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Abstract: A survey was done to document ethnobotanicals for managing and controlling tick vectors of deadly cattle diseases. About 100 respondents aged 45 years and more distributed among 10 sub-counties of Gulu and Amuru districts in Northern Uganda were interviewed for their knowledge of plants with anti-tick properties and/or plant species used to manage ticks on cattle. All respondents were drawn from cattle keeping households. The plants were documented in both local and scientific names. A total of 13 plant species falling into 8 different botanical families were documented as locally known to control ticks. A mechanism for propagating these ethno-knowledge as well as conservation measures particularly for the plant species with high use frequency as reported by the locals therefore need to be developed.
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INTRODUCTION

Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases (T and TBDs) are among the most important constraints to improved livestock productivity in most pastoral systems (refs). Ticks and tick-borne diseases cause serious morbidity and mortality in susceptible exotic cattle, their crosses as well as in indigenous breeds of cattle raised in TBD-free areas (Okello-Okelo et al., 1994). They also restrict the introduction of improved breeds of cattle where the diseases are endemic (Mukhebi, 1992). In Uganda, T and TBDs have been controlled entirely through heavy reliance on acaricides applied in dips or as sprays. The use of acaricides was initially heavily subsidized by the government as an incentive for farmers to manage ticks and improve livestock productivity.

Following the withdrawal of the subsidy scheme as a result of economic liberalization policies, the standard of tick control declined in most areas of the country (Okello-Okelo et al., 1992). For instance, limited access to acaricides, under-doing, poor application and disposal of acaricides since, extension agents were often not available to guide farmers (Okello-Okelo et al., 1997, 2004a). These problems were later exacerbated by the civil strife that prevailed in the region because regular tick management became impossible. Consequently, tick loads in pastoral systems increased and in some cases, acaricide-resistant ticks emerged. Against this background of limited access to effective commercial formulations of acaricides, there is need to develop alternative measures for tick control to eliminate sole reliance on synthetic acaricides while maintaining comparable positive social, economic, epidemiological and environmental outcomes. Participatory workshops held in the Lango and Teso farming systems in Uganda revealed that some livestock farmers (especially those keeping indigenous breeds of cattle) had resorted to using botanicals for tick management.

The follow-up study in Apac and Pallisa districts of Northern Uganda revealed the presence of potential natural products for tick control in this region (Okello-Okelo et al., 2004b). This indigenous knowledge of tick control practices, particularly of plant species with anti-tick properties, presents an opportunity to develop alternative measures for tick control. Documenting this knowledge that is largely in the custody of the elderly is especially important given that it could be lost as this group of individuals is lost to attrition. The present study, conducted in Gulu and Amuru districts to document botanicals used for control of ticks is part of efforts to search for alternative cost-effective, environmentally friendly and sustainable control strategies for T and TBDs. It was also aimed at contributing to the knowledge base of plant species available in Uganda with anti-tick properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Purposive sampling was used to obtain data on botanicals for tick control. About 10 sub-counties distributed among Gulu and Amuru districts were surveyed. Within a sub-county, 2 or more sites
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 13 plant species falling into 8 families were documented (Table 1). Total 4 plant species had the greatest consensus amongst the respondents as being used in tick control. These were Symphysotis adscendacule F., Cassia didymobotrya Fresen, Kigelia africana (Lam.) Benth. and Euphorbia hirta L. (Fig. 1). Mode of preparation involved use of different plant parts. Use of fresh aerial leaves is the most frequent followed by the roots and barks (Fig. 2a).

On plant (Euphorbia hirta) involves extraction of sap which is then smeared directly on tick infested parts. Family Fabaceae and Asteraceae is the most common (Fig. 2c). Besides most of the plants documented are shrubs with very few herbs and trees (Fig. 2b). For all cases, mode of administration involved spraying directly on tick affected areas. This study has revealed for the first time the presence of anti-tick botanicals in the districts of Gulu and Amuru.

Previous efforts to document medicinal plants traditionally used for treating animals have largely been centred in the pastoral and agropastoral communities in

Table 1: Botanical for managing ticks on cattle in Gulu and Amuru districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific names</th>
<th>Local name type of plant</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Method of preparation</th>
<th>Method of application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seleneuso marmii (Hook. f.) C. Jeffrey</td>
<td>Ooko, shrub</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Leaves crushed, put in water and decanted</td>
<td>Sprayed directly on tick infested areas on the animal body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphysotis adscendacule L.</td>
<td>Amuru, herb</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Fresh plant/vegetative and root tuber is pounded to fine particles in a mortar, mixed with water to make a concentrated solution stirred and filters</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonchus oleraceus L.</td>
<td>Acwas, shrub</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Leaves are pounded, put in a volume of water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocybeleta kotachi (Schwein.)</td>
<td>Opot, tree</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Leaves are pounded, put in a volume of water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmis</td>
<td>Ongipiti, shrub</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Roots and leaves crushed, mixed with a little water and filtered</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpaca remote Bentin</td>
<td>Cyee, shrub</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>The plant leaves are pounded, mixed with a little water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbia hirta L.</td>
<td>Acak acak, herb</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>The sap is extracted directly from the fresh plant</td>
<td>The sap is smeared directly on the affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassia didymobotrya L.</td>
<td>Turgo, shrub</td>
<td>Leguminosae/ Fabaceae</td>
<td>Fresh leaves are removed, crushed, mixed with water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synedrum Hooke.</td>
<td>Graniti Labaka, shrub</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Fresh leaves are removed, crushed, mixed with water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed directly on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaseolus lunatus L.</td>
<td>Muranga, herb</td>
<td>Leguminosae/ Fabaceae</td>
<td>Dried bean plants (after pods have been removed) are burn, ashes mixed with water and then filtered</td>
<td>Sprayed on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryhemia abbyssinica Lam. ex DC</td>
<td>Luceoro, tree</td>
<td>Leguminosae/ Papilionoideae</td>
<td>Fresh root and stem bark is pounded to fine particles, mixed in water to make a concentrated solution and filtered</td>
<td>It is sprayed on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbita maxima Lam.</td>
<td>Abanceng, shrub</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Fresh leaves removed and pounded, mixed with a little water and decanted</td>
<td>It is sprayed on tick affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kigelia africana (Lam.) Benth</td>
<td>Yago, tree</td>
<td>Bignoniaceae</td>
<td>The fresh fruits/bark of the plant is pounded to a fine powder using a mortar. The pounded product is put in water stirred well and filtered</td>
<td>The product is applied by spraying on affected areas using a pump</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the arid and semi-arid areas of East Africa (Ejobi et al., 2004). As such this survey was characterized by unwillingness of some individuals to disclose information enquired. This according to Ejobi et al. (2007) is probably because in most African countries, individuals with immense knowledge in traditional medicine are highly respected. Consequently, they tightly guard their secrets and only divulge the information to only a few confidants. The families with the largest number of plant species used to treat cattle are Asteraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae and Lamiaceae (Fig. 2c).

These families have the highest diversity of species used to treat cattle diseases probably because they contain relatively more species than other plant families in the area (Tabuti et al., 2003). As such, locals tend to try to get the best use of them making discovery of their anti-tick potential more probable.

Many of the plants families and species mentioned in this study have been investigated before with some locally known to have species or genera which have toxic properties which may be responsible for their tick-killing properties. *Muscaea* sp. of the family fabacea contain antinutritional factors such as phenols and tannins and to possess trypsin inhibiting and haemagglutinating activities (Rajaram and Janardhanan, 1991). The Lamiaceae are known to contain alkaloids and proanthocyanidins and the species of reported here, *Ajuga remotia* Benth. and *Plectranthus barbatus* Andr. are useful in treating ectoparasites, theileriosis and dietary deficiencies in cattle Central Kenya (Njoroge andBusmann, 2006). Thus, some of the reported species may have toxic properties and hence may be poisonous to cattle if their use is adopted without taking precautions. Much as locals believe in their cost effectiveness and efficacy, it must be argued that old sayings like ethnombotanically based practices are safe and can be used over a period of time without side-effects may not be entirely true. Further research needs to be conducted on leaves, stems and seedpods because these could be a hazard in terms of toxicity to farmers working with the crop and to animals consuming the foliage as fodder. Thus, plant species which showed the highest consensus need to be subjected to further studies in terms of efficacy trials and bioassays. It would be interesting to determine whether their traditional uses are supported by actual pharmacological effects or merely
based on folklore (Holetz et al., 2002). The survey also led to the discovery that the young people who have stayed for so long in the IDPs camps have absorbed large quantities of western culture but among the older people the remembrance of the past is still alive though gradually eroding now that they do not practice it often. A number of researchers (Wanzala et al., 2005; Njoroge and Bussmann, 2006; ICPE, 2006; Okello-Onen et al., 2004a, b) have already expressed the fear that traditional knowledge transmitted orally from generation to generation is always in danger of extinction as older people die and younger generations fail to learn the traditional way of life which inevitably calls for their documentation.

The situation is worsened by rapid socio economic, technological and environmental changes (Tabuti et al., 2003).

In terms of conservation, the results show that leaf material is the most useful for tick control and it could be used without any detrimental effect on the plant. However, a mechanism for propagating these botanicals as well as conservation measures particularly for the plant species with high use frequency need to be developed.

CONCLUSION

It is observed that there is a strong possibility that many more plants with anti-tick properties exist in this region since, the research only captured 10 sub-counties. Thus, it is recommended that more research on documentation of anti-tick plants of the northern region of Uganda be done.
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