Impacts of Chicken Manure and Irrigation on Base Cation Input-Output Budgets in a Vegetable Production System
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Abstract: Base cations input-output budgets are usually considered as important indicators for the sustainability of agricultural production systems. In vegetable production systems, excessive inputs of manure and irrigation water may disturb the base cations budgets. A case study on the base cation input-output budgets of greenhouse was carried out in Shouguang, a typical greenhouse vegetable production region in Northern China. From Feb, 2009 to Jan, 2010, researchers evaluated base cation input-output budgets and identified the most important input and output pathways of base cations under three urea management levels. The balances of base cations showed net surpluses of 5.2-34.1 kmol 1/2 Ca**, 25.2-30.0 kmol 1/2 Mg**, 12.0-15.9 kmol K** and 22.1-25.1 kmol Na**/ha/year under different Nitrogen (N) levels. Different N levels did not produce significantly different effects on the 'budgets' of base cations. The major contributor to Ca**, Mg** and Na** surpluses was irrigation water whereas the main contributor to K** surplus was the overuse of potassium (K) fertilizer. Chicken manure also was an important contributor to Ca**, Mg** and K** surpluses but not to Na** surplus. The surpluses have changed the composition of soil exchangeable base cations and pose a potential threat to soil quality and crop growth. Furthermore, surplus K in soil can be lost through leaching which is a waste of resources.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to the high market and nutritional values of vegetables, the area devoted to vegetable crops is increasing yearly in China. Specifically, the greenhouse vegetable area has reached 3.3 million ha (Zhang et al., 2010). Compared with other croplands, vegetable fields are usually treated with larger inputs of nutrients and irrigation water. For instance in Shouguang, one of the most famous vegetable production areas in China, total application rates of air-dried chicken manure on greenhouse vegetables have been >20 ton/ha/year (He et al., 2009). The local irrigation input is commonly as high as 1300 mm year** as well (Zhu et al., 2005).

The excessive inputs will inevitably disturb the nutrient balance of the ecosystem and may lead to rapid accumulation of nutrients, salinity of soil, groundwater contamination etc. (Chen et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2009). In the past few years, many researchers have been committed to evaluating nutrient balance of N and P, especially for N in greenhouse vegetable systems and establishing adequate recommendation system for N application to attain high yield while to alleviate the risk of environmental pollution (He et al., 2007; Ren et al., 2010). However in fact, only assessing N, P balance and optimizing N, P management was far from adequate. If the N, P management is appropriate but other nutrients management is very improper then the production system is still not sustainable. So, it is very necessary to study the budgets of other nutrients in intensive vegetable cropping system.

To make the research more efficient, researchers chose base cations to study. In general, base cations including calcium (Ca**), magnesium (Mg**), potassium (K**) and sodium (Na**) are typically absorbed to soil particles and can be taken up by plant roots for use as nutrients important for plant growth (Brady and Weil, 1996; Lovblad et al., 2004). Furthermore, they are closely correlated with the chemical properties, condition and quality of soil such as CEC, moisture and soil salinity. If some of them are removed seriously from soil, plant growth will be affected (Dahlgren and Singer, 1991). In contrast if one or some of them, particularly Na** is or are accumulated in soil, it or they can interfere with the uptake of other nutrients by plants and even destroy soil structure and impede plant growth (Cramer et al., 1987;
Dantas et al., 2007). Therefore, it is very valuable to evaluate base cation input-output budgets in intensive vegetable cropping systems which is closely related to the sustainability of vegetable production. So far, data on base cation input-output budgets in greenhouse vegetable cropping systems are lacking. The objectives of this study were:

- To estimate the effects of chicken manure and irrigation on Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> input-output budgets under different N managements
- To identify the most important input and output pathways of base cations as well as to assess the distribution of base cations in plants
- To evaluate the potential impacts of current nutrient management on soil quality and plant growth and to develop suggestions for further nutrient management

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental site:** The study was conducted at Luojiang village (36°55′N, 118°45′E), Shouchuang county, Shandong province. The selected greenhouse (84×8.5 m) in this study has a 10 years cropping history of continuous tomato production with two crops per year. The average soil pH (0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>) values under different treatments were 7.3 and 7.4, CEC 18.5 and 17.1 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> at 0-30 and 30-60 cm depth, respectively in January, 2010. About 0-30 cm soil layers had 460 g sand kg<sup>-1</sup>, 520 g silt kg<sup>-1</sup> and 20 g clay kg<sup>-1</sup>; 30-60 cm layers had 370 g sand kg<sup>-1</sup>, 600 g silt kg<sup>-1</sup> and 30 g clay kg<sup>-1</sup> (Ren et al., 2010).

**Crop management and field treatment:** For this study, the entire observation period of base cation budgets started from 16 February, 2009 to 26 January, 2010, covering two tomato-growing seasons and a Summer fallow duration. Before transplanting tomato seedlings (Lycopersicum esculentum Mill., a vegetable of broad adaptation in the region), the fields were thoroughly filled with air-dried chicken manure and chemical fertilizer P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> K. In each season, all plots received 150 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as calcium superphosphate (12% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 28% CaO) and 520 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as potassium sulfate (50% K<sub>2</sub>O). Chemical N fertilizer (urea) was applied with irrigation according to the different crop-growth stages. Irrigation water was applied at the rates of 50 mm each time with a total annual input of 1105 mm. Three treatments with three replications were carried out in a randomized block design. CK: Neither organic manure nor chemical fertilizer N was used.

**Conventional N management (CN):** Air-dried chicken manure was broadcasted as a basal fertilizer. The application rates were 10 and 8 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> in two growing seasons, supplying 270 and 190 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. N fertilizer was side-dressed following the local conventional fertilization practices with an N side-dressing rate of 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> on each occasion (10 times year<sup>-1</sup>); recommended N management (RN): Chicken manure was applied at the same rate as that in the CN treatment and N side-dressing of rate of 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was added before fruit cluster development (8 times year<sup>-1</sup>). Each plot size was 7.8×5.6 m.

**Equipment installation and water sampling:** In each plot, a microporous ceramic suction cup was installed at 90 cm soil depth. Soil solution was extracted continuously using the suction cups every 10 days (for technical details on seepage-water collection (Maek et al., 2005). The soil solution samples were taken into plastic bottles, transported to the laboratory and stored at -20°C until analyzed. To monitor matrix potential, two tensiometers were installed at 80 and 100 cm soil depth around each suction cup with a horizontal distance of 10 cm. They were monitored every 2 days.

**Calculation for leached amount of base cations:** The amount of base cations leached at the depth of 90 cm was obtained from the equation:

\[
N = \sum c_i \Delta Q_i \quad (1)
\]

where \(c_i\) is the ion concentration either Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> or K<sup>+</sup> in the soil solution samples; the drainage component \(Q\) at 90 cm depth was calculated based on the following equation:

\[
Q = \sum j_i \Delta t_i \quad (2)
\]

where \(\Delta t_i\), the time of period (d), \(j\) (cm d<sup>-1</sup>) is volumetric flux density calculated by Darcy’s law:

\[
J_w = K \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta Z} \quad (3)
\]

The hydraulic conductivity \(K\) was estimated with the Van Genuchten (1980):

\[
K = K_s \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\alpha |\phi|}{\alpha (|\phi|)^n + 1} \right) \right]^{n/2} \quad (4)
\]

Where:

\(K_s = \) The hydraulic conductivity at saturation (\(K_s = 4.43\) cm day<sup>-1</sup>)
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m, n and α = Parameters derived from the soil moisture-characteristic (α = 46.98, n = 1.204, m = 0.196)

φ = The matric potential (cm H2O) obtained by tensiometers

**Sampling and analysis:** Soil samples were collected at 0-30 cm soil depth in early December, 2006 and the end of January, 2010. Soil exchangeable Ca, Mg, K and Na were extracted using 0.1 M BaCl2 (Hendershot et al., 2006). Base cations in soil and soil solution were analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima3300DV, Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, Mass., USA). Tomato fruits were picked up at each harvest event and the leaves of tomato were collected in crop growing seasons from all plots. The roots and stems were taken at the end of harvesting. Plants samples were divided into fruits, leaves, roots and stems and weighed before and after drying at 70°C for 48 h. Finally, plant samples and air-dried chicken manure were dry ashed at 500°C for 6 h in a furnace and extracted using 10% HCl in order to determine the contents of Ca, Mg, K and Na (Jones Jr. and Case, 1990; Agbede et al., 2008).

**Statistical analysis:** Data analysis such as analysis of variance and the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at the 0.05 level were performed by SPSS 11.5 software. Results were expressed as means±SD (Standard Deviation).

**RESULTS**

**Uptake and removal of base cations by the different parts of tomato plants:** As shown in Table 1, there were considerable differences in amounts of absorption and removal of base cations of different parts of plants. Leaves absorbed the maximum amounts of Ca**, Mg**, K** and Na**. In the base cations absorbed by leaves, the amounts of Ca** were the highest ranging from 19.07-23.54 kmol/ha/year. Roots absorbed and removed the least amounts of Ca**, Mg**, K** and Na** which may be because roots cannot be removed completely out of the soil after the harvest, besides their own needs for base cations were not high. The amounts of Ca**, Mg**, K** and Na** absorbed by stems were higher than those by fruits with the exception that fruits absorbed more K**.

**Soil Ca**** input-output budget:** According to Fig. 1, the total input of Ca** via irrigation water was the highest (35.4 kmol/ha/year) followed by the input from chicken manure and fertilizer P. It should be noted that input of Ca** from chicken manure was especially high (32.0 kmol/ha/year). The reason is that the calcium mainly comes from the added lime for local farmers usually add lime to chicken manure to remove the unpleasant smell. With regard to outputs of Ca**, the main output pathway was Ca** leaching rather than the uptake and removal of Ca** by plants. The application of different N rates did not have a significant impact on either Ca** leaching or uptake and removal of Ca** by plants. Similarly, the leaching and uptake of Mg**, K** and Na** were not significant affected by the application of different N rates as well (Fig. 1-4). The net balances of Ca** in the CK, RN and CN treatments
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CK, RN and CN represent no N treatment, recommended N management and conventional N management, respectively. *Date are mean±SD

![Fig. 1: Soil Ca** input-output budget under different N treatments.](image-url)

IW: Irrigation Water; CM: Chicken Manure. Net Ca** surplus was the amount of net Ca** inputs from irrigation water, manure and P fertilizer minus the amount of loss from plant uptake of Ca** and leaching. CK, RN and CN represent no N treatment, recommended N management and conventional N management, respectively. Means indicated by same letter are not significant different, ANOVA (p<0.05) LSD 0.05
Fig. 2: Soil Mg\(^{2+}\) input-output budget under different N treatments. IW: Irrigation Water; CM: Chicken Manure. Net Mg\(^{2+}\) surplus was the amount of net Mg\(^{2+}\) inputs from irrigation water and manure minus the amount of loss from plant uptake of Mg\(^{2+}\) and leaching. CK, RN and CN represent no N treatment, recommended N management and conventional N management, respectively. Means indicated by same letter are not significant different, ANOVA (p<0.05) LSD 0.05.

Fig. 3: Soil K\(^+\) input-output budget under different N treatments. IW: Irrigation Water; CM: Chicken Manure. Net K\(^+\) surplus was the amount of net K\(^+\) inputs from irrigation water, manure and K fertilizer minus the amount of loss from plant uptake of K\(^+\) and leaching. CK, RN and CN represent no N treatment, recommended N management and conventional N management respectively. Means indicated by same letter are not significant different, ANOVA (p<0.05) LSD 0.05.

were 5.2, 34.1 and 35.4 kmol/ha/year, respectively which indicated net accumulations of Ca\(^{2+}\) in soil.

Soil Mg\(^{2+}\) input-output budget: Irrigation water was the major contributor to soil Mg\(^{2+}\) input (Fig. 2). Irrigation water contributed 77.4 kmol Mg\(^{2+}\)/ha/year which was 7 times more than the amount of Mg\(^{2+}\) supplied by chicken manure. Like Ca\(^{2+}\), leaching loss was still the major output pathway under all N treatments (Fig. 2). Compared with leaching losses, the amounts of plants Mg\(^{2+}\) absorption were little, varying from 9.4-10.4 kmol/ha/year. Overall, the net balances in CK, RN and CN treatment were 25.2, 30.0 and 29.4 kmol/ha/year, respectively.

Soil K\(^+\) input-output budget: Unlike Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\), the main K\(^+\) input pathway was application of chemical fertilizer rather than input via irrigation water whereas the main output pathway was plants’ absorption rather than leaching loss (Fig. 3).

K\(^+\) input from organic fertilizer was about one fourth that from the chemical fertilizer and slightly less than that from irrigation water.

As expected, tomato plants needed a lot of K\(^+\) to maintain growth. Only depending on inputs from irrigation and organic fertilizer is difficult to meet tomato crop’s K needs. But intensified application of K fertilizer can also lead to K accumulation and even leaching. The net budgets of K\(^+\) were as high as 12.0, 15.7 and 15.9 kmol/ha/year in CK, RN and CN treatments, respectively. Moreover, the leaching loss has occurred which ranged from 2.7-3.1 kmol/ha/year in the treatments (Fig. 3).
**Soil Na⁺ input-output budget:** Similar to the Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, irrigation water is one of the major sources of soil Na⁺ (Fig. 4). Compared with the Na⁺ from chicken manure, the Na⁺ input was lower. In addition, leaching was the major output pathway for Na⁺. In contrast, the amounts of Na⁺ absorbed and removed by plants were much lower, ranging from 1.8-2.1 kmol/ha/year. The net budget of Na⁺ were 25.1, 23.8 and 22.1 kmol/ha/year in CK, RN and CN treatments, respectively.

**Soil exchangeable base cations:** There were some alterations of soil exchangeable base cations (0-30 cm) between 2006 and 2010. Soil exchangeable Na⁺ had increased (by 0.28-0.65) significantly during the period in all treatments. Soil exchangeable K⁺ (by 0.95-1.88) also had increased significantly in RN and CN treatments (Fig. 5) whereas the increases of soil exchangeable Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ were not significant.

**DISCUSSION**

**N management and soil base cations input-output budget:** N fertilizer application can influence base cations input-output budget to some extent by affecting leaching and uptake of base cations. An adequate supply of N can promote the absorption of base cations through improving biomass production. However, too much N fertilizer application can also cause the accumulation of ammonium which inhibit the uptake of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ through competition for root absorption sites (Ruan et al., 2007). But the present study indicated that different N application rates did not produce significantly different effects on the uptake and removal of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ in plants (Fig. 1-4). Hence results are not in accordance with Sanchez-Chavez et al. (2010) who mentioned that with increase of N (NH₄NO₃) dose in nutrient solution, the level of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and K⁺ in roots and leaves of green bean plants firstly rose and then drastically diminished. The reason was probably caused by different forms or rates of N fertilizer or differences between field and laboratory conditions. With regard to leaching of base cations, high concentrations of NH₄⁺ which can displace Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ at the soil sites and hence increase the leaching of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺. On the other side, NH₄⁺ can convert NO₃⁻ by the process of nitrification in which H⁺ was generated and can also displace exchangeable cations and accelerate leaching losses of cations (Tokuchi et al., 1993). However in the study N application rates increased, the amount of base cation leached did not significantly increase. These results are in contrast with those of Yanai et al. (1998) in which (NH₄)₂SO₄, had the potential to increase the leaching of cations. There are two possible explanations for these results. First, as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ balance showed a lot of surpluses and their content in soil solution was high, the competition ability of NH₄⁺ to displace Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ may be alleviated at the soil sites. Furthermore, under soil pH 7.3-7.4 conditions, H⁺ produced by nitrification was consumed quickly and may not be able to displaced Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺.

**Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ budgets and the potential impacts of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ accumulation on plant growth and soil quality:** Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ play an important role in tomato growth and fruit quality (Caines and Sherman, 1999; Paiva et al., 1998). Throughout the entire growing season, tomato plants, especially their leaves require large amounts of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Table 1, Fig. 1 and 2). Thus, it is necessary to maintain certain levels of soil Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ for plant growth. However, if the levels are too high, nutrient uptake will be negatively affected. For one thing, high concentrations of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ may induce deficiency of K⁺ in plants, due to the competition between plant available Mg, Ca and K ions (Marschner, 1995). For another, excess Ca and Mg ions can react with phosphate to produce insoluble P-precipitates (House, 1999) which are less available to the plant. Besides affecting plant nutrient uptake, high levels of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in soil also have an impact on some soil properties such as soil salinity.

In the current study, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ balances in all treatments showed very large surpluses (average 24.9
Soil K' budget and the potential impact of K' accumulation on soil quality and plant growth: The tomato plant needs a considerable amount of potassium to grow and to produce fruit (Besford, 1975). Actually only fruits can absorb and remove 5.61-6.27 kmol K/ha/year in different treatments which were 5-25 times greater than other cations (Table 1). This indicated that K supply is extremely important for tomato yield. Furthermore, potassium nutrition was studied in relation to the quality of tomato fruit, pest and disease resistance and even plant tolerance to environmental stress (Cakmak, 2005; Amtmann et al., 2008). For example, application of K fertilizer can considerably enhance the adverse effects of salinity to tomatoes under saline stress (Lopez and Satti, 1996). Therefore, maintaining adequate K supply is vital to soil. K is usually thought to be abundant in many soils. But the plant-available K is not high and hardly meets the considerable requirement of plant for potassium (Tisdale et al., 1985). Once the soil has high contents of Ca" and Mg", potassium deficiency will become more severe due to the competition among them (Marschner, 1995). Therefore, the addition of K fertilizer is an effective way to improve K supplying ability of the soil. Farmers used to undervalue the effect of K fertilizer, compared with N and P fertilizers. For example, potassium deficiency occurred in half of the surveyed fields of greenhouse-grown tomato from 1996-2000 in Beijing (Chen et al., 2004). However in recent years, farmers have become increasingly aware of the importance of potassium for healthy crop production. For instance, the application rate of K rapidly increased from 972 in 1997-1685 in 2004 kg ha" in Shouguang (Liu et al., 2008). In the present study, at the rate of 1086 kg K/ha/year, there were 12.0-15.9 kmol K/ha/year surpluses. Moreover, the significant increase of soil exchangeable K' in RN and CN treatments also confirmed the result (Fig. 5). It can be speculated that in Shouguang the input of K fertilizer is excessive which can result in K accumulation in greenhouse soil. A too high K content in soil can depress Mg uptake for K and Mg antagonism (Marschner, 1995). In fact in cucumber cropping systems of the region with a higher rate of K, typical magnesium deficiency symptoms in leaves were visible. This is in line with the report of Romheld and Kirkby (2010). Furthermore, excessive K in soil was lost through leaching which is a waste of resources (Fig. 3). Therefore, future research should focus on optimizing K fertilizer rates and maintaining a sufficient K supply in the soil to match crop K demand, minimize K losses and alleviate its effect on Mg uptake. The soil fertilization combined with foliar feeding may be a good attempt.

Soil Na' budget and the potential impact of Na' accumulation on soil quality and plant growth: Na is not considered an essential element for all higher plants with the exception of certain types of C4 plants (Subbarao et al., 2002). However, some studies refer to it as a functional nutrient in plant, for it may promote maximal biomass production or replace K in metabolic function (Subbarao et al., 2002). Anyway, the Na demand of tomato crop is less than other cations (Table 1, Fig. 4). In this study, net surpluses of Na' in soil ranged from 22.1-25.1 kmol/ha/year, 10 folds more than the amount of crop Na' demand (Fig. 4). Irrigation water was the major contributor to the net surpluses.

In general most sodium salt is soluble which can leach easily and will not accumulate in soil. However, greenhouse cultivation systems are semi-closed systems covered by polyethylene plastic sheets which basically cannot receive natural rainfall and have no natural leaching process. In the micro-climate, leaching is insufficient and water is removed from soil mainly through soil evaporation and plant transpiration. The soluble salt containing sodium ions in soil water will be left and accumulate in the surface. The accumulation of Na' in soil will affect plant growth. As mentioned above, there are competitive interactions between plant available Mg, Ca and K ions. Likewise, the competitive relationship exists between Mg, Ca, K and Na ions (Cramer et al., 1987; Adams and Ho, 1995). Therefore, the accumulation of Na' will disrupt uptake of Mg, Ca and K ions and may become toxic especially for K' due to similar chemical properties (Marschner, 1995).

Furthermore, the accumulation of Na ions in soil can have a negative effect on some soil properties. In the present study, soil exchangeable Na' in all treatments had increased significantly throughout 4 years (Fig. 5). If Na ions continue to accumulate in soil, soil structure and permeability will be affected and ever destroyed to some extent. This would pose a threat to the sustainability of
vegetable production. To prevent Na problems, it is necessary to peel off the plastic film of greenhouse during the rainy fallow period in order to get natural rainfall and promote the soluble salt leaching. In addition, it is also an effective way to greatly increase the amount of irrigation water with low Na only in proper time so as to wash the excess salt out of soil.

CONCLUSION

In high-input vegetable production systems, all base cation balances showed a lot of surpluses which pose a potential threat to the sustainability of vegetable production. The major contributor to Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> surpluses was irrigation water whereas the main contributor to K<sup>+</sup> surplus was the overuse of K fertilizer. The changes of N management levels did not significantly affect the budgets of base cations. Therefore in order to ensure sustainable vegetable production, only depending on effective management of N is far from enough. How to integrate managements of N and other nutrients as well as irrigation management should become one of the key points for future work.
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