Prevalence and Susceptibility Assay of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Bovine Mastitis in Dairy Farms of Jimma Town, South West Ethiopia
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**Abstract:** Cross sectional experimental study was conducted to assess the prevalence and susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis to commonly used antibacterial agents in Jimma town dairy farms, South West Ethiopia from January-July, 2010. Milk samples were collected aseptically and California Mastitis Test (CMT) was carried out to identify subclinical mastitis from dairy cows. All CMT high scored and clinically positive samples were investigated microbiologically. Rate of isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* was determined and susceptibility of 11 antibiotics against *S. aureus* was evaluated using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method and the result was expressed as sensitive, intermediate and resistant. From total of 218 milk samples collected, 164 CMT high score milk samples were cultured of which 86 (52.4%) of pure strains of *S. aureus* were isolated. Out of 86 pure isolates of *S. aureus* resistance was detected for Penicillin (87.2%), Nalidixic acid (92%), Amoxicillin (46%), Chloramphenicol (16%), Clindamycin (4%) and Vancomycin (3%). The study also revealed that *S. aureus* was found to be sensitive for Norfloxacilin, Gentamycin, Tetracycline and Bacitracin. The present finding indicates that these isolates exhibited the highest degree of resistance to Nalidixic Acid, Penicillin, Amoxicillin and Chloramphenicol of among the tested anti microbial agents in comparison to previous studies reported. Furthermore, resistance follow-up, appropriate selection and use of antibiotic is recommended in the treatment of mastitis.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Despite many years of research, mastitis remains the most economically damaging disease for dairy industry worldwide irrespective of species of animal (Owens et al., 1997). It is a disease that can cause devastating effects to a farmer because of serious economic losses (Ameh and Tari, 2000). In addition, there is a danger that the bacterial contamination of milk from affected cattle may render it unsuitable for human consumption by causing food poisoning or in rare cases provide a mechanism of spread of disease to humans (Bitew et al., 2010).

Previous studies conducted in different countries indicate the distribution and economic importance of the disease. Contreras et al. (1997) from Spain; Mosh et al. (1998) from Tanzania, Ameh and Tari (2000) from Nigeria; Ndewa et al. (2000) from Kenya and Kozacinski et al. (2002) from Croatia reported different prevalence rates of mastitis in dairy cattle. The impact of mastitis can be categorized as economic loss and zoonotic potential. Economic loss caused by mastitis includes reduction in milk production, calf mortality, culling of dairy cows, value of milk that has to be with held from sale, reduction in quality of milk and cost of treatment (Radostits et al., 2007). Bacterial contamination of milk from affected cows may render unsuitable for human consumption by causing food poisoning or interference with manufacturing process or in rare cases provides mechanism of spread of disease to humans. Zoonotic diseases potentially transmitted by raw cow milk includes brucellosis, caseous lymphadenitis, leptospirosis, listeriosis, melioidosis, Q-Fever, Staphylococcal food poisoning, toxoplasmosis and tuberculosis (Radostits et al., 2007).

The major obstacle in treating mastitis is antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing is useful to carry out effective treatment because certain microorganisms are resistant to certain antimicrobials. It is found that *Staphylococcus* sp.
resistant to penicillin (Smith and Sherman, 1994). However, there was no study carried out in Jimma and its surroundings about the prevalence and susceptibility assay of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from bovine mastitis in dairy farms. Therefore, this study is undertaken with the objectives to determine the prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus as a cause of mastitis in dairy cows to assess antimicrobial susceptibility, resistance and mult drug resistance pattern of Staphylococcus aureus to commonly used antimicrobials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design: Cross sectional and experimental studies were conducted. The study was conducted on dairy farms found in Jimma Town, South Western Ethiopia from January-July, 2010. The laboratory study was done in Veterinary Microbiology laboratory, Jimma University.

Sample size and sampling method: Simple random sampling technique was followed to select the study animal and the desired sample size was calculated according to the formula given by Thrusfield (2005). About 218 lactating and dry cows were considered to be as a sample.

Study methods

Physical examination of mastitis: Udder attachment, parity number, any physical abnormalities such as swelling of the udder, presence of lesions, anatomical malformations and tick infestation were recorded. The milk was examined for its color, odor, consistency and other abnormalities.

California Mastitis Test (CMT): The California Mastitis test was carried out as described by Schalm et al. (1971) and Quinn et al. (2004). A squirt of milk, about 2 mL from each half was placed in each of 2 shallow cups in the CMT paddle. An equal amount of the commercial reagent was added to each cup. A gentle circular motion was applied to the mixtures, in a horizontal plane for 15 sec. The result was scored from 0-3.

Milk sample collection, handling and transportation: Aseptic procedures for collecting milk samples as described by Schalm et al. (1971), Sears et al. (1991) and Quinn et al. (2004) were followed. The time chosen for milk sample collection was before milking, Udders and especially teats were cleaned and dried before sample collection. Each teat end is scrubbed vigorously with a pledged of cotton moistened with 70% ethyl alcohol.

A separate pledge of cotton was used for each teat. The first few streams of milk were discarded and 10 mL of milk was collected into horizontally held vial. After collection, the sample was placed in an icebox and transported to the Veterinary Microbiology laboratory for analysis.

Bacterial isolation and identification: Bacteriological examination of the milk was carried out with respect to CMT high scores following standard procedures (Carter, 1984; Sears et al., 1991; Quinn et al., 2004). Milk samples that had been refrigerated, dispersion of bacteria and fat were accomplished by warming the samples at room temperature (25°C) for about an hour and then mixed by shaking. The samples were allowed to stand for a while for the foam to disperse and just before inoculation the tube was inverted gently (National Mastitis Council, 1990).

One standard loop (0.01 mL) of milk sample was streaked on 7% sheep blood agar (BBL®, Becton Dickinson, USA). The inoculated plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C. The plates were checked for growth after 24, 48 and 72 h to rule out slow growing bacteria. The plates were examined for growth, morphologic features such as colony size, shape, color and hemolytic characteristics. Suspected colonies were sub-cultured on Mannitol Salt Agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, England) plates for further investigation.

For primary identification of bacteria, once a pure culture is obtained, the results from a few comparatively simple tests can often identify the bacterium to a genus level. A gram-stained smear from the culture will establish the gram reaction (gram-positive or gram-negative) and the cellular morphology (coccius or rod). The other primary tests include growth or absence of growth on MacConkey agar, Mannitol Salt Agar, catalase and coagulase tests (Quinn et al., 2004).

Antimicrobial susceptibility test: Susceptibility of bacteria to the commonly used antimicrobials was conducted using Kirby-Bauer method (Quinn et al., 2004). About 11 antimicrobials such as Penicillin, Nalidixic Acid, Bacitracin, Tetracycline, Vancomycin, Gentamycin, Chloramphenicol, Cotrimoxazole, Clindamycin, Norfloxacin and Amoxicillin (Oxoid, Hampshire, England) were selected from main class of antimicrobials and investigated for sensitivity testing.

The antibiotic disks were applied on to the surface of the inoculated agar plates using aseptic technique. Each disk was pressed down to ensure complete contact with the agar surface. The disks were deposited with centers at least 24 mm apart (Quinn et al., 2004).
Statistical analysis: The data was compiled and analyzed with SPSS statistical package version 16. Percentage with confidence interval was used for determination of prevalence. Prevalence estimation of commonly isolated pathogens in Jimma town dairy farms was determined using standard formulae (i.e., the number of positive animals/samples divided by the total number of animals/samples examined). Descriptive statistics such as percentages and frequency distributions was used to describe/present the nature and the characteristics of the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From 218 mastitic milk samples, 164 (75.22%) were high CMT score and grew more than one type of organism while 54 (24.78%) of them grew 1 type of bacterium on blood agar. In this study from Staphylococcus species accounted for 39.44% of the CMT high scored milk samples (Table 1).

Regarding the drug susceptibility assay, out of 86 isolates of the S. aureus which is isolated from Jimma Town dairy farms, 46 (52.4%) were resistant to 2 or >2 antimicrobial agents tested. The antimicrobial activity of the tested agents were presented using zone of inhibition in mm and compared with the standard by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2005) (Table 2).

Resistance was detected for Nalidixic Acid, Penicillin G, Amoxicillin, Chloramphenicol, Clindamycin and Vancomycin. Out of these drugs, Nalidixic Acid (92%), Penicillin (87.2%) Amoxicillin (46%) were the most resisted agents by the organism. S. aureus was susceptible to Gentamycin, Norfloxacin and Tetracycline while Vancomycin (3%) and Clindamycin (4%) where exhibited slight resistance (Table 2).

The study also tried to show the multi drug resistance profile of S. aureus isolated from bovine mastitis. From 86 pure isolates (92), (25), (10,46) and (7%) of the isolates were resistant for 1-4 drugs, respectively (Table 3).

From the CMT high scored milk samples, 39.44% were Staphylococcus species. This is in agreement with findings of Lakew et al. (2009) in which the dominant bacterial isolates in their study animals were Staphylococcus species (41.4%), Contreras et al. (1997) and Ndeqwa et al. (2000) research outputs indicate that Staphylococcus aureus is widely distributed in 43.8% of the animals and 70% of the herd. However, the result was lower than Bitew et al. (2010) who found 20.3% in dairy farms of Bahir Dar town and its environs. This is because S. aureus is contagious pathogen transmitted from one cow to another easily during unhygienic milking procedures (Rowe, 1999). Reports also show that most of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of bacteria</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>39.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase negative staphylococci</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus agalactiae</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinomyces pyogenes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bacterial isolates</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic agent</th>
<th>Disc content</th>
<th>Range of disk diffusion inhibition zone diameters (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>10 units</td>
<td>Susceptible 0  Intermediate 0  Resistance 87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>100.0% 0  0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>100.0% 0  0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfloxacin*</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>100.0% 0  0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin*</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>97.0% 0  3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>25 µg</td>
<td>81.0% 19%  0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>2 µg</td>
<td>96.0% 0  4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>10 unit</td>
<td>100.0% 0  0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>75.0% 9%  16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>0.0% 8%  92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>54.0% 0  46.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Resistant (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One drug</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>92.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two drugs</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three drugs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four and above</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Percentage and frequency of multi-drug resistance pattern of S. aureus strains (n = 86) for selected antimicrobials agents

the clinical cases of mastitis caused by S. aureus were affecting 50% of the gland and 50% of other part of the animals (Anyam and Adekeye, 1995). This finding is also in agreement with data reported by Ameh and Tari (2000) in Nigeria and Topolko and Benic (1997) in Croatia who found dominant number of S. aureus over CNS in dairy cow mastitis. The resistance detected for Nalidixic Acid, Penicillin G, Amoxicillin, Chloramphenicol, Clindamycin and Vancomycin in this study is in agreement with Hussein (1999) in bovine mastitis. In this study there was no resistance to Gentamycin, Norfloxacin and Tetracycline while Vancomycin (3%) and Clindamycin (4%) where exhibited slight resistance. Hussein (1999) found that Staphylococcus specie's were susceptible to chloramphenicol, Tetracycline, clindamycin and Gentamycin. Resistance was observed in all dairy farms selected which indicate that the problem is highly distributed and disseminated.

In this study 75 (87.2%) isolates of S. aureus were Penicillin G resistant. This result is similar to those reported from cows with mastitis in Tehran (57%) (Goorainejad et al., 2007), Argentina (40%) (Gentilini et al., 2002) and Finland (50%) (Myllys et al., 1998). But less reported for S. aureus strains isolated from
bovine mastitis milk in west India (23%) (Adesiyun, 1994). Similarly, it was also found that nalidixic acid suffers from serious resistance problem (92%). This finding is also similar with the reports in many countries Abdel-Gadir (2001) in camel reported that S. aureus were resistance (91.4%) to Penicillin G and Nalidixic acid.

The third drug with significant resistance profile in this study was amoxicillin (46%). The high resistance profile of amoxicillin similar to penicillin G could be associated with the same biochemical mechanism of the bacterial for resistance these class of agents. It was not possible to get report to compare this result with other reports. Moreover, it is worth noting that vancomycin (a toxic glycopeptides) and clindamycin (a potent lincomycin) are showing resistance to organism. This might indicate the improper and indiscriminate use of these agents.

The multi-drug resistance pattern found in this study is in agreement with that of Hussein (1999) who found that S. aureus was resistant to four antimicrobials, namely Ampicillin, Penicillin, Nalidic acid and Bacitracin in bovine. Similar, result was found by Abdel-Gadir (2001) in camel that S. aureus were resistant to Nalidic acid, Bacitracin and Penicillin G. Out of 86 isolates 100% of S. aureus were susceptible to Norfloxacin, Gentermycin, Tetracycline and Bacitracin. This study revealed that Gentamycin, Norfloxacin and Tetracycline were found to be effective drugs. This is in agreement with Almaw et al. (2008) who found that S. aureus susceptible to Norfloxacin (100%) in bovine. Hussein (1999) in bovine also found that S. aureus was susceptible to Gentamycin. The second effective drug was Vancomycin (97%) of the tested isolates were susceptible. Where as Clindamycin (96%) and Co-trimoxazole (81%) were also the third effective drugs. Nalidixic acid was the weakest of all drugs used in the antimicrobial susceptibility test where only 0% of the isolates were susceptible and penicillin is the second weak drug of all drugs used and its susceptibility was only 12.8%.

This finding indicates that care has to be taken by the Veterinarian and farmers in selection of antimicrobials for treatment of mastitis. In this study it was found that the most effective drugs were Gentamycin, Norfloxacin, Tetracyclin, Bacitracin, Vancomycin, Clindamycin and Co-trimoxazole, respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

In present study the prevalence of mastitis causing S. aureus at cow level was high (52.4%). This indicates that mastitis caused by S. aureus is one of the major problems of cross breed dairy cows in milk production.

The distribution of S. aureus and its resistance to antimicrobial in the herd of the selected dairy farms, indicate the economic impact of the disease. Moreover, the high isolation rate of Staphylococcus aureus in mastitis indicates the potential risk of consumption of raw milk.

It was found that the majority of the tested isolates were susceptible to the various antimicrobial agents especially Gentamycin, Vancomycin, Norfloxacin, Tetracycline and Clindamycin. Penicillin, Nalidixic Acid, Amoxicillin and Chloramphenicol were observed to be less effective against S. aureus. The high resistance to Penicillin G found in this study emphasize as current susceptibility data are necessary to select appropriate antibiotics for a successful treatment.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the results of present study the following recommendations are forwarded:

- In order to prevent the active transmission of mastitis in dairy farms pre milking and post milking udder washing and disinfections need to be practiced. Because of the economic and public health importance of mastitis in dairy cows. Hygienic milking procedures such as milking mastitic cows last have to be practiced by the farmers
- Care has to be taken in selection of drugs before instituting treatment against mastitis
- Further studies are necessary for assessing the importance of intramamary infection caused by other bacterial pathogens
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