Efficacy of Ivermectin Pour-on Administration Against Natural Ancylostoma caninum Infestation in Native Dogs of East-Azerbaijan Province, Iran
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Abstract: One of the real problems that cause the public health risks in small animal yearly is parasitic disease and some of these parasites are zoonoses. To overcome these problems the use of antiparasitic drugs is necessary. Ivermectin is a broad spectrum antiparasitic agent and different routes of its administration such as injection, oral and pour-on were used. The aims of the current study were evaluation of the efficacy of Ivermectin pour-on administration against natural Ancylostoma caninum infection in native dog and also determination the prevalence rate of this parasite in East-Azerbaijan province, Iran. In the present study, 60 native dogs were investigated by Egg Per Gram of Feces (EPG) feces test. Willis method was applied for feces test and Mack-Master slide method was used for counting nematode eggs. After confirming the infection with worms, Ivermectin (0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) pour-on was administrated to infected dogs. Fecal examination was repeated in 1, 7, 21 and 28 days post treatment. Results showed that total prevalence of Ancylostoma caninum infection was 28.33% in native dog of East-Azerbaijan province, Iran. Efficacy rate of Ivermectin pour-on was 21.19, 68.21, 91.24 and 98.61% in 1, 7, 21 and 28 days, respectively. In conclusion, the effect of this drug against Ancylostoma caninum resulted in reduction in egg count exceeded 98% so, this drug can be used in antiparasitic program in dog. Further investigations are necessary to evaluate the drug effect on other nematodes and parasitic infections.
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INTRODUCTION

Infections with gastrointestinal nematodes and heart worm are very common on native dog in Iran and all over the world. Parasitic infections of dogs are major factors responsible for public health losses through increased mortality and public health risk and cause zoonotic diseases in human and animals (Kozen et al., 2008; Ahmad et al., 2011; Snyder et al., 2011; Ashraf et al., 2008; Obiukwu and Onyali, 2006). Parasites cause the dogs to be unhealthy which may include the loss of weight. Due to parasitism, the animals become susceptible to other health problems which can lead to death.

Many researches for prevalence rate of gastrointestinal parasites all over the world have been reported but researches for effect of anti parasitic drugs by different administration routes is low and in Iran the study on present subject has not been done (Georgi et al., 1990; Kassai, 1999; Mandal, 2006; Soulsby, 1986). Medicine combinations such as pyrantel pamoate, nitroscanate, milbemycine, Ivermectin, selamectin, moxidectin, praziquantel, pyrantel embonate and febantel, Ivermectin and pyrantel pamoate have been used in dogs to treat gastrointestinal cestodes and nematodes in the recent years (Kozen et al., 2008). Macrocylic lactones such as avermectins and milbemycins, ascarids included as well, show a perfect anti parasitic activity against nematodes. Various formulations of these compounds are used all over the world for many animal groups such as dogs, cattle, sheep, pig and horse (Kozen et al., 2008; Snyder et al., 2011).

Ivermectin is a member of the macrocyclic lactone class of endectocides. It is labeled for the treatment of internal and external parasites in dogs, cats, horses, pigs, sheep, cattle and birds. Subcutaneous and topical formulations are available for use in animals at a dose of 0.2 and 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bodyweight, respectively. Ivermectin is a highly potent broad-spectrum anthelmintic that is widely used in different animals. It is available in injectable, oral and topical formulations for use in animals (Kozen et al., 2008; Ahmad et al., 2011; Snyder et al., 2011; Vermunt et al., 1995; Williams et al., 1997; Khayatnouri et al., 2011; Ashraf et al., 2008; Obiukwu and Onyali, 2006). The Food and Drug Administration-Center
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for Veterinary Medicine (FDA-CVM) approvals in the United States in the late 1980s and early 1990s of the macrocyclic lactones, ivermectin and milbemycin oxime and intended to be administered orally at sequential monthly intervals during the heart worm transmission season, led to substantial improvements in chemotherapy to prevent infection with *Dirofilaria immitis* (Snyder et al., 2011). Macrocyclic lactones are safe, effective and convenient drugs for prevention of heart worm diseases in virtually all dogs when used as instructed.

While 100% prevention was not obtained for either macrocyclic lactones with this recent heart worm field isolate, it should be emphasized that these products are not intended to be used for just 1 month during the heart worm transmission season (Snyder et al., 2011). The most important GI nematode and heart worm responsible for public health losses through increased mortality and public health risk and cause zoonotic diseases in human and animals (Kozen et al., 2008; Ahmad et al., 2011; Snyder et al., 2011; Ashraf et al., 2008; Obiokwu and Onyali, 2006). The objective of the present study is the evaluation of the effect of Ivermectin pour-on administration against natural *Ancylostoma caninum* nematode infections and determination of its prevalence rate in native dogs. This study is the first report in Iran.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In present study a total number of 60 native dogs to *Ancylostoma caninum* infestation, from different region of East-Azerbaijan province, Iran was subjected for fecal examination and EPG. Ivermectin was administrated to treat infected animals at a dose of 0.5 mg kg⁻¹. Also, pour-on form of 0.5% Ivermectin powder in Isopropyl alcohol was made. Before and after treatment of dogs, 3 fecal samples of each animal were taken for fecal examination and egg count was recorded. Fecal examination in days 1, 7, 21 and 28 after treatment were repeated. In the present study, Willis method for fecal examination and Mack-Master slide method for egg examination (EPG) were used (Georgi et al., 1990; Soulsby, 1986). Ivermectin efficacy was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Percentage of drug efficacy} = \frac{P - R}{P} \times 100
\]

\[ R = \text{Average number of parasite egg in g of fecal sample before treatment} \]

\[ P = \text{Average number of parasite egg in g of fecal sample after treatment} \]

Data were analyzed by non-parametric Crosscal-Wallis and p<0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of present study indicated that 17 dogs from a total of 60 were infected with *Ancylostoma caninum* with a prevalence rate of 28.33%. Average number of enumerated egg in infected non treated animals was 217. The average number of enumerated egg in fecal samples after treatment with pour on Ivermectin has been shown in Table 1. Reduction percentages in egg count after 1, 7, 21 and 28 days of treatment with Ivermectin were 21.19, 68.21, 91.24 and 98.61%, respectively (Table 1).

According to results of Crosscal-Wallis test it is possible to determine which pour on administration of Ivermectin decreases the natural infestation of dogs with *Ancylostoma caninum*. The efficacy rate of Ivermectin on this parasite is >98%. Recently, Ivermectin has different drug shapes. Half time of intra venal administration of Ivermectin with dose of 300 µg kg⁻¹ in cattle is 2.8 day but in subcutaneous administration with dose of 200 µg kg⁻¹ is 8 days and also has been shown that the effect of sustained-release administration of this drug in cattle is more than to oral and subcutaneous administration (Reinemeyer and Courtney, 2001) but in dog any research was not done. The important base in use of antiparasitic drug is the increase of contact time of drugs with parasites rather than increase the dose of these drugs (Georgi et al., 1990; Kassai, 1999; Reimeremeyer and Courtney, 2001; Soulsby, 1986; Urquhart et al., 2003).

This subject has been demonstrated that Ivermectin with dose of 1 mg kg⁻¹ (Oral or injection) have effective antiparasitic role in veterinary. The dose of this drug in cattle for oral and subcutaneous administration is 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ and for pour on administration is 0.5 mg kg⁻¹, these doses of Ivermectin have potent anthelmintic effect between 97-100% on adult form and 4th stage larvae of Haemopinus, Ostertagia, Cooperia, Trichostrongylus, Strongylodes, Benoistomum, Nematodirus, Trichuris, Oesophagostomum, Dictyocaulus and Chabertia ovina and some arthropods (Georgi et al., 1990; Kassai, 1999; Reimeremeyer and Courtney, 2001; Soulsby, 1986; Urquhart et al., 2003), therefore we administrated Ivermectin pour on with 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ dosage in dogs. According to findings of previous researches, tablet form

| Table 1: Average number of egg in fecal samples before and after treatment with pour on Ivermectin and percentages of egg count reduction |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Before treatment                | 1 day after     | 7 days after    | 21 days after   | 28 days after   |
| treatment                       | treatment       | treatment       | treatment       | treatment       |
| 217                             | 171 (21.19%)    | 69 (68.21%)     | 19 (91.24%)     | 3 (98.61%)      |
of Ivermectin with dose of 0.4 mg kg⁻¹ causes reduce in eggs in faeces during 10 weeks after treatment but has not protective role for reinfection of cattle (Egerton et al., 1981; Garg et al., 2007; Reinemeyer and Courtney, 2001). Subcutaneous administration of Ivermectin with dose of 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ and pour on of that with 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ dose have high effective role for control of parasites also have important protective role for reinfection in cattle. Also according to findings of researchers, administration of Ivermectin with dose of 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ has high effect between 95-100% on Haemonchus, Oesophagostomum and Bunostomum (Egerton et al., 1981; Garg et al., 2007; Reinemeyer and Courtney, 2001) and also on Boophilus, Damalina and others arthropods (Barth and Preston, 1988; Borges et al., 2008; Colwell and Jacobsen, 2002; Lommeux et al., 1997; Marley et al., 1993; Reinemeyer and Courtney, 2001).

According to findings of Sharma et al. (1990) the efficacy of Ivermectin against Ascaridia galli infection was evaluated in chickens under controlled laboratory conditions. The chicks in the treated group were subcutaneously injected with Ivermectin at a dose of 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ body weight. The fall in post-treatment faecal egg counts was 81 and 92% in birds treated on days 10 and 35, respectively. The drug was found to be 90 and 95% effective against immature and adult worms, respectively (Sharma et al., 1990). In present study, the drug effect was observed 28 days after treatment by pour on Ivermectin administration on Anclyostoma caninum 98.61% determined. There are studies where macrocyclic lactones such as Ivermectin, moxidectin and selamectin were used for the treatment of ascarid in dogs.

A dose of 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ Ivermectin administered subcutaneously and moxidectin were reported to be 100% effective against Toxocara canis. Also shown that selamectin administered topically at a dose of 6 mg kg⁻¹ to dogs infected with Toxocara canis decreased the faeces egg number by (EPG) 99.7% (Kozen et al., 2008). But in dog any research was not done about pour-on administration of Ivermectin. Studies showed that conduction of eight trials in dogs to determine the efficacy of Ivermectin and pyrantel pamoate against Dirofilaria immitis, Anclyostoma caninum, Uncinaria stenocephala, Toxocara canis and Toxascaris leonina. Three studies involved induced infection with Dirofilaria immitis and 5 studies involved induced or natural infection with hookworms and ascarids. Efficacy of the combined product against Anclyostoma caninum was 98.5%. In the intestinal parasite trials, each individual component was found not to interfere with the anthelmintic action of the other. Also, declare that pyrantel pamoate was 99.6 and 93.87% effective, respectively against Anclyostoma caninum. No side effects were observed (Ashraf et al., 2008). Also studies showed that Eprinomectin (100 μg kg⁻¹) was given to treatment group dogs orally and eggs per gram were determined in the faeces on the day of pre-treatment and the 2nd, 4th, 6th, 8th and 10th days of posttreatment. No side effects associated with nervous, respiratory, gastrointestinal systems and some haematological parameters were observed. Also, eprinomectin was determined to be 100% effective against Toxocara canis (Kozen et al., 2008). In other study contaminated dogs with Toxocara canis that were treated with Ivermectin and Levamisole hydrochloride, respectively.

The efficacy of the drugs was calculated on the basis of reduction in the number of ova discharged in faeces. Those results showed that Ivermectin and Levamisole hydrochloride were 97.3 and 97.4% effective, respectively. Levamisole hydrochloride is much cheaper than Ivermectin and it was slightly more effective. Also, Ivermectin at the rate of 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ body weight was 97.3% effective on 18th day (Ahmad et al., 2011). In study of Snyder et al. (2011) in evaluation of effect of Ivermectin and milbemycin oxime in experimental adult heart worm (Dirofilaria immitis) infection of dogs showed that two drugs <100% effective against a recent heart worm field isolate, supporting the hypothesis that the effectiveness of a single dose of those preventives can vary (Snyder et al., 2011). Cunningham et al. (2006) showed that the covered-rod silicone implant containing Ivermectin containing 7.3 mg of Ivermectin was 100% effective in preventing experimental infection with Dirofilaria immitis larvae and resulted in negative results for heartworm antigen in a field trial.

This product has the potential to alleviate poor owner compliance with monthly prevention regimens (Cunningham et al., 2006). Obiukwu and Onyali (2006) in the study of comparative efficacy of ancylostol, Ivermectin, mebendazole and piperazine against Anclyostoma caninum in experimentally infected pups showed that ancylostol at both normal (1 mg/kg/BW) and elevated dose level (1.5 mL/kg/BW) showed 93.15 and 93.87% (Based on worm count) and 93.13 and 93.75 % (Based on egg count), respectively. Where as Ivermectin at normal dose level (1 mL/50 kg) and elevated dose level (1.5 mL/50 kg) was found to be effective. The results were 79.48 and 86.81% based on worm count and 89.44 and 92.50% based on egg count. Mebendazole and piperazine even at elevated dose level was observed ineffective. Pups treated at normal and elevated dose level revealed acute toxicosis whereas those treated with Mebendazole showed cough and vomiting tendencies which later subsided and also there was no risk involved in the administration of the drugs.
(Obiukwu and Onyali, 2006). In other study by Williams et al. (1999) on comparison the effect of pour on administration of Ivermectin, Doramectin, Eprinomectin and Moxidectin in cattle, they observed that maximum and minimum effect was with Eprinomectin and Ivermectin, respectively (Williams et al., 1999). Whang et al. (1994) reported which pour on and injection administration of Moxidectin has positive effect more than 90% on gastrointestinal nematodes and significant different between these two types of administration were not reported (Whang et al., 1994). Skogerboe et al. (1999) and Rebehin et al. (1999) reported that pour on administration of Ivermectin during rain has antiparasitic effect >90% and rain has not specific effect on reduction the role of Ivermectin (Rebehin et al., 1999; Rolfe et al., 1997; Skogerboe et al., 1999). In fact pour on administration of Ivermectin is very easy for farmers and so far, any specific side effects of Ivermectin administration have not been reported (Hooke et al., 1997; Reinemeyer and Courtney, 2001). Collectively, Ivermectin is very effective drug for control of gastrointestinal parasites and heart worm in animals and its use is very easy and has not need specific tools. Effect of pour on administration of Ivermectin on other helminths and arthropods needs more studies.

CONCLUSION

The effect of Ivermectin pour-on against Ankylostoma caninum resulted in reduction in egg count exceeded 98% so, this drug can be used in antiparasitic program in dogs. Further investigations are necessary to evaluate the drug effect on other nematodes and parasitic infections.
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