

Quality of Chicken Manure as Cattle Feed and its Effect on Composition of Cow's Milk and Blood Serum in a Dry Tropical Pastoral System

¹R. Pinto-Ruiz, ¹E. Alfonso-Ruiz, ¹H. Gomez-Castro, ¹F. Guevara-Hernandez,
²B. Ruiz-Sesma and ³J.A. Jimenez-Trujillo

¹Facultad de Ciencias Agronomicas, Universidad Autonoma de Chiapas, Villaflores, Chiapas, Mexico

²Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autonoma de Chiapas,
Tuxtla, Gutierrez, Chiapas, Mexico

³Centro Academico Regional de la Universidad Autonoma Agraria Antonio Narro,
Cintalapa, Chiapas, Mexico

Abstract: In order to evaluate the quality of chicken manure as animal feed and its effect on chemical and mineral composition of milk as well as on mineral composition of blood serum of dairy cows grazing in dry tropical conditions, 18 dairy cows of similar weight and number of births were studied. The cows were divided into two treatments: T1 = Only grazed and T2 = Grazed+supplemented *ad libitum* with chicken manure. Representative samples of chicken manure were analyzed for chemical and mineral content. In order to evaluate the effect of chicken manure on cattle when used as feed, milk and blood samples were taken every 15 days following a 30 days adaptation period. The milk's chemical composition was characterized using the following variables; urea, casein, fats, protein, lactose, total solids, non-fatty solids, cooling point, density and acidity, all of which were determined with the automated equipment Milko Scan ST-170. Mineral concentration of milk and blood serum was compared by examining values for Ca, Mg, Na, K, P, Cu, Fe and Zn with a Perkin-Elmer 2380 atomic absorption spectrophotometer using the Flame Emission method. In the case of Phosphorous (P), a colorimeter was used with the ammonium vanadate procedure. Results indicated the efficacy of chicken manure as a source of protein and minerals and statistical analysis revealed differences ($p < 0.05$) in concentration of urea (3.4 vs. 4.6 mg/100 mL), fats (3.47 vs. 2.24%), total solids (12.23 vs. 11.09%), K (1.53 vs. 2.24 g kg⁻¹) and Zn (3.72 vs. 5.22 ppm) in milk produced and in P (0.114 vs. 0.082%) in blood serum between T1 and T2, respectively.

Key words: Supplements, tropics, dual purpose cattle, chicken, manure, Mexico

INTRODUCTION

In cattle raising regions of the state of Chiapas in Southern Mexico, chicken manure is the principal ingredient used in supplements for grazing cattle (Chang, 2009). Such use of chicken manure is a viable option given that it is widely available in the state as one of the country's largest poultry industries has its headquarters in Chiapas. Furthermore, chicken manure is the cheapest feed supplement per unit of nutrient and local farmers consider it to be the most important resource for preventing nitrogen deficiencies in ruminants, above all during the region's critical dry season.

In general, information regarding cattle shows that chicken manure may be used as a supplement for correcting protein or mineral deficiencies in grass or other fibrous plants in the animals' diet. Whether used as part

of the basic diet or as a supplement, results have confirmed the benefits of chicken manure in cattle nutrition and production systems (Alvarez and Combellas, 2003). Nevertheless, quality of chicken manure is altered by many factors, making its' nutritional value highly variable (Alvarez, 2001). Therefore, it is recommended that manure be evaluated prior to use in order to guarantee a more effective feeding strategy.

In Chiapas, chicken manure is included in the diets of most ruminants raised for meat but above all in those raised for milk. However in the majority of cases, this feed resource is managed incorrectly, given that farmers are generally unaware of its chemical composition and in many cases it is offered *ad libitum* without awareness of potentially harmful effects to the animal (Chang, 2009). Nevertheless, few studies report scientific data regarding chemical composition of this feed resource and even

fewer report repercussions of dairy cattle consuming chicken manure in large quantities, despite the suspicion (which has not been scientifically demonstrated) that possibly toxic elements in chicken manure may contaminate milk, affecting the quality of dairy products made from this milk. Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify these potentially toxic elements due to their possible impact on animal and human health.

With this in mind, the objective of this study was to characterize the quality of chicken manure produced in Chiapas and identify the effects of its use as a supplement used *ad libitum* on the characteristics of milk and blood serum of cows grazed in the dry tropics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in the Frailesca region the central valleys of the state of Chiapas, Mexico. This region is located between 16°0' and 16°30'N latitude and 93°0' and 93°30'W longitude. Climate is predominantly warm sub-humid with summer rains, corresponding to the Aw climate in the Koppen climatic classification as modified by Garcia. The region is characterized by rain from May to October with a mean annual precipitation of 1,100 mm and a dry season from January to April. Mean annual temperature is 25°C with a minimum of 18°C and a maximum of 27°C.

In order to carry out a nutritional characterization of the chicken manure, sampling was carried out in 19 chicken farms located in the study region which belong to the largest poultry company in Chiapas. These farms constitute 15% of the farms owned by this company. All farms sampled used the same management practices with respect to the chickens (breed, sanitary program and feeding program), physical plant and equipment and handling of manure. Samples were taken throughout the dry season, just before the chickens were to be sold. Composite samples were obtained by duplicate and analyzed in order to determine content of Raw Protein (RP) and Organic Matter (OM) (AOAC, 1990). Fractions of Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) were analyzed according to the technique of Van Soest *et al.* (1991). In order to measure ruminal Degradation of Dry Matter (DMD), the plastic bag technique was used (Orskov and McDonald, 1979). Disappearance of dry matter was expressed as a percentage and estimated according to the difference between existing quantity of dry matter incubated minus quantity of residual matter. Content of Calcium (Ca), potassium (K), sodium (Na), Magnesium (Mg), Manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) were determined using an atomic absorption

spectrophotometer by the Flame Emission method (Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1987). Phosphorus (P) was estimated by a colorimeter using the ammonium vanadate procedure. Chemical component data was analyzed using descriptive statistics (Senecore and Cochran, 1981). In order to evaluate the effect of supplementing the diet of dairy cattle with chicken manure, the researchers used a 19 ha pasture established with a monoculture of African Star grass (*Cynodon plectostachyus*) in soil classified as Haplic Feozem.

The study was carried out during the dry season (January to April) using 18 cows which were a Swiss American-Holstein cross with an average live weight of 420 (± 25) kg and an average age of 4 (± 0.9) years, all in lactation with 2 or 3 months old calves which were a product of their first or second birth.

Cows were randomly selected and divided into two treatments with nine animals each with each cow representing the experimental unit. Treatments (T) evaluated were; T1 = Only grazing and T2 = Grazing+ chicken manure provided *ad libitum*. Prior to the experiment, the cows of both treatments were submitted to a 4 months detoxification period consisting of continuous grazing with the goal of eliminating possible effects of chicken manure in animals which may have consumed manure previous to the study. Posteriorly, T2 animals were submitted to a 20 days period of adaptation to consumption of chicken manure.

At the beginning of the experiment, all cows were externally deparasited with Amidin; this was repeated every 15 days. They were internally deparasited with Ivermectin at a dosage of 1 mL/50 kg LW/animal. Furthermore, they were vaccinated against the region's most common diseases: Derriengue (using Cepa Roxane at a dosage of 2 mL/animal) and Pasteurella, symptomatic carbon and malignant Edema (using triple bacterine at a dosage of 5 mL/animal).

During the experimental period, all animals remained under pasture under a system of rotational grazing, controlled for carrying capacity by using electric fences. Each treatment grazed in a different group of pastures. In the areas assigned to T2 animals, chicken manure was continually placed in feeders to which the animals had free access and consumption was recorded. All cows were milked by hand from 6:00-8:30 a.m. with the presence of the calf as is the typical practice in the study region.

Samples of milk (100 mL) and blood (5 mL) were taken from each animals monthly. Milk samples were collected at 7:30 a.m. and deposited in 100 mL plastic containers previously washed with deionized water. Blood samples were obtained by piercing the animal's jugular vein using 5 mL vacutainer tubes with an anticoagulant (heparin) in

order to isolate the blood serum. Variables evaluated for milk samples were urea, casein, protein, fats, lactose, total solids, density, non-fatty solids, cooling point and acidity, all of which were determined with the previously standardized automated equipment Milko Scan FT2. Minerals analyzed in the milk and blood serum were Ca, Na, K, Mg, Cu, Fe and Zn, using the Flame Emission method (Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1987) while Phosphorus (P) was estimated using a Colorimeter with the ammonium vanadate procedures. Results were analyzed using the Student t-test for independent samples with the SAS, statistical package (SAS, 1988).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cows' levels of consumption of chicken manure when offered *ad libitum* was on average 6.9 kg/cow/day throughout the experiment.

Chemical composition of chicken manure: Table 1 shows the chemical composition of chicken manure evaluated in this study. In general, values were within the ranges reported by several other studies (Martin *et al.*, 2000; Gerig *et al.*, 2000; Tobia and Vargas, 2001; Morales *et al.*, 2002; Rios *et al.*, 2005). High values for RP (29.78%) are characteristic of chicken manure which may be offered in order to cover protein requirements for ruminants. Regarding OM, values <80% indicate less energy and protein, the most costly and critical aspects of ruminant feeding (Morales *et al.*, 2002).

Low values for fiber fractions compared to those reported by other studies (24.6% for ADF according to Deshck *et al.* (1998) and 33.7% for NDF according to Brosh *et al.* (1993) could be explained by the fact that the

farms where the manure is produced do not use poultry litter, keeping in mind that type of litter affects nutrient content of chicken manure, above all protein and fiber levels (Fontenot *et al.*, 1983). Values for DMD *in situ* at two schedules of ruminal degradation (24 and 48 h) reinforces the fact since a strict relation has been reported between low fiber values and high ruminal degradation values. Values reported are high (85.81 and 89.06% at 24 and 48 h, respectively) in comparison with values reported by Morales *et al.* (2002) (67%), indicating high nutrient contribution of chicken manure to the animal's rumen. In general, manure evaluated may be considered to be high quality, since its RP level is close to 30% and that of OM is close to 80% which may suggest a metabolic energy content >2.0 Mcal kg⁻¹ (Morales *et al.*, 2002). Table 2 shows mineral composition of chicken manure evaluated in this study. In general, manure showed high mineral values, sufficient to replace the usual mineral supplements in animal feeding (Rios *et al.*, 2005), since when freely consumed, chicken manure may potentially cover 100% of daily requirements of the majority of macro and micro-minerals (Pinto, 1995). Data confirm that chicken manure is especially rich Ca (Mendez *et al.*, 2004) and provides a high level of available phosphorous (Pacheco *et al.*, 2003). It is also very high in K, Fe, Zn and Mn while values for Na and Mg are within the average range reported by the literature (Garcia, 1993). While chicken manure is generally characterized by its high content of Cu which may provoke toxicity, this study reported lower Cu values (63.49 ppm) than the average values reported for chicken manure produced in the Mexican states of Yucatan (Moguel *et al.*, 1994) and Morelos and Veracruz (Aguilar *et al.*, 1987). This could be due to the fact that the Chiapas farms monitor use of

Table 1: Chemical composition and ruminal degradation (% dry base) of chicken manure used as a supplement in grazing dairy cattle in the dry tropics

Chemical component	Mean	Standard deviation	Variation coefficient	Minimum	Maximum
Raw protein	29.78	1.88	6.31	27.65	32.00
Organic matter	81.74	1.28	1.57	80.34	84.54
Neutral detergent fiber	21.64	3.32	15.34	16.00	28.60
Acid detergent fiber	9.76	2.43	24.90	5.00	14.40
Ruminal degradation at 24 h	85.81	1.69	1.97	84.14	88.36
Ruminal degradation at 48 h	89.06	1.80	2.02	87.28	91.78

Table 2: Mineral composition (dry base) of chicken manure used as a supplement in grazing dairy cattle in the dry tropics

Mineral	Mean	Standard deviation	Variation coefficient	Minimum	Maximum
Calcium (%)	2.69	0.31	11.52	2.32	3.26
Phosphorus (%)	1.44	0.09	6.25	1.27	1.55
Magnesium (%)	0.59	0.06	10.17	0.51	0.69
Potassium (%)	3.02	0.26	8.61	2.52	3.26
Sodium (%)	0.62	0.05	8.06	0.51	0.67
Manganese (ppm)	649.43	64.13	9.87	558.62	769.17
Copper (ppm)	63.49	14.31	22.54	40.66	97.74
Iron (ppm)	1531.08	82.45	5.39	1432.95	1727.73
Zinc (ppm)	516.65	48.76	9.44	463.03	611.20

Table 3: Mineral composition of blood serum in grazing cows which receive or do not receive supplements of chicken manure in the dry tropics

Minerals	T1	T2	p-values
Ca (mg dL ⁻¹)	9.00	9.66	NS
P (mg dL ⁻¹)	7.90	10.50	0.001
Mg (mg dL ⁻¹)	2.00	2.00	NS
Na (mg dL ⁻¹)	300.66	312.00	NS
K (mg dL ⁻¹)	28.00	30.66	NS
Cu (µg dL ⁻¹)	0.66	0.74	NS
Fe (µg dL ⁻¹)	4.34	7.40	NS
Zn (µg dL ⁻¹)	1.24	1.24	NS
Ca:P relation	1.1:1	0.9:1	-

T1 = Non-supplemented cows; T2 = Cows supplemented *ad libitum* with chicken manure; P = Probability; NS = Not Significant

copper sulfate in chicken feed and water during the dry season when this study was carried out (Pacheco *et al.*, 2003). Fe and Zn values were much higher than those reported by Pacheco *et al.* (2003), Garcia (1993) and Moguel *et al.* (1994). These high levels could interfere with intestinal absorption of dietary Cu (Bremner *et al.*, 1987). This could allow for elevated levels of chicken manure to be used in the region for prolonged periods without apparent problems for the dairy cattle (Chang, 2009).

Effect of chicken manure used as a dietary supplement in cows on mineral composition of their blood serum: Mineral composition of blood serum did not show significant differences between treatments with the exception of phosphorous whose value in T2 (10.50 mg dL⁻¹) was greater (p<0.001) than that of T1 (7.9 mg dL⁻¹) (Table 3). These results appear to confirm that reported by Segura who found that P in chicken manure is available for grazing ruminants due to its chemical formula in the manure (Barnett, 1994).

Values obtained in T1 for Ca (9.00 mg dL⁻¹), P (7.90 mg dL⁻¹) and Na (300.66 mg dL⁻¹) when compared with levels considered by McDowell *et al.* (1993) and Bufforini *et al.* (2008) to be critical (Ca: 8.00-9.50 mg dL⁻¹; P: 4.00-7.55 mg dL⁻¹ and Na: 303 mg dL⁻¹) indicate that they are close to deficiency levels. T2 animals showed blood mineral values above deficiency levels (Ca: 9.6 mg dL⁻¹; P: 10.50 mg dL⁻¹ and Na: 312 mg dL⁻¹). This difference could be associated with the high values of these minerals found in the chicken manure evaluated (Table 2).

Levels of Mg and K in both treatments were >1.8 and 15 mg dL⁻¹ respectively which have been suggested by McDowell *et al.* (1993) to be critical levels. With respect to micro-minerals, Cu values in both treatments (0.66 and 0.74 mg dL⁻¹ for T1 and T2, respectively) were below the critical level (McDowell *et al.*, 1993) (0.80 µg dL⁻¹) which coincides with the fact that a large percentage of animals in the region have been reported to have Cu deficiencies

Table 4: Mineral composition of milk of grazing cows which receive or do not receive supplements of chicken manure in the dry tropics

Minerals	T1	T2	p-values
Ca (g kg ⁻¹)	1.67	1.60	NS
P (g kg ⁻¹)	1.18	1.25	NS
Mg (g kg ⁻¹)	0.12	0.13	NS
Na (g kg ⁻¹)	0.61	0.65	NS
K (g kg ⁻¹)	1.53	2.24	0.002
Cu (ppm)	0.12	0.09	NS
Fe (ppm)	2.47	1.86	NS
Zn (ppm)	3.72	5.22	0.001

T1 = Non-supplemented cows; T2 = Cows supplemented *ad libitum* with chicken manure; P = Probability; NS = Not Significant

(Pinto, 1995) and that chicken manure as a dietary supplement does not improve this condition, possibly due to its low Cu content (63.49 ppm) and due to the effects of Fe and Zn, minerals found in high quantities in the manure which may interfere with intestinal absorption of Cu.

Effect of chicken manure used as a dietary supplement in cows on mineral composition of milk: With respect to minerals in the milk, values found in this study for Ca and P in both treatments (Table 4) were greater than those reported by other researchers (Taverna *et al.*, 2001), a fact which may be associated with the level of milk production and the physiological state of the animals used in this study as well as their diet. However, values for Mg, K and Na were similar in this study to those of the studies by the researchers mentioned before. Only levels of K and Zn showed statistically significant differences between treatments (p<0.002). Differences in mineral concentrations found between blood serum and the milk could be explained by the fact that the minerals pass from the blood to the milk through active transport systems.

Effect of chicken manure used as a dietary supplement in cows on chemical composition of milk: In general, data for some components of milk (protein, fats, total solids and non-fatty solids) are within ranges reported in other studies which evaluate milk quality under a variety of conditions (Hernandez *et al.*, 1998; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2002) in cows of the same genetic breed as those used in this experiment. In this study (Table 5), only levels of urea, fats and total solids showed significant differences between treatments (p<0.05). According to Pedraza *et al.* (2006) when cows are adequately fed, urea content of milk should be 15-30 mg/100 mL and the milk should have 3.2% or more protein.

Values for T1 were close to this range. Nevertheless, the value for T2 (46 mg/100 mL) is much higher than that suggested by Chapa *et al.* (2001) which could indicate an excess of degradable nitrogen in the manure and a deficiency of fermentable carbohydrates in grass which grows during the dry season.

Table 5: Chemical composition of milk from grazing cows which receive or do not receive supplements of chicken manure in the dry tropics

Milk components	T1	T2	p-values
Urea (mg/100 mL)	34.000	46.000	0.006
Casein (%)	3.030	2.730	NS
Fats (%)	3.470	2.240	0.03
Protein (%)	3.770	3.260	NS
Lactose (%)	4.420	4.400	NS
Total solids (%)	12.230	11.090	0.001
Non-fatty solids (%)	9.020	8.820	NS
Cooling point	0.580	0.540	NS
Density at 15°C (g)	1.031	1.031	NS
Acidity (g/100 mL)	0.130	0.130	NS

T1 = Non-supplemented cows; T2 = Cows supplemented *ad libitum* with chicken manure; P = Probability; NS = Not Significant

High values of urea in milk such as those found in this study could affect milk processing (Pena, 2002). In studies of grazing cows, Wittwer *et al.* (1993) found an average of 36.7±12.2 mg/100 mL values quite similar to those found for T1.

This study showed a relationship between level of protein and urea in milk; a greater level of urea has been reported for animals with levels of milk protein <3.2% and low levels of urea have been reported in animals whose protein level surpasses 3.2%, coinciding with that described by Pedraza *et al.* (2006) and Hojman *et al.* (2004). With respect to fat levels, a study (Smith and Wheelers, 1979) of dairy herds fed with chicken manure compared to the control herd (without manure) reported similar fat content (3.73 vs. 3.80%, respectively) which contrasts with that reported in this study. This may have been associated with palatability of the diets used in both studies and therefore with the consumption of chicken manure. In T2, content of fat and total solids diminished by 35.5 and 9.4%, respectively. This response may be associated with greater milk production in T2 animals which in this experiment was 1.5 times greater than that of un-supplemented cows since, milk fat generally decreases when milk production increases.

CONCLUSION

Based on results obtained in this study, the researchers may conclude that chicken manure is an important source of protein and minerals for ruminants and when offered *ad libitum* to cows, it produces changes in concentration of urea, fats, total solids, K and Zn in milk produced and P in blood serum of cows grazing during the dry season.

REFERENCES

AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC., USA., pp: 200-210.

Aguiar, J.A., M.R. Rosiles, L.R. Lopez and M. Quintero, 1987. Some macro and micro-minerals in chicken manure in the states of Morelos and Veracruz. *Vet. Mex.*, 18: 17-20.

Alvarez, R. and J. Combellas, 2003. Effect of number of lots of birds on chemical composition of poultry litter. *Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias*, 44: 59-65.

Alvarez, R., 2001. Effect of supplementing with poultry litter on productive variables of double purpose mautes and cows at pasture. Doctoral Thesis, Faculty of Agronomy, Central University of Venezuela.

Barnett, G.M., 1994. Phosphorus forms in animal manure. *Bioresour. Tech.*, 49: 139-142.

Bremner, W., R. Humphries, L.I. Phillipppo, M.J. Walker and P. Morrice, 1987. Iron introduced copper deficiency in calves: Dose response relationships and interaction with molybdenum and sulphur. *Anim. Prod.*, 48: 403-414.

Brosh, A., Z. Holzer, I. Ahorani and D. Levy, 1993. Intake, rumen volume, retention time and digestibility of diets based on poultry litter and wheat straw in beef cows before and after calving. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 121: 103-109.

Bufforini, M. A., L. Minatel and S. Cseh, 2008. Stational variation on mineral bood in two hards. AESA. INTA, Gral Villegas. Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Argentina.

Chang, G.D., 2009. Diagnostic study of use of chicken manure in cattle raising systems of central Chiapas. Undergraduate Thesis, University of Chapingo, Mexico.

Chapa, A.M., M.E. McCormick, J.M. Fernandez, D.D. French, J.D. Ward and J.F. Beatty, 2001. Supplemental dietary protein for grazing dairy cows: Reproduction, condition loss, plasma metabolites and insulin. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 84: 908-916.

Deshck, A., M. Abo-Shebada, E. Allonby, D.I. Givens and R. Hill, 1998. Assessment of the nutritive value for ruminants of poultry litter. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Tech.*, 73: 29-35.

Fontenot, J.P., L.W. Smith and A.L. Sutton, 1983. Alternative utilization of animal wastes. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 57: 221-233.

Garcia, D.J.A., 1993. Chemical composition of chicken manure. Undergraduate Thesis, Autonomous University of Chapingo, Mexico.

Gerig, N., H. Rodriguez, J. Combellas and L. Gabaldon, 2000. Influence of the level of bedding for chickens in the ration on some characteristics of ruminal digestion and weight gain of bulls in intensive fattening. *Zootecnia Trop.*, 18: 323-335.

- Gonzalez, I., J. Vega and R. Castillo, 2002. Study of physiochemical quality of whole cow's milk in a silvopastoral system. *Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst.*, 1: 25-27.
- Hernandez, D., M. Carballo and F. Reyes, 1998. Multi-associated silvopastoral system: An alternative for production of milk and meat in Cuba. Final Project report, 1998, Experimental Station of Grasses and Fodders Indio Hatuey.
- Hojman, D., O. Kroll, G. Adin, M. Gips, B. Hanochi and E. Ezra, 2004. Relationship between milk urea and production, nutrition and fertility traits in Israeli dairy herds. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 87: 1001-1011.
- Martin, S. A., M. A. McCann and D. Waltman, 2000. Microbiological survey of Georgia poultry litter. Annual Report. United States Animal Health Assoc. and American Assoc. of Laboratory Veterinary Diagnosticians. <http://japr.fass.org/cgi/content/short/7/1/90>
- McDowell, L.R., J.H. Conrad and F.G. Hembry, 1993. Minerals for Grazing Ruminants in Tropical Regions. 2nd Edn., University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- Mendez, G., L. Rios, J. de Combellas, O. Colmenares and R. Alvarez. 2004. Effect of level of chicken manure on consumption of complete diets for stabled sheep in the growth stage. *Zootecnia Trop.*, 22: 101-114.
- Moguel, O.Y.B., C.J. Canton, O.G. Sauri and R.A. Castellanos, 1994. Content of some macro and micro-minerals in chicken manure in The Yucatan. *Tec. Pecu. Mex.*, 33: 100-104.
- Morales, T.H., O.E. Gutierrez and H. Bernal, 2002. Use of high quality poultry litter improves productivity of growing cattle in intensive fattening. *Tec. Pecu. Mex.*, 40: 1-15.
- Orskov, E.R. and I. McDonald, 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 92: 499-503.
- Pacheco, J., J. Rosciano, W. Villegas, V. Alcocer and A. Castellanos, 2003. Quantification of content of copper and other minerals in chicken manure in Yucatan State. *Tec. Pecu. Mex.*, 41: 197-207.
- Pedraza, G.C., M.A. Mansilla, D.F. Merucci, P. Pinedo and H. Contreras, 2006. Levels of Lactic Urea in Cows of the Bio-Bio Region, Chile. *Agric. Tec.*, 66: 264-271.
- Pena, C.F., 2002. Importance of ureic nitrogen of milk as an index for evaluating productive and reproductive efficiency of dairy cattle. *Rev. Acovez*, 27: 14-19.
- Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1987. Analytical methods for atomic absorption spectrophotometry. USA. http://faculty.sdmiramar.edu/fgarces/labmatters/instruments/aa/AAS_Literature/AnalyticalAbsorptionMethod_AAS_PerkinElmer.PDF.
- Pinto, R.R., 1995. Mineral supplementation for grazing heifers in central Chiapas. Master Thesis, Animal Science Department, Universidad Autonoma de Chapingo, Chapingo, State of Mexico, Mexico.
- Rios, D.A.L., R. Alvarez and J. Combellas, 2005. Use of chicken manure in feeding sheep. *Zootecnia Trop.*, 23: 267-278.
- SAS., 1988. SAS/SATAT User's Guide. SAS Inst. Inc., NC, USA..
- Senecore, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1981. Statistical Methods. 7th Edn., Iowa Stat University Press, Iowa, USA.
- Smith, L.W. and E.E. Wheeler, 1979. Nutritional and economic value of animal excreta. *J. Anim Sci.*, 48: 1-144.
- Taverna, M.A., L.F. Calvino, V.R. Canavesio, L.M. Negri, R.B. Paez, V. Charlon and A.L. Cuatrin, 2001. Characterization of hygienic-sanitary quality of milk produced in the Central Dairy Basin of Argentina. *Rev. Argent. de Produccion Anim.*, 21: 270-271.
- Tobia, C. and E. Vargas, 2001. Evaluation of chicken manure for fattening in animal feeding. 1. Availability and chemical composition. *Agronomia Costarricense*, 24: 47-53.
- Van Soest, P.J, J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis, 1991. Methods for dietary fibre, neutral detergent fibre and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74: 3579-3583.
- Wittwer, F.G., H. Opitz, J. Reyes, P. Contreras and H. Bohmwald, 1993. Determination of urea in samples of milk from cattle herds for the diagnosis of nutritional unbalance. *Arch. Med. Vet.*, 25: 165-172.