

Actuaries Waging Crime Against the Law and Penal Law

¹Ebrahim Ghodsi and ²Ebrahim Rahnamazadeh

¹Faculty of Law and Political Science, University of Mazandaran, Mazandaran, Iran

²Department of Criminal Law and Criminology, University of Mazandaran, Mazandaran, Iran

Abstract: The crime took place in terms of its own based on the coordinates for the realization of the revolt as the Islamic Penal Code in Article 183 may also follow the juridical texts, there are two basic necessity: first, the elevation of the weapon combatant into material that is against crime and the other pillars of the existence of the intent to intimidate people who are ill to particular combatant. However, due to the hoarding behavior such as lack of important dossier not in the realm of mass revolt. After determining, the precise territory of the crime took turns to check the components of the material against the pillar of this elevation of the weapon to commit the acts of people. The concept is also the same concept of customary weapon, neither plastic toys nor wood, stone and reed.

Key words: Intimidation, specific ill, material pillar, weapons and arms, the concept of the punishment of revolt

INTRODUCTION

Knowing the basics of crime is against criminalization. The action of the combatant causes damage to people and create fear and panic in them. Moreover there is not worthy of being in the criminal blame combatant. On this basis the Almighty God in the Verse 33 in Sura Al-maida consider punishment for combatant but the appearance of this verse which include two title as "combatant" and "corruption". Through Islam history, it has always been corruptive by suzerains and they abuse it and destroy their protests. This is the most important article of the ambiguity basis relating to the criminal law against Iran means Islamic Penal Code 1370 which starts in Article 183.

A superficial impression of the framers of this law, the above has caused the "corruption" to be independent of the Sci-Fi crime took place regarding criminalization. Unfortunately this method is undesirable in the form bill to the new Islamic Penal Code also has been repeated. In addition to those penal laws in some other adopted, it has to follow the procedure and cases in which hand weapon and intent to intimidate the people's security and freedom and there is no warrant or verdict (the verdict on corruption). Two of the offences that the Iranian Islamic Sharia, criminal law and jurisprudence adapted from Imam. The law on the side of the crime as criminal (crime and corruption is in revolt against the corruption) has forecast that a clear religious jurisprudence. Regardless of the confusion which in this field, the emergence of this crime like all other crimes to triple legal element in material and spiritual.

The concept of the revolt: In Lisan Alarab, two meaning come together (fight) negation (HP) and HP mean negation, compatibility and incompatibility, it is sometimes meant to declare that for book Mall and sometimes seize the book war and military conflict and sound effects. Therefore, in the Arab Word revolt which takes infinitive harb, took on the weight of the subject means stripping and other loot and stillness with ram means fighting and strife (Maletooof, 1364, following revolt). So, we can say the word is not necessarily fight to the concept of war as the Holy Quran say about those who do not leave Reba; if you do not leave the Earth, Allah and his Messenger know revolt that you will notice (Baghareh 279) in fact the article is an absolute incompatibility means revolt and struggle is not meant to be the war and military conflict and war, in fact, it is extensional.

Regarding the definition of a crime against Islam, the Shi'a faqihs and Sunnis also raised the Islamic world about the definition of a unanimous verdict is not unites as a glimpse into this topic which would be useful. Sheikh Tusi (Deceased) in his opinion based on revolt regarding these two States: in accordance with our narrative, Murad verse (Sura Al-ma'ida) anyone who has pulled the weapon and scares people in the sea and in the city, desert and narratives that brought some Murad of the verse are as well as the faqihs have said. He is in the book of Nahayeh, the definition of the Imamieh and the famous faqihs says; the revolt is someone who does appear and the weapons of the people of revolt, in the city or otherwise, what is Islam or profanity in balaam, Balaam at night or in the day, so whenever such a revolt. But the

Saheb Javaher in his book *Nahve Mansot*, subject to the case contemplated and put with the phrase and word of the juridical concept of the rational and the exact syntax and examples of the revolt to have clear boundaries, he is actually believed that doth revolt in a place finds the both title, i.e., *mofsad fi Arz mestaf* to describe verdict the honest about each topic sentence alone actually are not the same as the bandits Armed security and comfort to the public stripping, unlike those who have involvement in banditry directly.

Hazrat Imam Khomeini (Rahmatullah) written in the book about the new theory on the *Alvasileh* that established on his opinion (on the way to revolt against corruption) the owner of the evolutionary gems. He says: the combatant who is your weapon to scare people out of their or equipped with it and the land will be the difference between corruption does not land or in the sea, in the city or town, in night or day in the same.

Wait on the brocade and late scholars votes, reviews can be defined to revolt: the person who is your weapon against bare or equipped and prepared to people with both terror and on the ground through the looting of property and force people to create mischief. On the other hand, the predominant age of *faqihs* revolt to cut through the interpretation by *Abdolghader* (1982) about *Odeh* in his book writes: *harabeh* is simply cut through or steal a cobra. In the *Shafie* religion, it is defined such enmity: enmity is being *Azaher* in order to get the property or committing murder or creating extreme fear that relying on the power of the it and its help-seeking possibility from stripping another. But the truth in this issue which is unable to help or get help to reach the victims and wounded has no effect on how the criminal perpetrators of the verb because it's basically a mass revolt with other forms of theft, this is usually the difference by ignoring government forces commit and finds the greatest pressure to the system of the government and the people of security, it will be done so this pillar-small militias in the realization of public ways out of crime. There is a town in the commit this crime within the city there is also a revolt there.

From the perspective of Contemporary Jurists as well as the necessity of the existence of disturb public security, on the one hand, the commit to employing the weapon and the basic condition for the realization of the verdict which are considered as a crime, we can say: the combatant is someone with a weapon on the way out of the city and the people of settlements and people openly property usurpation. A more comprehensive definition has come everyone take arms and intend to scare people to have revolt and has the same interpretation easier

highwayman's say that the security of the road disappears and it is known that God and the Prophet in verse, the idiom of the Islamic Ummah but *Murad* is all and it does not matter that people are invading Muslim or non-Muslim, here anyway as revolt applies and should the current provisions of the combatant on the invaders.

The concept of weapons: One of the necessary conditions for the spread offense as a revolt in the case which pulling the weapon of legal interpretation is the same as *Tashir* or *Tajrid*, according to criminal jurisprudence by committing as a crime. In the case of the crime of revolt, there can be three types of tools in the rules codified and based on texts of the comments that are considered weapons. The pistol, machine gun such as the tools (firearms) or knife, dagger (cold weapon) in the event of the fulfilment of other conditions can be defined in accordance with the convention and the existing laws, the same weapon against crime considered legal and juridical texts and in some questions does not exist. Because they are the primary purpose of making this type of tool has been as a weapon.

The tools such as the cane, whip, stick, stone, pepper and fire, the legally weapon and it could not be considered as theoretical difference and also those in the crime of these implements are never used as a revolt against criminal prosecution will not be placed. However, other materials according to their action may be one of criminal laws, codified under titles other than criminalization enmity. In addition, some have observed that in the case of weapons, the difference between the *Reed*, the stone and other tools. Perhaps the appearance of the verse relating to the extension of revolt but the meaning of its weapons included cane and stone is also rather than immediately but they are generally inaccurate. In confirmation of this topic, it can be used as examples to the opinion of Imam Khomeini (Rahmatullah), a reference in the written *Alvasileh* that have stipulated someone with a whip or stick to frighten people have whether or not? The location of the bug, it is not stated whether they are *Ruhollah* (1994, 1967).

The main differences of opinion but the location of the pause which include tools such as a screwdriver, scissors, iron, forcemeat mixers, hammer, razor floor carpet and so on is not to go completely cold or firearms are deemed to have committed to the assumption of using them and not a combatant is deemed to be clear and transparent, non-combatant weapons are never committed to it but we are human and weapon is automatically in case an exception instead of conventional and

conventional weapons to work, although it is dedicated to something that is related to it. We must see this type of tools that can be a weapon that has used to practice against crime or not.

Legal theory: Unfortunately, the rules of equity in that refer to the concept of a weapon, the unit procedures to the eye and some of these rules with the maximal interpretation of the definition of the weapon, the way to have open to any interpretation and some of them are relying on conventional convention, the definition of minimal provided as a weapon. For example, the following legal material note. In regulations of the Penal Code trafficking in arms and ammunition and gun holders approved unauthored 1392, the terms set forth in the above-mentioned law are defined in accordance with the regulations-definition of stabbing tools, gear tools and that unlike the warm guns in use, sound effects, flame and the heat did not make it to the body hit and led to the creation of rupture, bleeding, temporary or permanent members of crash and ultimately, the physical and mental damage or die. As a result, according to this definition, being considered as the maximal machines about the dispute away from the mind.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The theory also existed such as in the juridical texts:

Existing legislation, certain consensus or the opinion of the unit and in this context does not exist and some of them are discussed following the machines as a weapon and some of them are also opposed to each tools and easily gear under the name of the weapon. For example, some of the comments below. Come and discover the iron weapon assigned alltham as in the book *Ain* which is not true but just means the weapon is the same as most of them have reiterated that means any tool which is at war. Designated Abu Hanifa also bet that the weapon should at least be made of iron. Written in the book of the same possibility to the polymath. However, without a doubt, the possibility is weak (Hassan, 1947). Dahid Sani also believes assigning the judgment takes the weapon to a person who follows the narrative has been done and it is better this time which is not specified, the condition in this case, if the person is obtained merely to take a stone or tools or someone with strength and power which will be a verdict because of public verse Zinedine son of Ali.

The most important criterion in defining of doctrine: The weapon is something that has been built to fight and quarrel or to work and its meaning in terms of time and

place. Thus, you should see that perceptions of the convention of a weapon or weapons. Seems to be the convention, weapons ranging from hot and cold. Of course that the mere non-specific tools for work originally of strife has made its exit from the title does not necessarily cause the weapon, for example, may also be more axe or forcemeat mixers butcher with the former regulations, considering cold weapons.

The famous quote regarding the crime against them:

With research and elaborating on the specific opinion of the imamieh Faghieh that the majority of lawmakers are going to revolt against abstraction or weapon intended to scare people. Of course, Sheikh Tousi in «Almabsout Feqh Al-imamieh, almbot shall Feqh opinion jurisprudence public initially overwhelming that the revolt know footnotes but then, with the famous quote mentioned faqih and imamieh are the one who knows that the revolt status with the weapon, the scares people. Sheikh Mofid believes: if the Sunni Muslims in the land of corruption clear your weapon and plundered the property of the people is the Imam of another one penalty. He said; from the perspective of the weapon the property to revolt people which may stand. Despite this, some of the public such as imamieh fghahay faqih distinguish whether a penalty, according to the event in terms of the crime, according to Falasel, “jurisprudence” rule or “Alasab Falasab” commensurate with the nature and outcome of the behaviour of supervision, thus act with the supervision in one of the revolts, quadruple the penalty killing, rigid, cut hands and feet do not apply to the case of nafi and the opposite. On this basis, if it has weapons and whether a murder or maim, visas or exile, for financial and arms and hands from the opposite direction will be cut but if one killed nursed, the judge in the murder, the rigid or cut off his hands and feet free. Word of this bunch of jurists, well used to that status with weapons in order to destroy the revolt against property or people’s lives is also the researcher.

The main shiite revolt for a crime documentary:

Documentary about the mass revolt against them and main holy verse 33. ‘In the morning, behold, it is a punishment that God and his messenger to newcastle in the Earth increases, stand up straight and they are the hands of corruption that are killed or be hanged or hands as opposed to be discontinued or your land of Nafi. Regarding the interpretation of the verse, it said of the war with God and his messenger are two major comments raised; first, it is according to some commentators, Allame Tabatabaie where war with God and the messenger is not possible, any real sense to oppose religious rulings and

any kind of oppression and Asarafi is subject to the ruling of the revolt (Hosseini, 1966). Second, the amount that is in the opinion of the learned enmity with God, the sense of enmity with the prophet to Muslims may know and due to the great revolt of the Islamic Ummah with the enumerate operation as against Allah and the Messenger. With all this, it seems that a second opinion from a higher strength benefited, because the title fight in verse to the task and its Word has been mentioned in the sense of its original meaning. In addition, authorized the admission letter and spirit is heard here. Attributable to the Islamic Ummah to Allah and the Prophet and against the community, know the deal with clear political point of communication. Commentators and the Islamic Ummah, war with them and eliminate its security in the form of the use of weapons has been raised.

Pillar for revolt: The material consists of several components of the two pillars that include the act of committing a criminal offence; the subject of a crime means the result of the relation of causality below in order to check them.

The act committed by the Islamic Penal Code in Article 183: To express the act of committing a crime in the revolt of the phrase “to destroy” gun use. It seems, this phrase is not a detailed translation of juridical texts relevant because it is based on mere juridical sources to hand the weapon to the realization of the revolt is not enough but the combatant must pull a weapon on the others. Juridical texts of the word abstraction has been used weapons or Tashir and with a legal and juridical arguments which can be reached that in order to buy a gun but is not considered a criterion of a person with a weapon on the others bullying state and people and have seen a weapon of terror.

However, it can be outlined that this minimum value necessary for the fulfillment of the material as the pillar of the crime took place, the elevation of the weapon by the verdict.

The subject of the crime: the crime issue is what the crime actually is. For example, the issue of crime in the crime of theft, non-property and human life the subject of crime of killing and that one of the obvious examples of Moharebeh offenses against the internal security is considered the subject of it security and the security of the country. More than being an observer to State security and public security of the people to be in community. The deal with the government of the Islamic republic in crime took place on the territory of disrupting security (people) can be created regardless of whether the ruling state government. What the subject of the book

can be specific about the verdict said that it is in the security here which can be the lack of physical or mental threat to society but there is this threat physically and psychologically in a society. People of community in trepidation of nuisance predators, maybe not their rights and freedoms as evidence for benefit. In the past one of the examples of the disconnect the two burglars knew people on the bandits with weapons drag. Forced to their wealth which they were obviously this action causes the passengers and their families which is always a concern and a horror trip is always in their being lies. Therefore, it can be said the subject of the crime took place in this case and lack of aggression and trepidation of nuisance predators. For the same reason, in Article 183, the person is to create panic and fear, “the freedom and security of the people” to destroy arms and combatant. In fact, the opposite can be said all of the cases to the subject of the crime in lack of fear or relaxation, freedom and security poses, because the result is the establishment of peace, security and freedom when there is security. Important note on the subject of crime of Moharebeh as the text of the article is carefully observed to be “public safety” is in the theme and the mass aspect of popularize but about the meaning of the double vision can be popularize.

The first perspective: Lack of motivation meant to popularize personal feud is the combatant who plan to destroy the property of others whether for example the head of everyone since he pulled the weapon was rejected and his property. Therefore, the number is not the criterion here though, so it’s not to fall into the trap of combatant again, against the researcher. Probably deceased owner of the jewel is such a belief where it says: “combatant everyone that your weapon to intimidate people, in a way that will apply to the corruption in the land Article 183 with tends to talk the owner of jewel who is motivated by a personal feud or a few people for weapons, kill bill out of the rest of the examples for the revolt while the personal feud between motivation in our example the combatant and one or two people who are passing through the head have to cope with it. Because it focuses on the psychological viewpoint which principles and commits, the motivation is not personal.

The second view: The fable of revolt Article 183, popularize the form beyond what was mentioned in the first view. In Article 183, noted a term people use it in the same article of note 2, in the term of public aspect that is used. Therefore, according to this view in terms of quantification is also attacked by people that the term must be so whether people or popularize true about them. The nature of the principles of the interpretation of

criminal laws is the second theory of acceptance at the same time attention must be the second view is the first view supplement is therefore does not negate it completely. In any case, if one of your weapons to personal motivation speech towards others, although a large number and take them out again but the revolt is not attained note 2 observer Article 183 of the same article: if anyone, your personal speech motivated by one or more people for its action and public aspects, cannot be considered a combatant.

The means of mass: For the realization of some crimes, the use of special tools are required. To these crimes, the crimes still means. The offense took time out from among such a committed crimes is the use of a particular weapon means for the realization it is necessary for the fulfilment of all of them as a weapon against abstraction or the same as the elevation of the weapon and the necessary by virtue of note 3, Article 183 between melee weapon and firearm does not matter and the use of the gun, in order to the type and order of arms, hands down the type of weapon is here are three important points; the first point is that the concept of minimal weapons is something with which the war and samples of the types of firearms such as colt, the sword and the spear are other perspective believes that in addition to the items listed if you use what the ability to scare, it also weapons such as stone, wood and cane. The third perspective to the concept of maximal believes in the concept of the use of force and the threat of the weapons component comes (Zadeh and Jafar, 1983). Regardless of the false which is said to consider the deaths should be to determine the concept and see examples of the weapon to the convention as well as the basis of the convention is not considered a weapon of force and therefore the third theory is not accepted and in case of doubt in the interpretation of the principles of criminal law, the nature of the promise and the resulting lack of realization of temporary weapon that took in the case of the usage of this equipment. Therefore, the only correct opinion, prime which has been weapons among the things considered that in the war such as variety firearms. The second point: for the realization of the revolt is enough that the weapon on people see people bet drawn weapons of terror and panic mode and emerge in people but that is certainly one of the target, shoot cool guns (cartridge) or with someone to be imported.

The third point: Toy weapons are not covered by the warrant article because it is not in this as a weapon even if these weapons have similarities with real weapons and even if the person fears it will not be a mass revolt against the investigator again, because this is just a plastic object

and is not considered a weapon while in the text of the article should be definitely stipulated combatant weapons not to use the toy now, if used by contacts plastic toy weapons have been panicking and panic one does not fulfill every few feet, revolt but this murder case has been subject to documentary and in the case of intentional murder or considered as semi-deliberate murder deliberate and semi-deliberate murder and brought on the current violation. As if the firearms cartridge is empty even though it matches as the gun is not the weapon but is considered complete and it cannot be used to discriminate against its planned, concept of magnitude down to the weapon to hand fighting is shooting preparation and firing guns and is devoid of its realization in the cartridge is sufficient doubt the principle of interpretation as well as minimal inside not knowing the items simply hesitant to criminal realm. Obviously in these cases if the other offence is located (for example, a crime carrying a weapon) punishable according to the law of punishment for aggravated smuggling of arms approved by 1350 place but in any case not be realization of the revolt.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of a crime: A crime is because of the verdict, terror, fear and the freedom and security of the people. How to express some of them represent the absolute being is against crime in Al-sharayeh, in fixing a sentence against a poor weapon but for someone who create but doubt that the verdict is a verdict. According to this group of faqihs, they have spent enough to realize the elevation of the weapons is a crime and there is no need on creating fear and panic.

The relation of causality: The significant that two of the crimes is bound. In criminal offences as a result of this, it is necessary to ensure a tie results must be achieved from an action committed in other words must be between the act commits as a criminal and the result is (the elevation of the weapon) (terror, stripping the freedom and security of the people) the relationship of causality. If such a relationship is not a crime is not the attainment of a researcher also pull weapons on people but the people are not in effect this action but also to attack the predator animals that they brought an influx, beware and be intimidated combatant realization.

Two examples: Here are some examples of the knowledge that committed crimes has been examined against God in the judgment: the state security through armed robbery and altarigh: altering, robbers in Articles 185, the Islamic Penal Code 653 and 654 is anticipated. Here it is necessary

in the book *Alsharayeh*: the thief is a revert and the punishment of revert. So, whenever the break into a house, the proprietor has the right with it and raise its blood to kill the thief be caused if the defense is wasted and a defense of its sponsor but if the thief commits crimes against homeowners be the guarantor.

On the basis of the Islamic Penal Code, Article 185 approved 1370, the robber and the altering, whenever the security people with guns or the time on the road and the terror of the combatant is in the order of weapons in this article which is real weapons ranging from hot or cold and its full or empty but shoot down the weapon is not a requirement with the approval of the rules concerning the crime subject of this article, the question arose whether a robbery ranging from being on the road such as rehabilitation or way and the city or the functions they perform and also the theft of your home is actually housing can be used to commit a crime as a revolt and the applicable should be based on the law concerning to the aggravation of the penalty for thief armed with such behavior earned? In this case, the legal office of the judiciary believes that: armed robbery in the jurisdiction of the revolutionary court and the laws concerning aggravated punishment of the armed thief is obsolete this legal vacuum with the enactment of law approved by legislators in 1375 elevated Article 653 requires, everyone on ways and burglars, if the earth as a revolt and it is not true by three to 15 years imprisonment and whipping up 74 convicted hit.

The armed uprising: another obvious examples of a mass revolt against corruption and armed action against the government of earth in the case of those who are personally known to security and order arms and threatened society, the definition of the crime and corruption and revolt against earth with all. The armed uprising as whilst in the definition of the crime of corruption on earth does not apply but the crimes committed by such law to punish the combatant, it will be sentenced. The mass of the Islamic Penal Code adopted in Article 186 of the year 1370, the following predictions: any group or population consists of armed uprising against the Islamic republic as its centrality to all members and supporters, in order that the group or organization or population can understand and somehow in its goals and efforts are effective if they are in the military branch of the company. Note: the partner of front groups and various entities in the judgment of a unit in the convention in the book *Jihad Islamic faqihs*, means the defiance and the withdrawal of armed uprising and Adel (1964) stood in front of him and rebellious and outside meant the rapist and the outlaws against the guardian of Muslims but just with the previous fact. Thus, being said rebellious

someone is resorting to force and power to change the government system or change of activity or that officials with the power of the refusal of obedience.

Islamic state subversion plan shed: According to Article 187 of the Islamic Punishment Act of 1370, any individual or group that plans to overthrow the Islamic government of the fold and explosive weapons and preparing for this purpose and also those who provide financial facilities and effective or aware of equipment toy guns and put them to work to provide combatant. The Islamic state subversion in two categories of the target earned legislator. The first batch are the individual or group that plans to overthrow the government there and this end the explosive and arms procurement. The second person or categories are those with the knowledge and information of the person or group object to a plan to overthrow the Islamic regime, effective financial facilities or equipment and toy guns and give them work. In this devastating crime, there is insufficient spending plan but also the preparation of explosive and guns is also required after having prepared the overthrow plan without weapons and explosives or preparing arms and explosives without the required plan to overthrow the Islamic government, there is not enough and both are necessary and interdependent. Leading no matter the plan provided to the case or enforce.

Explosives and guns to any type of material that the ability to have a blast and any kind of means that the applicable regulations relating to arms. Considering that the law of word explosives after the word applied to the arms, therefore, it can be said that the purpose of the weapons in this article is that any one gram is combustible and its inclusion of a stabbing outside. The realization of the above offence is subject to the action of a group. But who and what the group is doing a mass armed uprising against crime may be mentioning a group or redundant in this article. Realization of this mass is important because if it is not a crime by the above prepared explosives cannot be attained. In this article, it's a complete and independent deputy which is in crime and punishment for complete and independent crime upon it.

Compare the pillars of the former penal code took the material and the new penal code: Article 183, 1370, in a crime against God. With this article attends which can be said that the verdict is in the elevation of the weapon with the intention of intimidating the people security and freedom. This definition is based on the arguments of the past to those topics but what about this article, it was the place of the ambiguity of the combatant together, hand guns to destroy the earth to be combatant. The seventh time that this matter as below it raised, corruption on

earth' and verdict. The question is whether these two words represent an action and on a topic is applicable or these two different words with each other and each represents a crime is independent, in other words, do we as independent criminal with two or with a title? Since, the basis of criminalization is revolt against Imam Al ma'ida 33 Jerusalem twice, to answer this question, we first examined this verse: God and criminal enema's spirit and my contrary (Hosseini, 1966). The appearance of this verse also took on corruption and the it has reiterated but the rise of the verdict, it is customary with God, the prophet and his glory as well as corruption in the land of each component are subject because of corruption on Earth and turning with the combatant. So, if all conditions in the topic, also finds the activity.

Although, some have tried, these two words, represent two independent mass revolt against corruption on Earth but the truth is that interpreters and corruption against them and believe that on this verse, they are two separate title because the three spirits and earth. Turning on is a total focus and shifted against to express a truth. If repeated three contraceptives was apropos and duplicates if you do not repeat (Kashani, 1952). It must be said, Jeremy as corruption on Earth in the revolt, there is no juridical texts. Corruption is just a copycat on the revolt. The definition about the supposed verdict from them is the same as in Article 183. It is accepted on the drag of the weapon with the intention of intimidating the people security and freedom. In accordance with this definition, two original of two attributes.

The elevation of corruption: Stripping of freedom and intimidation and going to public safety. First related to second that related to material and pillar of the mental pillar. If one of these two attributes does not in practice, there was no individual that is combatant. As mentioned, jurisprudents the concept merely took the state knows that the person intending to intimidate people to pull guns on them. In addition, it is a criminal for corruption as an independent fee is not distinguish earth attribute and it just took. However, Islamic Republic of Iran with the look and the interpretation of the surface of the Imam Al m'ida 33 Jerusalem twice, in some cases have harvested abuse and is committed to the realm of matter that none of the two attributes of the hand gun and does not have the intention of having the intimidation which is developed, also in cases of 'corruption on earth' for the criminal independent belief. Examples of these items to the abundance in laws such as the penal code, act like approved sanctions in year, 1362 and approved in 1371, forces offences law and replace it, i.e., the penal code offences are found in 1382. Islamic Penal Code in 1370

(sanctions and preventive punishment book) several cases of this type of criminalization. The law was significant in that the individual not guns and not a combatant or whether a warrant. From attends in this collection rules, they choose as corruption earth and they took as a revolt against the warrant, because of the incorporation of the various offences against it, there has been a deal with the government or the fact deal with the government. While, there was significant as such or such systematic changes because of the revolt is not the time for the establishment of the license and Jeremy as a corruption.

Yes, if someone is actually engage with the Islamic Republic while the terms of it, it can be found in terms of topics based on him in the garden; if someone who obey the religious ruling of innocent or time has been put out but by no means will not be considered a combatant. (Zadeh and Jafar, 1963) because the definition of juridical and legal verdict is nothing but what is in Article 183, the Islamic penal law, namely the intention of the elevation in the weapon; intimidation and the stripping of freedom and the security of the people. However, this legislation does not seem to be the defense. The rules in paragraphs A-H, sentence or two actions in the legislature that has brought growth that none of the properties of the revolt (for example, legislator in Article 6 adopted profiteering in year 1365, the law hoarding, according to the government plan to deal with the rest of the revolt) in the laws mentioned in Paragraphs A and G, rules also apply to criminal evidence for corruption on the earth. For example, the Law on Aggravated Punishment of Legislators Earned Article 4, approved embezzlement and fraud offences in 1367 the amount earned on these rules. In addition, if this argument be used according to this case, if these people used as a penalty on crime, you have something on this case which they run, however, this seems to be all the rules which need to be modified. Meanwhile, the Islamic Penal Article 284 is according to the legal regulations with the indication that should be removed. If rule which is action because of the injuries, it should be punished in the strongest way possible which can the death penalty too but could not tell this action against the corruption as well as earth in terms of juridical has its own peculiarities and corruption against criminal as well on this basis, it is not independent but in the new Islamic Penal Code, according to state law in Article 279 and note it, turns out to be the two main condition without hesitation fulfill futures against crime, realizing in there, the need and intention to disturb public security and the necessity for psychological pillar using the weapons to supply the material principles. The necessity of the existence of the intention to disturb general

security: although, some of the Shi'ite Imam, plan for the realization of a mass revolt have not essential but the law in Article 279, approve to comply with the majority of faqih Imamie, the necessity of realization of the specific ill and intimidation in this field need to know the expression of our law requires that makes up the commit action to perform practical but intend to scare it and the security Is not public in the realization of two dudes.

In addition, attention and precision of direct intent to commit in this field is very important and it will be decisive. In the event that the determining factor of this regard is only intending to direct the rest of the commit, it will further the realization of the two circle and vice versa, if the science commits such act that creates fear, realizing a broader revolt against crime circle. Another important point related to the fulfilment of the intention to disturb public security, being a public menace is committed that can be committed when the revolt has no intention of hostility towards the special rule, so if the commit action based on a personal feud and armed person or certain people with murder, its only action for crimes against the people's verdict is not traceable (Abbas, 1957).

The necessity of employing guns: Committed revolt that employed, it plays an important role. The most important issue that will be raised in this regard is that the purpose of the weapon. Imamie faqih, in this field has three different perspectives. The prime purpose of the weapons group in this field is limited to iron, weapons such as swords, knives and iron weapons, in the examples of the differences between firearms (rifles) and cold (like dagger) have not been accepted by most of the same vision.

The second group of faqihs believe something else: In addition to other weapons such as samples of stone, iron, wood and cane that are not considered weapons, it is also enough to revolt. The third group is also in the field are those who use weapons to apply force and coercion and domination have also developed. According to this view, generally means applied against such weapons is not a condition for the realization of the majority Shi'ite revolt victims has always been subject to its original conditions. These conditions are the most important, there is a particular ill in order to public security and deployment tool that it be considered weapons which has always led to misdemeanor this limited circle and enclosed. A glimpse into the country's security against the criminal headlines of the Islamic penal law and penal code offences that fulfill the role of the armed forces took place facing such limitation or misdemeanor and crime in the fulfilment of this transition rule territorial legislation and without feeling the necessity to fulfill the main condition of possible offence.

However, although the Islamic penal law approved 92 combatant of isolated and corruption on earth but continued offences in law sanction and the laws that mentioned that applies as a combatant on the crimes to get away from fairness and acceptance is not based on the definition of the other party in connection with the new law on corruption crime, though this principle that criminal provisions and regulations including the all to draw from the corruptions of human community and disposal of materials a word is correct but this argument can only be a crime being operated on to fix corruption and matching the desired extension.

The result: An integral component of social life, security is provided within the framework of the lofty goals can be achieved. Humanities; social law of Iran, like any other government, aim to create a safe social environment, numerous examples of disruptive behavior as a phenomenon of criminal security, introduction and serious considered for it. In this offense, the combatant with the intention of getting the security, honor, property or lives of people or intimidate them, weapons may cause unrest in the environment. With regard to legislation developments in the Islamic Republic of Iran, have been witnessing a mass revolt against changes in the event that some of the examples of enmity in the Islamic Punishment Law passed by new and independent criminal as 1370, called Feqh. On the other hand, Islamic Punishment law with the many changes and innovations which is including it. Article 280 pillar took the legal form that in comparison with the Islamic Punishment Law passed by 1370 with considerable differences. In this article, the status of the weapon against the intention of the people, property or honor or intimidate them, in a manner that causes insecurity in the environment. With this definition, it is clear that the verdict on the bill to establish a pillar of criminal material which results in the creation of insecurity. The aforementioned article, also in the mental pillar Article 183 is different. In this article, the person Bill 280 combatant status not only with weapons intended to intimidate people but the weapons and security status with the intention of property or honor people's lives also is considered as a combatant.

CONCLUSION

At the end of this case, it can be also noted that the two offences against the internal security of the sentence and the crime committed in this essentially side are public in the sense that their specific individual notice action whether or not the political system and government structure may be compared to the works of some people have a particular political status or to be effective,

therefore, it cannot be a political crime and on the other hand because of the accordance with the criteria and the conditions of its revolt around religious and therefore, it given certain generalization giving the correct concept on the other acts don't seem particularly make this a crime, including crimes requires much and we know that about the rule of caution to prevent the ruling of its development.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, Z., 1957. *As the IPC*. Publication of Grace, Tehran, Iran.
- Abdolghader, M.H., 1982. *Religions-Islam*. Vol. 4, DAR Publishing, Beirut, Lebanon.
- Adel, S., 1964. *Offenses against Public Safety and Security*. University of Qom, Qom, Iran.
- Hassan, N.M., 1947. *Javaher Kalam*. Vol. 41, Al-Maktabah Al-Islamiyah Publisher, Tehran, Iran.
- Hossein, M.M.S., 1966. *Criminal Law (Crimes Against Public Security and Safety)*. University of Tehran Press, Tehran, Iran.
- Kashani, M.E., 1952. Review of legal theories guardian council. *Led Q.*, 1: 4-5.
- Ruhollah, K., 1967. *Tahrir Ul-Vassileh*. Vol. 2, Islamic Publication, Qom, Iran, Pages: 443.
- Ruhollah, M.K., 1944. *Tahrir Ul-Vassileh*. Vol. 4, Scientific Publications, Iran, Pages: 239.
- Zadeh, H. and M. Jafar, 1963. *Waging War in Iran Criminal Law*. Tarbiat Modarres University Press, Tehran, Iran.