

Measurement of Perceived Service Quality in the Food Retail Industry of Turkey

Ali Ihtiyar and Fauziah Sh. Ahmad
International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract: The purpose of this study determines the key factors that might be considered in the sector-specific measurement scales that is highly related with DTR scale model of service quality (other than SERVQUAL or SERVPERF). A total 342 responds obtained by the online survey method. These responds were listed as highlighted in the literature and theoretical background of the key conceptual and empirical aspects to be considered in the service quality measurement instruments. The study defines deficiencies in the food retail industry of Turkey and the study makes effective suggestions for the future strategies of the sector industry.

Key words: Perceived service quality, food retail, Turkey retail industry, consumer satisfaction, DTR, retail service quality

INTRODUCTION

Service quality has become an essential research topic in the framework of marketing in the past decades, and its relationship with consumer satisfaction (Bolton and Drew, 1991; Boulding *et al.*, 1993; Cameran *et al.*, 2010; Juga *et al.*, 2010), consumer loyalty (Carpenter, 2008), buying behaviour (Perez *et al.*, 2007; Taylor and Cronin Jr., 1994), repurchase intention (Olaru *et al.*, 2008), marketing segmentation, branding (Hinson *et al.*, 2011), cultural aspects (Chebat and Morrin, 2007; Ladhari *et al.*, 2011) and implication strategies of those subjects have been researched by many researcher. One of the considerable difficulties facing service providers is a significant increase of competition and consumer expectation (Hossain and Leo, 2009) and both of them have been forcing companies to change from their traditional consumer satisfaction approach to adopt aggressive strategies which will drive them to gain the lead in the market (Kandampully, 1998). In this respect, consumer satisfaction has been played an essential role for measuring level of service quality in retail settings and evaluating the impact of service quality across customer retention will help companies to quantify the financial impact of service quality (Zeithaml and Bitner, 1996, 2003). Therefore, excellent service quality has become a key factor for domestic and international companies and it is identified as a powerful competitive weapon by many leading services (Zeithaml and Bitner, 1996). Accordingly, the goods or service marketers are progressively improving their quality of service level (Anderson and Sullivan, 1993) and the surveys for measuring consumer satisfaction have been using for evaluating business performance and behavioural aspects of employees (Hauser *et al.*, 1994; Hurley and Estelami, 1998). The disconfirmation-of-expectation paradigm (Oliver, 1980)

discusses there is a relationship between the consumer satisfaction and consumer loyalty. Consumer satisfaction or dissatisfaction is influenced by the perceived service quality and the value of the service (Cameran *et al.*, 2010; Juga *et al.*, 2010; Anderson and Sullivan, 1993). Identification of perceived service level has been explained by many scholars. The perceived service quality is defined as the consumer's judgment about a product's overall excellence or superiority in the study of Zeithaml *et al.* (1988). Another study that is researched by Svetlana (2011), superiority service quality means that the consumers' perception of service performance meets their expectations of what the service organization could provide. Anderson and Sullivan (1993) identified the service quality as a gap between expected and perceived performance of service providers.

According to Zeithaml (1998), perceived value is the consumer's overall evaluation of the utility of a product based on perceptions of what is perceived and what is contribution. Eventually, consumers' perception of value is influenced by dissimilarities in monetary costs, non-monetary costs, consumer tastes and consumer characteristics (Bolton and Drew, 1991; Bao *et al.*, 2011). As highlighted before, level of service quality might be explained or described as the difference between expectation and perception of consumers. In terms of the measuring service quality, expectations and perceptions might be identified as key deterministic indicators of the existed and validated scales.

Measuring service quality and retail industry

Service quality: A well-known measure scale of service quality is SERVQUAL that is developed by Parasuraman *et al.* (1988). The scale composed of 22 items that are listed in five dimensions of tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy.

SERVQUAL scale has been widely utilized and referred in numerous service settings in the past decades such as professional services (Cameran *et al.*, 2010; Bouman and Van der Wiele, 1992; Freeman and Dart, 1993), tourism (Jani and Han, 2011), higher education (Ahmadi, 2011; Carman, 1990; Trivellas and Dargenidou, 2009; Sultan and Wong, 2010), information systems (Kettinger and Lee, 1994), pest control, dry cleaning, fast-food (Cronin Jr. and Taylor, 1992), banking (Horn and Rudolf, 2011), discount and department stores (Dabholkar *et al.*, 1996; Finn and Charles, 1991), retail stores (Khare *et al.*, 2010) and health care (Butt and de Run, 2010; Padma *et al.*, 2010).

Although, the feasibility of SERVQUAL dimensions through the various service industries has been criticized (Charman, 1990; Babakus and Boller, 1992; Brown *et al.*, 1993; Caro and Garcia, 2007). Babakus and Boller (1992) used the SERVQUAL scale to measure service quality in their study and they have found that quality of service level as quantified in the SERVQUAL scale, score of relies in the perception more considerably than on the expectation. As confirmed in the study of Wall and Payne (1973), desired level (expectations) of service can be rated higher than existing level (perceptions) of the service by people. Another challenges in the model, respondents occur to be bored and confused by the realization of E and P version of SERVQUAL scale. Unquestionably, data quality can be affected by confusion and apathy (Parasuraman, 1988). Therefore, this study examined only perceptions and it can be more feasible and reasonable. In addition, SERVPERF scale (Cronin Jr. and Taylor, 1992) that is not weighted perceptions factors of SERVQUAL scale has been represented empirically to exceed SERVQUAL in predicting behavioural intentions. Many researchers have encouraged the SERVPERF scale is the more suitable model than SERVQUAL scale (Cameran *et al.*, 2010; Sultan and Wong, 2010; Babakus and Boller, 1992; Brady *et al.*, 2002; Brown *et al.*, 1993; Mehta *et al.*, 2000; Zhou, 2004); meanwhile, SERVQUAL scale has been utilizing as a well-known and validated model for measuring service quality (Zeithaml and Bitner, 2003; Trivellas and Dargenidou, 2009; Khare *et al.*, 2010; Butt and de Run, 2010; Furrer *et al.*, 2000). As highlighted before, the SERVQUAL scale has been applied in many service providers, however there are some objections to measure quality of service level in retail industry (Dabholkar *et al.*, 1996). Finn and Charles (1991) used SERVQUAL scale in four different types of retail stores in various sizes. According to their findings, the scale could not be used as an appropriate to measure of service quality in retail setting without any adaptation. In addition, Zhao *et al.* (2002) used SERVQUAL scale to measure service quality in the department stores in China and the result of study is that SERVQUAL scale did not favourably harmonize in the retail sector of Mainland China. For these reasons,

industry-adapted measures of service quality might be more applicable than a single generic scale (Dabholkar *et al.*, 1996; Babakus and Boller, 1992; Caro and Garcia, 2007). Dabholkar *et al.* (1996) have developed and empirically validated a scale that is entitled DTR and dimensions of scale are namely; physical aspects, reliability, personal interaction, problem solving and policy. DTR's suggested the measuring of retail service quality consists of 28 item and 17 of them have been adopted from SERVQUAL scale and 11 items have been developed by their literature review and qualitative research. In this respect, a study conducted by Mehta *et al.* (2000) have found that DTR scale is more applicable than SERVPERF scale to measure the service quality in supermarkets or hypermarkets.

Food retail industry of Turkey: According to the last census in 2010 (TSI), Turkey's population was 73,722,988 and Turkey was the 17th crowded country throughout the world and the 2nd most crowded country in the Europe. In addition, 76.26% of population lives in cities. These figures might be a deductive and explicable reason for investing in the food retail industry of Turkey by global service leading. However, the market has not been identified as easy in or easy out. Turkey's food retail industry has highly dynamic and competitive market structure. Furthermore, well-know global players (Carrefour, Tesco, Metro, Real, etc.) have been challenging domestic brands (Bim, Migros, Sok, A-101, etc.) and creating new strategies for increasing their market share against the domestics companies in the market. As in the world, the sectorial growth rate of retail industry in Turkey has been represented a remarkable increase in the past decade. According to Deloitte, the turnover of the sector was \$150 billion dollars at 2007 and \$187 billion dollars at 2010. From 2007-2010, the growth rate was accrued approximately 19%. Furthermore, the expected growth rate between 2010 and 2014 is 25% and the volume of retail industry will reach \$250 billion dollars at 2014. In other word, retail industry will be listed as the third biggest sector in the general industry list of Turkey at 2014. At the same report, Deloitte, Turkey's retail market was the 7th biggest market in the Europe and 10th in the worldwide. Moreover, as represented at the Global Powers of the Retailing, the report consists of two rankings which are the fastest growth companies and biggest retailers in the world, according to those rankings BIM was 220th and 8th was and Migros 206th and 19th, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The approved Retail Service Quality Scale, it is known as DTR Scale, developed by Dabholkar *et al.* (1996). The respondents, whom should be aimed of this

study were current consumers of the listed retail service providers in the food retail industry of Turkey. The sample of 342 respondents was gained. A pre-test with a sample of 32 was conducted; however, 7 items were canceled. The reason of cancellation is the items were not appropriate in terms of meaning in this research.

Eventually, a total of 357 questionnaires were collected by online questionnaire, however 342 of these were appropriated and 15 discarded due to incomplete responses, thus leading to a response rate of 95.79%. The questionnaire included 25 expressions on the food retail service quality. All the expressions conducted on a 7-point Likert scale that is more referred and appropriated measurement scale by many researcher (Juga *et al.*, 2010; Sultan and Wong, 2010; Padma *et al.*, 2010; Butt and de Run, 2010). The information of marital status, age, gender, education level, income level, shopping value per month and shopping frequency collected as demographic information in the questionnaire. The factor scores were

analysed by the component factor analysis with varimax rotation for data reduction (Afifi and Clark, 1984; Hair *et al.*, 1992). Next step, factor scores were gained from the defined dimensions that were used as independent variables for further analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fundamental component factor analysis with varimax rotation was performed on the 25 items for measuring perceived service quality of food retail stores. The factor analysis method was appropriated for this study (KMO = 0.843, Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity = 4784.487 and its significance = 0.000). Thus, the 25 sub-factors were reduced to six main factors with eigenvalues >1.0.

The determined factors explained 66.38% of the item variance. The six main factors and the loadings of them are shown in Table 1. The overall reliability of this study

Table 1: Factor patterns for retail services

Parameters	The factor analysis	Values	α	Loadings
	Personnel interaction		0.74	
Q4	Giving immediately service by employee			0.913
Q3	Consistently polite with customer			0.752
Q5	Never too busy to respond to customers’ request			0.728
Q6	Individual attention			0.546
Q1	Behaviour of employee instills confidence in customer			0.531
Q2	Knowledge of the employee			0.506
	Accounted of variance	0.329		
	Eigenvalue	8.240		
	Policy		0.72	
Q8	Safety in transaction			0.900
Q11	Error-free sales transaction and record			0.896
Q9	Accept most of major credit cards			0.863
Q7	Tell customer exactly what will be performed			0.734
Q10	High quality products			0.694
	Accounted of variance	0.091		
	Eigenvalue	2.277		
	Physical appearance		0.774	
Q15	Visually appealing service material			0.808
Q14	Visually appealing physical facilities			0.734
Q13	Suitable operating hours			0.603
Q16	Modern-looking equipment and fixtures			0.563
	Accounted of variance	0.0784		
	Eigenvalue	1.9600		
	Promises		0.74	
Q18	Performs the service right at the 1st time			0.734
Q19	Providing service at the time it promising to do so			0.729
Q17	Promise to something by a certain time			0.692
Q12	Willingly handle returns and exchange			0.507
	Accounted of variance	0.0671		
	Eigenvalue	1.6800		
	Problem solving		0.789	
Q21	Sincere interest to solve problem			0.740
Q22	Clean, attractive and convenient public areas			0.603
Q20	Handling customers’ complaint directly and immediately			0.584
	Accounted of variance	0.0510		
	Eigenvalue	1.2750		
	Convenience		0.769	
Q24	Store layout makes it easy for customer to move around			0.847
Q23	Store layout makes it easy for customer to find what they need			0.797
Q25	Availability of merchandise			0.565
	Accounted of variance	0.0473		
	Eigenvalue	1.1830		

Table 2: Demographic indicators

Demographic indicators	Status	Percentages
Gender	Female	42.86
	Male	57.14
Marital status	Single	46.06
	Married	53.94
Age	20-29	14.87
	30-39	44.61
	40-49	30.03
	50≤	10.50
Education	Primary School	1.75
	High School	2.33
	University	32.94
	Master or PhD	62.97
Purchasing frequency (Per month)	0-5	39.94
	6-9	43.15
	10-14	10.79
	15≤	6.12
Amount of purchasing in one time	≤49 TL	20.70
	50 TL-99 TL	41.69
	100 TL-149 TL	23.62
	150 TL≤	13.99
Income	≤999 TL	6.12
	1000 TL-1999 TL	13.70
	2000 TL-2999 TL	28.28
	3000 TL≤	51.90
Stores	A-101	4.53
	Adese	6.63
	Bim	13.02
	Bizim	3.84
	Carrefour	12.33
	Diasa	3.72
	Kiler	3.14
	Kim	1.51
	Metro	4.88
	Migros	14.19
	Real	3.37
	*ok	2.67
	Tesco-Kipa	7.79
	Local Chains	10.58
Others	7.79	

was acceptable (Cronbach's coefficient alpha = 0.916) and the reliability of those factors is ranked from 0.72-0.789.

Demographic background: According to the Table 2, 95.91% of responds graduated from bachelor or post-graduate education (university: 32.94%, master and doctoral: 62.97%) in this respect, educational standard of participants might be identified as a significant indicator for reliability of the study. On the one side, 85.14% of total responds are >30 years old and 80.18% of the contributors have a regular income that is at least 2000 TL. On the other side, the frequencies of shopping and their purchasing amount in once a time among the respondents could play an essential role for identifying the service quality of food retail. Thus, 60.06% of them go to a food retail store for shopping at least 6 times in a month. Furthermore, 79.3 % of respondents pay at least 50 TL per shopping. Eventually, the most purchased retailer was

Table 3: Descriptive statistics

Sub factors	Mean±SD	Analysis N
Q3	6.51±0.709	342
Q5	6.44±0.771	342
Q2	6.42±0.733	342
Q4	6.31±0.869	342
Q6	6.28±0.850	342
Q1	6.13±0.944	342
Q7	6.52±0.753	342
Q9	6.42±0.756	342
Q11	6.32±0.850	342
Q8	6.3±0.8640	342
Q10	6.25±0.879	342
Q16	6.23±0.709	342
Q14	6.10±0.707	342
Q13	6.08±0.963	342
Q15	6.06±0.752	342
Q18	6.63±0.542	342
Q19	6.62±0.549	342
Q12	6.61±0.610	342
Q17	6.60±0.632	342
Q22	6.42±0.729	342
Q20	6.31±0.753	342
Q21	6.28±0.775	342
Q25	6.30±0.853	342
Q23	6.02±0.965	342
Q24	5.75±1.086	342

chosen Migros and second was Bim. However, the stores are identified themselves different each other. Their store design, store location, store size, personnel skills, product categories or amounts, store atmosphere, promotions, managerial approaches etc. are not quite similar. Both companies have different strategies for the market (Table 3).

Factor 1: The factor which was labeled as personal interaction was consisted of six sub-factors and accounted for 32.9% of the variance. The sub-factors under this broad category were similar to the five dimensions out of 8 which were listed by Dabholkar *et al.* (1996) but a new item giving immediately service by employee was added. It should be explained by the fact that the life in Turkey is commonly dynamic and consumers expect correct and polite services and behaviour of employee is recognized as a key factor for consumer satisfaction by consumers (Bitner *et al.*, 1994; Chung-Herrera *et al.*, 2004). According to Bitner (1990), Cronin Jr. and Taylor (1992) and Boulding *et al.* (1993), service personnel's behaviour has played an essential and critical role in satisfaction or dissatisfaction and the dissatisfied consumer who does not directly complain to company, communicate negative word of mouth to friends or associates and this reaction could be a tangible reason for switching retail service providers (Singh, 1990). In other words, the consumer satisfaction is recognized in terms of what consumers perceive from retail service providers and this interaction could increase the satisfaction level or number of dissatisfied consumers (Khare *et al.*, 2010). However, companies are generally

more focused on the consumer satisfaction than their employees and this conflict causes many misunderstandings between consumers and firms. In addition as highlighted by many researchers, consumer satisfaction is directly related to profitability of retail settings because of that many firms have replaced from their conventional methods to modern approach (Hauser *et al.*, 1994; Hurley and Estelami, 1998).

Factor 2: The factor was composed of five sub-factors that related to the policy of retail service providers. The variance of the category was an additional 9.01% and coefficient alpha of broad category was 72%. As showed in Table 1, the first three sub-factors which were listed as safety transaction, error-free sales transaction and record and accept most of major credit cards, remarkable loading scores. Even if those sub-factors were matched with original dimensions of DTR, the loading score of high-quality products was listed end of the category of policy. It might be explained by if the retail service provider described clearly the policies of store or brand, transactions conditions, features of products and their sensitivity on the consumer requirements, the consumer could be purchase or repurchase confidently.

Factor 3: This broad category was labeled as physical appearance that included four items. Its coefficient alpha was accounted as 77.4% and for the additional 7.84% of the variance. The sub-factors of convenient operating hours, visually appealing service material and visually appealing physical facilities could be matched exactly with the dimensions of DTR, although the sub-factor of modern-looking equipment and fixtures in this study was added as a new item for this category. On the other hand, related dimensions with high-quality products and credit cards were listed in policy at this study. Physical aspects are tangible influences for consumer and while they are entering the store, consumers notice those factors such as noise level, temperature, colours, service staff's appearance and odours. As mentioned the studies of Bitner (1990), Finn and Charles (1991) and Levy and Barton (2007), the factors that are listed under physical aspects may influence perception of service performance.

Factor 4: The promises category was consisted of four sub-factors and its variance was accounted as 6.71%. The coefficient alpha value of this broad category was 74%. Two sub-factors of the category were similar to dimensions of the DTR. Besides this, the sub-factors of; performs the service right at the 1st time and willingly handle returns and exchange were listed in promises. It might be explained that attitudes and expectation of

consumers from retailers. The retailers could clarify those factors, otherwise level of disconfirmed expectations might be considered as the main reason of (dis) satisfaction. Especially, those sub-factors directly and highly related to the trustworthiness of the retail service provider and the factors that are recognized as favourable by the consumers should be positive influences on consumer loyalty and repurchasing.

Factor 5: This category was labeled as problem solving. The coefficient of the category was accounted as 78.9% and variance was quantified as 5.1%. According to the original dimensions of DTR were matched of the two sub-factors of this study but unexpectedly the sub-factor clean, attractive and convenient public areas was listed under this broad category. It might be described to related that shopping experiences of consumers in numerous stores and their hygiene conditions. The consumers could identify the conditions as a problem for those stores and it should be a intangible reason for dissatisfaction (Finn and Charles, 1991).

Factor 6: Those sub-factors which were shown in Table 1 were listed under convenience broad category. It composed of three sub-factors and its additional variance was accounted for 4.73%. The reliability value of this category was determined as 76.9%. The first two sub-factors were matched with DTR scale and the third sub-factor of this study was listed surprisingly in this category. Beside these, store layout of the store plays an essential role for evaluating process.

CONCLUSION

Service quality has an essential and the simplest marketing instrument for retail service providers to create ambitious benefits and to improve the satisfaction level of consumers. The food and they have many branches in various sizes throughout the Turkey. In order to be continual in the market, the retailers might determine the demands of target consumers and understanding to how consumers evaluate their service quality or what consumers need exactly in the sopping experience.

This study illustrated the practicality of measuring the perceptions of (food) retail service quality and its the most important sub-factors. In this sense, the survey composed 25 sub-factors have been identified under six groups which are personnel interaction, policy, physical appearance, promises, problem solving and convenience. Among the six service dimensions, the personnel interaction and policy have the greatest impact on the overall service quality. The food retail service providers

in Turkey might be noticing that main factors. According to the results, Turkish consumers prefer to encounter courteous and high-skilled store personnel. In sum, retailers in Turkey should be responsive to the consumer's needs and demands when creating and implicating the service strategies in food retail industry. Hence, effective and competitive strategies in service quality will enhance the consumer satisfaction and profitability of retail service providers.

REFERENCES

- Afifi, A.A. and V. Clark, 1984. Computer-Aided Multivariate Analysis. Lifetime Learning Publications, Belmont, CA USA.
- Ahmadi, F., 2011. Measuring service quality in Islamic Azad University-Sanandaj branch-Iran. *Institute Interdisciplinary Bus. Res.*, 3: 751-760.
- Anderson, E.W. and N. Sullivan, 1993. The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. *Market. Sci.*, 12: 125-143.
- Babakus, E. and G.W. Boller, 1992. An empirical assessment of the SERVQUAL scale. *J. Bus. Res.*, 24: 253-268.
- Bao, Y., S. Sheng, Y. Bao and D. Stewart, 2011. Assessing quality perception of private labels: Intransient cues and consumer characteristics. *J. Consum. Market.*, 28: 448-458.
- Bitner, M.J. B.H. Booms and L.A. Mohr, 1994. Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint. *J. Market.*, 58: 95-106.
- Bitner, M.J., 1990. Evaluating service encounters: The effects of physical surroundings and employee responses. *J. Market.*, 54: 69-82.
- Bolton, R.N. and J.H. Drew, 1991. A multistage model of customers' assessments of service quality and value. *J. Consum. Res.*, 17: 375-384.
- Boulding, W., A. Kalra, R. Staelin and V.A. Zeithaml, 1993. A dynamic process model of service quality: From expectations to behavioural intentions. *J. Market. Res.*, 30: 7-27.
- Bouman, M. and T. Van der Wiele, 1992. Measuring service quality in the car service industry: Building and testing an instrument. *J. Serv. Ind. Manage.*, 3: 4-16.
- Brady, M.K., J.J. Cronin Jr. and R.R. Brand, 2002. Performance-only measurement of service quality: A replication and extension. *J. Bus. Res.*, 55: 17-31.
- Brown, T.J., G.A. Churchill Jr. and P.J. Peter, 1993. Improving the measurement of service quality. *J. Retailing*, 68: 127-139.
- Butt, M.M. and E.C. de Run, 2010. Private healthcare quality: Applying a SERVQUAL model. *Int. J. Health Care Qual. Assur.*, 23: 658-673.
- Cameran, M., P. Moizer and A. Pettinicchio, 2010. Customer satisfaction, corporate image and service quality in professional services. *Service Ind. J.*, 30: 421-435.
- Carman, J.M., 1990. Consumer perceptions of service quality an assessment of the SERVQUAL dimensions. *J. Retailing*, 66: 33-55.
- Caro, L.M. and J.A.M. Garcia, 2007. Measuring perceived service quality in urgent transport service. *J. Retailing Consumer Serv.*, 14: 60-72.
- Carpenter, J.M., 2008. Consumer shopping value, satisfaction and loyalty in discount retailing. *J. Retailing Consum. Serv.*, 15: 358-363.
- Chebat, J.C. and M. Morrin, 2007. Colors and cultures: Exploring the effects of mall decor on consumer perceptions. *J. Bus. Res.*, 60: 189-196.
- Chung-Herrera, B.G., N. Goldschmidt and K.D. Hoffman, 2004. Customer and employee views of critical service incidents. *J. Service Marketing*, 18: 241-254.
- Cronin, J.J. Jr. and S.A. Taylor, 1992. Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. *J. Market.*, 56: 55-68.
- Dabholkar, P.A., D.I. Thorpe and J.O. Rentz, 1996. A measure of service quality for stores. *J. Acad. Market. Sci.*, 24: 3-16.
- Finn, D.W. and W.L. Charles, 1991. An Evaluation of the SERVQUAL scales in a retailing setting. *Advan. Consumer Res.*, 18: 483-490.
- Freeman, K.D. and J. Dart, 1993. Measuring the perceived quality of professional business services. *J. Prof. Serv. Market.*, 9: 27-47.
- Furrer, O., B. Shaw-Ching Liu and D. Sudharshan, 2000. The relationships between culture and service quality perceptions: Basis for cross-cultural market segmentation and resource allocation. *J. Serv. Res.*, 2: 355-371.
- Hair, J.F., R.E. Anderson, R.L. Tatham and W.C. Black, 1992. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 3rd Edn., MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, USA.
- Hauser, J.R., D. Simester and B. Wernerfelt, 1994. Customer satisfaction incentives. *Marketing Sci.*, 13: 327-350.
- Hinson, N.R., O. Frimpong and J. Dasah, 2011. Brands and service-quality perception. *Market. Intelli. Plann.*, 29: 264-283.
- Horn, C. and M. Rudolf, 2011. Service quality in the private banking business. *Finance Mark. Portf. Manage.*, 25: 173-195.
- Hossain, M. and S. Leo, 2009. Customer perception on service quality in retail banking in Middle East: The case of Qatar. *Int. J. Islamic Middle Eastern Finance Manage.*, 2: 338-350.

- Hurley, R.F. and H. Estelami, 1998. Alternative indices for monitoring customer perceptions of service quality: A comparative evaluation in a retail context. *J. Acad. Market. Sci.*, 26: 209-221.
- Jani, D. and H. Han, 2011. Investigating the key factors affecting behavioral intentions: Evidence from a full-service restaurant setting. *Int. J. Contemp. Hospitality Manage.*, 23: 1000-1018.
- Juga, J., J. Juntunen and D.b. Grant, 2010. Service quality and its relation to satisfaction and loyalty in logistics outsourcing relationships. *Managing Serv. Qual.*, 20: 496-510.
- Kandampully, J., 1998. Service quality to service loyalty: A relationship, which goes beyond customer services. *Total Qual. Manage.*, 9: 431-443.
- Kettinger, W.J. and C.C. Lee, 1994. Perceived service quality and user satisfaction with the information services function. *Decis. Sci.*, 25: 737-766.
- Khare, A., C. Parveen and R. Rai, 2010. Retailer behavior as determinant of service quality in Indian retailing. *J. Retail Leisure Property*, 9: 303-317.
- Ladhari, R., F. Pons, G. Bressolles and M. Zins, 2011. Culture and personal values: How they influence perceived service quality. *J. Bus. Res.*, 64: 951-957.
- Levy, M. and A.W. Barton, 2007. *Retailing Management*. 6th Ed., McGraw-Hill International Edition, New York, USA.
- Mehta, S.C., A.K. Lalwani and S.L. Han, 2000. Service quality in retailing: Relative efficiency of alternative measurement scales for different product-service environment. *Int. J. Retail Distrib. Manage.*, 28: 62-72.
- Olaru, D., S. Purchase and N. Peterson, 2008. From customer value to repurchase intentions and recommendations. *J. Bus. Ind. Market.*, 23: 554-565.
- Oliver, R.L., 1980. A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *J. Market. Res.*, 17: 460-469.
- Padma, P., C. Rajendran and P.S. Lokachari, 2010. Service quality and its impact on customer satisfaction in Indian hospitals: Perspectives of patients and their attendants. *Benchmarking An Int. J.*, 17: 807-841.
- Parasuraman, A., V.A. Zeithaml and L.L. Berry, 1988. SERVQUAL a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *J. Retail.*, 64: 12-40.
- Perez, M.S., G.C.J. Abad, M.M.G. Carrillo and S.R. Fernandez, 2007. Effects of service quality dimensions on behavioural purchase intentions: A study in public-sector transport. *Managing Serv. Qual.*, 17: 134-151.
- Singh, J., 1990. Voice, exit and negative word-of-mouth behaviors: An investigation across three service categories. *J. Acad. Market. Sci.*, 18: 1-15.
- Sultan, P. and H.Y. Wong, 2010. Service quality in higher education: A review and research agenda. *Int. J. Qual. Serv. Sci.*, 2: 259-272.
- Svetlana, B., 2011. Service quality perceptions of solely loyal customers. *Int. J. Market. Res.*, 53: 793-810.
- Taylor, S.A. and J.J. Cronin Jr., 1994. Modeling patient satisfaction and service quality. *J. Health Care Market.*, 14: 34-44.
- Trivellas, P. and D. Dargenidou, 2009. Leadership and service quality in higher education: The case of the technological educational institute of Larissa. *Int. J. Qual. Serv. Sci.*, 1: 294-310.
- Wall, T.D. and R. Payne, 1973. Are deficiency scores deficient?. *J. Applied Psychol.*, 58: 322-326.
- Zeithaml, V.A. and M.J. Bitner, 1996. *Services Marketing*, McGraw-Hill, New York, USA.
- Zeithaml, V.A. and M.J. Bitner, 2003. *Services Marketing: Integrating customer focus across the firm*. 3rd Ed., Irwin McGraw-Hill, Boston, MA.
- Zeithaml, V.A., 1988. Consumer perceptions of price, quality and value: A Means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *J. Market.*, 52: 2-22.
- Zhao, X., C. Bai and Y.V. Hui, 2002. An empirical assessment and application of SERVQUAL in a Mainland Chinese Department Store. *Total Qual. Manage.*, 13: 241-254.
- Zhou, L., 2004. A dimension-specific analysis of performance-only measurement of service quality and satisfaction in china's retail banking. *J. Serv. Market.*, 18: 534-546.