

## Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilization on Micro Nutrient Contents of *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens* from Fabaceae on a Grassland Ecosystem: the Case of Kahramanmaras, Eastern Mediterranean Region of Turkey

<sup>1</sup>A.R. Demirkiran and <sup>2</sup>O.S. Uslu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Bingol University, Bingol 12000, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Kahramanmaras 46100, Turkey

---

**Abstract:** This study examined the effectiveness of various grass plants as *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens* from Fabaceae to fertilizer treatments (N+P fertilization) to encourage the micro nutrition of this vegetation. Micro nutrient contents of this vegetation were determined annually in 2002 and 2003. Experimental plots received rains of 606.3 and 857.5 mm during the 2 study years compared to mean growing season rainfall of 729.8 mm. Micro nutrient contents of these grass plants were increased significantly by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers application. Contents of micro nutrients after nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer treatments, it is determined and ordered for *Lotus suaveolens* Cu>Fe>Zn>Mn and for *Trifolium angustifolium* Cu>Fe = Mn>Zn. Nitrogen and phosphorus chemical fertilizers influence both micro nutrient mobility and bioavailability and the effects to these plants may be different.

**Key words:** *Trifolium angustifolium*, *Lotus suaveolens*, fabaceae, fertilization, micro nutrient, grass plants

---

### INTRODUCTION

The Mediterranean area is characterized by its climate and soil nature presents a great extent of natural spaces where grows a varied pastoral vegetation containing considerable forage varieties for sheep and goat livestock. So in area represented by Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, Palestine, Lebanon, Jordania, Syria and Turkey number of sheep and goats represents, respectively 200.219 and 25.359 million heads (Medagri, 2001).

Most Mediterranean grasslands are on soils deficient in one or more plant nutrients (Seligman, 1996). Pasture production is poor in areas of low soil phosphorus availability (Henkin *et al.*, 1996). Whereas phosphorus addition can cause a burst of productivity with an increase in plant cover of legume species (Osman *et al.*, 1991, 1999) little is known on how changes in productivity affect species richness, particularly in Mediterranean environments (Dabussche *et al.*, 1996).

As a rule, high productivity tends to reduce species diversity (Tilman and Pacala, 1993; Janssens *et al.*, 1998), especially when it is caused by nitrogen enrichment (Foster and Gross, 1998) but the effects of phosphorus enrichment are less well understood (Henkin *et al.*, 1996). Turkey is located at the intersection of two

important gene centers like Mediterranean and near East and in the ninth order among all continental countries in terms of biodiversity. Natural races of most grass plants cultivated as animal and human food grow on grass ecosystems (Vavilov, 1951; Ture and Bocuk, 2007).

Fertilizer applications can improve productivity by affecting forage yield, botanical composition, earliness of spring growth and quality. The application N and P, generally alters species composition and increases dry matter and nutrients (Aydin and Uzun, 2005; Berg and Sims, 1995; Kalmbacher and Martin, 1988; Papanastasis and Koukoulakis, 1988; Synman, 2002).

Competition may be more severe between similar species than between species with contrasting growth patterns and nutritional needs. Even so, all plants compete for the same resources (light, water and nutrients). There is an overlapping of resource requirement with nitrogen fixing plants as an exception (Raberg, 2007).

Biodiversity is a key factor in ecosystem structure and function. Conservation of biodiversity is now a legal obligation for the member states of the European Community (Baker, 2002; Barbercheck and Neher, 1999). Natural grasslands belong to the most valuable ecosystems and are a result of stable agricultural management over centuries by using the grasslands as

hayfields or as pasture fields. As a result of this stable management, the grassland ecosystem is well developed and characteristic for the bio-geographical region. Also, typical for natural grasslands is the low input of nutrients in the grassland ecosystems.

As a result of this, less competitive grassland species are able to survive in these grasslands. Last but not least, natural grasslands need management by continuation of farming traditions like fertilizing, pasturing and cutting of grasslands (Meshinev *et al.*, 2005). On the other hand, the native pasture ability to sustain animal production and nutritive value of pasture (Synman, 2002).

In the pasturelands and grasslands, it is important to find out alternative methods by which production and nutrition can be increased without causing unfavorable fertilizer doses. The Mediterranean region of Turkey is quite well-known from the floristic and vegetational points of view. No fertilizer study on grassland has previously been carried out in this area.

The micro nutrient content of plants presents type of approach in determining the nutrient availability of a soil. When the nutrient content or concentration in the plant tissue is very low the rate of growth is also low. The nutrient content of a plant tissue not only reflects soil availability.

It is also affected by other factors such as the kind of plant or tissue, the age of plant and the supply of the plant with other plant nutrients (Mengel and Kirkby, 1978). A survey of the sufficient levels of micro nutrients, especially Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn in different feed plants or materials is shown in Table 1.

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of level N and P fertilizations of various grass plants as *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens*, on micro nutrition contents of these plants in Kahramanmaraş, Turkey.

Within the general goal of increasing grassland productivity, the particular aim of the present study was to examine the responses of a mixed community of plants in this grassland to micro nutrient enrichment as expressed in the effects on these species.

Table 1: Sufficient levels (ppm) of the micro nutrients in different feed plants with references

Elements	Trifolium	Bean	Meadow	
			grass	References
Fe	214-261	-	-	Bear, 1954
Cu	14-112	11	-	Beeson, 1941
Zn	14-112	-	360	Beeson, 1941
	20-128	11	-	Prince <i>et al.</i> , 1955
	34	-	-	Scharer and Munk, 1956
Mn	High	-	-	McHargue, 1945

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Experimental site and treatments:** More than 59% of the Turkey's total land has slopes over 12% (Kun, 1983). Only 24% of the land area is suitable for cultivation and nearly three quarters of it is prone to erosion (Celiker and Anac, 2003). According to Aslan *et al.* (2008), Turkey is under the effect of different climates. But basically country has two climates. Mediterranean climate is characterized by high temperature, dry summer, mild and wet winter. These climatic characteristics and other specific, hydrological, topographic conditions make Turkey quite susceptible to desertification (Anonymous, 2006).

Kahramanmaraş province is cover with Mediterranean region on the Southwest, South East Anatolia region on the East, East Anatolia region on the Northeast and Inner Anatolia region on the Northwest in Turkey. It is bordered by Ahir Mountain on the North, Nur Mountain on the South. The experiment was conducted at Yeniyapan (Deliçay) grassland has 400 ha, Afrazli region, Turogluaraplar village, Turkoglu, Kahramanmaraş, Eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey.

The study area lies down between 36°52' 44" and 36°49' 09" E longitudes and 37° 30' 02" and 37°26'26" N latitudes with mean elevation 687 m (Fig. 1, 2). The climate is temperate-warm. Mean monthly temperature range from 3.8°C in February to 28.2°C in August. Mean annual rainfall was 729.8 mm. Geographically, the plain belongs to the Mediterranean and Irano-Turanian. Originally, trees dominated abundantly *Cedrus libani* A. Rich., *Pinus nigra* Arnold, *Pinus brutia* Ten. and *Pinus pinea* forest with rarely *Juniperus* sp. L. and *Quercus* sp. L. were founded in the area. Turkogluaraplar village has total area as 1513 ha, namely 615 ha with grassland, 723 ha with agricultural area and 175 ha with forest area. Animal capacity of the village was total 2270, namely 320 nationally cattles, 1500 sheeps and 450 goats. The village has also 78 houses and 450 populations.

**Characteristics of plants:** *Trifolium angustifolium*: *Trifolium angustifolium* is an annual herb of Fabaceae, Leguminosae family, *Trifolium angustifolium* L. variety . *Lotus suaveolens*: *Lotus suaveolens* is an annual herb of Fabaceae, Leguminosae family, *Lotus suaveolens* Pers. variety. Each of *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens* were collected during the reproductive stage of growth for micro nutrient analysis. Each plant was collected from random sites from the interior of each subplot.

**Analyses of soil:** The soil sample was air-dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve before analysis. The soil pH




Fig. 1: Topographic case of the study area (B: experimental area (to West))



Fig. 2: The study area and experimental area in 2003

was determined by glass electrode on saturated soil samples. Electrical conductivity of the soil was measured in saturation paste extract (Rhoades, 1996). Lime content of the soil was measured by the Scheibler calcimeter. Organic matter content of the soil was determined by the modified Walkley-Black wet oxidation procedure described by Nelson and Sommers (1996). Nitrogen content of soil was determined by Kjeldahl method (Mckenzie and Wallace, 1954). The soil potassium content including exchangeable was determined using the methods described by Knudsen *et al.* (1982). The soil texture was determined by the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1951). The phosphorus content of the samples was determined by spectrophotometer, Jenway 6100 using the sodium bicarbonate method (Olsen and Sommers, 1982). The study area was selected and treatments randomly assigned to 25 plots within each of 3 blocks. Each treatment plot was 4×10 m with a distance between plots of 1 m. Treatments were repeated on the same plots for 2 years (2002 and 2003).

Fertilizer application rates were 0, 50, 75, 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N and 0, 40, 60, 80 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in a factorial treatments arrangement. A commercial N and P fertilizers were used the ammonium nitrate (33% N) and triple super phosphate (45% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), respectively. Phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizers were broadcast by hand in 5 November 2002 and 2003 and in 12 March 2002 and 2003, respectively.

**Analyses of micro nutrients in the plants:** Herbaceous vegetation was harvested annually within each plots, 0.5 m<sup>2</sup> located within each plot when plants were flowering. After taking flowering plant material, all of the plant materials were dried in oven under 60°C and then grinded. The sample of 0.5 g grinded plant material was exposed to burning by using the mixing of nitric acid and perchloric acid. After the completion of burning, the sample was diluted and then the instrumental analysis was conducted. The elements of Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer, AAS 3110 Perkin Elmer®.

**Statistical analyses:** ANOVA was performed for each response variable (Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn) using the SPSS. The LSD test was used to assess differences among treatment means (p<0.05) (SPSS, 2003; Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The grassland situated experiment has red brown Mediterranean soils. Some chemical and physical analyses of the major soil groups in the area are given in

Table 2. Soils of experiment area are non-calcareous with acidic, insufficiently provided in organic matter N, P and K. Micro nutrients contents of *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens* were found to accumulate in the plants growing in this field study. As well as increasing micro nutrient mobility through these plants, nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers application may also bioavailability of micro nutrients to plants. To quantify amounts of micro nutrients in the plants after nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer treatments, it was determined micro nutrient contents of these plants. During two years (2002 and 2003) of nutrient enrichment, generally concentrations of all four micro nutrients (Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn) were higher in nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application than control (no nitrogen and phosphorus, NOP0).

**Micro nutrients contents of *Trifolium angustifolium* plant:** Application of nitrogen and phosphorus at different concentrations had significantly influenced on the micro nutrient contents of *Trifolium angustifolium*

Table 2: Soil general characteristics of study area

Soil properties	2002	2003
Texture	Loam	Loam
Organic matter (%)	2.180	2.190
pH	5.280	5.250
EC (%)	0.010	0.010
Ca CO <sub>3</sub> (%)	0.390	0.420
N (%)	0.110	0.120
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	11.00	12.50
K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	69.00	72.65

plant (Table 3). Micro nutrients concentrations of Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn were determined in 2002 and 2003 for *Trifolium angustifolium*. Mean Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn concentrations in *Trifolium angustifolium* ranged from 92,36 -551,41 ppm, 7,22-31,03 ppm, 18,03-43,48 ppm, 2214,90-2231,48 ppm, respectively.

With nitrogen application, Fe and Cu contents in *Trifolium angustifolium* were significantly greater in N15 (150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and N5 (50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) applications, whereas Zn and Mn contents in *Trifolium angustifolium* was significantly greater in N0 (control) and N7.5 (75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) applications (Table 3).

**Micro nutrients contents of *Lotus suaveolens* plant:**

Application of nitrogen and phosphorus at different concentrations had significantly influenced on the micro nutrient contents of *Lotus suaveolens* plant (Table 4). Micro nutrients concentrations of Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn were determined in 2002 and 2003 for *Lotus suaveolens*. Mean Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn concentrations in *Lotus suaveolens* ranged from 123,55-585,30 ppm, 4,99-31,57, 25,41-69,66 ppm, 2215,47-2231,48 ppm, respectively. With nitrogen application, Fe and Zn ppm contents in *Lotus suaveolens* were significantly greater in N15 (150 kg N/ha) application, whereas Cu and Mn contents in *Lotus suaveolens* was significantly greater in N10 (100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and N7.5 (75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) applications (Table 4).

Table 3: Micro nutrients (Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn) contents of *Trifolium angustifolium* plant in 2002 and 2003

Treatments	Fe contents (ppm)		Cu contents (ppm)		Zn contents (ppm)		Mn contents (ppm)	
	2002 (N**, P**)	2003 (N**, P**)	2002 (N <sup>NS</sup> , P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N*, P*)	2002 (N**, P*)	2003 (N**, P**)	2002 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )
NOP0	111.97 <sup>c</sup>	119.31 <sup>c</sup>	8.05	8.28 <sup>b</sup>	29.51 <sup>ab</sup>	29.77 <sup>ab</sup>	2222.29 <sup>b</sup>	2214.90 <sup>b</sup>
NOP4	307.48 <sup>b</sup>	332.23 <sup>a</sup>	7.62	7.65 <sup>b</sup>	29.17 <sup>ab</sup>	26.85 <sup>b</sup>	2216.23 <sup>d</sup>	2216.03 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP6	226.47 <sup>bc</sup>	237.39 <sup>ab</sup>	12.12	11.08 <sup>a</sup>	29.57 <sup>ab</sup>	29.68 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.17 <sup>bc</sup>	2215.52 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP8	184.07 <sup>bc</sup>	195.42 <sup>b</sup>	13.26	12.22 <sup>a</sup>	43.48 <sup>a</sup>	33.39 <sup>a</sup>	2220.96 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.82 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP10	251.66 <sup>bc</sup>	258.91 <sup>ab</sup>	11.85	13.18 <sup>a</sup>	41.20 <sup>a</sup>	34.12 <sup>a</sup>	2222.41 <sup>b</sup>	2215.55 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P0	254.83 <sup>bc</sup>	255.83 <sup>ab</sup>	7.36	7.39 <sup>b</sup>	34.56 <sup>ab</sup>	36.95 <sup>a</sup>	2218.67 <sup>cd</sup>	2216.02 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P4	97.42 <sup>c</sup>	113.91 <sup>c</sup>	9.55	7.95 <sup>b</sup>	26.20 <sup>ab</sup>	31.01 <sup>ab</sup>	2223.65 <sup>b</sup>	2217.53 <sup>a</sup>
N5P6	98.60 <sup>c</sup>	101.63 <sup>c</sup>	11.43	9.06 <sup>b</sup>	21.73 <sup>b</sup>	30.34 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.16 <sup>c</sup>	2218.82 <sup>a</sup>
N5P8	92.36 <sup>c</sup>	97.87 <sup>c</sup>	31.03	11.25 <sup>a</sup>	20.00 <sup>b</sup>	20.13 <sup>bc</sup>	2219.64 <sup>c</sup>	2218.93 <sup>a</sup>
N5P10	154.07 <sup>bc</sup>	145.22 <sup>bc</sup>	14.14	15.40 <sup>a</sup>	26.06 <sup>ab</sup>	26.52 <sup>b</sup>	2221.44 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.23 <sup>ab</sup>
N7.5P0	246.48 <sup>bc</sup>	244.53 <sup>ab</sup>	15.23	11.99 <sup>a</sup>	34.18 <sup>ab</sup>	35.09 <sup>a</sup>	2217.64 <sup>cd</sup>	2219.88 <sup>a</sup>
N7.5P4	333.94 <sup>b</sup>	271.51 <sup>ab</sup>	10.58	10.58 <sup>a</sup>	57.95 <sup>a</sup>	38.22 <sup>a</sup>	2219.37 <sup>c</sup>	2219.80 <sup>a</sup>
N7.5P6	239.14 <sup>bc</sup>	250.00 <sup>ab</sup>	7.22	11.09 <sup>a</sup>	30.35 <sup>ab</sup>	32.62 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.40 <sup>c</sup>	2218.50 <sup>a</sup>
N7.5P8	173.49 <sup>bc</sup>	186.25 <sup>b</sup>	7.45	9.39 <sup>b</sup>	22.50 <sup>b</sup>	24.05 <sup>b</sup>	2231.48 <sup>a</sup>	2217.35 <sup>ab</sup>
N7.5P10	147.38 <sup>c</sup>	146.38 <sup>bc</sup>	8.92	8.92 <sup>b</sup>	18.84 <sup>b</sup>	19.84 <sup>c</sup>	2220.55 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.53 <sup>ab</sup>
N10P0	135.44 <sup>c</sup>	142.94 <sup>bc</sup>	13.52	13.01 <sup>a</sup>	18.03 <sup>b</sup>	19.68 <sup>c</sup>	2219.06 <sup>c</sup>	2219.86 <sup>a</sup>
N10P4	158.99 <sup>bc</sup>	159.13 <sup>bc</sup>	11.85	11.85 <sup>a</sup>	42.07 <sup>a</sup>	30.16 <sup>ab</sup>	2221.73 <sup>bc</sup>	2218.82 <sup>a</sup>
N10P6	392.29 <sup>b</sup>	286.37 <sup>a</sup>	11.32	10.29 <sup>a</sup>	26.15 <sup>ab</sup>	27.29 <sup>b</sup>	2217.36 <sup>cd</sup>	2216.86 <sup>ab</sup>
N10P8	194.12 <sup>bc</sup>	195.63 <sup>b</sup>	14.59	14.00 <sup>a</sup>	22.15 <sup>b</sup>	23.45 <sup>b</sup>	2222.18 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.63 <sup>ab</sup>
N10P10	407.84 <sup>b</sup>	337.65 <sup>a</sup>	14.52	14.99 <sup>a</sup>	25.42 <sup>ab</sup>	26.21 <sup>b</sup>	2220.72 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.15 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P0	228.48 <sup>bc</sup>	224.97 <sup>b</sup>	10.71	12.41 <sup>a</sup>	25.72 <sup>ab</sup>	26.51 <sup>b</sup>	2221.71 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.59 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P4	147.42 <sup>c</sup>	148.25 <sup>bc</sup>	16.38	13.61 <sup>a</sup>	29.82 <sup>ab</sup>	30.16 <sup>ab</sup>	2222.84 <sup>b</sup>	2215.75 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P6	319.05 <sup>b</sup>	261.61 <sup>ab</sup>	7.25	8.88 <sup>a</sup>	36.20 <sup>ab</sup>	27.02 <sup>b</sup>	2221.33 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.72 <sup>a</sup>
N15P8	289.52 <sup>b</sup>	278.21 <sup>ab</sup>	9.12	8.38 <sup>a</sup>	45.45 <sup>a</sup>	33.44 <sup>a</sup>	2223.38 <sup>a</sup>	2217.72 <sup>a</sup>
N15P10	551.41 <sup>a</sup>	281.01 <sup>ab</sup>	10.79	10.87 <sup>a</sup>	43.13 <sup>a</sup>	38.51 <sup>a</sup>	2224.77 <sup>b</sup>	2218.59 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	(0.01)	139.36	53.03	5.34	17.30	5.11	2.57	2.53

\*\*Fertilizer applications are presented significant value as p<0.01. \*Fertilizer applications are presented significant value as p<0.05. <sup>NS</sup>Non-significant value

Table 4: Micro nutrients (Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn) contents of *Lotus suaveolens* plant in 2002 and 2003

Treatments	Fe contents (ppm)		Cu contents (ppm)		Zn contents (ppm)		Mn contents (ppm)	
	2002 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N**, P*)	2002 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N*, P*)	2002 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N**, P**)	2002 (N**, P <sup>NS</sup> )	2003 (N*, P <sup>NS</sup> )
NOP0	286.27 <sup>ab</sup>	284.04 <sup>ab</sup>	7.62 <sup>b</sup>	5.88 <sup>ab</sup>	45.21 <sup>ab</sup>	45.76 <sup>ab</sup>	2222.29 <sup>b</sup>	2217.03 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP4	320.43 <sup>ab</sup>	281.83 <sup>ab</sup>	5.55 <sup>b</sup>	5.55 <sup>b</sup>	44.12 <sup>ab</sup>	43.70 <sup>ab</sup>	2216.23 <sup>c</sup>	2217.30 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP6	167.65 <sup>ab</sup>	206.78 <sup>ab</sup>	9.85 <sup>b</sup>	8.15 <sup>ab</sup>	49.83 <sup>ab</sup>	46.82 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.17 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.96 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP8	419.86 <sup>a</sup>	337.13 <sup>a</sup>	6.23 <sup>b</sup>	6.23 <sup>b</sup>	41.31 <sup>ab</sup>	40.85 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.96 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.88 <sup>ab</sup>
NOP10	152.63 <sup>ab</sup>	159.27 <sup>ab</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	8.68 <sup>ab</sup>	25.41 <sup>b</sup>	28.95 <sup>b</sup>	2222.41 <sup>b</sup>	2215.47 <sup>b</sup>
N5P0	156.12 <sup>ab</sup>	160.10 <sup>ab</sup>	7.36 <sup>b</sup>	7.36 <sup>b</sup>	35.32 <sup>ab</sup>	36.40 <sup>ab</sup>	2218.67 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.44 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P4	422.55 <sup>a</sup>	311.50 <sup>a</sup>	5.52 <sup>b</sup>	7.62 <sup>ab</sup>	45.51 <sup>ab</sup>	45.51 <sup>ab</sup>	2223.65 <sup>b</sup>	2217.84 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P6	328.95 <sup>ab</sup>	293.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.99 <sup>b</sup>	6.93 <sup>ab</sup>	42.47 <sup>ab</sup>	42.33 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.16 <sup>bc</sup>	2218.63 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P8	216.22 <sup>ab</sup>	220.54 <sup>ab</sup>	8.31 <sup>b</sup>	8.31 <sup>ab</sup>	42.61 <sup>ab</sup>	41.86 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.64 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.48 <sup>ab</sup>
N5P10	202.76 <sup>ab</sup>	214.24 <sup>ab</sup>	11.54 <sup>b</sup>	10.50 <sup>ab</sup>	37.30 <sup>ab</sup>	37.30 <sup>ab</sup>	2221.44 <sup>b</sup>	2218.07 <sup>ab</sup>
N7.5P0	684.86 <sup>a</sup>	382.96 <sup>a</sup>	8.32 <sup>b</sup>	8.32 <sup>ab</sup>	67.12 <sup>a</sup>	65.65 <sup>a</sup>	2217.64 <sup>c</sup>	2215.52 <sup>b</sup>
N7.5P4	144.02 <sup>b</sup>	169.82 <sup>ab</sup>	10.02 <sup>b</sup>	10.49 <sup>ab</sup>	45.68 <sup>ab</sup>	46.37 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.37 <sup>bc</sup>	2216.26 <sup>b</sup>
N7.5P6	253.25 <sup>ab</sup>	256.78 <sup>ab</sup>	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	8.29 <sup>ab</sup>	35.44 <sup>ab</sup>	34.91 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.40 <sup>bc</sup>	2215.82 <sup>b</sup>
N7.5P8	317.81 <sup>ab</sup>	322.23 <sup>a</sup>	8.32 <sup>b</sup>	8.32 <sup>ab</sup>	52.52 <sup>a</sup>	53.14 <sup>a</sup>	2231.48 <sup>a</sup>	2217.13 <sup>ab</sup>
N7.5P10	149.68 <sup>ab</sup>	152.05 <sup>ab</sup>	5.42 <sup>b</sup>	7.05 <sup>ab</sup>	38.66 <sup>ab</sup>	37.27 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.55 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.70 <sup>ab</sup>
N1OP0	123.55 <sup>b</sup>	143.02 <sup>b</sup>	10.40 <sup>b</sup>	8.74 <sup>ab</sup>	35.47 <sup>ab</sup>	36.00 <sup>ab</sup>	2219.06 <sup>bc</sup>	2218.01 <sup>ab</sup>
N1OP4	256.41 <sup>ab</sup>	219.47 <sup>ab</sup>	7.35 <sup>b</sup>	7.98 <sup>ab</sup>	31.18 <sup>ab</sup>	31.94 <sup>ab</sup>	2221.73 <sup>b</sup>	2215.95 <sup>b</sup>
N1OP6	161.31 <sup>ab</sup>	158.92 <sup>ab</sup>	7.02 <sup>b</sup>	7.98 <sup>ab</sup>	41.09 <sup>ab</sup>	43.96 <sup>ab</sup>	2217.36 <sup>c</sup>	2217.65 <sup>ab</sup>
N1OP8	169.68 <sup>ab</sup>	171.88 <sup>ab</sup>	31.57 <sup>a</sup>	16.15 <sup>a</sup>	31.97 <sup>ab</sup>	36.58 <sup>ab</sup>	2222.18 <sup>c</sup>	2219.42 <sup>ab</sup>
N1OP10	134.96 <sup>b</sup>	170.72 <sup>ab</sup>	12.22 <sup>b</sup>	12.22 <sup>a</sup>	48.02 <sup>ab</sup>	44.97 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.72 <sup>bc</sup>	2215.94 <sup>b</sup>
N15P0	215.74 <sup>ab</sup>	219.90 <sup>ab</sup>	11.68 <sup>b</sup>	10.65 <sup>ab</sup>	51.38 <sup>a</sup>	51.02 <sup>ab</sup>	2220.71 <sup>bc</sup>	2217.54 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P4	197.04 <sup>ab</sup>	194.69 <sup>ab</sup>	14.22 <sup>b</sup>	13.79 <sup>a</sup>	37.58 <sup>ab</sup>	35.53 <sup>ab</sup>	2222.84 <sup>b</sup>	2219.40 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P6	516.05 <sup>a</sup>	416.98 <sup>a</sup>	12.15 <sup>b</sup>	12.15 <sup>a</sup>	69.66 <sup>a</sup>	57.86 <sup>a</sup>	2221.33 <sup>b</sup>	2220.47 <sup>a</sup>
N15P8	585.30 <sup>a</sup>	432.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.38 <sup>b</sup>	8.38 <sup>ab</sup>	65.76 <sup>a</sup>	63.02 <sup>a</sup>	2223.38 <sup>b</sup>	2217.61 <sup>ab</sup>
N15P10	513.66 <sup>a</sup>	369.61 <sup>a</sup>	7.78 <sup>b</sup>	8.78 <sup>ab</sup>	40.60 <sup>ab</sup>	42.36 <sup>ab</sup>	2224.77 <sup>b</sup>	2216.80 <sup>ab</sup>
LSD (0.01)	275.26	143.93	10.91	6.43	25.49	23.26	2.83	3.71

\*\*Fertilizer applications are presented significant value as p<0.01, \*Fertilizer applications are presented significant value as p<0.05, <sup>NS</sup> Non-significant value

Early studies recorded that sufficient micro nutrient contents in the different feed plant contained from 214-261 ppm of Fe uptake by a range of the different feed crops.

The Beeson found that for Cu and Zn, sufficient levels occurred at ranged from 11-112 ppm and from 11-360 ppm in the feed crops, especially the highest accumulation in the leguminous plants. Prince *et al.* and Scharrer and Munk also indicated that for Zn, sufficient levels were around 11-128 ppm and 34 ppm, respectively. As suggested by McHargue Mn concentration in the grass plants was in the highest levels.

In this study, it was appeared that each plant species has a different affinity for uptake and accumulation of different micro nutrient content. Trends were observed between plants of different uptake. The gradual increase in micro nutrient identified in *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens* in Kahramanmaras, Turkey. The increasing order effects of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer applications to micro nutrient contents of these grassland plants were followed as according to the iron, copper, zinc and manganese contents of plants:

Fe, Cu and Zn: *Lotus suaveolens* > *Trifolium angustifolium*  
Mn: *Trifolium angustifolium* > *Lotus suaveolens*

Quantified amounts of micro nutrients after nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer treatments, it is determined and

ordered effectiveness of added fertilizers in increasing micro nutrient contents to these plants followed the order also:

*Lotus suaveolens*: Cu>Fe >Zn>Mn  
*Trifolium angustifolium*: Cu>Fe = Mn>Zn

Since, added nitrogen and phosphorus chemical fertilizers influence both micro nutrient mobility and bioavailability and the effects to these plants may be different. The selectivity and availability of micro nutrients by adding nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients depends on the plants species. We found that the availability of Cu with adding fertilizers increased in *Lotus suaveolens* and *Trifolium angustifolium* plants, Fe increased in *Lotus suaveolens* plant and *Trifolium angustifolium* with Mn. In addition to these effects, there is clear evidence that *Trifolium angustifolium* plant species show non-differential Fe and Mn uptakes in selectivity.

**CONCLUSION**

As we found in this study, nutrient application especially nitrogen and phosphorus is increased generally, micro nutrients contents of plants. It is important to vegetation and animals in ecosystems. In addition to these effects, there is evidence that species,

genera and families of plants and animals show different effect to nutrient uptake. By adding nitrogen and phosphorus to investigated four types of plants, *Trifolium angustifolium* and *Lotus suaveolens*, were effected to accumulate micro nutrients in different. This relative selectivity of plants may be used on physiological, animal nutrition and environmental pollution studies and of these plants.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous, 2006. United nation convention to combat desertification fifth session of committee for the review of the implementation of the convention (Cric 5) 04-13, October 2006, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Turkey's National Report on the Implementation Processes of the Convention, May 2006, Turkey.
- Aslan Z., B. Oguzhan, Z.N. Caglar, N. Yeniceri and A. Tokgozlu, 2008. Application of wavelet techniques on soil erosion risk. Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium Agro. Environ. on Natural Resources Conservation, Use and Sustainability, April 28-1 May, Turkey.
- Aydin, I. and F. Uzun, 2005. Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization of rangelands affects yield, forage quality and the botanical composition. *Eur. J. Agron.*, 23: 8-14.
- Baker, S., 2002. European Biodiversity Policy. European University Institute, San Domenico, Italy, pp: 38.
- Barbercheck, M.E. and D.A. Neher, 1999. Biodiversity in Agroecosystems. In: Diversity and Function of Soil Mesofauna, Collins, W.W. and C.O. Qualset (Eds.). CRC Press, New York, pp: 27-47.
- Berg, W.A. and P.L. Sims, 1995. Nitrogen fertilizer use efficiency in steer gain on old world J. *Range Manage.*, 48: 465-469.
- Bouyoucos, G.J., 1951. A recalibration of the hydrometer method of making mechanical analysis of soil. *Agron. J.*, 43: 434-438.
- Celiker A. and H. Anac, 2003. Erosion and View Agricultural Economy. Research Publications, Turkey, pp: 255.
- Dabussche, M., J. Escarre, J. Lepart, C. Houssard and S. Lavorel, 1996. Changes in Mediterranean plant succession: Old-field revisited. *J. Veg. Sci.*, 7: 519-526.
- Foster, B.L. and K.L. Gross, 1998. Species richness in a successional grassland: Effects of nitrogen enrichment and plant litter. *Ecol.*, 79: 2593-2602.
- Henkin, Z., I. Noy-Meir, U. Kafkafi, M. Gutman and N.G. Seligman, 1996. Phosphate fertilization primes production of rangeland on brown rendzina soil in the Galilee, Israel. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 59: 43-53.
- Janssens, F., A. Peeters, J.R.B. Tallowin, J.P. Bakker, R.M. Bekker, F. Fillat and J.M. Oomes, 1998. Relationship between soil chemical factors and grassland diversity. *Plant Soil*, 202: 69-78.
- Kalmbacher, R.S. and F.G. Martin, 1988. Effect of defoliation frequency and N-P-K fertilization on maidencane. *J. Range Manage.*, 41: 235-238.
- Knudsen, D., G.A. Peterson and P.F. Pratt, 1982. Lithium, Sodium and Potassium. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties, Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds.). ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI., pp: 225-246.
- Kun, E., 1983. Small Grain Cereals. University of Ankara, Faculty of Agriculture, Publications, Turkey, pp: 321.
- McKenzie, H.A. and H.S. Wallace, 1954. The Kjeldahl determination of nitrogen: A critical study of digestion conditions-temperature, catalyst and oxidizing agent. *Aust. J. Chem.*, 7: 55-70.
- Medagri, 2001. Yearbook of agricultural and food economies in the Mediterranean and Arab countries. Livestock Numbers, Sheep and Goats, pp: 362-363.
- Mengel, K. and E. Kirkby, 1978. Principles of Plant Nutrition. 1st Edn., International Potash Institute, Worblaufen, Bern, pp: 593.
- Meshinev, T., I. Apostolova, V. Georgiev, V. Dimitrov, A. Petrova and P. Veen, 2005. Grasslands of Bulgaria, final report on the national grasslands inventory project-Bulgaria, 2001-2004. PINMATRA/2001/020.
- Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers, 1996. Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3. Chemical Methods, Sparks, D.L. (Eds.). American Society of Agronomy/Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI., pp: 961-1010.
- Olsen, R.S. and L.E. Sommers, 1982. Phosphorus. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds.). 2nd Edn., American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI., pp: 403-430.
- Osman, A.E., P.S. Cocks, L. Russi and M.A. Pagnotta, 1991. Response of Mediterranean grassland to phosphate and stoking rates: Biomass production and botanical composition. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 116: 37-46.
- Osman, A.E., A.K. Salkini and F. Ghassali, 1999. Productivity and botanical composition of Mediterranean grassland in relation to residual phosphate. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 132: 399-405.
- Papanastasis, V.P. and P.H. Koukoulakis, 1988. Effects of fertilizer application to grasslands in Greece. *Grass Forage Sci.*, 43: 151-158.
- Raberg, T., 2007. Permaculture design in an ecovillage: In theory and practise. Bachelor Project in the Horticultural Science Programme. <http://www.essays.se/essay/ae83ddd943/>.

- Rhoades, J.D., 1996. Salinity: Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3. Chemical Methods, Sparks, D.L. (Ed.). Soil Science Society of America, Inc. American Society Agronomy, Inc., Madison, WI, USA., pp: 417-435.
- SPSS, 2003. SPSS for Windows. SPSS Inc.
- Seligman, N.G., 1996. Management of Mediterranean Grasslands. In: Ecology and Management of Grazing Systems, Hodgson, J. and A.W. Illius (Eds.). CAB International, Wallingford, pp: 359-392.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 2nd Edn., McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, USA., ISBN-13: 9780070610286, pp: 188-189.
- Synman, H.A., 2002. Short-term response of rangeland botanical composition and productivity to fertilization (N and P) in a semi-arid climate of South Africa. *J. Arid Environ.*, 50: 167-183.
- Tilman, D. and S. Pacala, 1993. The Maintenance of Species Richness in Plant Communities. In: Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographical Perspectives, Ricklefs, R.E. and D. Schluter (Eds.). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp: 13-25.
- Ture, C. and H. Bocuk, 2007. An Investigation on the diversity, distribution and conservation of *Poaceae* species growing naturally in Eskisehir province (Central Anatolia-Turkey). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 39: 1055-1070.
- Vavilov, N.I., 1951. The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants. *Chronica Botanica*, New York, pp: 364.