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#### Abstract

Clinical pathology uses laboratory tests on body fluids such as blood and urine to diagnose diseases. Haematology is the study of blood and blood forming organs such as bone marrow. In this study, researchers analyze the components of the bone marrow and the structure of the bone marrow analysis database. The Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) steps are briefly explained. The 18,000 bone marrow analysis records are collected from a reputed hospital and this raw data is transformed into a preprocessed data using the pre-processing phases of KDD such as data cleaning, data selection and data transformation. Eliminate the tuple technique is used to clean the data. The attributes related to the bone marrow components are selected. The ranges of low, high and normal values for the individual attributes are used to transform the data. The data mining techniques are studied and the Apriori algorithm is selected for finding frequent itemsets that are used for the generation of association rules.
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## INTRODUCTION

A huge volume of automated medical data are currently available in various forms such as text, numbers, combination of text and numbers, images, scan reports, video and audio reports. This data are used along with various analysis techniques to generate results that can be used by the health care professionals in efficient decision making that can improve the quality of service in the medical fields.

Clinical pathology is a study that is concerned with conducting laboratory experiments on body fluids such as blood and urine to diagnose diseases. Hematology is the study of blood, diseases related to blood and blood forming organs such as bone marrow. Hematology department of clinical pathology performs various tests on blood.

Bone marrow is the flexible tissue found in the interior of bones. It produces the cellular elements of the blood such as red blood cells, white blood cells and platelets. Bone marrow analysis refers to the pathologic analysis of bone marrow samples obtained by bone marrow aspiration that yields semi-liquid bone marrow or a bone marrow biopsy that yields a cylindrical shaped solid bone marrow. The bone marrow sample is used to diagnose diseases such as leukemia, anemia, neimen pick disease and so on. The methodology used for studying the bone marrow data is Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD)
as discussed by Han and Kamber (2006) and Dunham (2007) is used to extract useful knowledge from the raw bone marrow data. The raw data is preprocessed using the KDD preprocessing steps such as data cleaning, data transformation and the resultant data is used to generate knowledge using the data mining techniques.

Data mining is the process of extracting implicit, useful, previously unknown, non-trivial information from data. The data mining techniques are broadly grouped as classification, clustering, association rule mining and prediction. Various algorithms are developed for each technique. The methods developed for the association rule mining are given by Agrawal et al. (1993a, b). The Apriori algorithm as discussed by Srikant and Agrawal (1995) is used in this project on the preprocessed data to generate associations between the attributes of the bone marrow analysis database.

Medical data is taken most of the times from medical records as discussed by Cerrito and Cerrito (2006) and the data is found to be heterogeneous in nature. The bone marrow analysis data consists of both numerical data as well as the detailed description about the data and the final impression about the patient. The privacy issues are to be finalized before handling medical data and is described by Cios and Moore (2002). The bone marrow analysis data is de-identified so that the privacy of the patient is protected.

A major source of error in clinical pathology is specimen mislabeling that can be reduced by collecting and trending the data on mislabeled samples with timely feedback to patient care as shown by Quillen and Murphy (2006). Auto verification of results as discussed by Duca (2002) in a laboratory information system is used to verify the correctness of a result.

Aslandogan and Mahajani (2004) discusses the various combinations of data mining classification algorithms used on medical data for efficient classification of the data. Toussi et al. (2009) presents some of the ways of using sequences of clustering algorithms to mine temporal data.

Dogan and Turkoglu (2008) uses association rule mining to diagnose hyperlipidemia disease. Goh and Ang (2007) shows how association rule mining can be used in the application of counseling and help seeking behavior of adolescents. Li et al. (2005) describes how risk patterns can be identified from medical data.

The automated blood cell counter data is a hematology data that contains the Complete Blood Count (CBC) details such as $\mathrm{RBC}, \mathrm{WBC}, \mathrm{MCH}$, etc. and they are subjected to delta checks to ensure the quality of the tests as presented by Minnie and Srinivasan (2011, 2012). Minnie and Srinivasan (2012) presents how association rules are generated among the attributes of the automated blood cell counter data.

The literature studied for this project is on medical data, issues in handling medical data, KDD, data mining techniques, association rule mining and the application of various data mining techniques on various medical data and are discussed above. This bone marrow analysis data was not found to be used for mining knowledge and hence the association rule generation for bone marrow analysis data is selected for this project.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

System architecture: The system architecture of this research is shown in Fig. 1 and it consists of studying the bone marrow components, analyse bone marrow data structure, bone marrow analysis data collection, KDD preprocessing steps data cleaning, data selection and data transformation and data mining using association rule generation.

Bone marrow components: The bone marrow contains various cells such as erythrocytes (red blood cells), blasts, promyelocyte, myeloblasts, plasma cells, white blood cells such as neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils and monocytes.


Fig. 1: System architecture

Data collection: Eighteen thousand bone marrow data are collected from a Clinical Pathology department of a reputed hospital. The data is present as an excel file. The bone marrow analysis data is de-identified to preserve the privacy of the patient, doctor and the hospital. A sample of the data file is shown in Fig. 2.

Bone marrow data format: The bone marrow analysis data consists of values for each sample of bone marrow for the various attributes such as erythrocytes, blasts, promyelocyte, myeloblasts, neutrophils, eosinophils, plasma cells, basophils and monocytes, the patient ID, date in which the test is taken, hospital ID, detailed description of the results and the final impression. The list of attributes along with a detailed description of the attributes is shown in Table 1.

Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD): The data is subjected to the KDD processes to generate knowledge from it. The processes include data cleaning, data integration, data selection, data transformation, data mining, generation of patterns and knowledge interpretation.

In data cleaning the irrelevant data are removed from the collected data. In data integration data from multiple sources are combined into a data warehouse. The data selection process is involved with the selection of data relevant to the analysis and extracting them from the integrated data. The selected data is transformed to the appropriate form for the mining procedure.

The process of extracting useful and implicit information from the transformed data is referred to as data mining. In pattern evaluation interesting patterns are

| P1 - $f_{x}$ edit_name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | $J$ | K | L | M | N | 0 | P |  |
| 1 | bmid | date | hsno | eryth | blasts | promy | myel | neutr | eosin | lymph | plasma | adn | baso | mono | txt | edit_name |  |
| 2 | 710113014 | 06-08-2004 | 631694C | 24 |  | 16.5 | 26.5 | 17 | 2 | 13.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 3 | 711013004 | 06-10-2004 | 630099C | 23 |  | 21.5 | 32 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 7 | 1.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 4 | 711013006 | 06-10-2004 | 703928A | 26 |  | 21 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.F | DR. |
| 5 | 711013009 | 06-10-2004 | 637661C | 3.5 | '90.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Scanty. | DR.E | DR. |
| 6 | 711013010 | 06-10-2004 | 615633C | 47 |  | 12 | 14 | 19 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Hypercellular. | DR.F | DR. |
| 7 | 711013011 | 06-10-2004 | 636655C | 50 |  | 10 | 9 | 20 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Hypercellular. | DR.F | DR. |
| 8 | 711013014 | 06-10-2004 | 637516C | 12 | 71 |  |  | 2 |  | 12 | 3 |  |  |  | Fragments: Hypercellular. | DR.F | DR. |
| 9 | 710023004 | 06-12-2004 | 637542 C | 48 |  | 22 | 11 | 10 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.F | DR. |
| 10 | 710023006 | 06-12-2004 | 627947C | 28 |  | 26 | 12 | 26.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 11 | 710023007 | 06-12-2004 | 630226 C | 20.5 |  | 20.5 | 32 | 15 | 2.5 | 6 | 3.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 12 | 710023009 | 06-12-2004 | 637556C | 44 |  | 22 | 10 | 14 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Hypercellular. | DR.F | DR. |
| 13 | 710023010 | 06-12-2004 | 637099C | 49 |  | 9 | 5 | 1 |  | 33 | 3 |  |  |  | Fragments: Markedly | DR.F | DR. |
| 14 | 710023015 | 06-12-2004 | 634619C | 40 |  | 6 | 16 | 24 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.F | DR. |
| 15 | 707133002 | 13/06/2004 | 637656C | 41 |  | '22.5 | 11 | 10.5 | 3.5 | 10 | 1.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Solidly cellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 16 | 707133011 | 13/06/2004 | 626637C | 34 |  |  | 19 | 21 | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 17 | 707143010 | 14/06/2004 | 633930 C | 22 |  |  | 15 | 18 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.E | DR. |
| 18 | 707153000 | 15/06/2004 | 631965C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trial bm report. | DR.E |  |
| 19 | 707153007 | 15/06/2004 | 633402 C | 18 |  | 4 | 40 | 13 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.F | DR. |
| 20 | 707153008 | 15/06/2004 | 636618 C | 25.5 |  | 13.5 | 23 | 17 | 4.5 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Markedly | DR.A | DR. |
| 21 | 707153009 | 15/06/2004 | 546530B | 20.5 |  | 22 | '27.5 | 18.5 | , | 9 | 0.5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Moderately | DR.A | DR. |
| 22 | 707163001 | 16/06/2004 | 636203C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.B |  |
| 23 | 707163002 | 16/06/2004 | 632921C | 41.5 |  | 6 | 15 | 24.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 | . 5 |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.C |  |
| 24 | 707163006 | 16/06/2004 | 806380C | 28.5 | 6.5 | 7 | 15 | 17 | 9 | 3.5 | ${ }^{6} 5$ |  |  |  | Fragments: Cellular | DR.C |  |
| 25 | 707173003 | 17/06/2004 | 965046B | 20.5 |  | 10.5 | 16 | 31 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 10 |  |  |  | Fragments: Normocellular | DR.D | DR. |

Fig. 2: Sample bone marrow analysis data
Table 1: Attributes of the bone marrow analysis data

| Attribute names | Attribute full name | Attribute description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bmid | Patient ID | The ID of a patient |
| date | Test date | The date in which the test is taken |
| hsno | Hospital number | The hospital ID |
| eryth | Erythrocyte count | Erythrocytes are the red blood cells formed in the bone marrow |
| blasts | Blast cell count | Blast cells are immature cells that do not do any function |
| promy | Promyelocyte count | Promyelocytes are immature cells developed from myeloblasts |
| myel | Myeloblast count | Myeloblast is a unipotent stem cell |
| plasma | Plasma cell count | Plasma cells secrete large quantities of antibodies |
| neutr | Neutrophil count | Neutrophil is a type of White Blood Cell (WBC) that fights harmful foreign particles and bacteria |
| eosin | Eosinophil count | Eosinophil is a type of WBC that fights multicellular parasites and controls allergy and asthma |
| lymph | Lymphocyte count | Lymphocyte is a type of WBC that produces antibodies |
| baso | Basophil count | Basophil is a type of WBC that fights infections |
| mono | Monocyte | Monocyte is a type of WBC that fights viruses |
| txt | Test results | Doctor's name |
| edit_name | Doctor's name | Doctor who entered the txt |
| fin_name | Impression | Doctor who gave final impression |
| imp |  | The final impression of the tests |

identified from the processed data. The discovered knowledge is visually presented to the user in the knowledge representation process.

Data mining: Data mining is the knowledge discovery stage of KDD. The methods or techniques involved in data mining are grouped as classification, clustering, association rules and sequences that represent the knowledge generated from the data.

Classification is a supervised learning process and it maps data into known classes using decision trees, neural networks and genetic algorithms. Clustering is an unsupervised learning and it groups similar data into unknown clusters using K-means, Nearest Neighbour and various other algorithms. Association Rule Mining (ARM) uncovers relationships among attributes in a database.

Association Rule Mining (ARM): ARM is used to find frequent patterns, associations and correlations among sets of items in databases and any other information repositories. Association rule correlates the presence of one set of items with that of another set of items in the same transaction. The quality of an association rule is measured using its support and confidence values and several efficient methods are developed to generate association rules.

Let N be the number of records in a database, $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{I})$ be the number of records with item set $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{X}$ be an item set with $k$ elements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ and $Y$ be an item set with $h$ elements $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{h}$.

An association rule $X \Rightarrow Y$ can be generated if the support of X and that of Y is above the minimum support value and also the confidence of the rule $X \Rightarrow Y$ is above the minimum confidence specified.

Asian J. Inform. Technol., 13 (1): 29-37, 2014


Fig. 3: Application interface for association rule generation for AML

Support S, of X is a probability that a transaction contains X and is given in Eq. 1 and 2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(X)=P(X)  \tag{1}\\
& S(X)=\frac{N(X)}{N} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, support of $\mathrm{X} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a probability that a transaction contains both X and Y as given in Eq. 3 and 4:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(X \Rightarrow Y)=P(X \cup Y)  \tag{3}\\
& S(X \Rightarrow Y)=\frac{N(X \cup Y)}{N} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Confidence C , of $\mathrm{X} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a conditional probability that a transaction that contains X contains Y also. Confidence can be calculated as in Eq. 5-7.

$$
\begin{gather*}
C(X \Rightarrow Y)=P(X \mid Y)  \tag{5}\\
C(X \Rightarrow Y)=\frac{N(X U Y)}{N(X)}  \tag{6}\\
C(X \Rightarrow Y)=\frac{S(X U Y)}{S(X)} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Association rule mining consists of the two steps finding frequent item sets and generating association rules.

The set of all item sets are called as candidate item sets. The item sets which occur frequently in a database are called frequent item sets. The frequent item sets satisfy the minimum support, min_sup specified by the user or designer. If the min_sup is $10 \%$ then the item sets whose support $\%$ are $\geq 10$ are considered as frequent item sets.

The association rules are generated using the frequent item sets and they should satisfy the minimum confidence min_conf of $50 \%$. Apriori algorithm is used to find frequent item sets in a database and to generate association rules from the frequent item sets. Apriori algorithm uses the apriori principle "All subsets of an infrequent item set are infrequent" and hence those subsets need not be considered for further processing.

Application interface: The interface for this application to generate association rules between the attributes of the bone marrow analysis data are given in Fig. 3.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data cleaning: The process of detecting and correcting or removing corrupt or inaccurate records from a record set,
table or database is data cleaning. The missing values or invalid values in the bone marrow data cannot be replaced by any other value and hence those records were eliminated from further process. 18,429 records out of 18,449 records were selected for further process as they have valid values.

Data selection: The cleaned bone marrow data was taken as input for data selection. The numerical attributes representing the bone marrow components such as bid, neutr, eosin, lymph, baso, mono, myel, plasma, eryth and impression are identified for the generation of association rules and hence they are selected for further process.

Training and testing data: The cleaned and transformed data is divided into two data sets training data and testing data. The training data consist of 9212 records and testing data consist of 9217 records. The training data is used to generate association rules and the testing data is used to measure the quality of the association rules generated.

Data transformation: In the data transformation stage the data are transformed or consolidated in to forms appropriate for mining. The ranges of values for the bone marrow component attributes are used to find out whether the value is low, normal or high. New attributes low, normal and high are generated for each of the attributes. Hence, the individual attribute values are used to generate the status of the attributes as low, normal or high.

A value 0 is stored for the normal values, 1 is stored for the high values and -1 is stored for the low values for the newly generated attributes depending on the values of the corresponding attributes.

The disease AML data is collected from the impression attribute and a new attribute is inserted for AML that can hold Boolean values 0 for the absence of the disease AML and 1 for the presence of the disease AML for that record. The flattened data is shown in Fig. 4 and 5. Also, the excel data was converted into a SQL Data base.

Attribute combinations: The attributes have a normal, low and high support associated with them. Various combinations of these are considered for generating the association rules. The combination selected to produce association rules is shown in Table 2.

Frequent item sets: The minimum support value is set as $0.1 \%$ to include all the item sets including outliers for the association rule generation process.

| BID | Neutr | Neut_Val | Eosin | Eosn_val | Lymph | Lymp_val | Plasma | Plas_val |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1000543006 | 31.5 | 0 | 4.0 | 0 | 6.0 | -1 | 10.5 | 1 |
| 1000543007 | 36.5 | 0 | 7.5 | 0 | 4.0 | -1 | 2.5 | 0 |
| 1000543008 | 32.5 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 9.5 | -1 | 00 | 0 |
| 1000543009 | 30 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000543010 | 16.5 | -1 | 00 | -1 | 01 | -1 | 53 | 1 |
| 1000543011 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | -1 | 5 | 1 |
| 1000543012 | 25 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 07 | -1 | 01 | 0 |
| 1000643001 | 15.5 | -1 | 02 | 0 | 07 | -1 | 00 | 0 |
| 1000643002 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | -1 | 3 | 0 |
| 1000643003 | 30.0 | 0 | 8.5 | 1 | 9.5 | -1 | 1.0 | 0 |
| 1000643004 | 8.5 | -1 | 2.5 | 0 | 2.5 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000543005 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | $n$ | 0 |
| sery executed successfully. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 4: Flattened bone marrow numerical data

| BID | imp | A.M_L_val |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001093008 | Impression: Hypercellular imprints showing myeloid hyperplasia (CML on... | 0 |
| 1001093009 | Impression: Acute Myeloid Leukemia. FAB -AML M2. | 1 |
| 1001093010 | Impression: Celluar marow with eosinophilia.Case of relapsed AMLPO... | 0 |
| 1001093011 | Impression: Middy hypercellular marow with adequate megakayocyte, ... | 0 |
| 1001093012 | Impression: Vayingly cellular marow with dyseryhropoiesis and hemop... | 0 |
| 1001093013 | Impression: Cellular marrow with moderate erythoid hyperplasia, mild dif.. | 0 |
| 1001093014 | Impression: Acute myeloid leuk aemia AML FAB M2 with dysplasia and i... | 1 |
| 1001093015 | Impression: Absent fragments and dilute smear and impints inadequate f... | 0 |
| 1001093016 | Impression: Acute lymphoblastic leuk aemia - ALLL L1 (Blass $67 \%$ ), | 0 |
| 1001093017 | Impression: Middy hypercellular marrow showing poor Ion utilistion and... | 0 |
| 1001093018 | Impression: Middy hypocelluar marow with non specilic reactive chan... | 0 |
| 1001102019 |  | 0 |
| Jery executed succesffully, |  |  |

Fig. 5: Flattened bone marrow categorical disease data

Table 2: Combinations of levels selected for attributes

| Attributes | Levels | Support value | Support (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Neutrophils | Low | 4101 | 44.51802 |
| Eosinophils | Low | 1211 | 13.14590 |
| Lymphocytes | Normal | 4152 | 45.07165 |
| Monocytes | Low | 3291 | 35.72514 |
| Blasts | High | 1104 | 11.98437 |
| Myeloblast | High | 7560 | 82.06687 |
| Plasma Cells | Normal | 4868 | 52.84412 |
| Erythrocyte | High | 6378 | 69.23578 |
| A_ML | Present | 1052 | 11.41989 |

Table 3: Frequent item set generation count

| Item sets | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| Frequent 1 | 9 |
| Frequent 2 | 36 |
| Frequent 3 | 84 |
| Frequent 4 | 121 |

The frequent 1 item sets for the combinations of levels selected for the attributes are given in Table 2. The number of frequent 1,2,3 and 4 item sets are given in Table 3.

Association rules generated without disease detail: The minimum confidence is set as $50 \%$ to select strong

| Association rules | Confidence |
| :---: | :---: |
| Neut_Eosn->Mono | 73.43 |
| Neut_Eosn->Myel | 53.96 |
| Neut_Eosn->Plas | 62.50 |
| Neut_Lymp->Myel | 91.23 |
| Neut_Lymp->Plas | 55.80 |
| Neut_Lymp->Eryt | 81.53 |
| Neut_Mono->Myel | 75.09 |
| Neut_Mono->Plas | 70.49 |
| Neut_Mono->Eryt | 64.68 |
| Neut_Blas->Myel | 51.97 |
| Neut_Myel->Plas | 55.24 |
| Neut_Myel->Eryt | 82.79 |
| Neut_Plas->Eryt | 74.78 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Mono | 63.74 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Myel | 83.94 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Plas | 63.74 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Eryt | 66.18 |
| Eosn_Mono->Myel | 62.42 |
| Eosn_Mono->Plas | 70.44 |
| Eosn_Blas->Plas | 64.48 |
| Eosn_Myel->Plas | 64.32 |
| Eosn_Myel->Eryt | 75.52 |
| Eosn_Plas->Eryt | 54.92 |
| Lymp_Mono->Myel | 94.15 |
| Lymp_Mono->Plas | 74.43 |
| Lymp_Mono->Eryt | 80.55 |
| Lymp_Blas->Myel | 63.35 |
| Lymp_Myel->Plas | 60.60 |
| Lymp_Myel->Eryt | 83.89 |
| Eryt->Lymp_Myel | 51.61 |
| Lymp_Plas->Eryt | 84.49 |
| Mono_Blas->Myel | 52.36 |
| Mono_Blas->Plas | 71.57 |
| Mono_Myel->Plas | 73.84 |
| Mono_Myel->Eryt | 82.46 |
| Mono_Plas->Eryt | 76.29 |
| Blas_Myel->Plas | 52.92 |
| Myel_Plas->Eryt | 84.94 |
| Eryt->Myel_Plas | 59.72 |

association rules that are formed using the numerical attributes of the bone marrow data and the categorical disease data is not considered.

The associations generated with 2 attributes are given in Table 4 with 3 attributes are given in Table 5 and are shown graphically in Fig. 6. The 4 attribute associations and the 5 attribute association rules have the confidence level lesser than the minimum confidence of the confidence level lesser than the minimum confidence of $50 \%$ and hence they are not discussed.

Association rules generated with disease AML: The minimum confidence is set as $50 \%$ to select strong association rules. The association rules are generated with the disease AML and other attributes of the bone marrow analysis data. The associations generated with AML and 1 attribute is given in Table 6, 2 attributes are given in Table 7 with 3 attributes are given Table 8. The 4 attribute ones are shown in Table 9 and all associations are shown in Fig. 7.


Fig. 6: Confidence of association rules generated without disease details

| Table 5: Association rules generated with 3 attributes and without disease <br> details |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Association rules | Confidence |
| Neut_Eosn->Mono | 73.43 |
| Neut_Eosn->Myel | 53.96 |
| Neut_Eosn->Plas | 62.50 |
| Neut_Lymp->Myel | 91.23 |
| Neut_Lymp->Plas | 55.80 |
| Neut_Lymp->Eryt | 81.53 |
| Neut_Mono->Myel | 75.09 |
| Neut_Mono->Plas | 70.49 |
| Neut_Mono->Eryt | 64.68 |
| Neut_Blas->Myel | 51.97 |
| Neut_Myel->Plas | 55.24 |
| Neut_Myel->Eryt | 82.79 |
| Neut_Plas->Eryt | 74.78 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Mono | 63.74 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Myel | 83.94 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Plas | 63.74 |
| Eosn_Lymp->Eryt | 66.18 |
| Eosn_Mono->Myel | 62.42 |
| Eosn_Mono->Plas | 70.44 |
| Eosn_Blas->Plas | 64.48 |
| Eosn_Myel->Plas | 64.32 |
| Eosn_Myel->Eryt | 75.52 |
| Eosn_Plas->Eryt | 54.92 |
| Lymp_Mono->Myel | 94.15 |
| Lymp_Mono->Plas | 74.43 |
| Lymp_Mono->Eryt | 80.55 |
| Lymp_Blas->Myel | 63.35 |
| Lymp_Myel->Plas | 60.60 |
| Lymp_Myel->Eryt | 83.89 |
| Eryt->Lymp_Myel | 51.61 |
| Lymp_Plas->Eryt | 84.49 |
| Mono_Blas->Myel | 52.36 |
| Mono_Blas->Plas | 71.57 |
| Mono_Myel->Plas | 73.84 |
| Mono_Myel | 82.46 |
| Mono_Plas->Eryt | 76.29 |
| Blas_Myel->Plas | 52.92 |
| Myel_Plas->Eryt | 84.94 |
| Eryt->Myel_Plas | 59.72 |

Table 6: Association rules generated with AML and 1 attribute

| Rules | Confidence |
| :--- | :---: |
| A_M_L->Neut | 69.29 |
| Blas->A_M_L | 62.40 |
| A_M_L->Blas | 65.49 |
| A_M_L->Myel | 52.09 |


| Table 7: Association rules generated with AML and 2 attributes |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Association rules | Confidence |
| Neut_Blas->A_M_L | 66.44 |
| A_M_L->Neut_Blas | 55.89 |
| Eosn_Blas->A_M_L | 66.97 |
| Lymp_Blas->A_M_L | 64.88 |
| Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 62.59 |
| Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 60.48 |
| Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 63.08 |
| Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 52.23 |

Table 8: Association rules generated with AML and 3 attributes

| Association rules | Confidence |
| :--- | :---: |
| Neut_Eosn_Blas->A_M_L | 69.10 |
| Neut_Lymp_Blas->A_M_L | 69.78 |
| Neut_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 66.29 |
| Neut_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 66.52 |


| Table 8: Continue |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Association rules | Confidence |
| Neut_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 67.85 |
| Neut_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 55.87 |
| Eosn_Lymp_Blas->A_M_L | 73.83 |
| Eosn_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 67.07 |
| Eosn_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 72.95 |
| Eosn_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 68.11 |
| Eosn_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 66.66 |
| Lymp_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 65.58 |
| Lymp_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 59.43 |
| Lymp_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 64.61 |
| Mono_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 60.47 |
| Mono_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 60.97 |
| Mono_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 51.64 |
| Blas_Myel_Plas->A_M_L | 60.06 |
| Blas_Plas_Eryt->A_M L | 51.35 |



Fig. 7: Confidence of association rules generated with disease AML

Table 9: Association rules generated with AML and 4 attributes

| Association rules | Confidence |
| :--- | :---: |
| Neut_Eosn_Lymp_Blas->A_M_L | 77.000 |
| A_M_L->Neut_Eosn_Lymp_Blas | 7.319 |
| Neut_Eosn_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 68.660 |
| A_M_L->Neut_Eosn_Mono_Blas | 15.200 |
| Neut_Eosn_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 79.040 |
| Neut_Eosn_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 70.100 |
| Neut_Eosn_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 69.810 |
| Neut_Lymp_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 69.850 |
| Neut_Lymp_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 66.010 |
| Neut_Lymp_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 70.300 |
| Neut_Lymp_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 54.380 |
| Neut_Mono_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 67.450 |
| Neut_Mono_Bla_PPlas->A_M_L | 64.340 |
| Neut_Mono_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 56.090 |
| Neut_Blas_Myel_Plas->A_M_L | 66.660 |
| Neut_Blas_Myel_Eryt->A_M_L | 50.230 |
| Neut_Blas_Plas_Eryt->A_M_L | 55.900 |
| Eosn_Lymp_Mono_Blas->A_M_L | 70.230 |
| Eosn_Lymp_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 75.860 |
| Eosn_Lymp_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 72.220 |
| Eosn_Lymp_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 66.660 |
| Eosn_Mono_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 72.410 |
| Eosn_Mono_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 67.030 |
| Eosn_Mono_Blas_Eryt->A_M_L | 65.000 |
| Eosn_Blas_Myel_Plas->A_M_L | 73.490 |
| Eosn_Blas_Myel_Eryt->A_M_L | 60.860 |
| Eosn_Blas_Plas_Eryt->A_M_L | 68.420 |
| Lymp_Mono_Blas_Myel->A_M_L | 64.640 |
| Lymp_Mono_Blas_Plas->A_M_L | 61.260 |
| Lymp_Blas_Myel_Plas->A_M_L | 59.550 |
| Mono_Blas_Myel_Plas->A_ML | 58.550 |

## CONCLUSION

A brief study of clinical pathology, hematology, bone marrow components and bone marrow analysis data are presented in the study. The format of the bone marrow analys is database was described and few of the attributes were selected for generating association rules, based on the knowledge given by the clinical pathologist. The KDD steps were explained and were applied on the bone marrow analysis data to convert the raw data into a transformed data that was used for generating more knowledge from the system. Frequent item sets of the medical data are generated using Apriori algorithm and association rules are generated using the records that satisfy the selected levels of range for the attributes. The association rules could not be generated for the other combinations as the confidence of the association rules generated are lesser than the minimum confidence level ( $50 \%$ ) set for this application. The association rules can be generated for the records with other diseases such as malaria, MMM and ALL.
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