Design and Implementation a Real Time Targets Tracking Simulation in ADT Radar
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Abstract: The Automatic Detection and Track (ADT) radar is one of the most important types of modern radar. This radar rapidly scans a limited angular sector to maintain tracks with a moderate data rate, on more than one target within the coverage of the antenna. It is used for air-defense radars, aircraft landing radars and in some airborne intercept radars to hold multiple targets in track. The aim of this research is to study the tracking algorithm used in targets tracking such as tracking filters, tracking gate and data association. Firstly, we will study the basic principles of these algorithms by MATLAB tools, so we can study all advantages and disadvantages of these algorithms, after that we will design a software tools by visual C++ to implementation these algorithms in real time mode, so that, we can improve this algorithm and represent radar work very close to reality. Firstly, in this research, we will use the basic filters like ($\alpha$-$\beta$), ($\alpha$-$\beta$-$\gamma$) and Kalman filter then in the next experiments we can easily use this simulation to add newest filters and algorithms like Extended Kalman Filter (EKF), Particles Filter (PF), maneuver detector algorithm, Multi Model Interaction (MMI) etc.
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INTRODUCTION

The basic tracking scenario consists of sensors which produce noisy measurements, for example, azimuth angle measurements as illustrated in Fig. 1. The purpose of tracking algorithm is to determine the target trajectory using the sensor measurements. There is additional prior which had poor or no MTI or any other means for reducing clutter. Its performance was a disaster when used with poor radars. A tracking system can be designed to recognize and eventually eliminate clutter echoes that information on the dynamics of targets which restricts the forms of target trajectories into those that are possible when the laws of physics are taken into account.

ADT requires a good radar that eliminates clutter echoes and other undesired signals. When ADT was first introduced it was mistakenly applied to the existing radars don’t form logical tracks but it takes time and computer capacity which might not be available when a large number of targets must be maintained in track. Thus, good tracking starts with good radar that eliminates unwanted clutter echoes and other extraneous signals. When clutter targets cannot be completely eliminated by Doppler processing, the ADT radar has to employ CFAR to maintain a constant false-alarm rate.

Function of ADT: The ADT consist of these stages: the track of a target in 2D can be determined from surveillance radar Plan Position Indicator (PPI) display by plotting the target coordinates as they move when measured from scan to scan.

At its most simple this tracking function can be performed by a radar operator marking the face of the cathode ray tube with a pen. This is an inaccurate process...
Fig. 2: ADT stages

and limits the number of targets that can be handled at one time. Automatic trackers operate as follows Fig. 2 (Hanscom Air For Base):

**Target detection:** Target is detected as the received echo exceeds a threshold. There is no information about its velocity. The software constrains the uncertainty to a value for an aircraft target (large circle).

**Track initiation and association:** Target is detected again displaced in range and angles but within the uncertainty boundary. A crude velocity estimate is made and the position where the target will appear next is predicted. The uncertainty is still large as the position and velocity estimates are not good, association is used when there is more than one radar return in the tracking gate or when we track more than one target.

**Track update and smoothing:** The target appears within this uncertainty boundary and recursive tracking filters estimates and improves both position and velocity and the next sample prediction is made with a smaller position uncertainty.

**Track termination:** The actual target position falls outside the position uncertainty boundary because it has accelerated and the prediction algorithm only used position and velocity. Track is lost a new target is detected with unknown velocity. In our research we will focus on two type of algorithms used in target tracking operation:

- Data association algorithm
- Tracking filters

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Technical point in target tracking:** Before speaking about tracking algorithms, we will focus on some technical point must be conceded in target tracking.

**Selection of coordinates for tracking:** For the two dimensional radar the natural coordinates for tracking are the slant Range R and azimuth $\theta$ coordinates. It is the one generally used. However, this coordinate system has an important disadvantage. Specifically when a target going in a straight line with a constant velocity flies by the radar at close range, a large geometry-induced acceleration is seen for the slant range even though the target itself has no acceleration. This acceleration is sometimes referred to as the pseudo acceleration of the target. It is illustrated in Fig. 3.

The closer the target flies by the radar, the larger is the maximum geometry-induced acceleration seen for the slant range coordinate. The maximum value for this acceleration is given by Eq. 1:

$$\alpha_{\text{max}} = \frac{v^2}{R_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:

- $v$ : The target velocity
- $R_c$ : The closest approach range of the target to the radar

By studying this case using MATLAB Fig. 4:

$$V_t = V \sin \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
We note that a maximum value, obtained at the cross-range $R_c$, $\theta = \pi/2$ so: we select $x, y$ coordinate. If we are measuring the target Range $R$ and azimuth angle $\theta$ but keep track of the target using the East-North $x, y$ coordinates of the target then errors in the measurement of $R$ and $\theta$ are not linearly related to the resulting error in $x$ and $y$ because the conversion equations are given by (Eq. 7 and 8):

$$x = R \cos \theta$$
$$y = R \sin \theta$$

where, $\theta$ is the target angle measured relative to the $x$ axis. In this case how to simply handle this situation. Basically what is done is to linearize last tow equations by using the first terms of a Taylor expansion of the inverse last tow equations which are:

$$R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$
$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$$

Tracking in the rectangular $x$-$y$ coordinates has disadvantage because of the increased computer computation required to do the tracking, we can solve this problem by using high speed computer.

**Target state variable:** Any tracking system has observable or measurable state variable ($X$) at time $(k)$ which represent position, speed and acceleration for ($x, y$) coordinates by the vector Eq. 9 (Morrison, 2012):

$$X_k^T = [x_k \ v_x \ y_k \ v_y \ a_x \ a_y]$$

**Selection of tracking gate:** Ramachandra (2018), Richards et al. (2010) gating is a technique for eliminating unlikely observation-to-track pairings. A gate is formed around the predicted track position Fig. 5. In our project, we will use circular gate.

**Gate calculations:**

**Initial first gate:** We calculate the first gate from maximum target speed, we suppose it is equal (1000 m sec$^{-1}$) and so, $D/\Delta t = \text{Max Speed}$:

$$v = V \cos \theta$$
$$V_r(t) = V_{r\text{max}} \cos \theta(t)$$
$$a(t) = \frac{V^2}{r(t)} \sin^2 \theta(t) = \frac{R \min}{\sin \theta(t)}$$
$$a(t) = \frac{V^2}{R \min} \sin \theta(t) \cdot R \min = R_c$$

**Second gate:** We calculate the diameter of this gate from tow target observations by calculation the distance between the mas Eq. 10 in Fig. 5:

$$d = \sqrt{(X_2 - X_1)^2 + (Y_2 - Y_1)^2}$$

where, $\Delta t$: the time between the first and second. Fig. 5.

**Third gate:** The gate size can be calculated from one of two methods: normalized statistical methods by Eq. 11 (Kolawole, 2003):

$$D^2_{stat} = y_k^T S_k^{-1} y_k$$

None normalized statistical methods by Eq. 12:

$$D^2_{stat} = (x - x_p)^2 + (y - y_p)^2 < (T_{\text{scan}} \times \text{Max Speed})^2$$

Where:

- $\hat{y}$: Residual vector between prediction and measurement
- $S$: Residual co-variance matrix

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Track and filter initiation techniques and simulation result: Track initiation is an important function of a tracking system. Essentially, a track initiator must be capable of starting or initiating a track whenever a new target appears in the scanned region. The initiation technique is logic-based. This method is summarized below (Konstantinova et al., 2003; Mahafza, 2000).

Initialize on the first two scans of the measurements and estimate the apparent. Velocity with every pair of the measurements. Let the velocity be denoted by Eq. 13:

$$v^{(2)} = \frac{1}{T} \left( j^{(2)} - j^{(1)} \right)$$
If this expression satisfies the speed-gating criterion by Eq. 14:

$$v_{\text{min}} \leq \|v^{(2)}\| \leq v_{\text{max}}$$ (14)

Then a track is initiated, so, predict the position the third scan as Eq. 15:

$$r^{(3)} = (r^{(2)} - T v^{(2)})$$ (15)

On the third scan, any measurement $r^{(3)}$ that falls within the gate will extend the initiated track. Next compute the velocity and acceleration as Eq. 16 and 17:

$$v^{(3)} = \frac{1}{T} (r^{(3)} - v^{(2)})$$ (16)
$$a^{(3)} = \frac{1}{T} (v^{(3)} - v^{(2)})$$ (17)

Then, we use these $(r, v, a)$ values to start tracking filter.

**MATLAB simulation result:** In Fig. 6, we see that when there is inaccurate filter initialization, the filter take more time (delay) to track the target.

**Tracking algorithms and MATLAB results:** Hanscom Air For Base, we will study some essential type of tracking filters and data association:

- $(\alpha-\beta)$ filter
- $(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)$ filter
- 2 state Kalman filter
- 3 state Kalman filter

**$(\alpha-\beta)$ filter:** Duan *et al.* (2017), Mahafza (2000) this trackers are a widely used two-dimensional class of time-invariant filters and it is produces on the 9th observation smoothed estimates for position and velocity and a predicted position for the $(n+1)$th observation. 

The state module of this filter is given by Eq. 18-20 (Fig. 7):

$$x_s(n) = x(n) = x_p(n) + \alpha (x_0(n) - x_p(n))$$ (18)
$$\dot{x}_s(n) = \dot{x}(n) = \dot{x}_p(n) + \beta \left( x_0(n) - x_p(n) \right)$$ (19)
$$x_p(n) = x_s(n) + \alpha (x(n-1) + T \dot{x}_s(n-1))$$ (20)

Where, on the $(n)$ radar scans:

- $a$: Position gain factor
- $\beta$: Velocity gain factor
- $T$: Data interval
- $x_s(n)$: Estimate position
- $x_p(n)$: Predicted position
- $\dot{x}_s(n)$: Estimate velocity
- $\sigma_v^2$: Measured position

The filter gain is $(0 < \alpha$ and $\beta < 1)$. The filter coefficients can be chosen on the basis of a smoothing factor $(\zeta_s)$ where $(0 < \zeta_s < 1)$ (Duan *et al.*, 2017) as in Eq. 21:

$$\alpha = 1 - \zeta_s^2$$
$$\beta = (1 - \zeta_s)^2$$ (21)

In general, covariance matrix can be written as Eq. 22:

$$C(n|n) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{xx} & C_{x}\sigma_v^2 \\ C_{x}\sigma_v^2 & C_{\sigma_v^2} \end{bmatrix}$$ (22)

The reduction of measurement noise is normally determined by the VRR ratios. This reduction ratio is the ratio of the output variance of the smoothed position estimate $C_{xx}$ to the input measurement variance $\sigma_v^2$ as follows in Eq. 23:

$$\text{VRR}_k = C_{xx}/\sigma_v^2 = \frac{2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 2\beta}{\alpha(4 - 2\alpha - \beta)}$$ (23)
The velocity reduction variance ratio is measured as the velocity estimation output given only the noise input as Eq. 24:

\[
(VRR)_k = \frac{C_{xx}}{\sigma_v^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{2\beta^2}{T^2} + \frac{\alpha(4-2\alpha-\beta)}{\alpha}}
\]  (24)

MATLAB simulation results: In Fig. 8, we see that when we have low smooth factor, the estimated trajectory is not very fine but there is no a position offset. In Fig. 9, we see that when we have high smooth factor, the estimated trajectory is very fine but there is an position offset.

(\(\alpha-\beta-\gamma\)) filter: Duan et al. (2017), Mahafza (2000) the (\(\alpha-\beta-\gamma\)) tracker produces for the kth observation, smoothed estimates of position, velocity and acceleration. It also produces the predicted position and velocity for the (K+1)th observation. The state module of this filter is given by Eq. 23-28 (Fig. 10):

\[
x_k(n) = x_p(n) + \alpha(x_0(n) - x_p(n))
\]  (25)

\[
\dot{x}_k(n) = \dot{x}_k(n-l) + T\ddot{x}_k(n-l) + \frac{\beta}{T}(\dot{x}_0(n) - \dot{x}_p(n))
\]  (26)

\[
\ddot{x}_k(n) = \ddot{x}_k(n-l) + \frac{2\gamma}{T}x_0(n) - x_p(n)
\]  (27)

\[
x_p(n+1) = x_k(n) + T\ddot{x}_k(n) + \frac{T^2}{2}\dddot{x}_k(n)
\]  (28)
**Fig. 10:** An implementation of \((\alpha-\beta-\gamma)\) tracker

**Fig. 11:** Maneuvering target with low smoothing factor \(\zeta_r = 0.1\) (high gain)

Where:
- \(\dot{x}_n(n)\) : Smoothed acceleration
- \(\gamma\) : Acceleration gain factor

Where the filter gain is \((0 < [\alpha, \beta, \gamma] < 1)\). The filter coefficients can be chosen on the basis of a smoothing factor \((\zeta_r)\) where \((0 < \zeta_r < 1)\) as Eq. 29 (Duan et al., 2017):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= 1 - \zeta^3 \\
\beta &= 1.5 (1 - \zeta^2)(1 - \zeta) \\
\gamma &= (1 - \zeta)^3
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

A large smoothing when \(\gamma = 1\) while \(\gamma = 0\) means that no smoothing is present. A VRR ratio are computed is given by Eq. 30-32:

\[
(VRR)_x = \frac{4\beta^3 - 4\beta^2 \gamma + 2\gamma^2 (2-\alpha)}{T^2 (4-2\alpha-\beta)(2\alpha+\alpha\beta-2\gamma)}
\]

(31)

\[
(VRR)_y = \frac{4\beta^2 \gamma^2}{T^4 (4-2\alpha-\beta)(2\alpha+\alpha\gamma-2\gamma)}
\]

(32)

**MATLAB simulation results:** In Fig. 11, we see that when we have low smooth factor, the estimated trajectory is not very fine but there is no a position offset.

In Fig. 12, we see that when we have low smooth factor, the estimated trajectory is very fine but there is a position offset.

**Kalman filter:** Morrison (2012) Musicki et al. (2004) and Mahafza (2000) the Kalman filter is a linear recursive estimator that minimizes the mean squared error as long as the target dynamics are modeled accurately. Additionally, the Kalman filter has the following advantages (Sarkka, 2013).
1. State predictor:  
2. Predictor of covariance matrix:  
3. Kalman gain computation  
4. State update  
5. Covariance update (corrector equation)  

\[
P(k+1/k) = p(k/k) + Q \Phi_k^k \Phi_k^k + Q
\]

\[
K(k) = P(k/k-1)G [G P(k/k-1)G + R]_{kk}^{-1}
\]

\[
P(k/k) = P(k/k-1) - K[kG P(k/k-1)_{kk}] + R
\]

\[
x(k/k) = x(k/k-1) + K[y(k) - Gx(k/k-1)]
\]

\[\hat{x}(k+1/k) = x(k/k)\]

**Fig. 12:** Maneuvering target with low smoothing factor $\zeta = 0.9$ (low gain)

---

**Fig. 13:** Kalman filter algorithm

- The gain coefficients are computed dynamically. This means that the same filter can be used for a variety of maneuvering target environments.
- The Kalman filter gain computation adapts to varying detection histories including missed detections.
- The Kalman filter provides an accurate measure of the covariance matrix this allows for better implementation of the gating and association processes.
- The Kalman filter makes it possible to partially compensate for the effects of miss-correlation and miss-association.

Thus, the state prediction equation (for general case) is given by Eq. 33:

\[
x(k+1) = \Phi_k x(k) + B_k u(k) + H_k w(k)
\]

Where:
- $\Phi_k$: Transition state matrix
- $x(k)$: The state at time $k$
- $u(k)$: The known input or control signal
- $w(k)$: A sequence of zero-mean, white Gaussian process noise with covariance $Q$ and the measurement equation is given by Eq. 34:

\[
y(k) = Gx(k) + v(k)
\]

where, $v(k)$ is a sequence of zero-mean, white, Gaussian process noise with covariance $R$, $G$ measurement matrix. The random variables $v(k)$ and $w(k)$ represent the process and measurement noise, respectively. They are assumed to be independent (of each other), white and with normal probability distributions. Kalman filter steps are summarized in Fig.13.

There are two type of Kalman filter 2 state Kalman which consider the target as Constant Velocity (CV) and acceleration as external noise and 3 state Kalman which consider the target as Constant Acceleration (CA) and the variation in acceleration as external noise (Sarkka, 2013; Musicki et al., 2004).

**MATLAB simulation results:**
- **Filters comparison result:** For the same maneuvering target trajectory and for non-maneuvering targets the filter comparison in the Table 1 and Fig. 14 and 15.
Table 1: Filters comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(α-β) filter</th>
<th>(α-β-γ) filter</th>
<th>Kalman filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple recursive</td>
<td>Simple recursive</td>
<td>More complex recursive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumes a constant-velocity</td>
<td>Assumes a constant-acceleration</td>
<td>All case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficients are constant</td>
<td>Coefficients are constant</td>
<td>Coefficients are adaptive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent performance for non-maneuvering targets</td>
<td>Excellent performance for maneuvering targets</td>
<td>Good performance for all targets model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be used for maneuvering targets with suitable (α-β)</td>
<td>Not good for non-maneuvering targets</td>
<td>All case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use constant model for target tracking</td>
<td>Use constant model for target tracking</td>
<td>Use dynamic model for target tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise not consider in targets model</td>
<td>Noise not consider in targets model</td>
<td>Consider noise in targets model to minimizes the mean squared error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good tracking accuracy and faster than (α-β-γ)</td>
<td>Good tracking accuracy and faster than Kalman</td>
<td>Higher tracking accuracy and slower than other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14: Kalman filter for maneuvering target

Fig. 15: Filters comparison

Data association algorithm (Konstantinova et al., 2003): In study, we talk about most popular algorithm called Global Nearest Neighbor Approach (GNNA) (Kolawole, 2003).

Algorithm descriptions: This method consist of three steps: We assume the existence of a set of n tracks (gates) at the time a new observation or set of observations is received. These observations may be used for updating the existing tracks or for initiating new tracks. Suppose that m measurements are received at time index k. In a cluttered environment, m does not necessarily equal n and it may be difficult to distinguish whether a measurement originated from a target or from clutter. A validated measurement is one which is either inside or on the boundary of the validation gate of a target. We calculate the Cost $[C_{ij}]$ matrix as following:

$$
[C_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & m \\
\varepsilon_{i1} & \varepsilon_{i2} & \varepsilon_{i3} & \varepsilon_{im} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\varepsilon_{n1} & \varepsilon_{n2} & \varepsilon_{n3} & \varepsilon_{nm} \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$
The elements of the Cost matrix $c_{ij}$ have the following values:

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 100 & \text{if measurement } j \text{ is not in the gate of track } i \\ d_{ij}^2 & \text{if measurement } j \text{ is in the gate of track } i \end{cases}$$

About $d_{ij}^2$, tracking residual error (distance between center of gate $i$ and the measurement $j$ if measurement $j$ is in the gate of the track $i$).

The desired solution of the assignment (cost) matrix is the one that minimizes the summed total distance. For simple cases the optimal solution can be easily found by enumeration. But the enumeration is too much time consuming in more complicated cases. We choose to solve the assignment problem by realizing the extension of Munkres (Hungarian) algorithm. As a result we yield the optimal measurements to tracks association. But it is possible (due to missed detection) that some track to be associated with measurement that is not a targets measurement.

**Algorithm example:** Assume we have the track function as in Fig. 16. Calculate the distance between center of every gate $i$ and its measurements $j$ and form the cost matrix for example in gate 2:

Distance between T4 and center of this gate = 2.12 and then $c_{24} = d_{24}^2 = 4.48$. T5 is out of this gate so $c_{25} = 100$ and so on. That we find the total cost matrix:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} T1 & T2 & T3 & T4 & T5 & T6 & T7 \\ 2.25 & 100 & 6.25 & 100 & 4.48 & 4.48 & 2.89 \\ 4.84 & 1 & 100 & 4.48 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\ 100 & 100 & 2.25 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 3.24 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the optimal solution (lower cost) by using Munkres algorithm by MATLAB Software then minimum cost value = $c_{11} + c_{22} + c_{33} = 2.25 + 1 + 2.25 = 5.5$ and it is mean that the data association will be as Table 2 and all other target will be canceled.

We see from Fig. 17 that 99% of false signal can be canceled by using this algorithms, so, this algorithm is useful for clutter cancelation in noisy environments.

**Computer simulation results:** Djerassi and Konstantinova (2002) now we built tracking simulation by VC++ to simulate all the previous algorithms. The simulation programs algorithm consist of next stages as follow, Fig. 18.

**Targets generation:** Drawing the targets trajectory and selecting its colors.

Table 2: Targets associations result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Target 1</th>
<th>Target 2</th>
<th>Target 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gate 1</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16: Data association problems example

Fig. 17: MATLAB simulation
Scenario generation: By selecting multi targets from step 1 and determine the parameter for every target like (start time, start speed and acceleration) and save this scenario (we can generate unlimited number of scenario).

Adjust the radar parameter: Like (PRF, Pulse Width, Scan Rate…).

Select tracking filter type: Alpha-beta, Kalman … and adjust the filter parameter.

Select the scenario: From scenario editor.

Select the display option: Map, sound, targets scenario trajectory (Fig. 19).
**Table 3: Filters comparison by simulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter type</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Error-mean (m)</th>
<th>Error-Rms (m)</th>
<th>SD (m)</th>
<th>Filter time cost (us)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(α-β)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>358.40</td>
<td>485.76</td>
<td>327.89</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(α-β-γ)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>264.77</td>
<td>367.32</td>
<td>254.60</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 State-Kalman</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>211.27</td>
<td>279.44</td>
<td>182.90</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 State-Kalman</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>194.05</td>
<td>249.78</td>
<td>157.27</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Start simulation:** It is the main job of program which contains:

- Track initiation: calculate initial speed, gate and position
- Target tracking: draw the target position (prediction and estimation), target numbering and display targets information's
- Draw target trajectory and give a report for all target with computer saving
- Calculate filter average-time and all tracking error

**Example for filters comparison by simulation:** We select the target in Fig. 20 with start Speed = 300 m/sec, acceleration = 3 m/sec² and R<100 km and computer is (COR I 3 with 4M_RAM).

**Example of filter comparison by simulation:** Table 3 are given below.

**CONCLUSION**

We studied the most important tracking algorithms like (αβ), (αβ γ) and Kalman filters and others. The choice of tracker depends on the complexity, accuracy and requirements of the mission. Kalman filter is suitable for all targets cases more than (αβ), (αβ γ) filters but more complex than the others and needs hi speed computer to use it. Data association algorithm (GNNA) is very important to solve targets association problem. Therefore by using this simulation we can add or modify any algorithm and will help us to study any algorithm property and this simulation needs to add another algorithms to solve some tracking problem like maneuvering targets tracking detector or crossing target problem and in the next generation of this simulation we going to add more newest filters like Extended Kalman Filter (EKF), Particles Filter (PF). This simulation is very useful for any one wants to learn target tracking or wants to work in radar station because it gives him a real work like real radar. Finally, this simulation can be easely modified to work as real tracking radar by inject real data from radar receiver to computer (with synchronal signal) by any computer interface hard-ware like USB, RS485 or PCI acquisition card.
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