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Abstract: Three highly consumed fish in Saudi market were evaluated for their composition of heavy metals. The fish species were included Spanish mackerel, (Scomberomorus maculatus), Grouper (Epinephelus coioides) and Yellow-spotted trevally (Carangoides fulvoguttatus) which, respectively known as Kanad, Hammour and Hammam in Saudi market. The concentration of heavy metals (cadium, zinc, lead, nickel, vanadium, arsenic and mercury) was determined in the muscle and the head of fish. The level of elements was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AA). The maximum Cd, Zn, Pb, As, Ni, V and Hg concentrations in fish samples were 49.78, 30.28, 244, 42, 138, 17.49 and 2.84 ng g⁻¹, respectively. The concentration of metals was below the maximum allowed limit by the Saudi and International Legislations for fish human consumption permissible limit.
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INTRODUCTION

Fish are excellent low-fat sources of protein and provide many benefits, such as contributing to low blood cholesterol (Anderson and Wiener, 1995). Fish provide omega-3 (n-3) fatty acids that reduce cholesterol levels and the incidence of heart disease, stroke and preterm delivery (Anderson and Wiener, 1995; Daviglius et al., 2002, Patterson, 2002).

Recent years have witnessed significant attention being paid to the problems of environmental contamination by a wide variety of chemical pollutants, including the heavy metals (El-Demerdash and Elegamy, 1996).

Trace metals can be accumulated by fish, both through the food chain and water (Hudson, 1988). Fish living in the polluted water may accumulate toxic trace metals via their food chains. High levels of lead, copper and iron have been to cause rapid physiological changes in fish (Tarrio et al., 1991).

A wide range of metals and metallic compounds found in the marine environment pose risks to human health through the consumption of seafood where contaminant content and exposure are significant (Han et al., 1998; Oster et al., 1998; Chan et al., 1999).

Of the various sources of water pollution is industrial waste water directly entering aquatic systems. The Arabian Gulf has been subject to inputs of trace metals from a variety of sources and it has been estimated that oil pollution in the Gulf represents 4.7% of the total oil pollution in the world (National Research Council, 1985).

This figure has increased even more after the Gulf war where, approximately 11 million oil barrels were discharged into the Gulf (Price and Sheppard, 1991). Bu-Olayan and Subrahmanyam (1997) investigated the contribution of the 1991 oil spill to heavy metal contamination in marine environment of the Gulf in Kuwait. Significant increase in copper, zinc and nickel concentrations was found in the 1994 snail and oyster samples compared to samples collected in 1990. Refineries and petrochemical industry wastes contribute significantly to metal pollution of the Arabian Gulf marine environment (Sadiq and Zaidi, 1985a) where lead, cadmium and nickel were found in the sediments from the costal region of Saudi Arabia.

Principal among these are the oil prospecting companies with their attendant problems of oil spillages and petroleum hydrocarbons discharged from gas flaring. These precipitate with rain and contaminate the environment and eventually enter the food chain. Heavy metals, such as Cu, Zn, Pb, Hg, As, Cr and Cd are normal constituents of the marine environment and traces are always found in marine organisms. Thus, people who eat large amounts of fish or shellfish from estuarine or coastal areas that are associated with the chemical industry are at risk of heavy metal poisoning.

Total fishery production of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2003 was 67109 metric tones where the production in the Arabian Gulf was 29300 metric tones (Fisheries Statistics of Saudi Arabia, 2003).

Many studies have been conducted on the contamination of diferent fish species with heavy metals in different parts of the world (Mat, 1994; Diaz et al., 1994;
Gungum et al., 1994; Tchounwou et al., 1996; Farag et al., 1998; Romeo et al., 1999; Schmitt et al., 1999; Chan et al., 1999; Miao et al., 2000; Karadede and Unly, 2000; Al-Saleh and Shinwari, 2002; Alasalvar et al., 2002; Eboh et al., 2006; Burger et al., 2007; Mendil and Uluozlu, 2007; Yang et al., 2007).

The aim of this study was to assess the concentration of cadmium, lead, nickel, vanadium, arsenic, zinc and mercury in three different fish species, which monitors the pollution in the Arabian Gulf. Further, the daily intake levels of such elements were calculated and discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of fish samples: Fresh samples of three species included Spanish mackerel, (Scomberomorus maculates), Grouper (Epinephelus coioides) and Yellow-spotted trevally (Carangoides fulvoguttatus) which known in Saudi market as Kanad, Hammour and Hamman, respectively.

The local population in Saudi Arabia commonly consumes these species. Total fish individuals (muscle and head) were analyzed for cadmium, zinc, lead, nickel, vanadium, arsenic and mercury. A composite sample for each species was prepared and homogenized in a food processor and 50 g test portions were stored at -20°C. Metal contents were expressed as ng g⁻¹ or ug g⁻¹ wet wt. of fresh fish.

Reagents: De-ionized water was used to prepare all aqueous solutions. All mineral acids and oxidants used were of the highest quality (Merek, Germany). All the plastic and glassware was cleaned by soaking overnight in a 10% (w/v) nitric acid solution and then rinsed with deionized water.

Apparatus: Unicom Analytical System Model 919, Cambridge, UK atomic absorption spectrophotometer was used in this study.

Chemical analyses: Ten grams of tissue homogenate (muscle and head) were weighted into a 150 mL air-tight quick flask with glass stopper. Five mL of conc. HNO₃ and 3 mL of 60% perchloric acid were added to each sample and digested in a temperature controlled waterbath at 85°C. After digestion, the samples were separately filtered using an ashless filter paper and the volumes made up to 100 mL with 0.5% HNO₃, then used for the determination of heavy metals (Eboh et al., 2006).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average concentrations of cadmium, zinc, lead, nickel, vanadium, arsenic and mercury in each fish species in the muscle and in the head are summarized in Table 1. According to these results, zinc has the highest concentration followed by nickel and lead. Regarding to the distribution of investigated metals inside fish, the results indicated that the concentrations of cadmium, zinc, lead, nickel, vanadium and arsenic in the three fish species were higher in heads than in muscles except of mercury. The fact that toxic metals are present in high concentrations in fish is of particular importance in relation to the FAO/WHO (1976) standards for Pb and Cd as toxic metals. In the present study, zinc values in fish species varied from 4.22-30.28 µg g⁻¹. The minimum zinc level was observed in the muscle of Kanad (Scomberomorus maculates) while the maximum zinc level found in their heads. In the study of Mendil and Uluozlu (2007) zinc values varied from 13.9-48.6 µg g⁻¹ in C. tinea and C. carpio, respectively. Nickel concentration in the muscle and head was found to be 18.02-79.15 and 40.0-138.0ng g⁻¹, respectively. These values are below to the literature value of Mendil and Uluozlu (2007) who determine trace metal in fish species from lakes in Turkey. The maximum lead level was found in the head of Hammour (Epinephelus coioides) and the muscle of Kanad (Scomberomorus maculates). Our Pb concentration are lower than the literature results (Tariq et al., 1991; Mendil and Uluozlu, 2007). The maximum level of cadmium was found to be 8.03, 49.78 µg g⁻¹ in the muscle and head of Hammur (Carangoides fulvoguttatus).

Vanduum concentration was found to be between 2.44-17.49 µg g⁻¹. Such traces could be result of oil spills and/or transportation in the region, as oil contains small amounts nickel and vanadium (Sadiq and Zaidi, 1985a, b).

| Table 1: Mean values for heavy metal concentrations in the muscle and head of the fish samples |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fish samples | Location | Cadmium (Cd) (µg g⁻¹) | Zinc (Zn) (µg g⁻¹) | Lead (Pb) (µg g⁻¹) | Arsenic (As) (µg g⁻¹) | Nickel (Ni) (µg g⁻¹) | Vanadium (V) (µg g⁻¹) | Mercury (Hg) (µg g⁻¹) |
| Kanad | Muscle | 2.59 | 4.22 | 31.00 | 42.00 | 31.00 | 138.00 | 2.61 |
| | Head | 8.70 | 30.28 | 74.00 | 37.21 | 138.00 | 9.26 | 2.43 |
| Hammour | Muscle | 2.21 | 6.32 | 22.00 | 24.61 | 18.02 | 2.44 | 2.84 |
| | Head | 8.56 | 28.32 | 244.00 | 39.06 | 94.00 | 17.49 | 1.07 |
| Hamman | Muscle | 8.03 | 6.99 | 30.00 | 35.11 | 79.15 | 7.04 | 1.67 |
| | Head | 49.78 | 21.81 | 160.00 | 35.22 | 40.00 | 8.35 | 1.50 |

All values are mean of three replications. Different letters in each column indicate significant differences
Table 2: The daily intake of metals in fish muscles by humans in Saudi family per day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cadmium (Cd) (ng day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Zinc (Zn) (μg day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Lead (Pb) (ng day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Arsenic (As) (ng day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Nickel (Ni) (ng day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Vanadium (V) (μg day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mercury (Hg) (ng day⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanad</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>51.50</td>
<td>69.77</td>
<td>51.50</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammour</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>36.55</td>
<td>39.87</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammam</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>49.84</td>
<td>58.32</td>
<td>131.48</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant difference was found between mercury concentration in the muscle and head of Kanad (Scomberomorus maculates) and Hammam (Carangoides fulvoguttatus). In many developed countries, limits of concentrations in fish have been set in order to safeguard public health. Saudi Arabia has set a maximum limits of contaminants for lead, cadmium and arsenic in fish and shellfish of 2, 0.5 and 1 μg g⁻¹, respectively (SASO, 1997).

The European Commission (EC) has proposed limits for cadmium of 0.05 and 0.5 μg g⁻¹ for lead in fish (Commission of the European Communities, 1997).

To assess public health impact of metals in fish, it is essential to calculate the daily intake of metals by humans expressed as ng day⁻¹ or μg day⁻¹. This can be obtained by multiplying the average quantity of fish consumed per family per day (9.967g) by the concentration of metal in studied fish (Al-Nozha et al., 1991) and divided by 6 (the average Saudi family members). The estimated maximum total dietary intakes of cadmium, lead, arsenic, nickel, vanadium and mercury in fish muscle from these study were 13.34, 11.61, 51.50, 69.77, 131.48, 11.69 and 4.71 ng g⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). These estimates are below the Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives and Food and Agriculture organization (JECFA) Provisional maximum Tolerable Daily Intake (PTDI) for cadmium, lead and arsenic of 0.06, 0.21 and 0.12 mg day⁻¹, respectively for a 60 kg adult (WHO, 1993). In addition, it is lower than the maximum acceptable daily and weekly intake of cadmium, lead and arsenic in Saudi Arabia (SASO, 1997). As per Saudi legislation, maximum acceptable weekly intake of cadmium and lead are 0.0067-0.083 mg kg⁻¹ body weight, respectively. Maximum acceptable arsenic daily intake is 0.002 mg kg⁻¹ body weight.

The maximum permissible doses for an adult are 3 mg Pb and 0.5 mg Cd per week, but the recommended doses are only one-fifth of those quantities (FAO/WHO, 1976). Exposure to environmental contaminants can lead to immunosuppression and increased susceptibility to disease in salmonids and other fish (Miller et al., 2002).

(The Joint FAO/WHO (1999) has set a limit for heavy metal intake based on body weight.

The estimated maximum total dietary intakes of cadmium, lead and arsenic from the study of Al-Saleh and Shinwari (2002) were 0.07, 0.13 and 0.12 μg day⁻¹, respectively.

The World Health Organization (WHO, 1993) has set a Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) of 0.3 mg day⁻¹ for nickel for a 60 kg person. The estimated maximum total dietary intake of nickel from Al-Saleh and Shinwari (2002) survey was 0.73 μg day⁻¹. The safe and adequate intake of vanadium is not known.
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