OPEN ACCESS Science International

ISSN 2305-1884
DOI: 10.17311/sciintl.2017.1.6

Research Article
Usage of Cellulolytic Enzymes in Lactating Goats Diets

[1]A.M. Kholif, [1]Eman S.A. Farahat, [2]M.A. Hanafy, [1]S.M. Kholif and [2]R.R. EL-Sayed

[1]Department of Dairy Science, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt
[2]Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

Abstract

Background: The trial was completed to assess the impacts of fibrolytic enzymes supplementation on milk yield, milk content, blood serum parameters and the feed effectiveness and sparing assessment by lactating Zaraibi goats. **Materials and Methods:** Nine lactating Zaraibi goats following 7 days of parturition were isolated into 3 gatherings, 3 animals every, utilizing 3×3 latin square outlines for 84 days. The principal gathering was sustained 37.5% focus nourish blend (CFM), 12.5% date piece and half berseem roughage (control eat less carbs). The second gathering was nourished control eat less carbs supplemented with Veta-Zyme Plus® at level 15 U kg^{-1} DM. The 3rd gathering was encouraged control abstain from food supplemented with Asperozyme at level 45 U Kg^{-1} DM. **Results:** Milk yield (genuine or FCM), milk fat yield, total solids (either as percent or yield), milk solids not fat (either as percent or yield), milk protein yield and milk lactose yield were significantly ($p<0.05$) expanded for treated gatherings (Asperozym and Veta-Zyme Plus® (contrasted and the control assemble. Blood serum parameters were not influenced by medications, aside from total protein and albumin which altogether ($p<0.05$) expanded for treated gatherings) Asperozym and Veta-Zyme Plus®) contrasted and the control. **Conclusion:** Abstain from food supplemented with Asperozym is more monetary and proficient for sustaining lactating Zaraibi goats than these supplemented with Veta-Zyme Plus® or control consume less calories.

Key words: Cellulases, Zaraibi goats, milk, blood serum, economical evaluation

Citation: A.M. Kholif, Eman S.A. Farahat, M.A. Hanafy, S.M. Kholif and R.R. EL-Sayed, 2017. Usage of cellulolytic enzymes in lactating goats diets. Sci. Int., 5: 1-6.

Corresponding Author: A.M. Kholif, Department of Dairy Science, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, there is an extreme absence of customary feedstuffs for trained animals encouraging. The huge bolster crevice between the prerequisites and the accessible sources constrained the organizers and nutritionists to search for non-conventional assets, where there is no opposition with human, for example, farming by-items and agro-mechanical by-items, which are accessible around the year however not proficiently utilized.

Agro-mechanical by-items are accessible in Egypt in extensive amounts found the middle value of 26 million t as reported by El Shaer[1], some of these materials are portrayed by high nutritive esteem. So, it can be utilized as supplementary encourage fixings as a part of animal apportions[2]. Date parts is one of these agro-mechanical by items, it has been shown by numerous agents as an adequate, modest and rich encourage elements for sheep and goats[1,2].

Cellulase as one of exogenous-fiberolytic chemicals was utilized to enhance the absorbability and nutritive estimation of low quality roughages. Expanding edibility of the eating routine by utilizing exogenous-nourish compounds will prompt to the gainful impacts on animal execution, so such medicines are probably going to be most trlactation[3].

The expanding in milk generation had been seen in a few studies[3-10], while lactating animals were sustained treated fiberolytic protein consume less calories than those bolstered the control one. This study might be ascribed to the enhancing in supplements processing with proteins supplementation by ewes and goats[8]. Likewise, Azzaz[10] watched that the generation of FCM was higher ($p<0.05$) for goats encouraged treated fiberolytic catalyst abstain from food than those bolstered the control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done at Agricultural Experimental Station, Sheep and Goat Research Unit, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt. In collaboration with Dairy Science Department, National Research Center (NRC), Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Gathering date bit: Date bits powdered were gotten from Siwa Oasis, Marsa Matrouh, Egypt.

Enzyme sources

Veta-Zyme Plus®: A business catalysts source created by Vetagri® Consulting Inc., Canada. Every 1 g of this compound contains 400 unit of cellulase, 550 unit of amylase, 2000 unit of protease, *lactobacillus acidophiles* 200 million state shaping unit (CFU) and transporter (calcium carbonate upto 1 g).

Asperozym: Lab delivered cellulase from *Asperigillus niger*. Every 1 g contains 133 unit of cellulase.

Feeding and management: Nine Zaraibi lactating goats (around 3 years of age and weighing by and large 30 kg) following 7 days of parturition were haphazardly alloted into three gatherings of three animals every utilizing 3×3 latin square outline. The trial time frames were 12 weeks (84 days) and comprised of 3 equivalent periods (28 days each). The goats were nourished on apportion comprised of half focus and half roughage *ad libtum*. The think nourish blend comprised of 33.33% yellow corn, 13.33% soybean feast, 20% wheat grain, 26.67% cotton seed supper, 4% minerals-vitamins premix and 2.67% molasses. The primary gathering was sustained on 37.5% think bolster blend (CFM), 12.5% date parts and half berseem feed (Control diet). The test proteins were supplemented at the suggested rate from the *in vitro* analyze. In like manner, the 2nd gathering was encouraged the control count calories supplemented with Veta-Zyme Plus® at 15 U kg^{-1} DM (T1), while the third gathering was bolstered the control eat less carbs supplemented with Asperozym at 45 U kg^{-1} DM (T2). The think nourish blend, date pieces and berseem roughage were separated into 2 segments then twice per day at 8.00 am likewise, 4.00 pm. The chemicals were blended well with the date parts and acquainted once every day with every gathering of animal. Crisp water was accessible at all times. The chemical sythesis of sustain fixings utilized as a part of bolstering test (DM premise) (Table 1).

Table 1: Chemical composition of feed ingredients used in feeding experiment (DM basis)

Items	CFM	Berseem hay	Date kernels
DM	92.50	93.60	89.10
Chemical composition (%)			
OM	89.70	86.70	97.16
CP	16.49	17.47	4.60
EE	3.32	1.50	6.76
CF	7.24	19.41	13.22
NFE	62.65	48.32	72.58
Ash	10.30	13.30	2.84
Cell wall constituents (%)			
NDF	24.70	43.76	52.11
ADF	13.77	35.96	46.04
ADL	4.65	10.34	11.63
Hemicellulose	10.93	7.80	6.07
Cellulose	9.12	25.62	34.41

Hemicellulose: NDF-ADF, Cellulose: ADF-ADL, CFM: Concentrate feed mixture, DM: Dry matter, OM: Organic matter, CP: Crude protein, EE: Ether extract, CF: Crude fiber, NDF: Neutral detergent fiber, ADF: Acid detergent fiber, ADL: Acid detergent lignin

Blood serum investigation: Blood tests were taken from every animal at the most recent day (28th day) of each trial period at around 4 h after the morning encouraging. Blood tests were taken from jugular vein from all animals. Gathered blood tests were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 min. Likewise, the supernatant was put away in glass tubes and kept solidified for later examination. Tests were dissected for total protein was resolved as portrayed by Gornal *et al.*[11], albumin[12], urea[13], serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)[14], glucose[15], cholesterol[16], globulin and albumin/globulin proportion were computed.

Sampling and examination of milk: The animals were milked twice every day at 8.00 a.m. also, 4.00 p.m. amid 3 progressive days of each exploratory period (22-24 days). Tests of milk were promptly gathered from every animal in the wake of morning and night milking. The specimens of every animal were blended to speak to a blended example of steady rate of the night and morning yield. Milk tests were dissected for total solids, fat, genuine protein and lactose by infrared spectrophotometery (Foss matic 120 Milko-Scan, Foss Q3 183 Electric, Hillerød, Denmark) as per AOAC[17] strategy. Solids not fat substance of milk was figured by the distinction between total solids and fat substance. Fat rectified milk (4% fat) was ascertained by utilizing the accompanying condition as indicated by Gaines[18].

$$FCM = 0.4\ M+15\ F$$

Where:
M = Milk yield (g day^{-1})
F = Fat yield (measure of fat = M × fat (%))

Statistical examination: Information got from this study were measurably broke down by SAS[19] as indicated by general direct model systems delineated by Snedecor and Cochran[20]. These techniques were:

Latin square plan: Latin square plan for milk yield and synthesis and blood parameters utilizing the general direct model methodology:

$$Yijk = \mu+Ri+Cj+Tk+Eijk$$

where, Yijk is the parameter under examination of the ijk quality, μ is the general mean, Ri is the impact because of the lactation time frame on the parameter under investigation, Cj is the impact because of the animals on the parameter under examination, Tk is the impact because of treatment on the parameter under examination, Eijk is the test blunder for ijk on the perception, thought to be haphazardly conveyed (0" σ2).

The Duncan's different range tests[21] were utilized to test the criticalness among means for information of cellulase creation trials, milk yield, milk content, supplements digestibilities, rumen parameters and blood parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Blood serum parameters: Table 2 demonstrated that increasing convergence of serum total protein in blood of goats bolstered diets treated with cellulases contrasted and control might be connected that these goats cover their protein needs from their treated eating methodologies protein which may described by to higher solvency and edibility of protein in treated eating routine contrasted and control eat less. This study is in accordance with the outcome got by Gado *et al.*[22] who reported that organic treatment (Cellulose, rumen liqour and cellumonas cellulasea) of bagasse expanded blood plasma add upto protein, additionally, the weight control plans treated with cellulases (T1 and T2) huge ($p<0.05$) expanded serum add upto albumin contrasted and the control eat less carbs. The expansion of serum albumin fixation might be because of higher CP edibility for goats nourished eating methodologies supplemented with cellulase contrasted and control count calories[23]. The expanding of serum albumin might be clarify the high substance of milk protein as appeared in Table 3. This study identified with that serum albumin is the principle wellspring of milk protein union. Results are in a decent concurrence with those acquired by Bader[24] (in goats) and El-Ashry *et al.*[25] (in sheep) that organic medications expanded serum albumin. Likewise, Azzaz[10] watched that fibrolytic chemicals treatment altogether ($p<0.05$) expanded plasma albumin. Serum urea focus indicated higher ($p<0.05$) esteem by goats sustained (T2) eat less carbs contrasted and those bolstered control eat less at the same time, goats encouraged (T1) had inconsequential increment in serum urea fixation contrasted and goats nourished control and (T2) diets. These outcomes are in accordance with Ali[26] and Gado *et al.*[22], who reported that natural treatment expanded serum urea fixations. There were immaterial contrasts ($p>0.05$) among medicines in the general method for serum albumin: Globulin (A/G) proportion, globulin, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanin aminotransferase (ALT), cholesterol and glucose. These outcomes showed that adding cellulases to lactating goat's weight control plans were not contrarily influenced liver movement or animal's wellbeing.

Table 2: Blood serum parameters of the experimental lactating goats

	Experimental diets			
Items	Control	T1	T2	±SE
Total protein (g dL^{-1})	6.75[b]	7.29[a]	7.43[a]	0.09
Albumin (g dL^{-1})	3.08[b]	3.57[a]	3.61[a]	0.09
Globulin (g dL^{-1})	3.67	3.71	3.82	0.08
A/G ratio	0.85	0.99	0.96	0.04
Urea (mg dL^{-1})	18.42[b]	22.63[ab]	24.08[a]	1.11
AST (U mL^{-1})	50.33	52.55	51.45	2.62
ALT (U mL^{-1})	22.78	24.22	23.23	1.02
Glucose (mg dL^{-1})	69.42	73.39	74.20	1.06
Cholesterol (mg dL^{-1})	115.44	120.89	123.11	2.35

[a,b]Means designated with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at p<0.05. SE: Standard error. T1: Veta-Zyme Plus®, T2: Asperozym

Table 3: Milk yield and composition of the experimental lactating goats

	Experimental diets			
Item	Control	T1	T2	±SE
Yield (g h^{-1} day^{-1})				
Actual milk	838[c]	908[b]	975[a]	20.35
4% FCM	749[b]	853[a]	929[a]	22.63
Milk total solids	92[c]	105[b]	115[a]	2.69
Milk fat	28[b]	33[a]	39[a]	1.09
Milk solids not fat	64[c]	72[b]	80[a]	1.77
Milk total protein	25[b]	29[ab]	33[a]	1.04
Milk lactose	34[c]	38[b]	41[a]	0.92
Milk ash	4	5	5	0.59
Milk content (%)				
Total solids	10.99[b]	11.58[ab]	11.92[a]	0.17
Fat	3.30	3.60	3.71	0.09
Solids not fat	7.69[b]	7.98[ab]	8.22[a]	0.11
Total protein	3.04	3.19	3.46	0.10
Lactose	4.12	4.23	4.24	0.04
Ash	0.51	0.56	0.52	0.06

[a,b,c]Means designated with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at p<0.05. SE: Standard error. T1: Veta-Zyme Plus®, T2: Asperozym, FCM: Fat corrected milk

Milk yield and its composition: Milk structure was not influenced by cellulases medicines, accept milk add upto solids rate and milk strong not fat rate were fundamentally (p<0.05) expanded for goats sustained Asperozym (T2) than those bolstered the control consume less calories. Then again, goats encouraged eating regimens supplemented with veta-Zyme Plus® (T1) demonstrated were unimportant (p>0.05) contrasts among gatherings in the rate of milk total solids and milk strong not fat, while genuine milk and 4% Fat Corrected Milk (FCM) yield were fundamentally (p<0.05) expanded for goats sustained (T1) and (T2) diets than those nourished the control count calories. Goats sustained (T2) consume less calories create more milk than those bolstered (T1) eat less (Table 3). Adding Asperozym to lactating goat's eating methodologies expanded milk generation by 16.35% and fat rectified milk creation by 24.03%, while including Veta-Zyme Plus® to lactating goat's eating routine expanded milk creation by 8.35% and fat adjusted milk generation by 13.88% contrasted and untreated weight control plans (control). Our discoveries are in concurrence with the outcomes acquired by Yang *et al.*[6], Titi and Lubbadeh[8] and Gado *et al.*[22]. This study might be credited to enhanced supplement absorption after cellulases supplementation by goats. Milk fat yields were higher (p<0.05) for goats encouraged (T1) and (T2) diets than those bolstered the control abstain from food. In this association, milk fat increments for weight control plans containing cellulolytic catalysts (T1) and (T2) contrasted and the control which may represent the impact of treated eating regimens on ruminal TVFA's[23] while, these eating methodologies brought about exceptional increment in TVFA's generation and may conceivably bring about increment of rumen acetic acid derivation and acetic acid derivation: propionate proportion. Rumen acetic acid derivation is the fundamental hotspot for milk short chain unsaturated fats (half of milk unsaturated fats) amalgamation prompting to build milk fat yield. Milk solids not fat yield was huge (p<0.05) increment with goats sustained (T1) and (T2) diets than goats nourished control eat less carbs. On the other hand, goats bolstered (T2) consume less calories demonstrated critical (p<0.05) increment of milk total solids yield contrasted with those encouraged (T1) eat less. These outcomes are in a decent concurrence with those got by Rode *et al.*[3], Zheng *et al.*[7], Kholif[9], Azzaz[10], Lewis *et al.*[27] and Dhiman *et al.*[28], who found that milk solids not fat yield somewhat expanded with enzymatic treatment contrasted and control.

Milk protein yield was critical (p<0.05) increment for goats sustained (T2) slim down than those nourished the control consume less calories. In any case, goats bolstered (T1) consume less calories had unimportant (p>0.05) increment in milk protein yield contrasted and goats nourished control and (T2) diets. Expanding milk protein with fiberolytic compounds treatment might be because of at least one of the accompanying reasons: (1) Higher nutritive esteem (DCP) of treated apportions[23], (2) Higher CP, OM and CF edibility[23], (3) The expansion of serum albumin (Table 2) since serum albumin is the primary wellspring of milk protein combination and (4) Enhance the effectiveness of union of microbial protein in the rumen[29]. In this way, it is plausible that enhanced effectiveness of microbial protein amalgamation is an after effect of chemical activity on the scrounge auxiliary polysaccharides adjusting the rate of ruminal corruption of basic starches[20] and the arrangement of an appropriate ruminally degradable nitrogen source[6]. Milk add up to strong yield was higher (p<0.05) for goats encouraged (T1) and (T2) diets than those bolstered the control consume less

Table 4: Feed efficiency and economical evaluation of experimental diets

Items	Control	T1	T2
Average live body weight (kg)	30.40	31.10	31.45
Dry matter intake (g)			
Concentrate feed mixture	370	378	382
Date kernels	128	131	132
Berseem hay	487	498	504
Total DMI (g h^{-1} day^{-1})	985	1007	1018
4% FCM yield (g $h^{-1}day^{-1}$)	749	853	929
Feed efficiency (FCM/DMI)	0.76[b]	0.85[ab]	0.91[a]
***Feeding cost**			
Concentrate feed mixture	0.81	0.83	0.84
Date kernels	0.13	0.13	0.13
Berseem hay	0.88	0.90	0.91
Cellulases	-	0.18	0.08
Total cost (LE day^{-1})	1.82	2.04	1.96
Production cost of 1kg 4% FCM (LE)	2.43	2.39	2.11

*Based on market prices at the beginning of experiment, prices were as follow: CFM: 2200, Berseem hay: 1800, Date kernels: 1000 (LE t^{-1}) 2012. Means designated with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at $p<0.05$

calories. On the other hand, goats nourished (T2) slim down indicated huge ($p<0.05$) increment of milk total solids yield contrasted with those sustained (T1) eat less carbs. Be that as it may, yield of total solids was essentially ($p<0.05$) expanded because of the combined impact of cellulases treatment on the fat and protein fixations as both were numerically higher for the treated gatherings contrasted with the control assemble. Milk lactose yield was higher ($p<0.05$) for goats sustained (T1) and (T2) diets than those bolstered the control eat less carbs. On the other hand, goats encouraged (T2) eat less carbs demonstrated noteworthy ($p<0.05$) higher milk lactose yield contrasted with those nourished (T1) abstain from food. These outcomes might be because of the higher milk yield of goats nourished eating regimen supplemented with Asperozym and Veta-Zyme Plus® than those sustained control eat less carbs and/or the era of more supplements which get to be accessible as an after effect of enhancements in bolster absorbability.

Feed efficiency and economical evaluation of experimental diets: Table 4 demonstrated that there was a critical ($p<0.05$) distinction in nourish proficiency (FCM/DMI) among goats sustained eating regimens supplemented with cellulases (T1 and T2) and goats encouraged control abstain from food.

The best encourage effectiveness was recorded by goats sustained eating routine supplemented with Asperozym (T2) trailed by goats bolstered eat less supplemented with Veta-Zyme Plus® (T1) then goats nourished control eating routine, being 0.91, 0.85 and 0.76, separately. Then again, information demonstrated that the best encourage cost for generation of 1 kg 4% FCM was recorded by goats nourished eating regimen supplemented with Asperozym (T2) trailed by goats sustained eating routine supplemented with Veta-Zyme Plus® (T1) then goats bolstered control eating routine, being 2.11, 2.39 and 2.43 LE kg^{-1}, separately. These outcomes imply that eating routine supplemented with Asperozym (T2) is more financial and productive for nourishing lactating Zaraibi goats than alternate weight control plans.

CONCLUSION

Eating methodologies of lactating Zaraibi goats supplemented with Asperozym and Veta-Zyme Plus® expanded ($p<0.05$) milk yield , fat adjusted milk , milk fat yield, milk add up to solids (either as substance or yields), milk solids not fat (either as substance or yields), milk protein yield and milk lactose yield contrasted and the control total. Blood serum parameters were not influenced by medicines, aside from total protein and albumin which altogether ($p<0.05$) expanded for treated gatherings) Asperozym and Veta-Zyme Plus®) contrasted and the control.

At long last, the lab created cellulase (Asperozym) was more financial and productive for nourishing lactating Zaraibi goats than the business cellulase (Veta-Zyme Plus®) abstain from food.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge National Research Centre, 33 Bohouth street, Dhokki, Giza, Egypt for supporting this work.

REFERENCES

1. El-Shaer, H.M., 2004. Utilization of agriculture residues for animal feed. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation on the Utilization of Agricultural Residues, June 6-8, 2004, Cairo, Egypt, pp: 15-28.
2. Mohamed, A.E.D.H. and B.E.S. El-Saidi, 2003. Effect of including filter cake blocks in lactating goats rations on digestibility and productive performance. Egypt. J. Nut. Feeds, 6: 59-67.
3. Rode, L.M., W.Z. Yang and K.A. Beauchemin, 1999. Fibrolytic enzyme supplements for dairy cows in early lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 2121-2126.
4. Beauchemin, K.A., W.Z. Yang and L.M. Rode, 1999. Effects of grain source and enzyme additive on site and extent of nutrient digestion in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 378-390.
5. Schingoethe, D.J., G.A. Stegeman and R.J. Treacher, 1999. Response of lactating dairy cows to a cellulase and xylanase enzyme mixture applied to forages at the time of feeding. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 996-1003.

6. Yang, W.Z., K.A. Beauchemin and L.M. Rode, 1999. Effects of an enzyme feed additive on extent of digestion and milk production of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 391-403.
7. Zheng, W., D.J. Schingoethe, G.A. Stegeman, A.R. Hippen and R.J. Treacher, 2000. Determination of when during the lactation cycle to start feeding a cellulase and xylanase enzyme mixture to dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 2319-2325.
8. Titi, H.H. and W.F. Lubbadeh, 2004. Effect of feeding cellulase enzyme on productive responses of pregnant and lactating ewes and goats. Small Rumin. Res., 52: 137-143.
9. Kholif, S.M., 2006. Effect of improving the nutritional value of poor quality roughages on the yield and composition of goat's milk. Egypt. J. Dairy Sci., 34: 197-205.
10. Azzaz, H.H., 2009. Effect of cellulytic enzymes addition to diets on the productive performance of lactating goats. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.
11. Gornal, A.G., C.J. Bardawill and M.M. David, 1949. Determination of serum proteins by means of the biuret reaction. J. Biol. Chem., 177: 751-766.
12. Doumas, B.T., W.A. Watson and H.G. Biggs, 1971. Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromcresol green. Clinica Chimica Acta, 31: 87-96.
13. Fawcett, J.K. and J.E. Scott, 1960. A rapid and precise method for the determination of urea. J. Clin. Pathol., 13: 156-159.
14. Reitman, S. and S. Frankel, 1957. A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxalacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 28: 56-63.
15. Trinder, P., 1969. Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen acceptor. Ann. Clin. Biochem., 6: 24-27.
16. Flegg, H.M., 1973. An investigation of the determination of serum cholesterol by an enzymatic method. Ann. Clin. Biochem.: Int. J. Lab. Med., 10: 79-84.
17. AOAC., 1995. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 16th Edn., Vol. 1, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC., USA., pp: 521.
18. Gaines, W.L., 1928. The energy basis of measuring milk yield in dairy cows. Bulletin No. 308, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL., USA., May 1928, pp: 403-438.
19. SAS., 1998. SAS User's Guide Statistics. 6th Edn., SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA.
20. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1982. Statistical Methods. 7th Edn., Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA., Pages: 213.
21. Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
22. Gado, H.M., H.M. Metwally, A.Z. El-Basiony, H.S. Soliman and E.R.I. Abd El Galil, 2007. Effect of biological treatments on sugarcane bagasse digestibility and performance of Baldi goats. Egypt. J. Nutr. Feeds, 10: 535-551.
23. Farahat, E.S.A., 2014. Using biologically treated date kernels in lactating rations. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.
24. Bader, A.M., 1993. Studies for improving the nutritive value of poor quality roughage through biological treatments. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.
25. El-Ashry, A.M., F.M. Ahmed, S.A. El-Saadany, M.E.S. Youssef, I.A. Gomaa and T.A.A. Deraz, 1997. Effect of mechanical vs. mechano-chemical or mechano-biochemical treatments of crop residues on their use in ruminant rations: Digestibility, nitrogen balance and some blood and rumen liquor parameters of sheep. Egypt. J. Nutr. Feeds, 1: 173-186.
26. Ali, T.A.M., 1999. Utilization of some yeast cultures as feed additives in dairy animal rations. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
27. Lewis, G.E., W.K. Sanchez, C.W. Hunt, M.A. Guy, G.T. Pritchard, B.I. Swanson and R.J. Treacher, 1999. Effect of direct-fed fibrolytic enzymes on the lactational performance of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 611-617.
28. Dhiman, T.R., M.S. Zaman, R.R. Gimenez, J.L. Walters and R. Treacher, 2002. Performance of dairy cows fed forage treated with fibrolytic enzymes prior to feeding. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 101: 115-125.
29. Jacobs, J.L. and A.B. McAllan, 1992. Protein supplementation of formic acid- and enzyme-treated silages. 2. Nitrogen and amino acid digestion. Grass Forage Sci., 47: 114-120.