Profile of Bacteria and Antibiotic Sensitivity Test in the Cases of the Wound Infection Post Sectio Caesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang on January 2012-October 2016 Period

[1]Lucky Utami, [2]Mukhamad Nooryanto and [3]Sumarno Reto Prawiro
[1]*Student Midwifery Studies Program, Faculty of Medicine,*
[2]*Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine,*
[3]*Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Brawijaya, Malang, East Java, Indonesia*

Key words: Wound infection post sectio caesaria, bacterial profile, antibiotic patterns, medical record, educational level

Corresponding Author:
Sumarno Reto Prawiro
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Brawijaya, Malang, East Java, Indonesia

Abstract: Wound infection after sectio caesaria is one form of nosocomial infections. This study aimed to determine of characteristic the samples namely, the prevalence of post sectio caesaria, profiles of bacterial infections and antibiotic sensitivity test in the Hospital Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang, Indonesia in the period January, 2012 to October, 2016. The study design was observational descriptive retrospective. The sampling method used in this study is total sampling taken from the medical record in the intervening period January, 2012 to October, 2016. The results showed that the sample was 4.809. The study successfully revealed the characteristics of the study, namely, age, educational level, occupation, hospital sheed, indication, hospitalization time and leucocyte account. The prevalence of infection after sectio cecaria sample found as many as 15 people (2.16%) while non-infectious cases is as much as 4.794 (99.5%). Profile group of gram-positive bacteria were found more than a gram-negative group. Of the group gram-positive bacteria most commonly found are *coagulase negative Staphylococcus* (40%). The group of Gram-negative are most commonly found is *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (13.33%), respectively. Most coagulase negative Staphylococcus sensitive to groups of aminoglycoside antibiotics (Amikacin and Netilmycin). As for *Escherichia coli* sensitive to Fosfomycin, Meropenem, Amoxicillin+clavulanic group Piperacillin/Tazobactam.

INTRODUCTION

Caesaria sectio is a way of giving birth surgery through the abdominal wall (laparotomy)[1]. Request sectio caesaria without a clear indication it causes a higher amount. In the USA found an increase sectio caesaria which in 1996 was 20.7% in 2006 and 31.1%[2].

Data an increase cesaria sectio cases in Indonesia began in 2003. There were cases of 46.87%, in 2004 rose

to 53.2% in 2005-51.59% in 2006-53.68%. Results of national survey in 2009 showed as many as 921.000 births (22.8%) performed with sectio caesaria which is part of the 4.0390,000 births. The number of cases sectio caesaria in government hospitals in 2006 is approximately 20-25% of the total number of deliveries. While in private hospitals the number is as high as 30-80% of the total number of births[3]. The largest hospital Dr Soetomo's in East Java, Caesaria sectio cases in 2008 was 1,478 (23.3%) with the total number of births as much as 6.335[4]. In addition, based on annual reports Hospital Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang which is smaller than the city of Surabaya in 2012 found as many as 1618 deliveries were performed with caesaria.

The mortality rate of caesaria sectio is 5,8 per 100.000 success birth while the morbidity rate is 27.3% compared to normal childbirth which is 9 per 1000 cases. WHO (World Health Organization) suggest that the number of section caesaria only 10-15% from the total childbirth. WHO suggestion is based on risks analysis that may occurs because of section caesaria. National Health Service (NHS) said, from 2.552 women sample, 1.479 (58%) women giving birth normally. While as much as 1.073 (42%) giving birth by section caesaria (565 was planned and 508 was not planned).

The wound infection post section caesaria diagnosis should be based on whether or not the pus is presence on the wound. Bacteriologic check in form of smear with gram stain and culture is need to be done to know the bacteria that caused the infection and also to know the right treatment[5]. The development of germ resistance to antibiotics is heavily on the intensity usage exposure usage of antibiotic in some region, the usage of antibiotic that out of control is likely to increase the resistance of a germ that is sensitive in the first place. Some surveys on prescription whether domestic or overseas, antibiotic betalaktam is the most antibiotic that being prescript, so, the germ already resistance to the antibiotic[6].

Most of antibiotic usage happens in hospital but not all of them have a program to observe the resistance of germ, control the infection, observe the antibiotic usage in hospital, making a new guide for the antibiotic usage and prophylaxis also monitoring the resistance test so it can be used to know the antibiotic that sill potent, safe and effective and produce the good clinical outcomes(Kumar 2002).

In our study it has been disclosed on matters relating to post infection sectio cesarean reviewed from: karater sample, the prevalence of bacteria and test sensitivity to antibiotica. Expectations of the results of this study are to be input to reduce the number of cases, knowing the appropriate antibiotics used in wound infection after sectio caesaria in Hospital Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is observational descriptive study in retrospective to know the profile of bacteria and antibiotic sensitivity test in the case of the Wound infection post section caesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang January on 2012-October, 2016 period.

Sample used in this study is all medical record and the result of pus swab culture of the patient with wound infection post section caesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang on January, 2012-Oktober, 2016 in accordance with the criteria of inclusion and exclusion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristic study sample: The results are shown in Table 1 and based on the data found a group of non-productive age >35 years, 7 (46.67%) samples. The same percentage amount is found in the character. education level samples is dominated by high school

Table 1: Characteristics of the study sample

| Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age (Years)		
<20	-	-
20-25	2	13.33
26-35	6	40
>35	7	46.67
Educational level		
Bachelor	1	6.67
Senior High School	7	46.67
Junior High School	6	40
Elementary School	1	6.67
Occupation		
Housewife	10	66.66
Private employee	4	26.67
Officer	1	6.67
Student	-	-
Hospital sheet		
Diabetes mellitus	4	26.67
Hypertension	2	13.33
Anemia	6	40
No record	2	13.33
Other	1	6.67
Sectio caesaria indication		
Fetal distress	2	13.33
Malpresentation	2	13.33
Fetal abnormalities	1	6.67
Severe pre-eclampsia	4	26.67
Narrow pelvic	1	6.67
Amniotic fluid abnormalities	2	13.33
Placental abnormalities	1	6.67
C-section record	1	6.67
Personal request (without indication)	1	6.67
Hospitalization time (Days)		
<1	-	13.33
2-3	2	86.67
>3	13	
Leukocyt amount		
<4.7×10³/μL	-	-
4.7-11.3×10³/μL	4	26.67
>11.3×10³/μL	11	73.33
Total	15	100

Fig. 1: Prevalences of infection and non infection samples sectio caesarea

(bachelor), 7 (46.67%) samples. This type of work is mostly housewives as many as 10 people (66.66%). It is also known from clinical status showed that anemia was found in 6 (40%) samples. The same datas are found also in diabetes mellitus 4 (26.67%) samples. Most indicative of sectio cesarean delivery is an emergency of the sample. As for as the cause is pre-eclampsia 4 (26.67%) samples, amniotic fluid is not normal in 2 (13.33%) samples and baby malpresentation 2 (13.33%). In addition, also known hospitalization time by Table 1, the longest was >3 days with a total of 13 people (86.67%) followed by 2-3 days by 2 people (13.33%). Furthermore, from this sample study of 11 samples (73.33%) showed leukocytes >11.3×10^3 μL.

Prevalence of infection and non-infection sample: Based on Fig. 1 the total births by sectio caesarea during the period January, 2012 through October, 2016 is 4.809 samples. Of the total sample of cesarean sectio there were 24 (3.84%) samples of surgical wound infection. Each amount of infection after caesarean sectio in the order that began in 2012 through 2016, respectively are: 0:25, 0:31, 0.93, 0.6 and 1:39%.

Bacteria pattern: Five patient have two isolate bacterial. 1st: *Salmonella enterica* and *Salmonella arizonae*, 2nd: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 3rd: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 4th: *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus coagulase* negative, 5th: *Acinetobacter baumanii* and *Staphylococcus coagulase negative.*

Based on Table 2 have been found 11 species of gram positive cocci bacteria from the culture pus wound infection post sectio cesarea were 24 samples. This type of bacteria found: *coagulase-negative Staphylococcus* 6 (40%) samples, *Staphylococcus aureus* 2 (13.33%) samples, *Streptococcus pneumonia* 2 (13.33%) samples and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* 1 (6.67%) samples. There were 11 samples of gram negative rod bacteria were found. In addition, the remaining seven samples

Table 2: Profile of bacteria isolated from post cesarean section infection patient at Saiful Anwar hospital in Malang Indonesia between 2012 until 2016 premises

Bacteria types	N = 15	Percentage
Gram positive bacteria		
Staphylococcus coagulase negative	6	40
Staphylococcus aureus	2	13.33
Streptococcus pneumoniae	2	13.33
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	1	6.67
Gram negative bacteria		
Eschericia coli	2	13.33
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2	13.33
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1	6.67
Salmonella arizonae	1	6.67
Burkholderia pseudomallei	1	6.67
Acinetobacter baumanii	1	6.67
Salmonella enterica	1	6.67

were found with various types of bacteria and in each (6.67%) samples found bacteria that is different from the others. The bacteria are *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella arizonae*, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Salmonella enteritidis*.

So, the results of this study showed that the bacteria most commonly found are coaugalse negative Staphylococcus (40%), followed by gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (13.33%) and *Klebsiella pneumonia*-up (13.33%).

In patients found in 2 types of bacteria were patients 1 *Salmonella enterica* and *Salmonella arizonae*, patients 2 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, patients 3 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, patients 4 *Eshericia coli* and *Staphylococcus coagulase negative* and patients 5 *Acinetobacter baumanii* and *Staphylococcus coagulase negative*.

Antibiotic sensitivity pattern to bacteria: Based on table bellows from 24 types of antibiotics, gram positive bacteria, specifically *Staphylococcus coagulase negative* is the most sensitive to antibiotic from Aminoglikocida group (Amikacin and metilmycin) as much as 66.67%. The next are *Staphylococcus aureus* is known to be sensitive to antibiotic from Aminoglycosides group

(Gentamycin and Netilmycin) as much as 100%, Cefotaxime (100%), Tetracycline (100%) and Fosfomycin (100%). Bacteria *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is discovered most sensitive to antibiotic Piperacillin/Tazobactam (66,67%), Amikacin (50%), Fluoroquinolon group (Ciprofloxacin and Levoroquinolon) (50%) and Meropenem (50%). Bacteria *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* have high sensitivity to antibiotic Vancomycin (100%), Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (100%) and Levofloxacin (50%).

Gram negative bacteria that is *Escherichia coli* is known to be sensitive to antibiotic Fosfomycin (100%), Meropenem (100%), followed by antibiotic Amoxycillin+Clavullanic acid (100%), Amikacin (100%) and Levofloxacin (100%). In bacteria *Klebsiella pneumoniae* sensitive to antibiotic Piperacillin/Tazobactam (66.67%), followed by Fluoroquinolon group (Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin) (50%), Amikacin (50%) and Meropenem (50%). While in bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have sensitivity level as much as 100% to antibiotic Ceftazidime, Gentamycin, Amikacin, Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Piperacillin/Tazobactam and Meropenem.

Based on Table 3, also discovered that bacteria *Salmonella arizonae* 100% sensitive to antibiotic Netilmycin, Meropenem and Fosfomycin. In bacteria *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, shows 100% sensitivity to antibiotic aminoglycoside group (Gentamycin, Amikacin and Netilmycin) Fluoroquinolon group (Ofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin), Ceftazidime and Meropenem. Next, bacteria Acinetobacter Baumanii shows high sensitivity level to antibiotic Amikacin (100%), Levofloxacin (100%), Ampicillin (100%) and Meropenem (100%). While bacteria *Salmonella enteric* have the highest sensitivity to antibiotic Netilmycin (100%), Fosfomycin and Meropenem (100%).

Characteristic of sample: In this study, it is discovered that wound infection post sectio caesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang Indonesia on January, 2012-October, 2016 period is likely to happen on patient from group age >35 years. This is accordance with the fact before between age and wound healing process. The result of this analysis also supported by theory from Hidayat and Uliyah, that say the speed of cell replenishment is reciprocal with the development or the maturity of someone but the process of aging can slow down the cell replenishment so it will slow down the process of wound healing that can cause wound infection.

About the characteristic of the last education level, from the sample it is known to be high school. The study before also find the same result but it don't find any correlation between education level with wound

Table 3: Antibiotic susceptibility test pattern against bacteria isolated from post cesarean section infection patient at Saiful Anwar hospital in Malang between 2012 until 2016

Name of bacteria	Results	Cephalo-sporin		Aminoglycosida			Fluoroquinolon			ß-Lactam			Tetracyclin						
		CTX	CAZ	GEN	AMK	NET	OFX	CIP	LVX	AMC	PTZ	AMX	DOX	TET	MEM	CHL	VAN	FOS	SXT
S. coagulase negatif	TOT	4	4	4	6	3	3	4	5	4	1	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	5
	S/n%	0	0	1	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	2	2
		0	0	25	66.7	66.7	33.3	25	40	0	0	0	50	50	0	50	50	50	40
S. aureus	TOT	1	X	1	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	X
	S/n%	1	X	1	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	X
		100	X	100	X	100	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100	X	X	X	100	X
Streptococcus pneumoniae	TOT	2	2	2	2	X	X	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	X	1	3
	S/n%	0	0	0	1	X	X	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	X	0	1
		0	0	0	50	X	X	50	50	0	66.7	0	0	0	50	0	X	0	33.3
S. haemolyticus	TOT	1	1	1	X	X	X	1	2	X	X	1	X	1	1	X	1	X	1
	S/n%	0	0	0	X	X	X	0	1	X	X	1	X	0	0	X	1	X	1
		0	0	0	X	X	X	0	50	X	X	0	X	0	0	X	100	X	100
E. coli	TOT	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	1	X	X	X	X	2	X	X	2	X
	S/n%	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	1	X	X	X	X	2	X	X	2	X
		X	X	X	100	X	X	X	100	100	X	X	X	X	100	X	X	100	X
Klebsiella pneumoniae	TOT	2	2	2	2	X	X	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	X	1	3
	S/n%	0	0	0	1	X	X	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	X	0	1
		0	0	0	50	X	X	50	50	0	66.7	0	0	0	50	0	X	0	33.3
P. aeruginosa	TOT	X	1	1	1	X	X	1	1	X	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1
	S/n%	X	1	1	1	X	X	1	1	X	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	0
		X	100	100	100	X	X	100	100	X	100	X	X	X	100	X	X	X	0
Salmonella arizonae	TOT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	X	1	X	X	1	1
	S/n	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	X	1	X	X	1	0
		0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	X	100	X	X	100	0
Burkholderia pseudomallei	TOT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1
	S/n%	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	X	0	0	0	1	0	X	0	0
		0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	X	0	0	0	100	0	X	0	0
A. baumanii	TOT	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X
	S/n%	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X
		X	X	X	100	X	X	X	100	X	X	X	X	X	100	X	X	X	X
Salmonella enterica	TOT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	X	X	1	1
	S/n%	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	1	X	X	1	0
		0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	100	X	X	100	0

P.S: CTX: Cefotaxime; CAZ: Ceftazidime; GEN: Gentamycin; AMK: Amikacyn; NET: Netilmycin; OFX: Ofloxacin; CIP: Ciprofloxacin; LVX: Levofloxacin; AMC: Amoxycillin+Clavulanic Acid; PTZ: Piperacillin/Tazobactam; AMX: Amoxycillin; DOX: Doxycycline; TET: Tetracycline; MEM: Meropenem; CHL: Chloramphenicol; VAN: Vancomycin; FOS: Fosfomycin; SXT: Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole; S: Sensitive; n: amount of bacteri sensitivity to the antibiotic; %: Percentage; X: not tested; TOT: The amount of bacteria which are tested on antibiotic sensitivity test

infection because it only the general preview of population that come to the hospital. In the same study, Sidabutar also find the same result about the characteristic of work where the most work known from the sample is housewife.

Most of the sample has history of anemia followed by diabetes mellitus. Study in Semarang Indonesia on 2010, stated that there is significant connection between anemia and the process of wound healing because of the lower the hemoglobin someone have the longer the wound will be healed, so, it will increase the risk of wound infection[8]. The next hospital sheet from the sample is diabetes mellitus. This is accordance with the previous study where there is significant connection between diabetes mellitus with wound healing. After the study done with 38 respondents, 3 people (7.89%) have infection and all of those 3 having diabetes mellitus so there is potential of wound infection when they undergo surgery[9].

Characteristic of sample shows that there are lots of indications of section caesaria surgery caused by the emergency condition of the mother caused by severe pre-eclampsia. This fact is supported by literature that said mother that having severe pre-eclampsia or eclampsia must undergo section caesaria. The next thing is about the duration of the patient's hospital sheet are >3 days. This study is supported by previous study done in Semarang on 2012, said that statistically there are significant connection between the duration of hospital sheet and the case of nosocomial infection[10].

On the characteristic of the amount of leukocyte, it is discovered that most of the sample that have infection also have leukocyte >11.3×10^3/µL. This condition might happened because the reaction of immune system to bacteria infection.

Prevalence of infection and non-infection sample: The result of this study shows that the prevalence of childbirth with section caesaria from 2012-2016 went down, while the non-infection sample and the one that have wound infection post section caesaria from 2012-October, 2016 are fluctuate. Based on study in Suleymaniye Hospital Istanbul, Turki. Obstetric Gynecology and Microbiology Laboratory shows as much as 5.787 women giving birth with section caesaria, 74 women have 1.27% chance of having wound infection after section caesaria. From 5.787 cases, 1.037 (17,92%) are section caesaria childbirth caused by emergency condition and as much as 4.750 (82,08%) are elective section caesaria. The 18 womens (0,37%) found have wound infection post elective section caesaria and 56 women (5,4%) have wound infection caused by emergency section caesaria[11]. If this is compared with percentage of wound infection post section caesaria cases in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang on October, 2016 that is 1.39% where it is nearly the same

with Turki, this shows that the procedure of pre and post surgery already good in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar. Besides that, evaluation is still needed in case of another factors that have correlation with pre and post surgery action to lower the chance of wound infection post section caesaria.

Bacteria pattern: The result of this study shows there are 11 types of bacteria from pus swab culture on patient with wound infection post section caesaria. The types gram positive bacteria that has been found are *Staphylococcus coagulase negative* as much as 6 cacses (40%), *Staphylococcus aureus* as much as 2 cases (13.33%), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* as much as 2 cases (13.33%) and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* as much as 1 case (6.67%).

While on gram negative bacteria, there are: *Escherichia coli* 2 cases (13.33%) and the rest *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella arizonae*, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *Acinetobacter baumanii* and *Salmonella enteric* 1 case (6.67%), respectivelly. After that the number of gram positive bacteria that has been found are Staphylococcus coagulase negative that is 40%, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is 13.3%. Next is *Escherichia coli* that is 13.33% and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* that is 13.33%.

In the study on 2012 about the bacteria pattern on infection post section caesari with the study tittle "a study on isolation of different type of bacteria from pus". Bacteria that found most on the pus specimen almost the same but the proposition that make it different are *Staphylococcus aureus* (40%), *Klebsiella* sp. (33%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (18%), *Escherichia coli* (16%) and *Pseudomonas* sp. (7%)[12].

It is also the same on the study in Kathmandu Hospital Nepal, it shows that the pathogen germ that cause wound infection are *Staphylococcus aureus* (37.5%) and *Escherichia coli* (25%)[13]. The difference on the result might happen because changing of bacteria pattern that applied to the pus specimen. Factors that influence the changing of bacteria pattern are the difference of immune response, genetics factor of population, the difference of microbiology analysis, the difference of educational level and the medical treatment also the changing of antibiotic usage pattern[14].

Gram positive bacteria type *Staphylococcus coagulase negative* is the most. This is occurred because that bacteria is normal flora that naturally exist in human skin, so, it is easier to make the wound is infected after surgery[15]. Then, followed by gram negative bacteria *Escherichia coli* that found in the study sample because laparotomi is surgery that done in abdomen region so it is likely to contaminated by normal flora bacteria from the intestine[16].

Bacteria sensitivity patter to antibiotic: In this study, we can know that the types of bacteria that, we can found the most from pus swab culture is gram positive bacteria *Staphylococcus coagulase negative* is most sensitive to antibiotic aminoglycoside group that are Amikacin and Netilmycin as much as 67.67%. Also shows a 100% resistance to antibiotic from cephalosporin group that are Cefadroxil, Ceftriaxone, Cephadrine, Cefazolin, Cefotaxime, Cefuroxime and Feftazidime, Amoxycillin, Meropenem, β-lactam group that are Amoxycillin + Clavulanic Acid and Piperacillin/Tazobactam. While on gram negative bacteria that is *Escherichia coli* is most sensitive to antibiotic Fosfomycin (100%), Meropenem (100%), Amoxycillin + Clavullanic acid (100%), Amikacin (100%) and Levofloxacin (100%). The next is *Klebsiella pneumoniae* known to be sensitive to antibiotic Piperacillin/Tazobactam (66.67%), followed by Fluoquinolon group that are Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin as much as 50% also antibiotic Amikacin and Meropenem as much as 50%. Many resistance to Cephalosporin group that are Cefradoxil, Ceftriaxone, Cephadrine, Cefazolin, Cefotaxime, Cefuroxime, Ceftazidime (100%), Gentamycin (100%), Penicillin group that are Amoxycillin and Ampicillin (100%), Tetracycline group that are Doxycycline (100%) and Tetracycline (100%), Chloramphenicol (100%) also Fosfomycin (100%).

Similar results were found in previous studies that reported resistance of the negative *Staphylococcus coagulas*e bacteria to rise in the cephalosporin antibiotic classes Cefotaxime, Ceftriaxone, Cefuroxime and Cefalozolin. Increased resistance is very high against the third generation cephalosporin antibiotics of Cefotaxime and Ceftriaxone[17].

Based on the the study it is known that, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are sensitive to antibiotic Meropenem. That is because Meropenem have wide spectrum including the bacteria that have resistance to Pencillin, Aminoglycoside and Cephalosporin. So, Meropenem shows good sensitivity on most of the gram negative and gram negative bacteria[18].

Most of the bacteria that cause wound infection post section cesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang on January, 2012-October, 2016 period show highest resistance to Cephalosporin group, Penicillin, Gentamycin and Tetracycline. The study result on ILO patient in India Hospital also shows that *Escherichia coli* and *Kebsiella pneumoniae* are resist to Ampicillin, Ceftriaxone and Gentamycin[19].

CONCLUSION

From this study result, it is known that bacteria sensitivity pattern to antibiotic can change. This is happen because the pattern and the sensitivity of the bacteria will change depend on the place and time[20]. So, further research and monitoring is need to be done in case of bacteria sensitivity pattern to antibiotic on wound infection post section caesaria in RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar Malang.

REFERENCES

01. Cunningham, F.G., K.J. Leveno and S.L. Bloom, 2010. Cesarean Section and Peripartum Hysterectomy. In: Williams Obstetrics, Cunningham, F.G. and J.W. Williams (Eds.). McGraw-Hill Education, New York, USA., pp: 697-723.

02. Kennare, R., G. Tucker, A. Heard and A. Chan, 2007. Risks of adverse outcomes in the next birth after a first cesarean delivery. Obstetrics Gynecology, 109: 270-276.

03. Grace, P.A. and N.R. Borley, 2007. [At a Glance Surgery]. 3rd Edn., Penerbit Erlangga, East Jakarta, Indonesia, ISBN:9789790152236, Pages: 193 (In Indonesian).

04. Depkes, 2006. Indonesian Health Profile. Pusat Data Kesehatan Depkes RI, Jakarta Indonesia, (In Indonesian).

05. Healey, P., 1997. Collaborative Planning: Shaping Places in Fragmented Societies. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, Canada, ISBN:0-7748-0597-8, Pages: 339.

06. Scheld, W.M. and G.L. Mandell, 2004. Introduction to Microbial Disease. In: Cecil Textbook of Medicine, Ausiello, D.A. (Ed.). Saunders Publisher, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ISBN:9780721696522, pp: 1778-1729.

07. Kumar, V., R.S. Cotran and S.L. Robbins, 1997. Basic Pathology. 6th Edn., W.B. Saunders Company, Pennsylvania, ISBN:9780808920175, Pages: 775.

08. Sidabutar, R.P., L. Yuwono, S. Lilian and R.P. Yuwono, 1996. [Kidney Disease and Hypertension]. EGC Medical Book Store, North Jakarta, Indonesia, ISBN:979-448-181-5, Pages: 65.

09. Widyaningrum, D., 2010. [Relationship between hemoglobin level and healing of post sectio caesarea wounds in tugurejo hospital semarang, January-December 2009]. Master Thesis, University Ngudi Waluyo, Ungaran, Indonesia.

10. Indiarti, M.T., 2009. [Complete Guide to Pregnancy, Childbirth and Baby Care: Happy Welcoming the Baby]. Diglossia Media, Yogyakarta, Indonesian, (In Indonesian).

11. Rosaliya, Y., M. Suryani and Shobirun, 2012. [Factors affecting the occurrence of nosocomial infection in postoperative wound patients in Tugurejo Hospital Semarang]. Master Thesis, STIKES Telogorejo Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia. (In Indonesian).

12. Ilhan, G., F.F.V. Atmaca, A. Kaya, A.H. Ergin and A.F.G. Karasu *et al*., 2016. Risk factors and microbiology of wound infections following cesarean delivery: Experience of a single institution. J. Inf. Chemother., 22: 667-670.

13. Verma, P., 2012. A study on isolation of different type of bacteria from pus. Intl. J. Pharm. Life Sci., 3: 2107-2110.

14. Raza, M.S., A. Chander and A. Ranabhat, 2013. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the bacterial isolates in post-operative wound infections in a tertiary care hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. Open J. Med. Microbiol., 3: 159-163.

15. Kardana, I.M., 2016. [Pattern of germs and sensitivity of antibiotics in the perinatology room (In Indonesian)]. Pediat. Sari., 12: 381-385.

16. Warganegara, E., E. Apriliana and R. Ardiansyah, 2012. [Identification of nosocomial bacterial causes of surgical wound (ilo) infection in RSAM inpatient surgical and midwifery ward in Bandar Lampung]. Master Thesis, Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia.

17. Raihana, N., 2011. [Culture profile and sensitivity test of aerobic bacteria from surgical wounds in the surgical ward of Dr. M. Djamil Hospital, Padang]. Master Thesis, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia.

18. Elliott, T., T. Worthington, H. Osman and M. Gill, 2013. Mikrobiologi Kedokteran and Infeksi. 4th Edn., EGC Medical Book Store, Jakarta, Indonesia,.

19. Kakati, B., G. Pratima, K. Ashish, P.K. Sachan and T. Bhaskar, 2013. Surgical site abdominal wound infections in North Indian tertiary care hospital. J. Indian Acad. Clin. Med., 14: 9-13.

20. Mathai, D., R.N. Jones and M.A. Pfaller, 2001. Epidemiology and frequency of resistance among pathogens causing urinary tract infections in 1,510 hospitalized patients: A report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (North America). Diagn. Microbial. Infect. Dis., 40: 129-136.