

The Effect of Kepromec Pour-on Against Respiratory and Gastrointestinal Nematodes in Cows

¹Amirparviz Rezaeisaber and ²Mehrdad Nazeri

¹Department of Clinical Science, ²Department of Veterinary Medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract: Internal parasitism of feedlot cattle has been documented to reduce performance and impair immune function. GI nematodes are chronic pervasive infections that contribute worldwide to morbidity and mortality in humans and in livestock. The objective of this study was to evaluation of Kepromec pour-on effect on decreasing of gastrointestinal nematodes. In this study, 60 male and female hybrid cows were allocated into two 30 individual groups. Then, Kepromec pour-on was administrated at the dose of 1 mg 20 kg⁻¹. Results showed that Kepromec pour-on has about 90% efficacy on GI nematodes. Thus, use of Kepromec to prohibition of GI nematodes is recommended.

Key words: Kepromec, respiratory and gastrointestinal tract, nematodes, cow, evaluation, Iran

INTRODUCTION

Internal parasitism of feedlot cattle has been documented to reduce performance and impair immune function (Gomez-Munoz *et al.*, 2004; Snider *et al.*, 1986; Wiggan and Gibbs, 1990). GI nematodes are chronic pervasive infections that contribute worldwide to morbidity and mortality in humans (Albonico *et al.*, 1999; Bundy *et al.*, 1996; Hall and Chan, 1994; WHO, 1992) and in livestock (Coop and Holmes, 1996; Coop and Kyriazakis, 1999; Van Houtert and Sykes, 1996; Howlader *et al.*, 2002; Ragbetli *et al.*, 2009; Ceylan *et al.*, 2010). Their co-existence with malnutrition has been recognized for decades by veterinarians and health care workers who have observed that malnutrition and intestinal parasitism share a similar geographical distribution with the same individuals experiencing both conditions simultaneously (Pelletier, 1994).

Researchers have explained this association by providing scientific evidence both that infection leads to malnutrition through impaired digestion and absorption (Stephenson and Holland, 1987) and that malnutrition increases susceptibility to infection through impaired local and systemic host defense mechanisms (Brandtzaeg, 1998; Ernst *et al.*, 1999; Koski and Scott, 2001). Disease severity is typically related to worm burdens. Helminthiasis in small ruminant affects production losses due to mortalities; reduce weight gain and other losses of production (Chaudary *et al.*, 2007). However, the effects

of helminthes infections on production of particular livestock species depend mostly upon the age of the animal, breed, parasite species involved and the intensity of the worm population. Several factors are known to determine the epidemiological pattern of the associated disease condition. These include weather condition, husbandry practice and the physiological status of the animal (Khan *et al.*, 1989; Tembely *et al.*, 1997; Waller *et al.*, 2004). Kepromec (22, 23-dihydroavermectin B_{1a}+22, 23-dihydroavermectin B_{1b}) is a broad-spectrum antiparasitic avermectin medicine. It is sold under brand names Stromectol in the United States, Ivomec in Europe by Merial Animal Health, Mectizan in Canada by Merck and Ivexterm in Mexico by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International. It is traditionally used against worms. It is mainly used in animals and humans in the treatment of worm infestations (such as strongyloidiasis, ascariasis, trichuriasis, filariasis and enterobiasis).

Kepromec, under the brand name Mectizan is currently being used to help eliminate river blindness (onchocerciasis) in the Americas and stop transmission of lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis around the world. Currently, large amounts of kepromec are donated by Merck to fight river blindness in countries that are unable to afford the drug. The drug rapidly kills microfilariae but not the adult worms. A single oral dose of kepromec, taken annually for the 10-15 years life span of the adult worms is all that is needed to protect the individual from onchocerciasis. Kepromec and other avermectins

(insecticides most frequently used in home use ant baits) are macrocyclic lactones derived from the bacterium *Streptomyces avermitilis*. Kepromec kills by interfering with nervous system and muscle function in particular by enhancing inhibitory neurotransmission. The drug binds and activates Glutamate-gated Chloride channels (GluCl_s). GluCl_s are invertebrate-specific members of the Cys-loop family of ligand-gated ion channels present in neurons and myocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study researchers selected 60 male and female hybrid cows which are tested and approved that they have parasitic disease. Then, these animals were divided into 2 groups by chance, controls which are not received Kepromec pour-on and treatment group which are received Kepromec pour-on. In treatment group, Kepromec pour-on based on factory recommendation was administrated at dose of 1 mL/10 kg. After elapsing time, on days 1, 7, 21 and 28 of study, samples were taken and to next measures were transported to Veterinary Faculty of Islamic Azad university, Tabriz branch. Then to recognizing the eggs and larva we used of Mc-Master Slide and Stoll Method methods, respectively. Data were

analyzed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons test and ANOVA test and p<0.001 considered as significant differences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study obtained parasites from feces of cows includes: *Trichostrongylus* sp., *Haemonchus* sp., *Trichuris* sp., *Nematodirus* sp., *Dictyocaulus* sp. Mean Egg Per Gram of feces (EPG) and Larva Per Gram of feces (LPG) and effect of Kepromec pour-on in control of nematodes detected on different days after treatment are shown in Table 1 and 2. At the end of the study, based on below formula the effect of Kepromec pour-on at different days after treatment were calculated and are shown in Table 3. Achieved data showed that kepromec pour-on has potent effect in controlling of the gastrointestinal nematodes.

Obtained data showed that kepromec pour-on has more effect (approximately 90%) in prohibition of gastrointestinal parasites. This study is consistent with Uribe *et al.* (1989) researches results which have been reported that Ivermectin pour-on efficacy is 99%. They also reported that this drug has preventive effect on protozoan such as *Eimeria*, *Amoeba* and *Cryptosporidium*

Table 1: Mean of EPG in treatment and control groups before and after treatment

Groups	Parasites	Mean of EPG				
		Mean of 3 enumeration before treatment	1 day after treatment	7 days after treatment	21 days after treatment	28 days after treatment
Treatment group	<i>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</i>	730	152	18	7	-
	<i>Haemonchus contortus</i>	1280	320	24	3	1
	<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	520	56	34	2	-
	<i>Nematodirus spathiger</i>	780	34	12	5	2
Control group	<i>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</i>	730	728	690	862	891
	<i>Haemonchus contortus</i>	1280	1072	820	942	913
	<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	520	493	524	681	702
	<i>Nematodirus spathiger</i>	780	582	638	612	520

Table 2: Mean of LPG in treatment and control groups before and after treatment

Groups	Parasites	Mean of LPG				
		Mean of 3 enumeration before treatment	1 day after treatment	7 days after treatment	21 days after treatment	28 days after treatment
Treatment group	<i>Dictyocaulus viviparus</i>	486	401	320	12	-
Control group	<i>Dictyocaulus viviparus</i>	486	492	524	561	598

Table 3: Effect of Kepromec pour-on at different days after treatment

Parasites	Drug efficacy on day 1 after treatment (%)	Drug efficacy on day 7 after treatment (%)	Drug efficacy on day 21 after treatment (%)	Drug efficacy on day 28 after treatment (%)
<i>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</i>	80.56	97.39	99.18	100.00
<i>Haemonchus contortus</i>	70.14	97.07	99.68	99.89
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	88.64	93.72	99.70	100.00
<i>Nematodirus spathiger</i>	94.15	98.11	99.18	99.61
<i>Dictyocaulus viviparus</i>	18.49	38.93	97.86	100.00

(Uribe *et al.*, 1989). Based on researcher's reports, Ivermectin 0.4 mg/kg/BW as tablet for 10 weeks decreases 100% of eggs and at the dose of 0.2 mg/kg/BW as subcutaneous and at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg/BW as pour-on route not only controlled parasitic infections but prevented of new patent natural infections. Also, in other study revealed that Ivermectin at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg/BW were effective on *Haemonchus contortus*, *Oesphagostomum columbianum*, *Bunostomum phlebotomum* and *Thalazia* species (Adams, 1995; Campbell and Benz, 1984; Egerton *et al.*, 1988; Garg *et al.*, 2007).

CONCLUSION

In this study, results demonstrate that genesis Ivermectin pour-on has high effect on gastrointestinal nematodes and use of this drug to controlling and prevention of parasitic infections is recommended.

REFERENCES

- Adams, H.R., 1995. Ivermectin. In: Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Adams, H.R. (Ed.). 7th Edn., Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA., USA., pp: 916- 930.
- Albonico, M., D.W. Crompton and L. Savioli, 1999. Control strategies for human intestinal nematode infections. *Adv. Parasitol.*, 42: 277-341.
- Brandtzaeg, P., 1998. Development and basic mechanisms of human gut immunity. *Nutr. Rev.*, 56: S5-18.
- Bundy, R.E., J.S. Owen, V.L. Lima and E.M. Chaves, 1996. Trypanolytic activity *in vivo* of plasma from patients with schistosomiasis against the African trypanosome, *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 24: 439S-439S.
- Campbell, W.C. and G.W. Benz, 1984. Ivermectin: A review of efficacy and safety. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 7: 1-16.
- Ceylan, E., C. Ragbetli and P. Tanritanir, 2010. Evaluating the effect of the treatment of doramectin on some biochemical parameters in goats naturally infected with gastrointestinal nematodes. *Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, 5: 162-168.
- Chaudary, F.R., M.F.U. Khan and M. Qayyum, 2007. Prevalence of *Haemonchus contortus* in naturally infected small ruminants grazing in the Photohar area of Pakistan. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 27: 73-79.
- Coop, R.L. and I. Kyriazakis, 1999. Nutrition-parasite interaction. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 84: 187-204.
- Coop, R.L. and P.H. Holmes, 1996. Nutrition and parasite interaction. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 26: 951-962.
- Egerton, J.R., D. Suhayda and C.H. Eary, 1988. Laboratory selection of *Haemonchus contortus* for resistance to ivermectin. *J. Parasitol.*, 74: 614-617.
- Ernst, P.B., F. Song, G.R. Klimpel, H. Haeberle and K.B. Bamford *et al.*, 1999. Regulation of the mucosal immune response. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 60: 2-9.
- Garg, R., R.R. Kumar, C.L. Yadav and P.S. Banerjee, 2007. Duration of anthelmintic effect of three formulations of ivermectin (Oral, Injectable and Pour-on) against multiple anthelmintic-resistant *Haemonchus contortus* in sheep. *Vet. Res. Commun.*, 31: 749-755.
- Gomez-Munoz, M.T., A. Canals-Caballero, S. Almeria, P. Pasquali, D.S. Zarlenga and L.C. Gasbarre, 2004. Inhibition of bovine T lymphocyte responses by extracts of the stomach worm *Ostertagia ostertagi*. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 120: 199-214.
- Hall, A. and M.S. Chan, 1994. Intestinal worms: Strategies to control disease. *Afr. Health*, 17: 23-26.
- Howlader, M.M.R., A.T.M. Mahbub-e-Elahi, S. Habib, M.J.U. Bhuyan, M.A.B. Siddique, M.A. Haye and M.G. Hossain, 2002. Gastro-intestinal nematode infestations in the black Bengal goats of Sirajgong district of Bangladesh. *J. Biological Sci.*, 2: 556-557.
- Khan, M.N., C.S. Hayat, A.H. Chaudhry, Z. Iqbal and B. Hayat, 1989. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in sheep and goats at Faisalabad abattoir. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 9: 159-161.
- Koski, K.G. and M.E. Scott, 2001. Gastrointestinal nematodes, nutrition and immunity: Breaking the negative spiral. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.*, 21: 297-321.
- Pelletier, D.L., 1994. The potentiating effects of malnutrition on child mortality: Epidemiologic evidence and policy implications. *Nutr. Rev.*, 52: 409-415.
- Ragbetli, C., E. Ceylan and P. Tanritanir, 2009. The efficacy of moxidectin against gastrointestinal nematode infections in goats. *Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, 4: 134-138.
- Snider, T.G. 3rd, J.C. Williams, P.A. Karns, T.L. Romaine, H.E. Trammel and M.T. Kearney, 1986. Immunosuppression of lymphocyte blastogenesis in cattle infected with *Ostertagia ostertagi* and/or *Trichostrongylus axei*. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.*, 11: 251-264.
- Stephenson, L.S. and C. Holland, 1987. The Impact of Helminth Infections on Human Nutrition: Schistosomes and Soil-Transmitted Helminths. Taylor and Francis, London, UK., ISBN-13: 9780850663679, Pages: 233.

- Tembely, S., A. Lahlou-kassi, J.E.O. Rege, S. Sovani, M.L. Diedhiou and R.L. Baker, 1997. The epidemiology of nematode infections in sheep in a cool tropical environment. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 70: 129-141.
- Uribe, L.F., P.F. McMullin, L.G. Cramer and N.K. Amaral, 1989. Topically applied ivermectin: Efficacy against torsalo (Diptera: Cuterebridae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 82: 847-849.
- Van Houtert, M.F. and A.R. Sykes, 1996. Implications of nutrition for the ability of ruminants to withstand gastrointestinal nematode infections. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 26: 1151-1167.
- WHO, 1992. Health of schoolchildrens treatment of intestinal helminths and schistosomiasis. WHO/CDC/IPI/CTD/92.1 WHO, Geneva.
- Waller, P.J., L. Rudby-Martin, B.L. Ljungstrom and A. Rydzik, 2004. The epidemiology of abomasal nematodes of sheep in Sweden, with particular reference to over-winter survival strategies. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 122: 207-220.
- Wiggin, C.J. and H.C. Gibbs, 1990. Adverse immune reactions and the pathogenesis of *Ostertagia ostertagi* infections in calves. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 51: 825-832.