

## Practicability of Rotational Woodlot Technology in Kigorobyia Sub-county of Hoima District, Western Uganda

M. Buyinza and A. Ntakimanye

Faculty of Forestry and Nature Conservation, Makerere University,

P.O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda

**Abstract:** This study evaluates, using logistic and multiple regression analyses, the socio-economic factors that influence farmers' decisions to adopt rotational woodlot technology in the farming systems of Uganda, based on a household survey carried out between May and December 2004, involving 120 farmers in Kigorobyia sub-county, Hoima district. The analyses demonstrate that farmers make decisions about woodlot technology based on the household and field characteristics. The factors that significantly influenced the decision to adopt rotational woodlot technology included: Gender, tree tenure security, seed supply, contact with extension and research agencies, soil erosion index, size of landholding, fuelwood scarcity and main source of family income. To promote greater adoption of rotational woodlot technology, particular attention should be placed on the use of appropriate socioeconomic characterization, to better target technologies to areas with greater adoption potential.

**Key words:** Rotational woodlot, adoption, farmers, Uganda

### INTRODUCTION

Many regions in Africa are presently facing severe shortages of fuel wood, fodder and food primarily due to increasing human and livestock populations and crop production using little or no external inputs (FAO, 2003). Farmers resort to use of marginal and erosion-prone soils and to encroach forests (Nyirenda *et al.*, 2001). In most parts of sub-Saharan Africa, the traditional long duration fallows and shifting cultivation, which helped to replenish soil fertility to some extent, are no longer possible. Over the last two decades, woodlots have become popular among the development agencies in Africa as a means of improving fuelwood supply to rural communities and income generation for households (Jacovelli and Cavalho, 1999).

A rotational woodlot is a method involving growing trees with crops for two to three years until trees start competing with crops. Thereafter the woodlot is left as a source of fuelwood, building poles or fodder while restoring soil fertility until farmers start cutting down the trees and growing crops between stumps 4-5 years later (Nyadzi *et al.*, 2003). Rotational woodlot technology involves growing trees and crops on farms in three inter-related phases: An initial tree establishment phase in which trees are intercropped with crops, a tree fallow

phase and a cropping phase after harvest of trees. Each of these phases can be managed specifically to provide products and services of economic, social and environmental value.

Some studies in developing countries have stressed a scarcity of fuelwood as one of the key factors to motivate farmers in adopting rotational woodlot technology (Nyadzi *et al.*, 2003; Jacovelli and Cavalho, 1999). As long as fuel wood could be collected without paying for it, farmers had little incentive to plant fuelwood producing trees (FAO, 2003). Nyadzi *et al.* (2003), however, reported that high fuel wood demand stimulate tree production that this is only the case when there is a fuel wood crisis. Thus, the high cost of fuel wood may motivate farmers to establish woodlots (Yin and Hyde, 2000).

Past studies (Masangano, 1996; Omuregbee, 1998) have identified some of the farmers' characteristics that may influence adoption of agroforestry technologies including age of the household head, education level of family head, gender, wealth, family size, group membership and farm resources such as farm size, land tenure, credit, or other inputs and availability of labor. Farmers' adoption behavior, especially in low income countries is influenced by a complex set of socioeconomic, demographic, technical, institutional and

bio-physical factors (Masangano, 1996). This study, therefore, evaluates the socioeconomic factors that influence farmers' decisions to adopt rotational woodlot technology in the farming systems in Kigorobyia sub-county, Hoima district, western Uganda.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area:** The study was conducted in Kigorobyia sub-county, Hoima district between July and September 2005. The district is located in mid-western Uganda (1°00'N - 2°00'N, 30°30'E - 31°45' E). It is bordered by Lake Albert to the West, Kibaale to the South, Masindi (North-East) and Kiboga (East). It has a population of about 341,700 people and a total area of 5,775 km<sup>2</sup>. It lies at an altitude of 600-1000 m above sea level with undulating hills and predominantly savannah grasslands. The climate is characterized with small variations in temperature and humidity throughout the year. Mean annual rainfall ranges from 700-1000 mm, most of which is received between October and April. Mean annual temperature is approximately 28°C with a range of 15-32°C. Vegetation is very varied ranging from medium altitude moist forests through forest/savannah mosaic, swamp to post cultivation communities (Oluka-Akileng *et al.*, 2000). The soils are mainly yellowish-red clay loams on sedimentary beds and they occupy parts of Bugahya and Buhaguzi counties (Siriri and Bekunda, 2001).

Subsistence agriculture is the major economic activity employing about 84% of population (UBOS, 2002). The bulk of agricultural production is from manually cultivated rain-fed crops such as tobacco, cotton, sugarcane and food crops such as maize, cassava and sweet potatoes. Kigorobyia sub-county was selected as a study site because it is economically backward with a subsistence economy. In each village there exists well documented secondary data on farmers that had adopted the woodlot technology (MWLE, 2002).

**Data collection:** Data for the study were collected through a cross sectional farm-level survey carried out between May and December 2004, by means of a structured questionnaire administered to 120 farmers to determine the profile of farmers' socio-economic variables and farm characteristics. The survey was done in two stages (Arkin and Cotton, 1963). In the first stage, focused group discussions were used to obtain background information on the adoption of rotational woodlot technology. This information was used to design a structured questionnaire administered to respondents during the second stage of the survey. Selection of the survey villages was

Table 1: Farm household socioeconomic characteristics in the study area (N = 120)

Variable	Percentage
Adopters of rotational woodlot technology	72
<b>Farmers age</b>	
<18	43
19-29	23
30-39	62
=>40	51
<b>Education per family in schooling year</b>	
0	21
<5	64
=>6	15
Mean	03
<b>Size of household members</b>	
1-4	20
5-7	40
8-10	23
=>10	17
<b>Amount of landholding</b>	
<1ha	32
1-2	32
2.1- 5	22
=>5	14
Mean (2.4 ha)	
<b>Source of family income</b>	
Agriculture	57
Non-agriculture	43
<b>Sources of information about woodlot technology</b>	
NAADS	40
NGO	23
Self experimentation	02
Farmer to farmer	33
Other sources	02
<b>Farmers aware of forestry extension activities</b>	
Aware	27
Not aware	73

through a stratified random sampling procedure. A complete list of villages where rotational woodlot technology has been previously introduced was available (FAO, 2003).

Sample villages were selected based on the number of years of farmer exposure to woodlot technology, number of farmers exposed to woodlot technology and an informed assessment by key informants on the extent of adoption of rotational woodlot technology in each village. From each selected villages, lists were developed of all farmers who had been exposed to woodlot technology and of those without such knowledge. A random sample of farmers was taken from each of the two groups of farmers. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the farmers' socio-economic characteristics, while simultaneous equation logistic models were employed to estimate the intensity of adoption (Maddala, 1983). A series of explanatory variables included: Gender of farmer, farmer education, family size, memberships to farmers' organization, contact with extension agency, tree tenure security, fuel wood scarcity index, supply of planting materials, household source of income and size of landholding (Table 1).

**Analytical model:** A two-stage regression approach was adopted. In the first stage, a discrete variable logit assessment of the choice to adopt or not was carried out. In this case, a 100% sample was included in the model because it is a reasonable first choice for any farmer. The choice of the farmer to adopt woodlot technology or not was framed as a binary-choice model which assumed that individuals were faced with a choice between 2 alternatives and the choice depended on identifiable characteristics. The probability of adopting choice,  $\Pr(T_i = 1)$ , was cumulative density function  $F$  evaluated at  $X_i\beta$ , where  $X_i$  is a vector of explanatory variables and  $\beta$  is a vector of unknown parameter (Maddala, 1983). This kind of cumulative density function can be modeled using logistic probability function, which has the following form:

$$\text{Choice to adopt rotational woodlot} = \Pr(T_i = 1) = \frac{\exp(x_i \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i \beta)} \quad (1)$$

The Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS VER. 11) program for Windows was used for the analysis. The estimated model was:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WOOD} = & b_0 + b_1(\text{GND}) + b_2(\text{HSIZ}) \\ & + b_3(\text{EDUC}) + b_4(\text{EXT}) + b_5(\text{EROS}) \\ & + b_6(\text{FINC}) + b_7(\text{FWD}) + b_8(\text{TENUR}) \\ & + b_9(\text{ORG}) + b_{10}(\text{LND}) + b_{11}(\text{SEED}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The qualitative dependent variable is woodlot technology (WOOD), which takes on the value of 1 if the farmer adopted woodlot technology and its variants and 0 if no adoption occurred. Explanatory variables and justification are discussed below. Gender (GND) is a dummy variable that indexes the gender of the farmer, it has a value of 1 for men and 0 for women. It was hypothesized that GND is negatively related to adoption of rotational woodlot technology. Size of household (HSIZ) measures the number of people living in the household. It is expected that the larger the number of members in the household the greater will be the availability of family labor for woodlot establishment and management. Farmer education (EDUC) measures the level of education of the farmer. It takes the value 1, if no formal education; 2, if completed primarily level; 3, if completed secondary level and 4 if University graduate. Education thus is expected to have a positive effect on the decision to adopt woodlot technology. Contact with extension (EXT) measures the contact of farmers with extension and

research agencies that work on woodlot technology. It takes the value of 1 if the farmer had contacts with such agencies and 0, otherwise. It was hypothesized that EXT positively influenced decision to establish woodlot of the farm field. Source of income (FINC) measures the farmer's main source of income and it takes the value 1, if the main source is agriculture and 0 if otherwise. Studies have shown that agriculture as the main source of income has negative impacts on adoption of new agroforestry technologies (Adesina *et al.*, 2000; Nyirenda *et al.*, 2001). Fuelwood scarcity (FWD) indexes the extent of wood scarcity in the village where the farmer is located. It takes the value of 1, if fuel wood is abundant in the village; 2, if scarce and 3, if very scarce. It is hypothesized that as the extent of fuel wood scarcity intensifies, farmers may have greater incentives to adopt woodlot technology.

In this study, soil erosion level (EROS) measures the extent of soil erosion in the village where the farmer is located. It takes on the value of 1, if no erosion problem at all; 2, if it is a minor problem and 3, if it is a severe problem. Tree tenure security (TENUR) indexes the security of tree rights on the private farm. It takes the value 1 if farmer has secure tenure rights and 0 otherwise. TENUR is positively related to adoption of woodlot technology. It is expected that if farmers have a complete bundle of rights, the likelihood of adopting rotational woodlot technology will increase. Membership to farmer organization (ORG) indicates if the farmer is a member of farmers' association.

Membership to farmers' organization was hypothesized to positively influence the adoption of woodlot technology. Size of landholding (LND) is a dummy variable, which indexes whether the farmer has sufficient land. It takes the value of 1 if yes and 0 otherwise. It is expected that farmers owning big portions of land may face less pressure to establish woodlots on their own farms and this may negatively affect adoption of woodlot technology. Supply of planting material (SEED) measures the extent of availability of planting materials in the village. The seed supply takes the value 4, if National Tree Seed Center is the major source of planting materials; 3, if own farm and 2, if from open market and 1 if it is from other sources.

## RESULTS

**Field survey results:** The results presented in Table 1 show that average size of landholding in the area is 2.4 ha of land. Approximately 64% of the households own less than 2 ha and only 14% of the remaining households own over 5 ha of land. Thus, a considerable number of

Table 2: Socio-economic and bio-physical field conditions

Variable	Percentage
<b>Source of seeds and planting materials</b>	
National Tree Seed Centre	4
Natural regeneration	48
Market	16
Own nursery	12
Other farms	20
<b>Location of trees on farm</b>	
Homegarden	27
Crop land	07
Home compound	50
Boundaries	04
Woodlots	08
Roadside	03
Public land	01
<b>Source of energy</b>	
Firewood	93
Charcoal	03
Agricultural residues	03
Commercial fuels	01
<b>Distance in hours</b>	
<1 h ( including on farm sources)	80
1-3 h	18
>3 h	02
<b>Soil erosion index</b>	
High	59
Low	40
<b>Reasons for adopting rotational woodlot technology</b>	
Domestic consumption (Fuel wood, construction materials, food) supply	68
Source of household income	54
Social and financial safe net	38
Soil conservation and protection of ecological balance	42
Wind breaker	18
Biodiversity and wildlife habitat	05
Ornamental	04
Others	02
<b>Constraints to establishment of woodlots</b>	
Seedling supply	20
Lack of technical knowledge	21
Land scarcity	23
Land and tree tenure	09
Culture and traditions constraints	01
Lack labor and capital	10
Lack of interest	03
Others e.g diseases, competition with food crops	11

1 US\$ = 1880 shillings (in 2005)

farmers possessed a very limited amount of farm land to be used for production of household food and fuel wood. The median size of household members was 8 persons. Agriculture was the main source of income for approximately 57% of the households. Forty percent of the farmers reported that they obtained technical information on rotational woodlot technology from the National Agricultural Advisory Services, a program under the Ministry of Agriculture and non-government organizations (23%) in the area. Only 27% of the farmers were aware of the activities of the forestry extension programs. About 48% of the respondents mentioned natural regeneration as their source of seedlings and few farmers (4%) acquired planting materials from the

Table 3: Binary logit model of the household characteristics influencing adoption of rotational woodlot technology in the study area

Variable	Coefficient estimate	Standard error	p-value
Constant	-9.869	3.855	0.0143
GND	1.258	1.137	0.0926*
EDUC	0.548	0.139	0.3706
HSIZ	-0.097	0.304	0.7503
EXT	2.811	1.143	0.0139***
EROS	-0.102	0.051	0.0442**
FINC	-0.442	0.197	0.0202***
FWD	-1.954	0.567	0.0006***
TENUR	2.886	0.875	0.0009***
ORG	7.071	9.466	0.4552
LND	-0.435	0.186	0.0242**
SEED	2.07	0.802	0.0011***

Unrestricted Log likelihood function - 36.60; Restricted Log likelihood Function (-57.6); Degrees of freedom 45; Significance level (0.01) McFadden's R<sup>2</sup> = 0.36; Chi Squared (42.02). The asterixes: \*, \*\*, \*\*\* refer to significance at p = 10, 5 and 1%, respectively

Table 4: Trees and shrubs and their location in the farmer's fields

Species	Location
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Courtyard, scattered
<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	Home garden, scattered
<i>Viterllaria paradoxa</i>	Courtyard, scattered
<i>Albizia coriaria</i>	Scattered
<i>Senna spectabilis</i>	Home garden
<i>Melia azedarach</i>	Courtyard
<i>Combretum sp.</i>	Scattered
<i>Ficus dekkeka</i>	Scattered
<i>Ficus glumosa</i>	Scattered
<i>Ficus sycomorus</i>	Scattered, courtyard, boundaries
<i>Erythrina abyssinica</i>	Scattered
<i>Acacia seberiana</i>	Scattered
<i>Citrus sp.</i>	Courtyard, home garden
<i>Vitex doniana</i>	Scattered

National Tree Seed Centre. The soil erosion index was rated high (60%) and therefore many farmers planted trees on their private farm fields. All respondents (99%) rely on biomass as a source of energy. Due to the general poverty in the rural areas, only 1% of the households can afford to purchase the commercial fuels such as electricity and petroleum products (Table 2).

**Empirical model:** Binary logit coefficients (Table 1) showed that seven explanatory variables were significant in explaining farmers' adoption of rotational woodlot technology. These were: tree tenure security (TENUR), seed supply (SEED), contact with extension and research agencies (EXT), soil erosion index (EROS), size of landholding (LND), fuel wood scarcity index (FWD), main source of family income (FINC) and gender of the farmer (GND).

**Trees and shrubs grown:** Trees commonly cultivated include *Melia azedarach*, *Markhamia lutea*, *Viterllaria paradoxa*, *Senna spectabilis*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Eucalyptus sp.*, *Citrus sp.*, *Mangifera indica* and Sodom apple. There are also a number of trees planted as boundary marks and fences. These include: *Ficus sp.*,

Table 5: Trees grown by the local community (N = 60)

Species	Frequency	(%)
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	34	12.6
<i>Sema spectabilis</i>	21	7.8
<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	13	4.8
<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	12	4.5
<i>Citrus</i> sp.	36	13.4
<i>Carica papaya</i>	20	7.4
<i>Melia azederach</i>	21	7.8
<i>Pines</i>	2	0.7
<i>Cashew nuts</i>	14	5.2
<i>Emalcina</i> (local name)	21	7.8
<i>Markhamia lutea</i>	7	2.6
<i>Psidium guajava</i>	7	2.6
<i>Ficus sycomoruz</i>	2	0.7
<i>Albizia coriaria</i>	4	1.5
<i>Viterlaria paradoxa</i>	3	1.1
<i>Kigelia africana</i>	1	0.4
<i>Musanga cecropioides</i>	1	0.4
<i>Persia americana</i>	2	0.7
<i>Grevillia robusta</i>	2	1.1
<i>Cocos nucifera</i>	3	1.1
<i>Delonix regia</i>	1	0.4
<i>Milicia excelsa</i>	3	1.1
<i>Jacaranda mimosfolia</i>	1	0.4
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	7	2.6
<i>Passiflora edulis</i>	12	4.5
<i>Thevetia paravian</i>	2	0.7
<i>Cedrella cedrata</i>	2	0.7
<i>Ficus sur</i>	2	0.7
<i>Combretum collinum</i>	1	0.4
<i>Vitex doniana</i>	1	0.4
<i>Syzigium lusitanica</i>	1	0.4
<i>Eboboryei</i> (local name)	2	0.7
<i>Ejumula</i> (local name)	5	0.0
<i>Cupressus lusitanica</i>	3	1.9

*Euphorbia* sp., *Thevetia paravian*, Ejumukla (local name). Some times trees are found scattered among crops in the field or as seen in several occasions, beneficial trees are left stranding in the area, for example, *Combretum* sp. and *Ficus* sp., which are often left scattered in cassava fields. This is a form of mixed intercropping where the tree component provides the environmental services and products to the household whereas the annual crops provide the domestic food requirement. The tree products include fruits, vegetables, pods, seeds, firewood for energy provision, fodder for livestock such as *Harrisona abyssinica* and *Mangifera indica* provide fodder to goats, sheep and cattle. Most of the indigenous tree species and shrubs are scattered in the fields, found around courtyards, boundaries and fences (Table 4).

The most practiced forms of agroforestry are seen to be home gardens and trees on cropland let alone planting trees on home compounds (50%). However, many homes have 1-4 different species of trees on home compounds, especially to provide shade in the dry season. Citrus species has been found to be the most favored and therefore planted in most home gardens (Table 5). This citrus is used mainly as a source of food (fruits), fire wood as well as source of income through sale of the fruits.

Table 6. Tree species found in Hoima District (N = 60)

Local Name	Botanical name	Traditional furniture tree species)	Non-traditional furniture tree species
Musizi	<i>Maesopsis eminii</i>	✓	
Nkoba	<i>Lovoa trichiliodes</i>	✓	
Nkago	<i>Funtumia elastica</i>	✓	
Mukusu	<i>Entandophragma angolense</i>	✓	
Podo	<i>Podocarpus latifolius</i>		✓
Nsagalanyi	<i>Xylopi</i> <i>eminii</i>		✓
Sekoba	<i>Trichilia dregeana</i>		✓
Mpewere	<i>Newtonia buchananii</i>	✓	
Munyama	<i>Khaya grandifolia</i>	✓	
Mvule	<i>Milicia excelsa</i>	✓	
Kalitunsi	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	✓	
Mahogany	<i>Khaya anthotheca</i>	✓	
Nkunya	<i>Minilkara cuneifolia</i>		✓
Muwafu	<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i>	✓	
Kirundu	<i>Anticaris toxicaria</i>	✓	
Nkalati	<i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i>	✓	
Mugavu	<i>Albizia coriaria</i>	✓	
Mwasa	<i>Beilschmiedia ugandensis</i>	✓	
Musambya	<i>Markhamia lutea</i>	✓	
Mugu	<i>Tripezium madagascarenis</i>	✓	
Katoma	<i>Ficus</i> sp.		✓
Ffene	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>		✓
Settaala	<i>Polyscias fulva</i>	✓	
Cyprus	<i>Cupressus lusitanica</i>	✓	
Munaba	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i>		✓
Nongo	<i>Albizia gummifera</i>		✓
Kabandagala	NA		✓
Greveria	<i>Grivellia robusta</i>		✓
Jacaranda	<i>Jacaranda mimosfolia</i>		✓
Nkuzanyana	<i>Blighia unijugata</i>	✓	
Mumwany	<i>Coffea robusta</i>		✓
Musasa	<i>Sapium ellipticum</i>		✓
Muyembe	<i>Mangifera indica</i>		✓
Pine	<i>Pinus caribea</i>	✓	
Mutuba	<i>Ficus natalensis</i>		✓
Mukebu	<i>Cordia millennii</i>	✓	
Oak	NA		✓
Muyovu	<i>Uapaca guineense</i>		✓

NA = Not Available

None of the respondents planted trees on grazing land and public places. This is because farmers who keep livestock graze them on naturally growing pastures despite the fact that grazing land is dwindling, as reported by the respondents. No initiative or measure such as modern agro forestry has been taken to improve the quality and quantity of the palatable livestock pasture. However, there are some naturally growing indigenous trees like *Acassia* sp., *Erythrina* sp., *Albizia* sp., *Vernonia* sp. and *Combretum* sp. These play a big role in providing shade and improving the growth of pastures underneath.

Most farmers prefer planting fruit trees compared to non-fruit bearing trees. These trees serve a number of functions in the daily life of the people. The main uses as reported by the farmers are: Food, medicine, fuel wood, building poles, shade, windbreaks, source of income through the sale of firewood and/charcoal, soil fertility improvement and so on. The traditional furniture tree

species include: *Maesopsis eminii*, *Lovoa trichiloides*, *Funtumia elastica*, *Entandrophragma angolense* and *Podocarpus latifolius* were also frequently used whereas the non-traditional furniture tree species found in the area included *Xylopia eminii*, *Grivellia robusta*, *Jacaranda mimosfolia*, *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Mangifera indica*, *Coffea robusta* and *Ficus nateleensis* (Table 6).

## DISCUSSION

The study revealed that farmers' adoption of rotational woodlot technology is influenced by the interacting farm household socio-economic characteristics such as gender of farmer, contact with extension and research agencies, soil erosion index, tree tenure security, seed supply, size of landholding, fuel wood scarcity index and main source of family income.

Gender of the farmer was found significant and positively related to adoption of woodlot technology. This suggests that men are more likely to establish woodlots on their fields than women. Adesina *et al.* (2000) argued that because rotational woodlot farming is primarily a tree-based technology, women may be less likely to adopt it because of either lack of rights to grow trees or secure land rights. The men are more likely to adopt woodlot technology than women. This result may reflect the traditional bias against women in inheriting lands or having secure land or tree rights, or the inherent gender-bias in testing and demonstration of the technology. Masangano (1996) found that female farmers are less likely to use new technologies. Our field observations revealed that limitation of women to decision making process and participation in forestry related matters further reinforces their limited access to forest resources.

The contribution of National Agricultural Advisory Services to encourage farmers establish woodlots, has been poor and only 23% of the farmers access advisory services (Table 2). Thus, more widespread knowledge of the activities of the forestry extension programs could have a positive impact on farmers' decision to manage woodlots on their farm fields (Adesina *et al.*, 2000; Nyirenda *et al.*, 2001).

Our study supports the findings of Adesina *et al.* (2000) and Masangano (1996) that adoption of any innovation, technology or agricultural practice will be accelerated if farmers have an accurate understanding of the principles underpinning extension recommendations. At the policy level, this implies that improving the quality of the National Agricultural Advisory Services system is of paramount importance in Uganda (Buyinza, 2002).

For sustainability of adoption of woodlot technology, sustainable supply of planting materials in form of seeds and seedlings is one of the pre-requisites. The majority of farmers interviewed depend on natural regeneration (48%) and open market as sources of planting materials (Table 2). Currently, the National Tree Seed Centre is not popular among farmers and only 4% of the farmers interviewed obtained seeds from tree seed center. Farmer preferred to collect seedlings from own superior trees (12%) or from neighbors within the village. These results concur with those of Nyadzi *et al.* (2003) who reported that rotational woodlot technology depends on reliable supply of quality planting materials.

The positive and significant sign on FWD implied that farmers in villages facing increasing fuelwood scarcities were more likely to adopt woodlot technology. Adesina *et al.* (2000) found that most of the fuel wood consumed by the farm households in forest margins of southwest Cameroon come from their food crop fields. Incidentally, it's within food crop fields that farmers establish woodlots. Woody perennial trees used in woodlots can further help farmers to increase the supply of fuel wood from their food crop fields (Buyinza, 2002). Since farmers in villages with fuel wood scarcity were found to have higher likelihood of establishing woodlots, development efforts should target such villages, provided the level of fuel wood scarcity is not very serious. If it were, wood lots would be more appropriate in these areas. Tree farming requires that farmers set aside a part of their land for growing trees, the cultivatable area under food crops have to be reduced.

The farmers that have big landholdings are less likely to adopt woodlot farming. Farmers in villages where land is scarce are less likely to adopt woodlot farming because of the belief that trees will compete with the food crops. Wood fuel accounts for about 90% of energy used in Uganda (Falkenberg and Sepp, 1999). Growing trees as woodlots on farms in rotation with crops is considered a potential technology to overcome the shortage of wood, which is a common problem to many parts of Uganda (Jacovelli and Cavalho, 1999).

The fact that woodlot farming requires farmers to set aside part of their land for growing trees, the cultivable area under food crops have to be reduced. Farmers with smaller plots of land may view tree planting as competing with food crops, thus reducing incentives to establish woodlots. Nyadzi *et al.* (2003), reported that farmers in villages facing high land pressure may lack enough land for experimentation with agroforestry technologies. Since land availability is an important factor that determines development of each of the components in the woodlot farming system, variation in the size of holding affects the tree cropping intensity.

Farmers motivated to plant trees are faced with a shortage of available labour food crop production (Adesina *et al.*, 2000). Thus farmers whose main source of income is agriculture might be discouraged to allocate family labor for woodlots activities. About 10% of the farmers reported that a shortage of labour and capital to allocate for woodlot activities was a constraint to woodlot practices (Table 3). Trees provide at least 96% of the energy requirements in the study village (Table 2). Jacovelli and Caevalho (1999) reported that in Uganda, biomass fuel is the principal energy source for household needs and about 52% of the biomass fuel comes from trees.

The farmers adopted woodlot technology mainly to provide for domestic consumption requirements such as firewood, construction materials (68%) and source of household income (54%). About 42% of the farmers interviewed reported that they had established woodlots as a financial security measure during declining revenues from the current marketable food crops. It has been shown (Falkenberg and Sepp, 1999) that fuel wood demand is an important factor in the inducement to plant trees.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion therefore, this study has showed that the household and farm-level characteristics such as gender, size of landholding, fuel wood scarcity, main source of family income, tree tenure security, reliable seed supply, contact with extension and research agencies and soil erosion index of village impact on the adoption of rotational woodlot technology.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study is an output of a community forestry field attachment funded by the government of Republic of Uganda and Faculty of Forestry and Nature Conservation, Makerere University. The authors are grateful to the farmers who participated in the survey.

### REFERENCES

- Arkin, H. and R. Colton, 1963. Table for Statistics. Barnes and Noble Publisher, New York.
- Adesina, A.A., D. Mbila, G.B. Nkamleu and D. Endamana, 2000. Econometric analysis of the determinants of adoption of alley farming by farmers in the forest zone of southwest Cameroon. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 80: 255-265.
- Buyinza, M., 2002. Forestry Work in Villages: A guide for field workers. Makerere University. Fountain Publishers, Kampala, Uganda.
- Falkenberg, C.M. and S. Sepp, 1999. Economic Evaluation of the forest sector in Uganda: Forest Sector Review. Ministry of Water, Lands and Environment. Kampala, Uganda.
- FAO, 2003. Wood energy. Food and Agricultural Organization. United Nations, Rome.
- Jacovelli, P. and J. Caevalho, 1999. The private forest sector in Uganda-Opportunities for greater involvement. Forest Sector Review. Ministry of Water, Lands and Environment. Kampala, Uganda.
- Maddala, G.S., 1983. Limited Dependent and Quantitative variables in Econometrics. Economic society Monographs 3. Cambridge University Press. London.
- Masangano, C., 1996. Diffusion of Agroforestry Technologies. <http://www.msu.edu/user/masangn/agrof.html>.
- MWLE., 2002. The Uganda Forest Policy 2002. Ministry of Water, Lands and Environment. Kampala, Uganda.
- Nyadzi, G.I., R.M. Otsyina, F.M. Banzi, S.S. Bakengesa, B.M. Gama, L. Mbwambo and D. Asenga, 2003. Rotational woodlot technology in northwestern Tanzania and crop performance. *Agrofor. Syst.*, 59: 253-263.
- Nyirenda, M., G. Kanyama-Phiri, A. Bohringer and C. Haule, 2001. Economic performance of improved fallow agroforestry technology for smallholder maize production in Central Malawi. *African Crop Sci.*, 5: 638-687.
- Oluka-Akileng, I., F.J. Esegu, A. Kaudia and A. Lwakuba, 2000. Agroforestry Handbook for the Banana-coffee Zone of Uganda: Farmers' Practices and Experiences. Technical Handbook No. 21. RELMA/SIDA, ICRAF, Kenya.
- Omuregbee, F.E., 1998. Communication of improved farm practices to rural women farmers in Benue State, Nigeria. *Outlook Agric.*, 27: 53-56.
- UBOS., 2002. Provisional Population Census Results. Uganda Bureau of Statistics. Entebbe, Uganda.
- Siriri, D. and M.A. Bekunda, 2001. Soil fertility Management in Uganda: The potential of Agroforestry. AFRENA, Kabale, Uganda.
- Yin, R. and W.F. Hyde, 2000. Trees as an agriculture sustaining activity: The case of northern China. *Agrofor. Syst.*, 50 :179-194.