometrium of an 8 month old goat as previously described (Johnson et al., 1999) with modifications. In brief, the endometrium was prepared into small tissue blocks and digested by Collagenase α (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) for 4 h at 37°C. The postdigestive cells suspension was filtered by 200 cell sieve and the filtrate was centrifuged for 5 min, 500 rpm. After the low-speed centrifugation, primary EECs and ESCs were initially separated because of their different settling velocity. EECs were pelleted to the bottom of the centrifuge tube on the contrary, ESCs were in the upper suspension. They were further purified by naturalsettling-low centrifugation for 3-5 times. Obtained EEC and ESC cells were cultured in DMEM/F-12 (DF12, Sigma, St. Louis, Mo, USA) media enriched with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and 100 IU penicillin-streptomycin.

The cells were transfected with the pCMVneo-hTERT plasmid (presented by Prof. Wang Huayan, Northwest A and F University, Yangling, China) using Superhofofast transfection kit (Sunma, Xiamen, China) according to the instructions. When the infected cells grew to 80% confluence, they were selected by 600 μg mL⁻¹ neomycin (G418, Promega, WI, USA) for 2 weeks. Cell clones resistant G418 were purified and expanding cultured (Putmann et al., 2005).

**RT-PCR detection for hTERT gene:** The expression of hTERT mRNAs was analyzed by RT-PCR. The total cell RNA was isolated using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) utilizing the manufacturer’s protocol followed by reverse transcription with First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (MBI Fermentas, MD, USA). The primers of hTERT for PCR were 5’-TATGCCGTTGGAAGGAGG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGCGGGTGAGGTGAGTGTG-3’ (reverse). The aim gene fragment was 410 bp. The PCR amplification used 50 μL reaction system according to the instruction manual of Taq polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China) and the conditions consisted of pre-denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec followed by 35 cycles of denaturation 30 sec at 94°C, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 30 sec, at last extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR productions was tested by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide (EB, Sigma, MO, USA). The results were observed under UV transilluminator (Syngene, MD, USA).

**Detection of telomerase activity by TRAP-ELISA:** The telomerase activity was detected by a TRAP-ELISA kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. About 1×10⁶ cells were collected and washed. After centrifuged the cells pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer and incubated in an ice bath for 30 min. The lysate was centrifuged at 14000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min and the supernatant was used for Telomeric Repeat Amplification Protocol (TRAP). The PCR amplification conditions were: 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 48°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 90 sec, finally 72°C for 5 min. The PCR productions were detected by ELISA and the Optical Densities (OD) at 450/630 nm were read using a microplate reader (BioRad, Tokyo, Japan). The sample whose OD was >2.1 times of the negative control's was identified as positive one for telomerase activity.

**Identification of Keratin and Vimentin with immunohistochemistry:** When the cells grew up to monolayer on culture plate, hTERT-EECs, EECs, hTERT-ESC and ESCs were fixed by 95% alcohol (v/v). Subsequently, the identification was carried with the introduction of SABC immunocytochemical staining kits (Maxim, CA, USA). The first antibody was separately mouse antibodies against Keratin and Vimentin (Boster, Wuhan, China). In negative control of hTERT-EECs and EECs, PBS was used as the first antibody.

**Growth curve:** Growth curve was detected by Methyl Thiazoly Tetrazolium (MTT) assay described by Kasugai et al. (1990). hTERT-EECs, EECs
(1×10^6 cells mL⁻¹) and hTERT-ESCs, ESCs (1×10^6 cells mL⁻¹) were seeded into 96-well plates, respectively and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂. From the second day, 20 μL of MTT solution (5 mg mL⁻¹, Amresco, Solon, USA) was added to the triplicate wells of the cells and incubated for 4 h. After removed the medium, 150 μL of DMSO was added and shook for 10 min. The OD values were measured at 570 nm for 8 days continuously and the growth curves were organized.

**Soft agar cloning test:** If hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs had suspension growth was investigated by soft agar cloning test. These cells (5×10⁴ cells per well) were in triplicate plated in 0.5 mL culture medium containing 0.3% (w/v) agar above a previously solidified 0.7% (w/v) bottom agar, respectively (Oh et al., 2007). After 2 weeks of incubation at 37°C in air with 5% CO₂, cell colonies were observed under microscope. ESCs and EECs were negative controls and HeLa cell was positive.

**Subcutaneous tumorigenicity assay in nude mice:** The nude mice (male, 6 week old Balb/c nu/nu) were used to determine cells tumorigenicity. hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs were suspended in DFI2 without FBS at a density of 1×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ and subcutaneously injected 0.1 mL at the flank of each mouse. The group injected with Hybridoma SP2/0 cell was positive control. Each cell was injected to three nude mice and the mice were monitored for 2 months (Satoru et al., 2003). The nude mice were bought from National Rodent Laboratory Animal Resources, Shanghai Branch (NLARSH) and raised in The Fourth Military Medical University. All animals received humane care in compliance with the Animal Care and Use Guidelines of American National Institutes of Health and were approved by Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwest A and F University.

**Effect of sex hormones:** To investigate the response of hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-EECs to sex hormones in vitro, β-estradiol and progesterone (E₂ and P₄) were diluted in cell medium to different concentration according to Table 1. hTERT-ESCs (1×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹) and hTERT-EECs (1×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹) were, respectively seeded into 96-well plates and cultured with DFI2 mediums contained different concentration of E₂ or/and P₄. The growth curves of these groups were detected by MTT assay as above.

**Cell cycle:** The cells (1×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹) were collected and washed twice with PBS and fixed in 70% (v/v) ethanol at 4°C for at least 20 min. Then the fixed cells washed and stained with 18 μL mL⁻¹ PI in the presence of 40 μg mL⁻¹ RNase A. The phase distribution in the cells cycle was analyzed by flow cytometry (Zhang et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2006).

**Chromosomal analysis:** When the cells grew to confluence, new DFI2 medium contained 0.1% colchicine was changed. After incubating for 4 h at 37°C, the cells were colleted by trypsinization-centrifugation and resuspended in prewarmed 8 mL KCl solution (0.075 M) incubating for 30 min at 37°C. About 1 mL mixture of methanol: acetic acid (3:1) was added to pre-fixed cells and then the cells was centrifuged and fixed twice by 5 mL methanol: acetic acid (3:1) for 20 min at room temperature. Finally, bits of this suspension were dropped on chilled glass slides from about 30 cm height and stained with Giemsa after air dry. The chromosomal numbers of the cells were determined (Chen et al., 2008).

**Statistical analysis:** All the tests were repeated three times and the results were expressed as mean±standard deviation (mean±SD). Differences between them were evaluated by Least Square Method in SPSS software package (Ver.13.0). The p value of <0.05 was estimated statistical significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Cell culture and transfection:** The transfected cells appeared similar to the primary ones and had no morphological change (Fig. 1). hTERT-ESCs presented fibroblast-like and hTERT-EECs retained cobblestone appearance. The cells grew as confluent monolayers with contact inhibition and serum-dependence.

**RT-PCR detection for hTERT gene:** The expression of hTERT gene in transfected cells was analyzed by RT-PCR (Fig. 2). As expected, hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-EECs were both obtained the aim gene segment as well as the plasmid pCI-neo-hTERT. On the contrary, the primary cells were not amplified.

**Detection of telomerase activity by TRAP-ELISA:** The telomerase activity was measured by TRAP-ELISA assay and the result showed that the ratio of OD₄₅₄₆₅₄ between hTERT-ESCs and negative control was 4.28 (≈2.1) and that of hTERT-EECs and negative control was 4.66 (≈2.1).
Fig. 1: Cultured goat endometrial cells before and after transfection (100×), the transfected cells, hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-EBCs grew well compared to the primary cells and the morphological characteristics were normal. a) Primary ESCs; b) hTERT-ESCs; c) Primary EECs and d) hTERT-EBCs.

Fig. 2: RT-PCR amplification for hTERT gene, according to the hTERT gene sequence, a pair of primer was designed and the aim fragment had 410 bp. The RT-PCR amplification showed that the transfected cells both obtained aim fragment as well as the positive plasmid while the primary cells hadn’t. M: stands for 1000 bp DNA marker, 1: pCI-neo-hTERT plasmid, 2: EECs, 3: hTERT-EBCs, 4: ESCs, 5: hTERT-ESCs.

Fig. 3: Detection of telomerase activity by TRAP-ELISA. Telomerase activity in transfected and primary cells were detected by TRAP-ELISA assay. The negative control and positive control were the TRAP-ELISA kit own. The result indicated the primary cells had no telomerase activity, on the contrary, hTERT-EBCs and hTERT-ESCs showed telomerase activity.

While ESCs/negative control was 1.04 (>2.1) and EBCs/negative control was 0.96 (>2.1) (Fig. 3). Thus the transfected cells had telomerase activity and the primary cells had no telomerase activity.

Identification of Keratin and Vimentin with immunohistochemistry: Immunohistochemistry staining was used to identify the epithelial cells and fibroblast cells with the keratin antibody and the Vimentin antibody. The cytoplasm of positive cells was stained dark brown and staining was especially strong near the nuclear while the negative ones didn’t show this color (Fig. 4).

The results showed that hTERT-ESCs were Vimentin-positive and keratin-negative like ESCs which indicated hTERT-ESCs remained fibroblasts. Similarly
Fig. 4: Immunohistochemistry (A-G, 200×; H, 100×), the cells were detected for Vimentin and Keratin and then they showed normal immunohistochemical characteristics. hTERT-ESCs and ESCs were Vimentin-positive and Keratin-negative while hTERT-EBCs and EBCs were Keratin-positive. a) hTERT-ESCs for Vimentin; b) hTERT-ESCs for Keratin; c) ESCs for Vimentin; d) ESCs for Keratin; e) EBCs for Keratin; f) EBCs, PBS control; g) hTERT-EBCs for Keratin and h) hTERT-EBCs, PBS control.

hTERT-EBCs and EBCs were also stained by the keratin antibody; meanwhile the negative control group was keratin-negative. These suggested that hTERT-EBCs were retained epithelial characteristics.

**Growth curve:** Figure 5 compared the proliferation of primary and immortalized cells. The growths of primary and immortalized cells were basically similar and accorded with common growth regularity. At the first 2 days, cells were in latency stage and grew slow following by rapid proliferation form 3 days.

The peak arrived at 4 or 5 days and then decreased gradually. Analyzed from the peak, the immortalized cells arrived earlier and numerically larger than primary ones. This meant that cells after transfection had vigorous proliferation.

The primary cells could not be cultured >10 passages whereas the immortalized one continued to divide. Up to today, hTERT-EBCs had been cultured for 60 passages and hTERT-ESCs for 65.

**Soft agar cloning test:** To determine whether hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-EBCs showed oncogenic transformation we tested the cells ability of colony formation in soft agar.

Fig. 5: Growth curves, the growth curve was detected by MTT assay. Data showed as mean±SD (n = 3). It could see that the transfected cells accorded to the common growthy regularity moreover, they had more vigorous growing ability than the primary ones. a) hTERT-ESCs and ESCs and b) hTERT-EBCs and EBCs.
The hele cell line was used as the positive control. It showed that none of the cells (hTERT-ESCs, hTERT-EECs, ESCs and EECs) formed colonies in soft agar except the hele cells after 2 weeks.

**Subcutaneous tumorigenicity assay in nude mice:** The nude mice were applied to further test for tumorigenicity. All animals those had been implanted with hTERT-ESCs, hTERT-EECs, ESCs and EECs lived healthy and no tumors formed during the 2 months.

In contrast SP2/0 cells developed tumors from day 7 after implantation. The diameter of the tumor grew to 4 cm at day 28. The mice had bad mental status and died at day 35.

**Effect of sex hormones:** The result indicated that E₀ and P₀ could influence the proliferation of hTERT-EECs and hTERT-ESCs. Comparing with the growth curve of control group (Fig. 6) in groups of 100/0 nmol L⁻¹ and 100/10 nmol L⁻¹ E₀/P₀, the OD values were higher than the others distinctly.

The 0/100 and 100/10 nmol L⁻¹ E₀/P₀ made proliferation slowly and the OD values were obviously lower than other groups. Further comparing the OD values in the 5th day, significant difference (p<0.05) presented between treatment groups of E₀/P₀ and no treatment group (Table 2). Those showed that E₀ could promote the proliferation of hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-EECs but P₀ inhibited them.

**Cell cycle:** Looking from the distribution of cell cycle (Fig. 7), the percentage of hTERT-EECs in dormant phase (G1) was 52.6%, lower than EECs (57.3%) and that in proliferative phase (S+G2) was 47.4%, higher than EECs (42.6%). Similarly, the ratio of hTERT-ESCs in G1 was 41.6% lower than ESCs (52.1%) and proliferative cells (S+G2) had 58.4% higher than ESCs (47.8%). These displayed that the immortalized cells had greater proliferation activity than primary ones.

The G1 peak was also named diploid peak. DNA content of hTERT-EECs was 82.5 (mean G1) and that of EECs was 73.1. So the DNA Index (DI) of hTERT-EECs was around 1 (82.5/73.1), the same as hTERT-ESCs (76.5/60.9). The result indicated that both the hTERT-EEC and hTERT-ESC were diploid cells. Moreover, there had no any aberrant and additional peak before the G1 peak of all the cells that meant the cells had no apoptosis.

**Chromosomal analysis:** The result indicated that the chromosomes were all telocentric ones (Fig. 8). The chromosome number was 2n=60 among which 29 pairs were autosomes and 1 pair was allosome. And the allosome pair was XX in female and was the second pair of big telomeric ends of chromosomes. This result corresponded with goat’s chromosom characteristics (Chen *et al.*, 2008).

According to current studies, Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (hTERT) had been found that had more advantages than other factors in inducing cells to immortalization (Graciela *et al.*, 2004; Hong *et al.*, 2007). Many studied (Guilleret *et al.*, 2002) manifested that the immortal cells mediated by TERT had the characteristics of normal cells (vigor, karyotype, contact inhibition)

Fig. 6: Growth curve under the effect of sex hormones (E₀ and P₀) detected by MTT test, different levels of E₀ and/or P₀ were added in DF12, the curves showed 100 nmol L⁻¹ E₀ could promoted cells proliferation and 100 nmol L⁻¹ P₀ inhibited it. The data are means±SD of three independent experiments. a) hTERT-EECs and b) hTERT-ESCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E₀/P₀ groups</th>
<th>0/0</th>
<th>10/100</th>
<th>100/0</th>
<th>100/10</th>
<th>10000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hTERT-EECs</td>
<td>0.25±0.0066</td>
<td>0.232±0.014*</td>
<td>0.255±0.0066*</td>
<td>0.282±0.005*</td>
<td>0.291±0.018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hTERT-ESCs</td>
<td>0.29±0.0066</td>
<td>0.271±0.019*</td>
<td>0.266±0.008*</td>
<td>0.317±0.006*</td>
<td>0.328±0.01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data with * means different significantly compared with 0/0 E₀/P₀ group in the same line (p<0.05)
Fig. 7: Cell cycle and DNA content analysis by FCM, cells are eugenic growth and division, they concentrate on G1 and S period of cell cycle for the most part. There had no apoptotic peak in front of G1. The transfected cells in G1 phase were less and those in S/G2 phase were higher than primary ones meaning the transfected cells had more vigorous proliferation. a) EECs; b) hTERT-EECs; c) ESCs and d) hTERT-ESCs

Fig. 8: Cell chromosomes, the cell chromosomes were normally contained 30 pairs of acrocentric ones and no chromosome disorder. a) hTERT-EECs and b) hTERT-ESCs
anchoring dependency, no carcinogenicity and soft agar cloning ability) without transfection. Moreover, they possess immortalization characteristic actually like embryonic stem cells. The human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (hTERT) is the most common choice and numerous immortalized cell lines have been established from various domestic animals such as bovine, equine, ovine, avian, canine and porcine (Gillio-Meina et al., 2000; Argyle et al., 2003; Cui et al., 2003; You et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2006; Christman et al., 2006).

Here, firstly reported the establishment of immortalized cells derived from goat endometrium by hTERT as far as we know. Although, Hande (2004) reported that the cells transfected with hTERT could remain normal phenotype and grow without limition another study found that hTERT gene led in normal cell might cause over-expression of oncogene protein and produce canceration finally (Filan et al., 2003). Therefore it was necessary to confirm that the cells transfected with hTERT preserved normal phenotype and characteristics but were no transformed ones.

Contrarily, the transformed cell was caused by outside Physico-chemical factor or virus and located on transient state between normal cell and tumour cell. The transformed cell has not only immortality but other conversion characteristics which partly or totally differ from the normal cell. For example the morphocytenology and karyotype showing mainly in chromosome numbers and structure would change (Li et al., 2008). Moreover, the transformed cell has tumorigenesis and suspension growth abilities with serum-dependent reduction and loss of contact inhibition. In this study, immortal goat endometrial cell lines (hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs) were established and a series of detections (tumorigenecity assay, suspension growth, soft agar cloning test and chromosomes analysis) were applied to determine the characteristics of the cells obtained. The results demonstrated that hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs had the characteristics of normal cells such as cells contact-inhibition, serum-dependent growth and normal karyotype and no abilities of oncogenicity and suspension growth. All these evidences verified that hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs were immortalized cells and had no conversion characteristics.

The immunohistochemistry test of vimentin and keratin showed hTERT-ESCs was vimentin-positive and keratin-negative while hTERT-ECCs was keratin-positive which agree with non-transformed ESCs and ECCs, respectively which indicated hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs possessed the properties of ordinary cell phenotype. The growth curve also verified the cells transfected with hTERT had common growth features. The cells grew well and the growth activities were stronger than non-transformed cells, this maybe because the introduction of hTERT activated telomerase and changed some regulatory factors of cell cycle. Furthermore, we found there had almost no plateau in the growth curve of hTERT-ECCs, the cells were easy to assemble and die if not be passaged in time. The endometrium was regulated by steroid hormones, ovary Estrogen (E2) and Progestin (P4). E2 could make endometrial proliferation and P4 enhanced endometrial secretes activity, the combination of E2 and P4 caused endometrial cell division and proliferation abundantly after ovulation which lead to further cells decidua reaction and development of decidua (Qian, 2003). Studies have shown E2 could elevate the vitality of telomerase and increase the expression of hTERT. Also E2 could increase cell division and proliferation and lessen apoptosis. By contraries P4 could raise cell apoptosis but could not alter the activity of cell telomerase (Cui et al., 2004). P4 inhibited cells proliferation and dedicated to the cells decidualization (Frederick et al., 2003; Qian, 2003). In this research, hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs remained response to ovarian hormone. E2 could boost the cells proliferation distinctly and contrarily P4 inhibited it obviously that corresponded with Satoru et al. (2003) and Cui et al. (2004).

**CONCLUSION**

In the study, two immortalized goat endometrial cell lines (hTERT-ESCs and hTERT-ECCs) had been established which were karyotypically and phenotypically similar to the primary parent cells and displayed similar phenotypic characteristics, respond to hormone stimulation similar to cultured primary stromal cells, no chromosomal abnormalities and lack transformed phenotypes on soft agar or in nude mice. These immortal cell lines should prove to be invaluable tools for consistent in vitro research such as the research of stratified endometrium, the mechanism of embryo implantation, hormone functions and endometrial biology.
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