Influence of Phosphorus and Potassium Fertilization on Quality of Sugar of Two Sugarcane Varieties Grown on Three Soil Series of Sudan

¹E.A. Elamin, ¹M.A. El-Tilib, ¹M.H. Elnasikh, ¹S.H. Ibrahim, ¹M.A. Elsheikh and ²E.E. Babiker ¹Department of Soil and Environment Sciences, ²Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Khartoum North 13314, Shambat, Sudan

Abstract: A filed experiment was conducted at the Farm of Northwest Sennar Sugar Company (latitude 13° 33'N and longitude 33° 37'E) to examine the effects of potassium and phosphorous fertilization on the quality of two sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) varieties (Co 6806 and Co 527) and their first ratoons grown on three soil series (Dinder, Hagu and Nasr) of Sudan. Three levels of both potassium (0, 86 and 172 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and phosphorous (TSP) (0, 64.5 and 129 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.) were used. The quality parameters of both plant cane and ratoons were evaluated in terms of contents of fiber, moisture, sugar content (polarization %), purity of the juice and brix (TSS). The results revealed that potassium and phosphorous fertilizers did not significantly affect the fiber content of the plant cane and the moisture content was significantly affected by potassium fertilization. Phosphorus treatments significantly affected the sugar content (polarization %) and purity of the juice (%). The brix percent of cane decreased by the end of the season as a response to phosphorus treatment, while the ratoon was slightly affected. On the other hand, the effect of potassium application on brix percent was insignificant in both plant cane and ratoon. The effect of variety on brix percent was noted to be significant in both plant cane and ratoon before harvest.

Key words: Phosphorus, potassium, fertilization, sugar, quality, brix percent

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane production and industry are of growing importance since it is the world's major source of sucrose sugar. It is grown mainly in the tropics and subtropics between latitude 35°N and 35°S. Nevertheless, sugarcane production is mostly confined to the humid tropics, but it can also be grown under irrigation in the dry lower latitudes. The yield and quality of cane tended to vary tremendously due to the variations in soil fertility, cultural practices and weather conditions (Yassin, 1985). In spite of the expansion of cane cultivation in Sudan, yield per unit area and the sucrose content are well below those obtained elsewhere. High yield and sucrose content, which are considered the major objectives of sugarcane growers, are controlled by the cultural practices that vary widely and must be adapted to the local conditions (Yassin, 1985), especially fertilization. The use of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium fertilizers play an important role in increasing cane and sugar yield, because sugarcane is known as a heavy feeder crop that depletes the soil of essential nutrients and therefore, adequate nutrient addition is of utmost importance (Korndofer, 1997; Daniel et al., 1976). It was estimated that a 100 tons

cane yield removes 200 kg nitrogen, 80 kg phosphorus and 420 kg potassium from the soil. This indicates that sugarcane is a heavy feeder, therefore, chemical fertilizers in relatively large quantities are often needed to maintain yields at profitable levels. An adequate supply of nitrogen is required to stimulate vigorous vegetative growth, which will positively affect cane yield. Phosphorus was found indispensable to formation of a healthy root system and necessary for life processes as photosynthesis, breakdown of carbohydrates and transfere of energy within the plant. Besides, phosphorus holds a key position in the metabolism of the plant, particularly being necessary in the formation of protein and in the process of cell division. Likewise, potassium is essential for healthy growth of sugarcane and intimately connected with the formation of carbohydrates in leaves and the subsequent translocation of sucrose to the parenchyma of the stem (Blackburn, 1984). Therefore, it is very important to supply sugarcane crop with the big-three (N, P and K) to secure good cane quantity and quality. Fertilization of cane fields in the Northwest Sennar Scheme in Sudan was geared towards using nitrogen fertilizers, however, part of the field received phosphorous in the form of superphosphate. Very meager research work

was assigned for the response of cane to the added phosphorous and potassium fertilizers (El-Ttilib, *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, this investigation was initiated to study the influence of soil type, sugarcane variety, phosphorous and potassium fertilizers on quality parameters of plant cane and ratoons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location, soil and treatments: A field experiment was conducted at Sennar Sugar Company in the Blue Nile State (latitude 13° 33' N and longitude 33° 37' E.). The experimental site was disc ploughed, finely harrowed, leveled and ridged at 1.5 m. The area was divided into plots 1.5 m apart and the size of each plot was 7.5×5 m, with 5 rows.

The experiment was carried out on three soil types (series) using two sugarcane varieties and different levels of potassium and phosphorus fertilizers and their combinations. The soil types were namely, Dinder series, Hago and Nasr series. Detailed soil classification and properties were given by El-Tilib *et al.* (2004). The cane

varieties used were the most commonly grown in the scheme, namely, Co 6806 and Co527. Triple Superphosphate Fertilizer (TSP) was applied at three levels (0, 64.5 and 129-kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) designated as P0, P1 and P2, respectively. The TSP was added in bands along the furrow at the time of planting. The rates of the added potassium were 0, 86 and 172-kg K₂O ha⁻¹ designated as K0, K1 and K2, respectively. The recommended basal dressing of nitrogen was applied at the rate of 219-kg N ha⁻¹ as urea. Both potassium and nitrogen fertilizers were mixed thoroughly and broadcast by hand in continuous band in the furrow, buried and irrigated immediately two months after planting. Four months after planting, the ridges were split to cover the base of the growing stools (hilling up). Weeding was done by Gezapax and Gezaprim herbicides prior to the second irrigation and thereafter, hand weeding was practiced when necessary.

Sampling: Five stalks were selected randomly from the three inner rows in each plot and marked.

The parameters were measured at bimonthly intervals starting from the 4th month after planting and continued

Table 1: Treatments effect on the fibre and moisture contents (%) of plant cane

				ntent (%)								sture co	,	/					
			9 month	s from pl	anting		12 mont	hs from	planting	2	9 m	onths fr	om plan	ting	12 mont				
	Fertilizer rate		Soil series				Soil series				Soil series			Soil series					
Variety	K	P	Dinder	Nasr		MVE	Dinder			MVE	Dinder			MVE	Dinder			MVE	
		P0	15.00	13.43	13.03		15.80	17.07	16.87		64.50	75.25	74.20		64.36	63.73	62.53		
	K0	P1	13.47	14.47	14.17		14.67	15.20	17.20		67.76	71.86	71.63		62.70	62.90	62.20		
		P2	13.57	12.80	13.53		16.30	16.23	15.70		70.26	72.50	69.60		61.66	62.90	63.13		
		P0	13.87	14.70	13.00		16.03	16.83	16.77		68.63	71.10	73.33		64.70	63.53	63.56		
Co 6806	K1	P1	14.17	13.25	13.20	13.55a	16.00	17.17	17.17	16.37a	68.03	73.55	73.86	71.91a	63.46	62.46	61.80	63.38a	
		P2	13.40	12.40	13.03		15.77	16.90	15.70		71.16	74.13	72.90		64.00	63.70	63.36		
		P0	14.73	12.80	13.45		16.50	15.03	17.10		69.33	73.20	73.80		64.86	61.86	62.83		
	K2	P1	14.57	13.90	12.20		16.77	16.37	16.70		71.66	72.60	74.33		64.26	63.66	64.00		
		P2	14.27	12.73	12.67		17.30	16.35	16.37		69.76	74.46	75.10		63.70	64.43	64.53		
		P0	14.37	12.77	12.90		15.57	17.27	16.20		67.93	74.53	72.53		63.86	62.16	62.96		
	K0	P1	15.53	13.13	13.83		16.73	16.57	15.33		66.70	73.66	73.36		63.16	64.23	63.70		
		P2	13.53	12.83	13.20		15.73	15.80	16.97		69.66	71.83	74.23		64.20	62.43	63.56		
		P0	13.57	13.43	14.50		17.20	16.43	16.27		68.86	73.60	73.46		63.83	61.93	62.86		
Co 527	K1	P1	14.20	13.30	12.67	13.40a	17.90	16.07	16.60	16.51a	68.30	73.60	74.43	71.85a	63.76	62.70	63.23	63.34a	
		P2	12.98	13.97	13.90		17.70	17.65	16.17		69.13	72.43	73.13		63.96	62.40	63.43		
		P0	14.28	12.30	12.60		17.50	17.00	16.57		69.33	73.20	74.31		64.30	61.53	63.13		
	K2	P1	14.87	11.90	11.97		16.47	15.87	15.80		67.03	73.53	73.00		63.83	62.80	64.33		
		P2	13.23	13.33	12.80		15.40	16.37	16.50		71.30	72.10	74.76		64.16	63.90	63.86		
MSE			14.09a	13.19b	13.15b)	16.41a		16.44a		68.85b	73.17a	73.44	a		62.96b	63.28a	ı	
			Main K	effect			Main K	effect			Main K	effect			Main K	effect			
			K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2		
			13.64a	13.53a	13.23a	ì		16.69a	16.44a			72.04a	72.38	a	63.16a	63.26a	63.67a	ı	
			Main P	effect			Main P	effect			Main P	effect			Main P	effect			
			P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		
			13.61a	13.63a	13.23a	ì	16.56a	16.37a	16.38a		71.73a	71.77a	72.14	a	63.28a	63.29a	63.52a	ı	
			Variety:	$SE = \pm 0.1$.7		Variety	$SE = \pm 6$	0.14		Variety	$SE = \pm$	0.22		Variety	$SE = \mp 0$.19		
			Soil, K a	ind P SE:	$=\pm 0.21$		Soil, K	and PS	$E = \pm 0.1$	17	Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.27				Soil, K	3			
				CV = 11.38%				.40%			CV = 2.74%				CV = 2				

Symbols used in this table and the following tables are explained as follows: K = Potassium; P = Phosphorus; MVE = Main variety effect; MSE = Main soil MSE = Main soil effect; MSE = Main soil effect; MSE = Main soil MSE = MSE = Main soil MSE = MSE = MSE MSE =

Table 2: Polarization (%) in juice of plant cane and ration as affected by treatments

	Plant cane									Ratoor									
					planting	g	12 m	onths fro	om plant	ing		hs from p	lanting	12 mon	nonths from planting				
Fertilize rate			Soil ser				Soil series				Soil series				Soil series				
Variety	K	P	Dinder		Hagu	MVE	Dinder		Hagu	MVE	Dinder		Hagu	MVE	Dinder		Hagu	MVE	
		P0	14.29	11.30	11.75		18.91	18.61	18.29		16.65	15.18	17.20		19.61	18.81	18.84		
	K0	P1	13.32	12.18	11.99		18.43	19.92	18.65		18.16	15.57	16.88		19.87	20.05	18.96		
		P2	14.10	11.65	11.34		18.76	18.42	18.32		17.94	15.51	16.80		19.91	20.24	20.57		
Co 6806		P0	13.74	11.30	11.20		18.49	19.58	18.40		17.66	15.98	17.41		19.59	19.86	18.99		
	K1	P1	13.97	11.72	11.57	12.41b	18.01	18.58	18.63	18.73a	17.75	15.35	17.62	16.78a	20.04	19.65	19.66	19.56a	
		P2	14.27	12.03	11.99		18.66	19.08	18.81		17.10	16.36	16.82		20.08	19.83	19.13		
		P0	14.36	11.72	10.87		18.72	19.12	18.47		17.31	15.95	17.29		19.66	19.59	19.60		
	K2	P1	13.84	11.56	11.93		19.02	18.57	18.12		17.57	15.57	17.73		20.09	19.42	19.96		
		P2	13.87	11.52	11.68		19.05	19.22	18.95		17.22	16.47	17.18		19.55	19.50	19.69		
		P0	16.24	10.62	10.55		18.50	18.47	18.21		17.45	14.60	16.58		19.45	18.73	18.90		
	K0	P1	15.84	12.16	10.75		18.18	18.69	18.55		17.53	15.59	17.14		19.73	19.09	18.86		
		P2	16.48	11.41	11.42		18.30	18.49	17.65		17.85	14.71	17.25		19.70	19.10	18.59		
	K1	P0	16.40	11.55	10.02		18.54	18.00	17.71		17.10	15.61	16.78		19.77	19.53	18.98		
Co 527		P1	16.63	10.77	10.31	12.79a	18.21	18.61	18.45	18.40b	18.13	15.43	15.90	16.67a	19.91	19.18	19.88	19.38b	
		P2	15.06	11.39	10.51		18.67	18.71	18.71		17.85	14.88	17.63		19.80	18.96	19.21		
		P0	16.57	11.50	11.10		17.93	19.08	18.02		17.70	15.21	15.94		18.88	19.86	19.23		
	K2	P1			11.53		18.16	18.63	17.76		18.15	15.12	18.30		20.01	18.84	19.18		
		P2		11.45			18.49	18.86	18.46		17.93	15.04	17.32	*	19.48	19.15	18.86		
MSE			15.01a		11.26t)		18.81a	18.34b				15.48c	17.10b			19.41b	19.27b	
			Main K				Main K					Main K				Main K			
			K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2			K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2	
			12.63a	12.52a	12.64a	1	18.52a	18.59a	18.59a			16.58b	16.74a	16.86a		19.38a	19.56a	19.48a	
			Main P				Main P					Main P				Main P			
			P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2			P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2	
			12.56a			1		18.51a					16.85a				19.58a		
Variety SE = ± 0.11						Variety	$SE = \pm 0$.09			Variety	$SE = \pm 0$.05	Variety SE = ±0.06					
			Soil, K	and PS	$E = \pm 0$	13	Soil, K	and P SE	$E = \pm 0.1$	1		Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.0			Soil, K and P SE =			$E = \pm 0.07$	
Crossb a la			CV = 7				CV = 4	.31%				CV = 2.	86%			CV = 2	.56%		

Symbols as defined in Table 1

to the 10th month. Leaf samples were collected from four most recently mature leaves (i.e., leaf number 3, 4, 5 and 6 from the top, counting the rolled up spindle leaf as number 1) and pooled to constitute one sample. The leaves were cut into small pieces and oven-dried at 70°C for 48 h. The dried leaf samples were ground separately using a grinder mill and then thoroughly mixed prior taking representative sub-samples for chemical analysis. Juice samples were collected at the plant ages of 9, 11 and 12 months. Cane stalks were taken from plant cane, cut into small pieces and juice was extracted using a Jeffco machine.

Parameters estimation: The juice quality was assessed in terms of polarization, brix and purity. Polarization (refers to the sucrose content) was determined as described by Blackburn (1984). Brix (Total Soluble Solids, TSS) was determined by a hydrometer that gives the specific gravity as percentage of the total juice (Celments, 1980). The purity of the juice was calculated as follows:

Purity =
$$\frac{\text{Pr esent sucrose}}{\text{Pr ecent solids}} \times 100 = \frac{\text{Polariztion(\%)}}{\text{Brix(\%)}} \times 100$$

The estimated recovery percentage of sugar was calculated according to the following equation adopted by El-Guneid Sugar Research Centre, Sudan: Estimated recovery % = [(Pol% X 0.75) - 3].

The ratoons received similar doses of nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus fertilizers as that of the plant cane. Procedures employed for measurements, sampling and analysis were similar to those carried on the plant cane. The fibre and moisture contents were determined according to AOAC (1993).

The treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design and replicated thrice. Statistical analysis was performed and the means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Application of potassium and phosphorous fertilizers had no significant effect on the fiber content of both cane varieties investigated (Table 1). This indicates that fiber content might be a varietal character as reported by Barnes (1974). The variety Co 527 contained slightly higher fiber content than Co 6806. Generally, the fiber content was in the range of 15-17 and the highest values

Table 3: Brix (%) in juice of plant cane and ration as affected by treatments

			Plant car								Rato						
				s from pla		12 months from planting				9 mc	nths fro	m planting	12 months from planting				
	Fertilizer rate		Soil series				Soil series				Soil	series		Soil series			
Variety	K	P	Dinder	Nasr		MVE	Dinder		Hagu	MVE			Hagu MVE	Dinder		Hagu MVE	
-		P0	16.46	14.62	14.82		21.22	20.71	20.11		20.38	17.76	19.45	21.8	20.9	20.7	
	K0	P1	15.84	15.92	14.82		21.06	21.91	20.21		21.11	17.94	18.87	22.1	22	20.45	
		P2	16.30	15.39	14.58		21.31	20.95	20.35		21.36	17.69	18.7	22.35	22.67	22.45	
		P0	15.88	15.6	14.26		21.39	22.1	20.28		20.27	18.25	19.79	21.68	22.3	20.88	
Co6806	K1	P1	16.07	15.69	14.66	15.47b	20.33	20.54	20.622	0.91a	20.58	17.78	19.6719.30a	21.91	21.39	21.5421.57a	
		P2	16.63	15.79	14.79		20.24	21.03	20.66		20.28	18.34	19.64	22.04	21.48	20.89	
		P0	16.83	15.5	14.10		20.91	21.35	21.19		20.74	18.35	19.45	21.88	21.65	21.81	
	K2	P1	16.40	15.47	14.84		21.62	20.98	19.95		20.73	17.98	19.78	22.31	21.83	21.92	
		P2	16.43	15.53	14.49		21.46	21	21		20.7	18.31	19.67	21.85	21.5	21.75	
		P0	18.51	14.34	13.90		20.8	20.7	20.09		20.31	16.7	19.12	21.63	21	20.76	
	K0	P1	18.26	15.41	14.24		20.61	20.85	20.17		20.76	17.91	19.41	22.01	21.2	20.5	
		P2	18.69	14.66	14.64		20.58	20.48	19.55		20.99	17.21	19.19	21.46	21.05	20.49	
		PO	18.64	14.83	14.39		21.19	20.34	19.69		20.37	17.82	19.26	22.02	21.93	20.71	
Co527	K1	P1	19.19	14.15	13.79	15.77a	20.25	20.43	19.912	0.44b	21.1	17.77	18.3619.28a	21.56	20.89	21.3721.34b	
		P2	18.06	14.45	13.09		20.65	20.56	19.97		20.77	17.4	19.87	21.8	20.77	20.38	
		P0	18.68	14.81	14.56		20.76	20.95	20.29		20.18	17.37	19.21	21.13	21.65	21.49	
	K1	P1	18.58	14.88	15.13		20.88	20.83	19.15		21.2	17.66	20.18	22.14	20.91	20.54	
		P2	17.40	14.33	14.30		20.99	20.57	20.7		20.86	17.34	19.49	21.58	20.69	20.96	
MSE			17.38a	15.08b	14.41 c	;	20.90a	20.90a	20.22b		20.73a	17.75c	: 19.38b	21.85a	21.43b	21.09c	
			Main K	effect			Main K	effect			Main K	effect		Main K effect			
			K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2	K0	K1	K2	
			15.63a	15.55a	15.68a	ı	20.65a	20.57a	20.81a		19.16b	19.32a	19.39a	21.42a	21.42a	21.53a	
			Main P	effect			Main P	effect			Main P	effect		Main P	effect		
			P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2	P0	P1	P2	
			15.60a	15.74a	15.53a	ı	20.78a	20.57a	20.67a				19.32a	21.44a	21.48a	21.45a	
			Variety	$SE = \pm 0.1$	0		Variety	$SE = \pm 0$.11		Variety	$SE = \mp$	0.043	Variety SE = ± 0.056			
	Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.12					Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.13				Soil, K	and P S	$E = \pm 0.052$	Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.069				
		CV = 5.71%					CV = 4	71%			CV = 1	.99%		CV = 2.35%			

Symbols as defined in Table 1

were obtained before harvest. The moisture content of plant cane at the age of 9 months (72%) tended to increase significantly due to potassium application and then declined to a minimum (63%) when the plant was 12 months old (Table 1). The significant effect of potassium fertilizer on the moisture content might be due to the specific role of potassium in the mechanism of osmotic adjustments (Cerda et al., 1995). High sugar recoveries were associated with the moisture content of 60-65% and a moisture content below 50% resulted in a reduced juice quality (Nelson, 1980). A slight increase in the moisture content was noted in the plant cane treated with phosphorous fertilizer. This might be due to the fact that phosphorous stimulates the production of fibrous roots, hence, that could utilize soil moisture. Both fiber and moisture contents were significantly affected by the soil type. However, the Dinder type was noted to be superior over the other two soil types, indicating that it might be of a good potential for nutrients supply. Polarization percent tended to increase with age in both plant cane and ratoon (Table 2) and it

was noted to be positively affected by potassium fertilizer at 12 months age in plant cane and in all sampling dates of ratoon. These increases polarization percent may be attributed to the physiological effect of potassium in sugar synthesis due to its importance in synthesis and translocation of protein and carbohydrates and thus accumulation of sucrose rather than reducing sugars (Korndorfer, 1997; Kunar and Verma, 1997). Olarization percent was insignificantly affected by phosphorous application in plant cane before harvest and the reverse was true for ratoon crop. The response of polarization percent to phosphorous application may be due to the low level of available soil phosphorous and the negative effect of phosphorous and potassium could be attributed to nutrient imbalance. The varieties examined were significantly different in polarization percent before harvest in plant cane as well as in ratoon.

Towards the end of the growing season, brix % (TSS) of plant cane tended to decrease due to phosphorous application and that of the ration was

Table 4: Purity (%) of juice of plant cane and ratoon as affected by treatments

			Plant ca								toon									
			9 months from planting 12				nonths from		;	9 n	nonths fr	om plar	nting		12 mont	g				
			r Soil series			Soil series				Soil series				Soil series						
Variety	rate K	P		Nasr	Hagu	MVE	Dinder	Nasr	Hagu	MVE		Nasr		MVE	Dinder	Nasr		MVE		
		Ρ0	85.65	75.32	79.15		89.22	89.84	90.97		81.69	85.47	88.43		89.95	90	91.49			
	$\mathbf{K}0$	P1	83.94	79.39	80.9		87.54	90.91	92.42		86.02	86.78	89.45		89.9	91.13	92.71			
		P2	86.4	76.08	80.34		88.2	88	90.06		83.98	87.67	89.83		89.08	89.28	91.7			
		P0	86.32	73.03	78.51		86.43	88.63	90.62		87.12	87.56	87.97		90.35	89.05	90.94			
Co6806	K1	P1	85.15	76.33	78.93	80.36a	88.76	90.46	90.28	89.57a	86.24	86.33	89.57	86.60a	91.46	91.86	91.27	90.75a		
		P2	85.48	76.22	81.11		88.97	90.73	91.02		84.31	89.2	85.64		91.1	92.31	91.57			
		P0	85.33	77.86	76.92		89.52	89.58	89.5		83.46	86.92	88.89		89.85	90.48	89.86			
	K2	P1	84.58	74.75	80.4		88.01	88.54	90.85		84.75	86.59	89.63		90.04	88.96	91.05			
		P2	84.43		80.64		88.84	90.3	90.26		83.18	89.95	87.34		89.47	90.69	90.52			
		P0	87.63	73.99	75.81		88.97	88.93	90.63		85.91	87.42	86.71		89.92	89.19				
	$\mathbf{K}0$		86.34	78.72	75.38		88.2	89.62	91.95		84.44	87.04	88.3		89.64	90.04				
			88.16	78.5	80.02		88.93	90.28	90.43		85.04	85.47	89.89		91.79	90.73	90.72			
			88.14	77.86	76.49		87.51	88.56	89.93		83.94	87.59	87.12		89.78	89.05	91.64			
Co527	K1		86.6	76.23	74.77	80.58a	89.92	91.09	92.63	89.92a	85.92	86.83	86.6	86.52a		91.81		90.86a		
			83.37	78.81	80.37		90.41	91.03	93.69			85.51	88.72		90.82	91.28				
			88.83	77.58	76.3		86.33	91.05	88.75			87.56	82.97		89.35	91.73	89.48			
	K2		85.31	77.88	76.2		86.97	89.48	92.73		85.61	85.61	90.68		90.37	90.1	93.37			
			88.33		78.13		88.2	92.36	89.14			86.73	88.86		90.26	92.55				
MSE			11a		78.36b)	88.39c	89.97b	90.88a			87.01b	88.14	a		90.62b	91.48a			
			Main K effect				Main K					C effect			Main K					
			K1	K2			K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2		K0	K1	K2			
			65a		80.54a	1	20.65a	20.57a	20.81a			86.78a	86.80	a		91.33a	90.45t	1		
		Main P effect					Main P e				Main F				Main P					
		P0	0.41	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2		P0	P1	P2			
			04b		81.26a	ì	20.78a	20.57a				87.02a		a		91.23a				
			riety SE					$SE = \pm 0.1$				$SE = \pm 1$		20	Variety SE = ± 0.10					
		Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.31						Soil, K and PSE = ± 0.13					Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.39				Soil, K and P SE = ± 0.13			
		CV	7 = 2.85%	0			CV = 4.7	/1%			CV = 3	5.36%			CV = 1.03%					

Symbols as defined in Table 1 $\,$

slightly affected (Table 3). On the other hand, the effect of potassium application on brix percent was mostly insignificant in both plant cane and ratoon. The effect of variety on brix percent was noted to be significant in both plant cane and ratoon before harvest.

Application of high rates of potassium (K2) adversely affected the purity (%) of juice in both plant cane and ratoon, particularly late in the season (Table 4). This might be due to the absorption of considerable amounts of potassium, which might decrease the amounts of the other elements due to antagonistic effect. Although there was a response to phosphorous application in plant cane, but it was insignificant in the last two measurements, which was not the case for ratoon. These results agreed with the findings of Singh and Reddy (Singh and Reddy, 1980).

CONCLUSION

Both fiber and moisture contents were significantly affected by the soil type. However, application of potassium and phosphorous fertilizers had no significant effect on the fiber content of both cane varieties investigated. Brix, polarization and purity were varied depend on the soil type and fertilizer applied.

REFERENCES

Yassin, M., 1985. Research and practices recommended for sugarcane production in the Sudan. Sugarcane Technical Committee, Agric. Res. Corp., Wad-Medani, Sudan, pp. 1-10.

Korndorfer, G.H., 1997. Potassium and sugar quality. Horticultural Abstract CABI, Wallingford, U.K. 60: 7

Daniel, K.V., T. Sugumara and M.S. Kumar, 1976. Effect of potassium on yield and quality of sugarcane. Indian J. Agron., 21: 292-294.

Blackburn, F., 1984. Sugarcane. Longman, New York.
El-Tilib, A.M., M.H. Elnasikh and E.A. Elamin, 2004.
Phosphorus and potassium fertilization effects on growth attributes and yield of two sugarcane varieties grown on three soil series. J. Plant Nutr., 27: 663-699.

- Clements, H.F., 1980. The crop logging system for sugarcane production. Proc. Int. Soc. Sugarcane Tech., 14: 657-672.
- AOAC, 1993. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, (11th Edn.) Washington, DC.
- Barnes, A.C., 1974. Fertilizers and manures, their application and effect on sugarcane. London Leonard Hill Books, London.
- Cerda, A., J.Pardines, M.A. Botella and V. Martinez, 1995. Effect of potassium on growth, water relations and the inorganic and organic solute contents for two maize cultivars grown under saline conditions. J. Plant Nutr., 18: 839-851.
- Nelson, I.E., 1980. Phosphorus nutrition of cotton, peanuts, rice, sugarcane and tobacco. Role of phosphorus in agriculture. America Society of Agronomy, Madison. Wisconsin, USA.
- Kumar, V. and K.S. Verma, 1997. Relationship between nutrient element contents of the index leaf and cane yield and juice quality of sugarcane genotypes. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 28: 1021-1032.
- Singh, S. and M.S. Reddy, 1980. Growth, yield and juice quality performance of sugarcane varieties under different soil moisture regimes in relation to drought resistance. Proc. Int. Soc. Sugarcane Tech., 17: 451-555.