

Genetic Diversity and Relatedness among Barley (*Hordium vulare* L.) Landraces from Diverse Ecological Zones of Pakistan

¹Kifayatullah Khalil, ¹Ghulam Mujtaba Shah, ²Fazal Raziq and ¹Asif Javed ¹Department of Botany, Hazara University, Mansehra 21120, Pakistan ²Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Animal Production and Technology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

Key words: Barley, spike length, harvest index, biological yield, fertile tillers per plant

Corresponding Author:

Fazal Raziq Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Animal Production and Technology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

Page No.: 18-32 Volume: 14, Issue 2, 2021 ISSN: 1995-4751 Botany Research Journal Copy Right: Medwell Publications Abstract: Barley landraces are the major genetic resources of cultivated barley in Ethiopia. Lack of adequate information on extent of landraces diversity hinders conservation efforts and proper utilization of genetic resource. A field experiment was conducted in order to assess the extent of genetic diversity of barley landraces collection from Southern Ethiopia. A total of 76 genotypes were evaluated during 2019 main cropping season using augmented design at NARC Islamabad. Data were recorded for quantitative and qualitative characters. Analysis of variance indicated highly significant variation among 76 accessions except awn length. Genotypic Coefficient of Variations (GCV) varied from 4.36% for biological yield to 13.22% for number of fertile tillers per plant and phenotypic coefficient of variations varied from 6.40% for plant height to 16.27% for spike length. Estimate of broad sense heritability varied from 38.75% for spike length to 78.13 for grain yield. Estimates of genetic advance as percent of mean ranged from 7.61% for plant height to 23.01% for number of fertile tillers per plant. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation analysis indicated that grain yield had positive and significant phenotypic and genotypic correlation with days to maturity, grain filling period and plant height, number of fertile tillers per plant, thousand seed weight, harvest index and biological yield. Path analysis revealed that plant height, thousand seed weight and number of fertile tillers per plant showed positive and highest direct effect on grain yield. Cluster analysis grouped 105 genotypes into five groups and one genotype remains ungrouped. Principal component analysis revealed that the variance of 31, 15, 12, 10 and 9% were extracted for first five PCs, respectively which contributed 78% of total variation among genotypes. Estimate of Shannon -Weaver diversity index H' varied from 0.09 for hoodedness to 0.97 for

kernel row number. Pooled over all traits within each zone, H' value ranged from 0.48 for Sidama to 0.69 for South Omo and individual trait showed different levels of diversity across different zones. In general, the result indicated the existence of wider diversity among the barley collection, showing opportunity to improve

INTRODUCTION

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is a major cereal crop belongs to family Poaceae and of genus Hordeum which grow in different ecologies world widely^[1]. Barley is oldest domesticated crops which was originated about ten thousand years ago in the fertile Crescent of Middle east from two rowed wild progenitor (H. vulgare L.)^[2]. Barley is 4th most important cultivated crop in the world and its total production is 149 million tons^[3]. According to Mohtashami^[4] barley is second most cultivated cereal crop after wheat in Iran. Barley is a drought and salt tolerated crop. It can grow better in adverse agro climatic conditions, as well as rain fed areas^[5]. Barley can adjust itself to various circumstances and tolerated to numerous abiotic features, like high and low temperature, salty environment and aquatic pressure^[6]. The domesticated barley and their wild ancestor are involved in the prime gene pool (Ferreira et al.^[1] and Wild kind have high allelic variations as compare to cultivated one^[7]. It is the fourth important world cereal crop and fifth by cultivation among cereals throughout the world which is mainly used as animal feed, beer and human food^[8].

According to Din et al.^[5] barley is used in bread making and other human food and beverages soup lather etc. Barley bread is of in numerable cultures. Gluten is the main ingredient of barley, distilled and base malt beer. Barley with hundreds of landraces and cultivars is common in those areas where adaptation of other crops is difficult, yet it is weakened genetically, especially because of pure breeding and needs genetic reinforcement. H. spontaneum, the greatest courage for barley advancement, is a strong environmental generalist, adapted to various kinds of extreme latitudes, altitudes, climates and soils. Its adaptations occur at all levels like genomically, proteomically and phenomically both regionally and locally. Barley has significant nutritional characterizations and it is a best container of various nutrients which includes dietetic stuff mainly beta glucan, mineral deposits and unsaturated fats. The concentration of Protein are different in barley which range from 10-15% but on the basis of end use the range is broader from 7-25% in better varieties^[9]. Dietary fibers in barley ranged from 11-34% DM and soluble dietary fiber from 3-20% DM^[10]. It also comprises a good amount of phenolics and has anti-oxidant stuffs^[11]. Important nutritional macro-element minerals for example phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium important traits of the crop and need to conserve the diversity. As future line work further investigation with inclusion of informative molecular markers and covering different producing area of the region will allow to provide the complete picture of existing diversity.

and micro-elements minerals like iron, manganese, zinc, selenium and cobalt are present in seed of barley^[12]. Wild type barley consists of many genes that enable it to adapt to numerous abiotic and biotic factors^[13]. Genetic diversity inside and amongst crop plant species is necessity for plant survival in nature and crop improvement. Variation in genetic resources permits selection of superior genotypes and emerging advance and developed cultivars with wanted traits for breeders^[14]. Various studies showed about the genetic diversity among Barely varieties are given, i.e., Wenzl et al.[15] conducted that the value of the DArT charts were comparable, if not higher, as compare to framework map. These outcomes climax the prospective of DArT as a generic procedure for genome wherever, according to International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium^[16] established a data which make available a display place for both genome assisted research and permitting current crop development. Allard and Jain^[17] studied the functions of population variability in both short term success and long term survival among population. Jaradat et al.[18] found the variety for salt acceptance in barley landraces which will enable their usage in genetic development while according to Amezrou et al.^[19] plant breeders take interest in the usage of varied genotypes in hybridization that can separate for traits of significance with opportunity of assortment and genetic gain. Genetic markers can be classified into morphological markers, protein markers and DNA markers. Assessment of genetic variability based on morphological traits is time-consuming^[20]. It shows archipelago genetic assembly which is genetically rich and harbors immense adaptive abiotic and biotic resistances precious to barley and cereal improvement. Sequencing the H. spontaneum genome will reveal huge, mostly untapped, genetic resources. Nowadays the stresses and global warming are responsible for the big loss of H. spontaneum and so it is vital to conserve this cereal crop in in situ and in ex situ condition to maintain it for future^[21]. Therefore, study on arrangement of landraces variation is the main attention for effective preservation and application of genetic means.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of study site and collection of data: Current experiment was conducted in the field of NARC (National agriculture research centre) Islamabad during the growing

| Table 1: Table of | f Genetic material | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Acc | Acc | Acc | Acc | Acc | Acc | Acc | Acc |
| 35860 | 35861 | 35862 | 35863 | 35864 | 35865 | 36725 | 36728 |
| 36743 | 37247 | 37248 | 37249 | 37250 | 37251 | 37252 | 37253 |
| 37254 | 37255 | 37256 | 37257 | 37258 | 37259 | 37260 | 37261 |
| 37262 | 37263 | 37264 | 37265 | 37266 | 37267 | 37268 | 37269 |
| 37270 | 7999 | 8000(1) | 8000(2) | 8001 | 8003(2) | 8003(7) | 8004(4) |
| 8005 | 8006 | 8007 | 8008(1) | 8009 | 8010 | 8011(3) | 8011(4) |
| 8015(3) | 1264 | 8024(2) | 1400 | 1629 | 1631 | 1639 | 1644 |
| 1659 | 1797 | 1798 | 1799 | 1801 | 1802 | 1803 | 1806 |
| 1822 | 1825 | 1828 | Local Bajuar | Khumasay | Malana | Zeran | Malana |
| Yousaf Khail | ICBA barley | Jau-83 | Snober 96 | 4044 | 4063 | 4113 | 4119 |
| 4157 | 4159 | 4165 | 4166 | 4168 | 4191 | 4271 | 4290 |
| 4298 | 4319 | 4322 | 4323 | 4324 | 4325 | 4328 | 4329 |
| 4331 | 4332 | 4333 | 4334 | 4335 | 4336 | 4342 | 4343 |
| 4344 | 4345 | 4346 | 4347 | 4357 | 4359 | 4369 | 4382 |
| 4399 | 4400 | 4410 | 4418 | 4419 | 4420 | 4450 | 4451 |
| 4452 | 4455 | 4456 | 4457 | 4476 | 4494 | 4498 | 4503 |
| 4507 | 4531 | 4532 | 4554 | 4557 | 4558 | 4569 | 4599 |
| 4600 | 4601 | 4615 | 4635 | | | | |

| Table 2: List of control varieties | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Control | Control |
| Jau-2017 | Sultan-2017 |
| | |

season of 2019. Total 76 accessions were planted showed in Table 1 and 2 and in experiment Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) were followed.

Parameters evaluated: Data were recorded for following parameters.

Days to 50% flowering: Days to flowering was noted from date of sowing to when 50% plants start flowering in each accession. Days to flowering was counted in days.

Days to 80% maturity: The total number of days from sowing till it reaches to maturity was recorded.

Plant height (cm): Plant height was measure from soil surface to tip of the plant.

Number of tillers per plant: Number of tillers was counted by the number of shoots in addition to the main stem of five plants in each accession.

Spikes density: Spikes density was measure through a subjective observation of barley spikes when 100% of plant spikes were comes out in a growing accession. Either spike was lax, intermediate or dense.

Number of spikes per plant: Total number of spikes was counted from 5 selected plants in each accession.

Number of seeds per plant: Total number of seeds from each 5 typical selected plants in each accession was taken.

Number of spikelet's group (triplets) per spike: An average of 5 typical spikes was select from a growing accession.

Awn type: An awn or (bristle-like appendage) was check for either it's smooth or rough. This data was recorded from 5 plants in each accession.

Spike length without awn: Total length of spike was measure from base to the tip excluding awns. Data of 5 plants were recorded.

Spike length with awn: Total length of spike was measure including awn (cm) using measuring scale. Data were recorded from 5 selected plants in each accession.

Length of rechilla hair: Length of rechilla was categorized into 2 categories (short or long) on the basis of length of hairs on rechilla. It was observe by using magnifying glass. Data were taken from 5 selected plants in each accession.

Row number/lateral florets: The total number of rows in spike of 5 plants in each line was checked to see if it is either two or six rowed.

Spikes color: Spikes color was recorded from 5 plant of each accession before maturity of spikes.

The 100 seed weight: Seed weight was counted after thrashing of barley spikes of each accession.

Protein analysis: Cluster analysis of data for seed storage proteins on the basis of SDS-PAGE electrophoresis results was conducted using unweight Pair Group Matrix Average (UPGMA) Method. Statistica 7.0 was used for cluster analysis and construction of dendrogram.

Statistical analysis: The Data of the above parameters were analyzed by statistical package statistic 8.1, to calculate the Genetic variability and correlation coefficients for different parameters of genotypes. Least significant differences (LSD) was also applied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Time to 50% flowers formation: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) indicated non significant differences of accessions for days to 50% flowering. The coefficient of variation was 8.13% of accessions for days to 50% flowering (Table 3).

Average value of accessions for days to 50% flowers formation ranged from 114 to 164 days, while maximum days of all studied accessions was found for accessions 37252 (164 days) which is followed by accessions 37251, 37268 and 37249. Least days to 50% flowering were found for accessions 1629, 1801, 1802, 1803, 1806, 1822 and 1825 (114 days) (Table 4). Days to 50% flowering didn't show high variation in statistical analysis. Days towards 50% flowers formation revealed positive and significant and association with days to when plant mature, height of plant, seeds in each plant and kernel yield of each plant while time to when 50% flowers formed showed non-significant association with spike length with awn, spike length without awn, hundred seed weight. Days to 50% flowering showed negative association with tillers per plant, spikelets per plant (Table 4).

Caccarelli *et al.*^[22] and Parzier *et al.*^[23] found non-significant differences of accessions for days to 50% flowers formation.

Days to 80% maturity: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) indicated significant difference of accessions for days 80% maturity. The coefficient of variation was 4.39% of accessions for days to 80% maturity (Table 5). Average value of accessions for days to 80% maturity ranged from 153-186 days while maximum days of all studied

Table 3: ANOVA table of Days to when 50% flowers formed

| 1 4010 5. 71110 771 44 | ble of Days to when 50 | /0 110 wers formed | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|---------|
| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value |
| Rep | 3 | 2770.4 | 923.46 | | |
| Accessions | 18 | 958.1 | 53.23 | 0.427927 | 0.09751 |
| Error | 54 | 6716.8 | 124.38 | | |
| Total | 75 | 10445.2 | | | |
| CV = 8.13 | | | | | |

| T-1-1- | 4. | 14 | 4-1-1- | -f 1 | 4 - | 500 |
|--------|----|----|--------|------|-----|-----|

| Table 4: Mean tabl | e of days to 50% floweri | ng | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Accession | DTF | Accession | DTF | Accession | DTF |
| 35860 | 135 ^a | 37264 | 142 ^a | 1639 | 133 ^a |
| 35861 | 135ª | 37265 | 141 ^a | 1644 | 140 ª |
| 35862 | 119 ^a | 37266 | 135 ^a | 1659 | 131 ^a |
| 35863 | 135 ^a | 37267 | 135 ^a | 1797 | 119ª |
| 35864 | 149 ^a | 37268 | 156 ^a | 1798 | 119ª |
| 35865 | 141 ^a | 37269 | 140 ^a | 1799 | 119ª |
| 36725 | 149 ^a | 7999 | 139 ^a | 1801 | 114 ^a |
| 36728 | 149 ^a | 8000(1) | 135 ^a | 1802 | 114 ^a |
| 36743 | 151 ^a | 8000 (2) | 135 ^a | 1803 | 114 ^a |
| 37247 | 141 ^a | 8001 | 140 ^a | 1806 | 114 ^a |
| 37248 | 151 ^a | 8003 (2) | 140 ^a | 1822 | 114 ^a |
| 37249 | 154 ^a | 8003 (7) | 135 ^a | 1825 | 114 ^a |
| 37250 | 151 ^a | 8004 (4) | 138 ^a | 1828 | 119 ^a |
| 37251 | 161 ^a | 8005 | 139 ^a | Local Bajaur | 138 ^a |
| 37252 | 164 ^a | 8006 | 140 ^a | Khumasay | 142 ^a |
| 37253 | 149 ^a | 8008 (1) | 141 ^a | Malana | 141 ^a |
| 37254 | 133 ^a | 8009 | 141 ^a | Zeran | 141 ^a |
| 37255 | 151 ^a | 8010 | 141 ^a | Malana | 141 ^a |
| 37256 | 128 ^a | 8011 (3) | 141 ^a | Yousaf Khail | 140 ^a |
| 37257 | 152 ^a | 8011 (4) | 140 ^a | ICBA Barley | 138 ^a |
| 37258 | 149 ^a | 8015 (3) | 140 ^a | Jau-83 | 140 ª |
| 37259 | 152 ^a | 1264 | 136 ^a | Snober-96 | 140 ^a |
| 37260 | 141 ^a | 8024 (2) | 136 ^a | Jau-2017 | 138.ª |
| 37261 | 140 ^a | 1400 | 119 ^a | Sultan-2017 | 142 ^a |
| 37262 | 139 ^a | 1629 | 114 ^a | | |
| 37263 | 141 ^a | 1631 | 119 ^a | | |

LSD ^{0.05} of Genotypes = 15.81

| Table 5: ANOVA table of days to maturity | | | | | |
|--|-----|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F value | p-value |
| Rep | 3 | 3042.8 | 1014.267 | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 122.05 | 1.627333 | 0.126472 | 0.03751 |
| Error | 225 | 2895.1 | 12.86711 | | |
| Total | 303 | 6059.9 | | | |

CV = 4.39

| Accession | DTM | Accession | DTM | Accession | DTM |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 35860 | 162 ^a | 37264 | 170 ^a | 1639 | 153 ª |
| 35861 | 163 ª | 37265 | 166 ^a | 1644 | 164ª |
| 35862 | 155 ^a | 37266 | 167 ^a | 1659 | 166 ^a |
| 35863 | 163 ª | 37267 | 172 ^a | 1797 | 159ª |
| 35864 | 178 ^a | 37268 | 176 ^a | 1798 | 159 ^a |
| 35865 | 174 ^a | 37269 | 173 ^a | 1799 | 158 ª |
| 36725 | 177 ^a | 7999 | 172 ^a | 1801 | 153 ª |
| 36728 | 178 ^a | 8000(1) | 177 ^a | 1802 | 153 ^a |
| 36743 | 179 ^a | 8000 (2) | 171 ^a | 1803 | 153 ª |
| 37247 | 174 ^a | 8001 | 171 ^a | 1806 | 153 ^a |
| 37248 | 179 ^a | 8003 (2) | 172 ^a | 1822 | 153 ª |
| 37249 | 178 ^a | 8003 (7) | 171 ^a | 1825 | 153 ^a |
| 37250 | 182 ^a | 8004 (4) | 169 ^a | 1828 | 157 ^a |
| 37251 | 186 ^a | 8005 | 172 ^a | Local Bajaur | 161 ^a |
| 37252 | 174 ^a | 8006 | 169 ^a | Khumasay | 159 ª |
| 37253 | 179 ^a | 8008 (1) | 170 ^a | Malana | 160 ^a |
| 37254 | 169 ^a | 8009 | 171 ^a | Zeran | 158 ^a |
| 37255 | 178 ^a | 8010 | 168 ^a | Malana | 157 ^a |
| 37256 | 170 ^a | 8011 (3) | 168 ^a | Yousaf Khail | 156 ª |
| 37257 | 178 ^a | 8011 (4) | 166 ^a | ICBA Barley | 160 ^a |
| 37258 | 179 ^a | 8015 (3) | 165 ^a | Jau-83 | 156 ª |
| 37259 | 178 ^a | 1264 | 163 ^a | Snober-96 | 166 ^a |
| 37260 | 179 ^a | 8024 (2) | 153 ^a | Jau-2017 | 170 ^a |
| 37261 | 165 ^a | 1400 | 153 ^a | Sultan-2017 | 172 ^a |
| 37262 | 166 ^a | 1629 | 153 ^a | | |
| 37263 | 165 ^a | 1631 | 153 ª | | |

LSD $^{0.05}$ of Genotypes = 10.38

| Table 7: Table of ANOVA for height (cm) of pla | nt |
|--|----|
|--|----|

| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value |
|------------|-----|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| Rep | 3 | 598.8 | 199.6 | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 1672.3 | 22.29733 | 0.888718 | 0.04935 |
| Error | 225 | 5645.1 | 25.08933 | | |
| Total | 303 | 7916.1 | | | |

CV = 8.41%

accessions was found for accessions 37251 (186 days) which is followed by accessions 37250, 37248 and 37243. Least days to 80% maturity were found for accessions 1629, 1801, 1802, 1803, 1806, 1822, 1825, 1400, 1631, 1639 and 8024(2) (153 days) (Table 6). Days to 80% maturity showed high variation in statistical analysis. Days to maturity of 80% plants revealed significant and positive and associated with days to 50% flowers formation and kernel yield of each plant while days to when 80% plants mature showed non-significant relation to plant height, spike length with awn, seeds per plant and hundred seed weight. Days to when 50% flowers formed showed negative association with tillers in each plant, length of spike without awn, spikelets in each plant.

Kebebew *et al.*^[24] and Hamza *et al.*^[25] found similarly significant variances of accessions for days to when 80% plants mature.

Plant height (cm): ANOVA (Analysis of variance) showed significant variance of accessions for height of plant. Coefficient of variation was 8.41% of accessions for plant height (Table 7).

Average value of accessions for plant height ranged from 99.6-145.4 cm while maximum plant height of all

studied accessions was found for accessions 8000 (1) (145.4 cm) which is followed by accessions 37267, ICBA Barley 37252 and 37260. Least plant height were found for accessions 8024 (2) (99.6 cm), followed by 37254, 1801, 1639 (Table 8). Plant height showed high variation in statistical analysis. Height of Plant revealed significant and positive and link with seed per plant, yield of seed of each plant and days to when 50% flowers formed while plant height indicated non-significant association with spike length with awn, spikelet per spike, maturity days and hundred seed weight.

ANOVA (Analysis of variance) showed significant variance of accessions for height of plant. Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] and Malysheva-Otto *et al.*^[27] also found significant variances of accessions for plant height.

Number of tillers in each plant: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) revealed significant variation of accessions for tillers quantity in each plant. The coefficient of variation was 29.44% of accessions for number of tillers per plant (Table 9).

Average value of accessions for numbers of tiller in each plant ranged from 9.2-32.0 while maximum numbers of tillers in each plant of all studied accessions was found

| Table 8: Mean tabl | ble 8: Mean table of plant height (cm) | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Accession | PH | Accession | PH | Accession | PH | |
| 35860 | 115.2 ^b | 37264 | 130.8ª | 1639 | 105.2 ^b | |
| 35861 | 121.8 ^{ab} | 37265 | 131.0ª | 1644 | 112.2 ^b | |
| 35862 | 109.0 ^b | 37266 | 130.8ª | 1659 | 123.6 ^{ab} | |
| 35863 | 115.4 ^b | 37267 | 137.8ª | 1797 | 121.4 ^{ab} | |
| 35864 | 115.8 ^b | 37268 | 106.6 ^b | 1798 | 113.6 ^b | |
| 35865 | 115.8 ^b | 37269 | 124.4 ^{ab} | 1799 | 109.8 ^b | |
| 36725 | 124.4 ^{ab} | 7999 | 125.6 ^{ab} | 1801 | 102.2 ^b | |
| 36728 | 113.4 ^b | 8000(1) | 145.4ª | 1802 | 111.2 ^b | |
| 36743 | 112.0 ^b | 8000 (2) | 124.8 ^{ab} | 1803 | 110.8 ^b | |
| 37247 | 129.2 ^{ab} | 8001 | 121.4 ^{ab} | 1806 | 110.0 ^b | |
| 37248 | 126.4 ^{ab} | 8003 (2) | 129.8ª | 1822 | 115.2 ^b | |
| 37249 | 129.8ª | 8003 (7) | 110.0 ^b | 1825 | 106.0 ^b | |
| 37250 | 136.2ª | 8004 (4) | 119.4 ^{ab} | 1828 | 115.2 ^b | |
| 37251 | 115.2 ^b | 8005 | 116.2 ^b | Local Bajaur | 134.6ª | |
| 37252 | 137.2ª | 8006 | 136.2ª | Khumasay | 134.4ª | |
| 37253 | 116.2 ^b | 8008 (1) | 131.2ª | Malana | 134.0 ^a | |
| 37254 | 101.6 ^b | 8009 | 116.0 ^b | Zeran | 131.8ª | |
| 37255 | 109.8 ^b | 8010 | 133.0ª | Malana | 135.4ª | |
| 37256 | 117.8 ^b | 8011 (3) | 118.6^{ab} | Yousafkhail | 134.8 ^a | |
| 37257 | 116.4 ^b | 8011 (4) | 124.2 ^{ab} | ICBA Barley | 137.4ª | |
| 37258 | 117.8 ^b | 8015 (3) | 133.8ª | Jau-83 | 121.0 ^{ab} | |
| 37259 | 118.2 ^{ab} | 1264 | 115.6 ^b | Snober-96 | 119.0 ^{ab} | |
| 37260 | 136.6 ^ª | 8024 (2) | 99.6 ^b | Jau-2017 | 127.8 ^{ab} | |
| 37261 | 124.4 ^{ab} | 1400 | 118.8 ^{ab} | Sultan-2017 | 121.4 ^{ab} | |
| 37262 | 130.6 ^a | 1629 | 113.8 ^b | | | |
| 37263 | 133.0ª | 1631 | 115.2 ^b | | | |

LSD $^{0.05}$ of Genotypes = 14.50

Table 9: ANOVA table of number of tiller per plant

| SOV DF SS MS F value p-value Rep 3 513.76 171.2533 171.2533 | ruoie // mile // ruo | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|---------|--|--|
| Rep 3 513.76 171.2533 | SOV | DF | SS | MS | F value | p-value | | |
| | Rep | 3 | 513.76 | 171.2533 | | | | |
| Accessions 75 391.4 5.218667 1.023357 0.045 | Accessions | 75 | 391.4 | 5.218667 | 1.023357 | 0.045 | | |
| Error 225 1147.4 5.099556 | Error | 225 | 1147.4 | 5.099556 | | | | |
| Total 303 2052.57 | Total | 303 | 2052.57 | | | | | |

CV = 29.44

Table 10: Mean table of number of tillers per plant

| Accession | TP | Accession | TP | Accession | TP |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| 35860 | 12.2° | 37264 | 11.6° | 1639 | 15.2 ^{abc} |
| 35861 | 14.4^{abc} | 37265 | 14.8 ^{abc} | 1644 | 17.2 ^{abc} |
| 35862 | 12.8 ^{bc} | 37266 | 11.4 ^c | 1659 | 12.2° |
| 35863 | 11.2° | 37267 | 12.4 ^{bc} | 1797 | 12.4 ^{bc} |
| 35864 | 11.8 ^c | 37268 | 13.8 ^{bc} | 1798 | 12.6 ^{bc} |
| 35865 | 12.6 ^{bc} | 37269 | 11.4 ^c | 1799 | 14.2 ^{abc} |
| 36725 | 13.6 ^{bc} | 7999 | 14.6 ^{abc} | 1801 | 15.4 ^{abc} |
| 36728 | 10.2° | 8000(1) | 9.2° | 1802 | 17.2 ^{abc} |
| 36743 | 14.0 ^{abc} | 8000 (2) | 15.4 ^{abc} | 1803 | 26.4ª |
| 37247 | 16.2 ^{abc} | 8001 | 12.0° | 1806 | 12.8 ^{bc} |
| 37248 | 9.4° | 8003 (2) | 25.0ª | 1822 | 16.2 ^{abc} |
| 37249 | 9.4° | 8003 (7) | 30.8 ^a | 1825 | 19.2a |
| 37250 | 13.4 ^{bc} | 8004 (4) | 19.8ª | 1828 | 16.4 ^{abc} |
| 37251 | 10.4° | 8005 | 27.2ª | Local Bajaur | 15.4 ^{abc} |
| 37252 | 11.0 ^c | 8006 | 25.2ª | Khumasay | 13.8 ^{bc} |
| 37253 | 12.2 ^{bc} | 8008 (1) | 29.6ª | Malana | 15.8 ^{abc} |
| 37254 | 11.6 ^c | 8009 | 32.0ª | Zeran | 12.2° |
| 37255 | 13.4 ^{bc} | 8010 | 27.0^{a} | Malana | 13.8 ^{bc} |
| 37256 | 13.2 ^{bc} | 8011 (3) | 19.2ª | Yousaf Khail | 14.2 ^{abc} |
| 37257 | 14.0 ^{abc} | 8011 (4) | 21.0ª | ICBA Barley | 15.4 ^{abc} |
| 37258 | 13.6 ^{bc} | 8015 (3) | 13.4 ^{bc} | Jau-83 | 26.0ª |
| 37259 | 13.2 ^{bc} | 1264 | 15.4 ^{abc} | Snober-96 | 13.4 ^{bc} |
| 37260 | 14.8 ^{abc} | 8024 (2) | 13.2 ^{bc} | Jau-2017 | 17.45 ^{abc} |
| 37261 | 12.6 ^{bc} | 1400 | 17.0 ^{abc} | Sultan-2017 | 18.75 ^a |
| 37262 | 11.0 ^c | 1629 | 13.2 ^{bc} | | |
| 37263 | 14.2 ^{abc} | 1631 | 24.4ª | | |
| LOD 0.05 CO + | 6.52 | | | | |

LSD $^{0.05}$ of Genotypes = 6.53

for accessions 8009 (32.0) which is followed by accessions 8003 (7), 8008 (1) and 8005. Least number of

tillers per plant were found for accessions 8000 (1) (9.2), followed by 37248, 37249, 36728 (Table 10). Number of

| Table 11: Table of | f spike density | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|---------|
| Code | D | escription | No. of A | cc. | Age (%) |
| 1 | L | ax | 20 | | 26.7 |
| 3 | In | termediate | 42 | | 56.0 |
| 5 | D | ense | 13 | | 17.3 |
| Table 12: Mean ta | ble of spikes per plant | | | | |
| Accession | SP | Accession | SP | Accession | SP |
| 35860 | 11.6 | 37264 | 16.8 | 1639 | 6.0 |
| 35861 | 11.0 | 37265 | 13.2 | 1644 | 8.8 |
| 35862 | 9.6 | 37266 | 18.4 | 1659 | 9.0 |
| 35863 | 14.6 | 37267 | 10.8 | 1797 | 7.2 |
| 35864 | 8.4 | 37268 | 9.2 | 1798 | 11.2 |
| 35865 | 9.6 | 37269 | 14.8 | 1799 | 9.2 |
| 36725 | 12.8 | 7999 | 11.6 | 1801 | 7.0 |
| 36728 | 9.6 | 8000(1) | 9.2 | 1802 | 8.6 |
| 36743 | 9.6 | 8000 (2) | 12.8 | 1803 | 7.0 |
| 37247 | 13.6 | 8001 | 9.4 | 1806 | 10.4 |
| 37248 | 8.6 | 8003 (2) | 18.2 | 1822 | 10.0 |
| 37249 | 18.0 | 8003 (7) | 12.2 | 1825 | 7.4 |
| 37250 | 13.2 | 8004 (4) | 10.0 | 1828 | 8.2 |
| 37251 | 7.6 | 8005 | 14.8 | Local Bajaur | 11.6 |
| 37252 | 12.0 | 8006 | 5.8 | Khumasay | 19.0 |
| 37253 | 8.0 | 8008 (1) | 13.8 | Malana | 11.8 |
| 37254 | 11.4 | 8009 | 12.4 | Zeran | 10.0 |
| 37255 | 10.2 | 8010 | 17.6 | Malana | 16.2 |
| 37256 | 12.6 | 8011 (3) | 22.0 | Yousaf Khail | 10.0 |
| 37257 | 12.6 | 8011 (4) | 20.6 | ICBA Barley | 9.0 |
| 37258 | 7.6 | 8015 (3) | 11.6 | Jau-83 | 19.4 |
| 37259 | 13.4 | 1264 | 7.8 | Snober-96 | 15.2 |
| 37260 | 13.2 | 8024 (2) | 6.8 | Jau-2017 | 20.45 |
| 37261 | 13.6 | 1400 | 8.0 | Sultan-2017 | 17.8 |
| 37262 | 26.0 | 1629 | 6.8 | | |
| 37263 | 23.0 | 1631 | 7.0 | | |

tillers per plant showed high variation in statistical analysis. Numbers of tiller in each plant did not show significant and positive and association to any parameter while number of tillers per plant showed non significant link to seed in each plant, yield of seed in plant and spikelets in each spike.

ANOVA (Analysis of variance) revealed significant variation of accessions for tillers quantity in each plant. Brantestam *et al.*^[28] and Ahmad *et al.*^[29] also found significant variances of accession for number of tillers per plant in barley.

Spikes density: Spike density also showed high variation among different accessions and control variety of barley (Table 11). The spike density was classified into three classes, i.e., Lax, intermediate and dense. Around 42 genotypes showed intermediate spike density, 20 genotypes showed lax spike density, while only 13 genotypes showed dense spikes density. So as the resultant, maximum genotypes tend to intermediate spikes density. Brantestam *et al.*^[28] and Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] found alike results for spike density.

Number of spikes in each plant: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) revealed significant variance of accessions for the number of spikes per plant. The coefficient of variation was 35.21% of accessions for total spikes in

each plant (Table 11). Average value of accessions for total spikes in each plant ranged from 5.8-26.0 while maximum number of spikes per plant of all studied accessions was found for accessions 37262 (26.0) which is followed by accessions 37263, 8011 (3) and 8011 (4). Least number of spikes per plant were found for accessions 8006 (5.8), followed by 1639, 8024 (2), 1629 and 1801 (Table 12). Total number of spikes in each plant showed great variation in statistical analysis.

Spikes number in each plant revealed significant and positive and relation to yield of every plant seed, days to form 50% flowers, height of plant and hundred seed weight while total number of spikes in each plant showed non significant association with maturity days and total spikelets in a spike. Total number of spikes in a plant showed negative association with spike length with awn and length of spike without awn.

Hamza *et al.*^[25] and Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] also found significant variations of accessions for number of spikes in plant.

Number of spikelet's group (triplets) per spike: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) indicated significant variation of accessions for number of spikelet's per spike. Coefficient of variation was 14.91% of accessions for number of spikelet's per plant (Table 13). Average value of accessions for number of spikelet's per spike ranged

| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value |
|------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| Rep | 3 | 114.58 | 38.19333 | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 114.74 | 1.529867 | 0.415519 | 0.09786 |
| Error | 225 | 828.41 | 3.681822 | | |
| Total | 303 | 1057.73 | | | |

Bot. Res. J., 14 (2): 18-32, 2021

| Table 14: | Mean | table | of numb | er of | spikelets | group | (triplets) | per spike |
|-----------|------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | |

| Accession | SS | Accession | SS | Accession | SS |
|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------------|-------|
| 35860 | 28.0 | 37264 | 22.0 | 1639 | 23.2 |
| 35861 | 27.2 | 37265 | 30.8 | 1644 | 23.4 |
| 35862 | 29.0 | 37266 | 24.0 | 1659 | 24.0 |
| 35863 | 27.6 | 37267 | 30.0 | 1797 | 32.0 |
| 35864 | 24.0 | 37268 | 24.4 | 1798 | 17.4 |
| 35865 | 22.8 | 37269 | 27.4 | 1799 | 27.6 |
| 36725 | 27.6 | 7999 | 25.6 | 1801 | 28.0 |
| 36728 | 32.0 | 8000(1) | 31.6 | 1802 | 26.4 |
| 36743 | 19.2 | 8000 (2) | 24.4 | 1803 | 27.2 |
| 37247 | 25.6 | 8001 | 25.2 | 1806 | 27.2 |
| 37248 | 17.6 | 8003 (2) | 27.4 | 1822 | 28.8 |
| 37249 | 25.2 | 8003 (7) | 29.2 | 1825 | 18.8 |
| 37250 | 27.6 | 8004 (4) | 17.2 | 1828 | 33.2 |
| 37251 | 22.8 | 8005 | 22.0 | Local Bajaur | 29.6 |
| 37252 | 22.2 | 8006 | 26.8 | Khumasay | 31.2 |
| 37253 | 26.8 | 8008 (1) | 28.4 | Malana | 22.8 |
| 37254 | 29.6 | 8009 | 23.2 | Zeran | 28.4 |
| 37255 | 20.0 | 8010 | 28.8 | Malana | 28.8 |
| 37256 | 29.6 | 8011 (3) | 25.2 | Yousaf Khail | 27.2 |
| 37257 | 27.6 | 8011 (4) | 27.2 | ICBA Barley | 26.8 |
| 37258 | 27.6 | 8015 (3) | 19.2 | Jau-83 | 26.8 |
| 37259 | 20.8 | 1264 | 23.2 | Snober-96 | 25.2 |
| 37260 | 30.0 | 8024 (2) | 29.2 | Jau-2017 | 32.25 |
| 37261 | 25.6 | 1400 | 27.6 | Sultan-2017 | 33.8 |
| 37262 | 30.8 | 1629 | 25.2 | | |
| 37263 | 27.2 | 1631 | 26.8 | | |

from 17.2-33.8 while maximum number of spikelet's per spike of all studied accessions was found for genotype Sultan-2017 (33.8) which is followed by accessions 1828, Jau-2017 and 36728. Least number of spikelet's per spike was found for accessions 8004 (4) (17.2), followed by 1798, 37248, 1825 and 36743 (Table 14). Number of spikelet's per spike showed high variation in statistical analysis.

Spikelets group present in a spike did not show significant and positive and link with any parameter while spikelets group in a spike showed non significant association with tillers group in a plant, plant height, length of spike with awn and without awn. Total group of spikelets in a spike showed negatively associated with days to formation of 50% flowers, days to when plant mature and hundred seed weight.

Kebebew et al.^[24] and Hamza et al.^[25] also found significant differences of accessions of barley for total number of spikelets group per spike.

Awn type: Awn type did not show high variation among different accessions and control variety of barley. The awn type was classified into two classes, i.e., Rough and smooth. There was all genotypes showed rough awn type, not single genotype showed smooth awn type (Table 15).

| Table 15: 7 | Table for Awn type | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| Code | Description | No. of Acc. | Age (%) |
| 1 | Rough | 75 | 100.0 |
| 3 | Smooth | 0 | 0.0 |

This results show, there is no variability among all studied genotypes for awn type. The awn type was classified into two classes, i.e., Rough and smooth. Kebebew et al.[24] and Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] and found similarly the results of</sup>accessions of barley for awn type. There was all genotypes showed rough awn type, not single genotype showed smooth awn type. This results show, there is no variability among all studied genotypes for awn type.

Spike length without awn: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed significant difference of accessions for spike length without awn. The coefficient of variation was 16.13% of accessions for spike length without awn (Table 16).

Average value of accessions for spike length without awn ranged from 7.7-20.2 cm while maximum spike length without awn of all studied accessions was found for genotype 37252 (20.2 cm) which is followed by accessions 8000 (1), 37260, local Bajaur and 1801. Least spike length without awn was found for accessions 8004

| Bot. | Res. | J., | 14 | (2): | 18-32, | 2021 |
|------|------|-----|----|------|--------|------|
|------|------|-----|----|------|--------|------|

Table 16: Table of ANOVA of Spikes length without awn

| | <u>, </u> | | | | |
|------------|---|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F value | p-value |
| Rep | 3 | 11.36 | 3.786667 | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 57.75 | 0.77 | 1.270441 | 0.0244 |
| Error | 225 | 136.37 | 0.606089 | | |
| Total | 303 | 205.5 | | | |

CV = 16.13%

Table 17: Mean table of spike length without awn

| 9.5 ^b | 1639 | |
|-------------------|--|---|
| 0.1h | 1057 | 9.3 ^b |
| 9.1° | 1644 | 9.1 ^b |
| 9.8 ^b | 1659 | 9.4 ^b |
| 8.7 ^b | 1797 | 8.7 ^b |
| 11.4 ^b | 1798 | 8.8 ^b |
| 8.7 ^b | 1799 | 9.5 ^b |
| 9.3 ^b | 1801 | 11.4 ^b |
| 15.8a | 1802 | 9.7 ^b |
| 10.3 ^b | 1803 | 9.9 ^b |
| 9.4 ^b | 1806 | 11.0 ^b |
| 9.8 ^b | 1822 | 10.6 ^b |
| 9.4 ^b | 1825 | 10.0 ^b |
| 7.7 ^b | 1828 | 9.9 ^b |
| 9.9 ^b | Local bajaur | 12.0 ^b |
| 8.9 ^b | Khumasay | 10.0 ^b |
| 8.7 ^b | Malana | 10.1 ^b |
| 8.9 ^b | Zeran | 9.9 ^b |
| 9.7 ^b | Malana | 10.8 ^b |
| 9.7 ^b | Yousaf Khail | 9.9 ^b |
| 7.9 ^b | ICbA barley | 8.1 ^b |
| 9.0 ^b | Jau-83 | 8.8 ^b |
| 9.9b | Snober-96 | 9.6 ^b |
| 10.4 ^b | Jau-2017 | 10.1 ^b |
| 9.1 ^b | Sultan-2017 | 10.5 ^b |
| 9.4 ^b | | |
| 10.0 ^b | | |
| | | |
| | 8.7 ^b 8.9 ^b 9.7 ^b 9.7 ^b 9.0 ^b 9.9 ^b 10.4 ^b 9.1 ^b 9.4 ^b 10.0 ^b | 8.7^{b} Malana 8.9^{b} Zeran 9.7^{b} Malana 9.7^{b} Yousaf Khail 7.9^{b} ICbA barley 9.0^{b} Jau-83 $9.9b$ Snober-96 10.4^{b} Jau-2017 9.1^{b} Sultan-2017 9.4^{b} 10.0^{b} |

| Table 16. Table of | able 10. Table of Aivo vA for Spikes length with awit | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------|----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value | | | | | |
| Rep | 3 | 9.46 | 3.153333 | | | | | | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 58.45 | 0.779333 | 1.450492 | 0.01466 | | | | | |
| Error | 225 | 120.89 | 0.537289 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 303 | 188.82 | | | | | | | | |

CV = 7.83%

(4) (7.7 cm), followed by 35863, 8011 (4), ICBA Barley and 37259 (Table 17). Spike length without awn showed high variation in statistical analysis.

Spike length without awn showed significant and positive and association with spike length with awn while spike length without awn showed non significant association to days of formation of 50% flowers, number of spikelets in a spike, seeds yield in a plant and hundred seed weight.

Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] and Ahmad *et al.*^[29] also found significant differences of accessions of barley for spike length without awn.

Spike length with awn: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed significant variations of accessions for spike length with awn. The coefficient of variation was 7.83% of accessions for spike length with awn (Table 18).

Average value of accessions for spike length with awn ranged from 14.4-22.1 cm while maximum spike length with awn of all studied accessions was found for genotype 8000 (1) (20.2 cm) which is followed by genotype Malana, accession 37251, 37248 and 1806. Least spike length with awn was found for accessions 35865 (14.4 cm), followed by 37247, 8004 (4), 35861 and 7999 (Table 19). Spike length with awn showed high variation in statistical analysis.

Spike length with awn revealed significant and positive and relations with spike length without awn while spike length with awn showed non significant association with days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of spikelets per spike, seed yield per plant and hundred seed weight.

Brantestam *et al.*^[$\Sigma 8$] and Malysheva-Otto *et al.*^[27] also found significant variances of accessions for spike length with awn.

| Table | 19: | Mean | table of | spike | length | with awn | |
|-------|-----|------|----------|-------|--------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | |

| Accession | SLwoA | Accession | SLwoA | Accession | SlwoA |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 35860 | 18.0 ^c | 37264 | 20.2ª | 1639 | 18.6 ^{abc} |
| 35861 | 16.6 ^c | 37265 | 19.2 ^{abc} | 1644 | 19.7 ^{bc} |
| 35862 | 20.0 ^{ab} | 37266 | 19.2 ^{abc} | 1659 | 20.9 ^a |
| 35863 | 17.0 ^c | 37267 | 17.9° | 1797 | 18.5 ^{abc} |
| 35864 | 17.3° | 37268 | 21.1 ^a | 1798 | 19.4 ^{bc} |
| 35865 | 14.4 ^c | 37269 | 18.6 ^{abc} | 1799 | 19.3 ^{bc} |
| 36725 | 20.8 ^a | 7999 | 17.1° | 1801 | 19.0 ^{bc} |
| 36728 | 18.7 ^{abc} | 8000(1) | 22.1ª | 1802 | 19.3 ^{bc} |
| 36743 | 19.1 ^{abc} | 8000 (2) | 18.9 ^{abc} | 1803 | 18.3 ^{abc} |
| 37247 | 15.5 ^c | 8001 | 18.6 ^{abc} | 1806 | 21.3ª |
| 37248 | 21.6 ^a | 8003 (2) | 18.9 ^{abc} | 1822 | 17.3° |
| 37249 | 18.6 ^{abc} | 8003 (7) | 20.3ª | 1825 | 19.7 ^{bc} |
| 37250 | 17.9° | 8004 (4) | 15.9° | 1828 | 20.2ª |
| 37251 | 21.8 ^a | 8005 | 17.9 ^c | Local Bajaur | 20.6^{a} |
| 37252 | 21.1ª | 8006 | 18.1 ^{bc} | Khumasay | 21.2ª |
| 37253 | 20.0^{a} | 8008 (1) | 16.3 ^c | Malana | 20.4^{a} |
| 37254 | 20.2ª | 8009 | 16.9 ^c | Zeran | 20.7ª |
| 37255 | 18.0 ^c | 8010 | 19.6 ^{bc} | Malana | 21.9ª |
| 37256 | 21.1ª | 8011 (3) | 19.8 ^{bc} | Yousaf Khail | 20.0^{a} |
| 37257 | 20.5 ^a | 8011 (4) | 17.8° | ICBA Barley | 18.0 ^c |
| 37258 | 19.1 ^{abc} | 8015 (3) | 20.0^{a} | Jau-83 | 17.5° |
| 37259 | 17.5° | 1264 | 20.3ª | Snober-96 | 18.3 ^{bc} |
| 37260 | 17.4 ^c | 8024 (2) | 20.4^{a} | Jau-2017 | 20.01 ^a |
| 37261 | 18.4^{abc} | 1400 | 20.0^{a} | Sultan-2017 | 20.91ª |
| 37262 | 17.9 ^c | 1629 | 17.8° | | |
| 37263 | 19.6 ^{ab} | 1631 | 19.4 ^{bc} | | |
| 0.05 | | | -2 | | |

LSD $^{0.05}$ of Genotypes = 2.12

| Table 20: 7 | Table of | length of | f rechilla hair |
|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|
|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|

| Code | Description | No. of Acc. | Age (%) |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Long | 41 | 54.7 |
| 3 | Short | 34 | 45.3 |

Length of rechilla hair: Length of rechilla hair also showed high variation among different accessions and control variety of barley. The length of rechilla hair was classified into two classes, i.e., long and short length of rechilla hair (Table 20).

There was 41 genotypes showed long length of rechilla hair, 34 genotypes showed short length of rechilla hair. This results show the variation among the studied genotypes for length of rechilla hair (Table 20).

The length of rechilla hair was classified into two classes, i.e., long and short length of rechilla hair. Malysheva-Otto *et al.*^[27] and Manjunatha *et al.*^[26] also found high variation among different accessions of barley for length of rechilla hair.

Row number/lateral florets: Row number/lateral floret also showed high variation among different accessions and control variety of barley. The Row number/lateral floret was classified into three classes i.e. six rowed, four rowed and two rowed (Table 21).

There were 68 genotypes showed six rowed, 2 genotypes showed four rowed while 5 genotypes showed 5 two rowed. This results show the variation among the studied genotypes for row number/lateral florets (Table 21).

Table 21: Table of Row number/lateral florets

| Code | Description | No. of Acc. | Age (%) |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Six rowed | 68 | 90.7 |
| 3 | Four rowed | 2 | 2.7 |
| 5 | Two rowed | 5 | 6.7 |

| Code | Description | No. of Acc. | Age (%) |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Green | 21 | 28.0 |
| 3 | Purple | 12 | 16.0 |
| 5 | Brown | 42 | 56.0 |

Hamza *et al.*^[25] also found high variation among different accessions of barley for row number/lateral floret.

Spikes color: Spikes color also showed high variation among different accessions and control variety of barley. The spikes color was classified into three classes, i.e., green, purple and brown (Table 22).

There were 21 genotypes showed green spike color with the average of 28%, 12 genotypes showed purple spike color with the average of 16 while 42 genotypes showed brown spikes with the average of 56%. This results show the variation among the studied genotypes for spikes color and maximum genotypes showed tendency towards brown spikes.

The spikes color was classified into three classes i.e. green, purple and brown. Brantestam *et al.*^[28] and Malysheva-Otto *et al.*^[27] also found high variation among different accessions of barley for spike color.

| Bot. Res. | J., | 14 | (2): | 18-32, | 2021 |
|-----------|-----|----|------|--------|------|
|-----------|-----|----|------|--------|------|

| Table 23: Table of hundred seed weig | ght (| g) |
|--------------------------------------|-------|----|
|--------------------------------------|-------|----|

| DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value |
|-----|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 3 | 2.424 | 0.808 | | |
| 75 | 16.04 | 0.213867 | 1.382361 | 0.00785 |
| 225 | 34.81 | 0.154711 | | |
| 303 | 53.28 | | | |
| | DF 3 75 225 303 | DF SS 3 2.424 75 16.04 225 34.81 303 53.28 | DF SS MS 3 2.424 0.808 75 16.04 0.213867 225 34.81 0.154711 303 53.28 53.28 | DF SS MS F-value 3 2.424 0.808 |

CV = 28.67%

Table 24: Mean table of hundred seed weight (g)

| Accession | HSW | Accession | HSW | Accession | HSL |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 35860 | 2.5^{bcd} | 37264 | 3.4 ^{ab} | 1639 | 3.1 ^{abcd} |
| 35861 | 1.7 ^d | 37265 | 1.9 ^d | 1644 | 3.3 ^{abc} |
| 35862 | 2.9^{abcd} | 37266 | 7.1 ^a | 1659 | 3.0 ^{abcd} |
| 35863 | 2.5^{bcd} | 37267 | 2.0^{d} | 1797 | 2.8^{abcd} |
| 35864 | 1.9 ^d | 37268 | 2.3 ^{cd} | 1798 | 3.0 ^{abcd} |
| 35865 | 2.4^{cd} | 37269 | 2.7^{abcd} | 1799 | 2.2^{cd} |
| 36725 | 2.9^{abcd} | 7999 | 2.9^{abcd} | 1801 | 2.7^{abcd} |
| 36728 | 3.2 ^{abcd} | 8000(1) | 3.2 ^{abc} | 1802 | 2.4 ^{cd} |
| 36743 | 3.4 ^{ab} | 8000 (2) | 3.3 ^{abc} | 1803 | 2.7^{abcd} |
| 37247 | 2.5^{bcd} | 8001 | 2.2 ^{cd} | 1806 | 2.8^{abcd} |
| 37248 | 2.8^{abcd} | 8003 (2) | $3.4^{\rm abc}$ | 1822 | 2.7^{abcd} |
| 37249 | 2.4^{bcd} | 8003 (7) | $3.4^{\rm abc}$ | 1825 | 2.4^{cd} |
| 37250 | 3.9 ^a | 8004 (4) | 3.1 ^{abcd} | 1828 | 2.1 ^d |
| 37251 | 2.5^{bcd} | 8005 | 1.9 ^d | Local Bajaur | 2.8^{abcd} |
| 37252 | 3.1 ^{abcd} | 8006 | 1.7 ^d | Khumasay | 2.5 ^{bcd} |
| 37253 | 3.3 ^{abc} | 8008 (1) | 2.4 ^{cd} | Malana | 2.5^{bcd} |
| 37254 | 2.8 ^{abcd} | 8009 | 1.7 ^d | Zeran | 1.7 ^d |
| 37255 | 2.0^{d} | 8010 | 1.8 ^d | Malana | 3.1 ^{abcd} |
| 37256 | 3.2 ^{abc} | 8011 (3) | 1.5 ^d | Yousaf Khail | 2.3 ^{cd} |
| 37257 | 3.5 ^{ab} | 8011 (4) | 1.7^{d} | ICBA Barley | 3.3 ^{abc} |
| 37258 | 2.0^{d} | 8015 (3) | 4.2^{a} | Jau-83 | 4.5ª |
| 37259 | 2.6^{abcd} | 1264 | 2.8^{abcd} | Snober-96 | 4.6 ^a |
| 37260 | 2.2 ^{cd} | 8024 (2) | 2.9 ^{abcd} | Jau-2017 | 3.77 ^a |
| 37261 | 3.6 ^{ab} | 1400 | 2.5 ^{bcd} | Sultan-2017 | 3.8 ^a |
| 37262 | 4.0^{a} | 1629 | 2.5 ^{bcd} | | |
| 37263 | 1.9 ^d | 1631 | 2.6 ^{bcd} | | |

LSD $^{0.05}$ of Genotypes = 1.14

The 100 seed weight: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) indicated high significant difference of accessions for hundred seed weight. The coefficient of variation was 28.67% of accessions for hundred seed weight (Table 23). Average value of accessions for hundred seed weight ranged from 1.5-7.1 g while maximum hundred seed weight of all studied accessions was found for genotype 37266 (7.1 g) which is followed by genotype Snober-96, Jau-83, accession 8015 (3) and 37262. Least hundred seed weight was found for accessions 8011 (3) (1.5 g), followed by 8009, 35861 and 8006 (Table 24). Hundred seed weight showed high variation in statistical analysis. Hundred seed weight showed significant and positive and link to total spikes in a plant and seed yield in a plant while hundred seed yield showed non significant relation with days to when 50% flowers form, maturity days of a plant, height of plant, length of spike with awn without awn. Hundred seed weight indicated negative relationship with total group of tillers in a plant and number of spikelets in spike.

Koebner *et al.*^[30] and Ahmad *et al.*^[29] and too found significant variances of accessions of barley for hundred seed weight.

Seed yield per plant: ANOVA (Analysis of variance) revealed high significant difference of accessions for seed yield in each plant. Coefficient of variance was 13.70% of accessions for seed yield of a plant (Table 25).

Average value of accessions for seed yield of each plant extended from 3.3-43.3 g, per plant whereas maximum seed yield of all calculated accessions were found for genotype 37266 (43.3 g) which is followed by genotype 37269, 8003 (7) and 37260. Minimum seed yield of a plant were examined for accessions 8006 (3.3 g), followed by 1806, 8011 (3) and 1828 (Table 26). Hundred seed weight showed high variation in statistical analysis.

Seed yield of a plant indicated significant and positive and association with days to 50% flowers formation, days of plant maturity, plant height, total spikes of spike while seed yield per plant revealed non significant relation with tillers group in a plant, length of spike with awn, length of spike without awn and total group of spikelets per spike (Table 26).

ANOVA (Analysis of variance) revealed high significant difference of accessions for seed yield in each plant. Brantestam *et al.*^[28] and Ahmad *et al.*^[29] also found highly significant differences of accessions of barley genotypes for seed yield of a plant.

| Table 25: Table of seed yiel | ld per plant (g) |
|------------------------------|------------------|
|------------------------------|------------------|

| SOV | DF | SS | MS | F-value | p-value |
|------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| Rep | 3 | 1054 | 351.3333 | | |
| Accessions | 75 | 776.76 | 10.3568 | 1.028962 | 0.0045 |
| Error | 225 | 2264.69 | 10.06529 | | |
| Total | 303 | 4095.44 | | | |

CV = 13.70%

Table 26: Mean table of seed yield per plant (g)

| Accession | SYP | Accession | SYP | Accession | SYP |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 35860 | 9.7° | 37264 | 13.1 ^{abc} | 1639 | 7.5° |
| 35861 | 9.8° | 37265 | 12.5 ^{abc} | 1644 | 7.8° |
| 35862 | 9.3° | 37266 | 43.3ª | 1659 | 7.7° |
| 35863 | 7.9° | 37267 | 21.6 ^a | 1797 | 10.0 ^c |
| 35864 | 12.7 ^{abc} | 37268 | 23.5 ^a | 1798 | 11.3 ^{abc} |
| 35865 | 7.2c | 37269 | 32.0^{a} | 1799 | 10.0° |
| 36725 | 15.9 ^{abc} | 7999 | 17.5 ^{ab} | 1801 | 10.5 ^{bc} |
| 36728 | 15.5 ^{abc} | 8000(1) | 19.4 ^{ab} | 1802 | 7.3° |
| 36743 | 10.9bc | 8000 (2) | 15.1 ^{abc} | 1803 | 7.8° |
| 37247 | 16.2 ^{abc} | 8001 | 15.4 ^{abc} | 1806 | 4.8° |
| 37248 | 18.2 ^{ab} | 8003 (2) | 19.2 ^{ab} | 1822 | 21.0ª |
| 37249 | 14.5 ^{abc} | 8003 (7) | 30.8 ^a | 1825 | 7.0 ^c |
| 37250 | 14.9 ^{abc} | 8004 (4) | 12.5 ^{abc} | 1828 | 5.8° |
| 37251 | 12.1 ^{abc} | 8005 | 12.4 ^{abc} | Local Bajaur | 24.5ª |
| 37252 | 22.9ª | 8006 | 3.3ª | Khumasay | 11.9 ^{abc} |
| 37253 | 16.0 ^{abc} | 8008 (1) | 14.2 ^{abc} | Malana | 26.0ª |
| 37254 | 7.1° | 8009 | 20.7^{a} | Zeran | 13.5 ^{abc} |
| 37255 | 9.4° | 8010 | 9.5° | Malana | 19.3 ^{ab} |
| 37256 | 11.9 ^{abc} | 8011 (3) | 5.0° | Yousaf Khail | 13.5 ^{abc} |
| 37257 | 14.5 ^{abc} | 8011 (4) | 22.5^{a} | ICBA Barley | 23.8ª |
| 37258 | 11.0 ^{abc} | 8015 (3) | 16.5 ^{ab} | Jau-83 | 23.4ª |
| 37259 | 15.4 ^{abc} | 1264 | 9.3° | Snober-96 | 11.0 ^{abc} |
| 37260 | 30.5ª | 8024 (2) | 8.6° | Jau-2017 | 25.705ª |
| 37261 | 24.1ª | 1400 | 6.9 ^c | Sultan-2017 | 23.225ª |
| 37262 | 15.4 ^{abc} | 1629 | 8.0° | | |
| 37263 | 17.4^{ab} | 1631 | 8.7° | | |
| LSD 0.05 of Genot | ypes = 9.18 | | | | |

| Table 27: Correlation coefficients among pairs of traits of barley germpla | sm |
|--|----|
|--|----|

| Trait of interest | DF | DM | T/P | PH | SL-WA | SL-WoA | S/P | S/S | SY/P | HSW |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------|-------------|------|
| DF (50%) | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| DM | 0.81^{**} | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| T/P (No.) | -0.18 | -0.15 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| PH (cm) | 0.38** | 0.22 | -0.03 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| SL-WA (cm) | 0.15 | 0.04 | -0.12 | 0.08 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| SL-WoA (cm) | 0.05 | -0.11 | -0.22 | -0.02 | 0.34** | 1.00 | | | | |
| S/P (No.) | 0.28^{*} | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.46^{**} | -0.03 | -0.04 | 1.00 | | | |
| S/S (No.) | -0.19 | -0.19 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 1.00 | | |
| SY/P(g) | 0.32** | 0.27^{*} | 0.03 | 0.44^{**} | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.39^{**} | 0.09 | 1.00 | |
| HSW (g) | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.16 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.24^{*} | -0.05 | 0.45^{**} | 1.00 |

| Table 28: Descriptive statistics of barley germplasm | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Trait of interest | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | SD | Variance | | | | |
| DF (50%) | 137.2 | 114.0 | 164.0 | 11.9 | 141.0 | | | | |
| DM | 166.5 | 153.0 | 186.0 | 9.0 | 80.2 | | | | |
| T/P (No.) | 15.7 | 9.4 | 32.0 | 5.2 | 27.2 | | | | |
| PH (cm) | 121.2 | 99.6 | 137.8 | 10.0 | 99.3 | | | | |
| SL-WA (cm) | 9.8 | 7.7 | 20.2 | 1.5 | 2.3 | | | | |
| SL-WoA (cm) | 19.1 | 14.4 | 21.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | | | | |
| S/P (No.) | 12.1 | 5.8 | 26.0 | 4.4 | 19.2 | | | | |
| S/S (No.) | 26.2 | 17.2 | 33.7 | 3.7 | 13.9 | | | | |
| SY/P (g) | 14.7 | 3.3 | 43.3 | 7.4 | 54.7 | | | | |
| HSW (g) | 2.8 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | | | | |

Protein analysis: Cluster analysis on the basis of seed storage proteins shows there are 13 clusters at linkage distance of 1. A major cluster has 33 accessions while there are 8 accessions in another cluster (Table 27). It

means almost half of accessions have similar banding patterns while half are scattered in 11 clusters. It indicates that there is significant genetic diversity in barley germplasm studied during the experiment (Table 28).



Fig. 1: Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Component Analysis (PCA): Six constituents were extracted from the 10 examined characters through principal component analysis. First four constituents that illuminated 88% of all difference was used for clustering accessions. With this technique, 10 variants were reduced to 4. Five clusters were shaped (Fig. 1). The most active characters in the firstly constituents were height of plant, spike per plant and spikelets group in a spike. For the second component height of plant and number of tillers group in each plant and for third component days to 50% flowers formation, for fourth component plant maturity days and height of plant. The fifth component mostly affected by distance from spike length without awn.

Comparing these results shown the traits with the chief effect on the components indicated the maximum level of dissimilarity and can be used for clustering genotypes, efficiently. The degree of resemblance among dendrogram (obtained from cluster analysis) and dendrogram gotten from the cluster analysis created on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was estimated at 68.5%.

The degree of resemblance among dendrogram (obtained from cluster analysis) and dendrogram gotten from the cluster analysis created on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was estimated at 68.5%. Amabile *et al.*^[31] also found similar results in principal component analysis.

CONCLUSION

Given results indicated, there is high genetic diversity among studied accessions barley. This Genetic diversity could be use in further breeding methods and barley crop improvement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to acknowledge Faculty Member of Botany Department, Hazara University, Mansehra, Pakistan for providing support necessary for this study. The author also appreciates the financial support of Hazara University, Mansehra, Pakistan. This manuscript is part of the Kifayatullah Khalil M.Phill thesis.

REFERENCES

- Ferreira, J.R., J.F. Pereira, C. Turchetto, E. Minella and L. Consoli, 2016. Assessment of genetic diversity in Brazilian barley using SSR markers. Gene. Mol. Bio., 39: 86-96.
- Badr, A., K. Mueller, R. Schaefer-Pregl, H. El-Rabey and S. Efgen *et al.*, 2000. On the origin and domestication history of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Mol. Biol. Evol., 17: 499-510.
- 03. FAOUN., 2018. FAOSTAT: Crops (national production). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- 04. Mohtashami, R., 2015. The correlation study of important barley agronomic traits and grain yield by path analysis. Biol. Forum, 7: 1211-1219.
- 05. Din, S.M.U., A. Abu-Bakar and A. Regupathi, 2017. Does insurance promote economic growth: A comparative study of developed and emerging/developing economies. Cog. Eco. Finance, Vol. 5, 10.1080/23322039.2017.1390029
- 06. Goyal, A. and M. Ahmed, 2012. Barley: Production, improvement and uses. Crop. Sci., 52: 2852-2854.
- 07. Nandha, P.S. and J. Singh, 2014. Comparative assessment of genetic diversity between wild and cultivated barley using gSSR and EST-SSR markers. Plant Breeding, 133: 28-35.
- 08. Tricase, C., V. Amicarelli, E. Lamonaca and R.L. Rana, 2018. Economic Analysis of the Barley Market and Related Uses. In: Grasses as Food and Feed. Tadele, Z. (Ed.). IntechOpen, .
- Newman C.W. and R.K. Newman, 2005. Hulless Barley for Food and Feed. In: Specialty Grains for Food and Feed, Abdelaal, E. And P. Wood (Eds.)., AACC International, St Paul, Minnesota, pp: 167-202.

- Mahdi, G.S., M. Abdal, B.C. Behera, N. Verma and A. Sonone, 2008. Barley is a healthful food: A review. Elect. J. Environ. Agr. F. Chem., 7: 2686-2694.
- Lahouar, L., A. El Arem, F. Ghrairi, H. Chahdoura, H.B. Salem, M.E. Felah and L. Achour, 2014. Phytochemical content and antioxidant properties of diverse varieties of whole barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown in Tunisia. Food Chem., 145: 578-583.
- 12. Marconi, E., M. Graziano and R. Cubadda, 2000. Composition and utilization of barley pearling by products for making functional pastas rich in dietary fiber and β -glucans. Cer. Chem., 77: 133-139.
- Nevo, E., Y.B. Fu, T. Pavlicek, S. Khalifa, M. Tavasi and A. Beiles, 2012. Evolution of wild cereals during 28 years of global warming in Israel. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 109: 3412-3415.
- Bhandari, HR., A.N. Bhanu, K. Srivastava, M.N. Singh and H.A. Shreya, 2017. Assessment of genetic diversity in crop plants-an overview. Plants Agri. Res., 7: 279-286.
- Wenzl, P., J. Carling, D. Kudrna, D. Jaccoud and A. Kilian *et al.*, 2004. Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) for whole-genome profiling of barley. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 101: 9915-9920.
- International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2012. A physical, genetic and functional sequence assembly of the barley genome. Nature, 491: 711-716.
- 17. Allard, R.W. and S.K. Jain, 1962. Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated species. II. Analysis of quantitative genetic changes in a bulk-hybrid population of barley. Evolution 21: 90-101.
- Jaradat, A.A., M. Shahid and A. Al-Maskri, 2004. Genetic diversity in the Batini barley landrace from Oman: II. Response to salinity stress. Crop Sci., 44: 997-1007.
- Amezrou, R., S. Gyawali, L. Belqadi, S. Chao and M. Arbaoui *et al.*, 2018. Molecular and phenotypic diversity of ICARDA spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) collection. Gene. Res. Crop. Evol., 65: 1-15.
- Fang, J., Z. Zhang, L. Zhou, C. Chen and C. Wang, 2001. The possibility of using RAPD marker for the identification of fruit sport. J. Afr. Sci., 18: 182-185.
- Hawkes, J.G., N. Maxted and B.W. Ford-Lloyd, 2012. The Ex Situ Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources. Springer Berlin, Germany,.
- 22. Ceccarelli, S., S. Grando and J.A.G. Van Leur, 1987. Genetic diversity in barley landraces from Syria and Jordan. Euphytica, 36: 389-405.
- Parzies, H.K., W. Spoor and R.A. Ennos, 2000. Genetic diversity of barley landrace accessions (*Hordeum vulgare* sp. *vulgare*) conserved for different lengths of time in *ex situ* gene banks. Heredity, 84: 476-486.

- Kebebew, F., Y. Tsehaye and T. McNeilly, 2001. Morphological and farmers cognitive diversity of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. [Poaceae]) at Bale and North Shewa of Ethiopia. Genet. Res. Crop Evol., 48: 467-481.
- Hamza, S., W.B. Hamida, A. Rebai and M. Harrabi, 2004. SSR-based genetic diversity assessment among Tunisian winter barley and relationship with morphological traits. Euphytica, 135: 107-118.
- Manjunatha, T., I.S. Bisht, K.V. Bhat and B.P. Singh, 2007. Genetic diversity in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landraces from Uttaranchal Himalaya of India. Gene. Resour. Crop. Evol., 54: 55-65.
- Malysheva-Otto, L., W.M. Ganal, J.R. Law, J.C. Reeves and M.S. Roder, 2007. Temporal trends of genetic diversity in European barley cultivars (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Mole. Br., 20: 309-322.

- Brantestam, A.K., B.R. Von, C. Dayteg, I. Rashal, S. Tuvesson and J. Weibull, 2007. Genetic diversity changes and relationships in spring barley (*Hordium vulgare* L.) germplasm of Nordic and Baltic areas as shown by SSR markers. Gen. Res. Crop. Evo., 54: 749-758.
- Ahmad, Z., S.U. Ajmal, M. Munir, M. Zubair and M.S. Masood, 2008. Genetic diversity for morphogenetic traits in barley germplasm. Pak. J. Bot., 40: 1217-1224.
- Koebner, R.M.D., P. Donini, J.C. Reeves, R.J. Cooke and J.R. Law, 2003. Temporal flux in the morphological and molecular diversity of UK barley. Theor. Applied Genet., 106: 550-558.
- Amabile, R.F., F.G. Faleiro, F. Capettini, J.R. Peixoto and R.M. Sayd, 2017. Genetic variability in elite barley genotypes based on the agromorphological characteristics evaluated under irrigated system. Ciencia Agrotecnologia, 41: 147-158.