Traumatic Rupture of the Diaphragm
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Abstract: Traumatic diaphragmatic rupture is difficult to diagnose in the early stage because symptoms and signs are usually masked by other serious injuries. The aim of this study is to highlight the clinical presentation, diagnostic methods, time to diagnosis, complications and operative approach associated with traumatic rupture of the diaphragm. Six patients were reviewed retrospectively in the period of 2005-2007 in El Shaab Teaching Hospital, Khartoum Sudan. Age, sex, initial clinical presentation, mechanism of injury, time to diagnosis, diagnostic method, side and site of the rupture, associated injuries, complications and morbidity were reviewed. Patients were found to be in the 2nd, 3rd and 6th decade of life. All were male gender and all were involved in Road Traffic Accidents (RTAs). About 5 of them sustained injury to the left side while one sustained the injury on the right side. About 4 patients presented with multiple fractures; 3 patients with shock; 6 patients with chest pain; 2 patients with SOB; 2 patients with abdominal pain and 3 patients with multiple bruises. The diagnosis ranged from the 1st day, 3 days, 2, 3 and 8 months. Variable associated injuries were found. Several complications were present: visceral herniation, gastrothorax and strangulated intestinal obstruction. Surgical option was Laparotomy in 2 patients and thoracotomy in 4 patients.
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INTRODUCTION

When a trauma patient presents to the emergency room, diagnostic difficulties arise and unpredicted findings may be found later on. There is a general agreement that traumatic rupture of the diaphragm can be difficult to diagnose and is frequently overlooked in cases of blunt trauma.

This injury is often missed at the time of the initial event but may present sometime later. So when dealing with a patient with thoracic and/or abdominal trauma, special attention should be given to diaphragmatic rupture.

The aim of this study is to highlight the mechanism of trauma, initial clinical presentation, time to diagnosis, late presentation and complications that follow traumatic rupture of the diaphragm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective observational study of 6 patients admitted with Traumatic rupture of the diaphragm to El Shaab Teaching Hospital, Khartoum from 2005-2007. Charts were reviewed for: age, sex, initial clinical presentation, mechanism of injury, time to diagnosis, diagnostic method, side and site of the rupture, associated injuries, complications and morbidity. All of the 6 patients were clinically examined, radiologically investigated, resuscitated and received initial management at other hospitals.

RESULTS

A total of 6 patients were analyzed. Patients studied were found to be between the 2nd, 3rd and 6th decades of life. All patients were of male gender. All patients sustained injuries in road traffic accidents through blunt trauma to the chest and upper abdomen. The left side of the diaphragm was affected in 5 (83.3%) patients while the right side was ruptured in one patient (15.7%).

Being victims of road traffic accidents, the initial clinical presentation for the patients were: multiple fractures, shock, chest pain, shortness of breath, abdominal pain and multiple trunk and limb bruises (Table 1).
Radiologic evaluation: All patients were investigated radiologically. Initially, a chest radiograph was done. Accurate diagnosis was missed in all patients, they were all misdiagnosed as having hemothorax, pneumothorax or hemo-pneumothorax and were managed accordingly. One of the patients deteriorated rapidly when a chest tube was introduced mistakenly into his stomach because it resembled an air fluid level in the left lower chest (Fig. 1).

In 4 (66.7%) patients in view of the ineffectiveness of the initial management, a CT scan was accordingly opted for confirming the diagnosis. Two patients underwent further investigation using Barium meal which was very useful to diagnose gastric herniation through the left diaphragm to the chest (Fig. 2).

Time to diagnosis: Time to diagnosis ranged from the 1-3 days and 2, 3 and 8 months. The first patient was diagnosed accidentally during laparotomy exploration on the 1st day. The diagnosis was missed by using a chest radiograph. The second patient was misdiagnosed on the 1st day of his presentation.

A chest tube was inserted in the left side of the chest on a background of hemopneumothorax on chest X-ray. Regrettably, the chest tube was inserted into the herniated stomach with leakage of gastric contents in the left hemothorax. Three days after the initial presentation the patient was referred to the hospital after the accurate diagnosis was made.

The 3rd patient was inserted a chest tube on the right side on a background of right hemothorax on chest X-ray but his condition deteriorated. A CT scan was requested and the diagnosis of right ruptured hemidiaphragm was established after 2 months of the initial presentation. The 4th and the 5th patients were diagnosed after 3 months of the initial presentation because of a left shadow suggestive of residual haemothorax. The 6th patient presented 8 months after a blunt abdominal trauma with intestinal obstruction. The definitive diagnosis was made intra-operatively as strangulated small bowel herniating through left diaphragm which has been resected.

Associated injuries: These included; large intestinal haematoma, Splenic rupture/Haemoperitonium, Hemothorax, Pneumothorax and Bone fractures.

Complications/morbidity: Complications included Visceral herniation, Gastrothorax and small bowel herniation, Gastrothorax with misplaced chest tube insertion and gastric puncture and adhesive strangulated small intestinal obstruction and bowel resection.

Table 1: Initial clinical presentation at ER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial presentation</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest/Abdominal bruises</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple fractures</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Chest X-ray showing stomach inside the thorax due to traumatic rupture of the diaphragm

Fig. 2: Barium meal showing stomach in the chest

Fig. 3: Left thoracotomy showing a tear in the diaphragm and reduction of the stomach back to the abdomen
Surgery: The diaphragm was repaired through thoracotomy in 4 patients and through laparotomy in 2 patients (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

The clinical diagnosis of a ruptured diaphragm is seldom possible and early diagnosis remains a challenge and serious consequences may thus occur (Shah et al., 1995). It could be associated with other life threatening injuries and establishing the exact diagnosis is a dilemma for the trauma surgeon and is often clinically occult (Petrone et al., 2007). Patients reviewed were found to be in the 2nd, 3rd and 6th decade of life and this is similar to results of other studies (Athanassiadis et al., 1999). All patients were of male gender.

The usual mechanism of trauma in a ruptured diaphragm is blunt trauma caused by road traffic accidents (Ocenwardene et al., 2007; Shah et al., 1995). This is in contradistinction to the overall diaphragmatic injury where penetrating injuries are found to be more common than blunt injuries (Rubikas, 2001; Hanna et al., 2008).

Falls and other traumatic events are rarely implicated (McCollum et al., 2005). In the study, all 6 patients were engaged in road traffic accidents with blunt trauma involving the thoracic and abdominal regions. They were all brought to the hospital as multiple trauma patients. The initial clinical presentation of patients with a ruptured diaphragm varies depending on the mechanism of trauma and the presence of associated injuries. Symptoms of diaphragmatic injury which themselves, unfortunately are sometimes vague are frequently masked by associated injuries (Steinau et al., 1997).

In the study, different clinical presentations were detected as shown in Table 1. The usual side of diaphragmatic rupture is the left side which constitutes 68.5% of the cases compared to 24.2% on the right side as indicated in the study made by Shah et al. (1995). This is owing to the hepatic protection and increased strength of the right hemidiaphragm. Additionally, the right sided rupture is safer because of liver protection (Shah et al., 1995; Lee and Lee, 2007). In the study, 5 (83.3%) of the patients admitted had a left sided diaphragmatic rupture and one patient had a right sided rupture (15.7%). Uniform diagnosis depends on a high index of suspicion but the diagnosis is usually missed clinically at the original time of presentation. From the literature, patients usually present late with visceral herniation, obstruction, incarceration, strangulation, rupture of the stomach or colon and even tension pneumothorax (Obb and Butlin, 1974). In the study, the presence of complications reflects the delay of the diagnosis. One patient was diagnosed accidentally during exploratory laparotomy. Another patient was diagnosed when his condition deteriorated despite insertion of a chest tube in the contest of right haemothorax. Two other patients presented with gastric and small intestinal herniation and with gastric injury and strangulated small bowel, respectively. Among diagnostic tools, the chest radiograph as an initial investigation in a trauma patient has a vital role in picking up diaphragmatic injury but the problem is that it is inconclusive (Morgan et al., 1986). About 85% of patients with a ruptured diaphragm have abnormal findings of the chest radiograph but only one third of these show pathognomonic tear such as bowel loops or nasogastric tube in the chest (Morgan et al., 1986).

Other features suggestive but not diagnostic of diaphragmatic rupture, include indistinct or elevated hemidiaphragm, hemothorax, pneumothorax, rib fractures or pulmonary contusion (Morgan et al., 1986). These nonspecific findings contribute to the frequent initial misdiagnosis. In the study, the chest radiograph was nonspecific in the majority of cases; the stomach of one of the patient was punctured after being mistaken for a hemopneumothorax. CT scan proves to be a helpful diagnostic tool for diaphragmatic rupture when a delay in diagnosis is experienced and it is specific and sensitive (Larici et al., 2002). An aid with Barium meal is very useful if gastrothorax is to be ruled out (Mueller and Pendarvis, 1994). Two of the patients were investigated using Barium meal which showed the presence of a gastrothorax.

Associated injuries are usually present and they obscure the diagnosis. In the literature, chest and splenic trauma were the most common associated injuries 43.9-37.6%, respectively (Shah et al., 1995). In this study, associated injuries included hemothorax, pneumothorax, ruptured spleen and bone and rib fractures (Table 2).

CONCLUSION

Traumatic rupture of the diaphragm is difficult to diagnose and is usually missed. A high index of suspicion is required to ensure that it is not overlooked to prevent serious future complications. Repair can be approached through the thorax or abdomen according to experience of
the surgeon and the type of associated injuries. A shadow in the left lower chest following a history of blunt trauma should warrant suspicion of diaphragmatic rupture. Barium meal can be very useful to rule out gastrothorax.
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