

## A Survey of Some Dry Season Feed Materials for Small Ruminants in Ogbomoso, Nigeria

<sup>1</sup>F.G.Sodeinde, J.A, Akinlade, <sup>1</sup>V.O. Asaolu, <sup>2</sup>M.A. Oladipo <sup>1</sup>S.R.Amao and <sup>1</sup>J.A.Alalade

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production and Health

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, P.M.B. 4000,

Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

**Abstract:** The study was conducted to find out the feed materials available for small ruminants in the five local government area of Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria. One hundred questionnaires were administered within the five zones. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The results showed that 60% kept goats alone, 20% tended only sheep while 20% kept both sheep and goats, respectively. About 50% got their animals from local markets while others goat theirs as gifts or from contractual agreements. Flock sizes of 1-6 goats and 1-10 sheep were pre dominant among respondents. Fed materials commonly used in the dry season are agro-industrial by-products, by-products of grain processing, peels of crops, crop residues kitchen waste and roughages including pastures and browse plants. Forages are abundant in the wet season, therefore little supplementation is done. The quality and quantity of these materials tend to be affected by the location and season.

**Key words:** Dry season, feed materials, ogbomoso, oyo state, small ruminants

### INTRODUCTION

There is a supply deficit in meat and other animal products in the developing countries of the world including Nigeria which has led to widespread rise in prices of most of these products. This situation has been identified to be due to inadequate and imbalanced nutrition, prevalence of diseases and poor enterprise combination of crop and animal farming and agricultural practice. The most important factor is however the fluctuation in fed supplies situation leading to poor performance of livestock compared to the developed countries inadequate feed supply of feeding of poor feeds is responsible for low livestock productivity in the tropics (Adegbola *et al.*, 1990). Feeds for livestock constitute between 50 and 90 of the total cost of production depending on the type of livestock. It is therefore a very important item in the livestock sub-sector. Feed cost for ruminants under intensive management was 55% of the total cost of production (Doma *et al.*, 1999).

Inadequate feeding is a major constraint to ruminant production in tropical Africa (Ogwang *et al.*, 1994; Samberg *et al.*, 1985). An improvement in nutrition through strategic supplementation could, therefore, enhance the productivity of goats Peters, 1988. Supplementation of goats could come through the use of browse species such as *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena*

*leucocephala* which contain more crude protein compared to tropical grasses (Olomu, 1984; Otcher, 1985). *Gliricidia* and *leucaena* have been successfully used for small ruminant production systems in alley farming and intensive feed gardens (Olomu, 1984).

The economic value of crops makes it unavailable for livestock feeding. However processing and preparation of these food crops lead to the production of residues and by-products of grain processing, peelings of crops and industrial by-products. The type and quantity of these feedstuffs tend to be location and season specific (Ogwang *et al.*, 1994). At present, supplementary feeds are not used optimally as most small farmers do not know its potential for small ruminant production. It has been reported that household wastes and crop residues could be used after processing as feedstuffs as they contain crude protein levels ranging from 1 to 23%, fiber, 2 to 52% and metabolizable energy as high as 20MJ per kg DM and thus small ruminants fed with these feedstuffs are healthier and heavier (Adegbola *et al.*, 1990; Onwuka, *et al.*, 1997).

The pivotal position of feeds in the correction of the deficit in meat and other animal products cannot be over emphasized and hence the objective of this survey of feed materials for small ruminants was to identify the various feedstuffs available for optimal goat and sheep in Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria in the dry season.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The survey was carried out in the five local government areas of Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria. These local government comprised of Ogbomoso North, Ogbomoso South, Orire, Ogo Oluwa and Surulere. Two locations randomly selected per local government were sampled. This gave rise to 10 locations as replicates. The available feedstuffs varies according to these locations. The study was carried out through the use of structured questionnaires. A total of one hundred questionnaires were used, divided and distributed equally among the five local government areas selected for the study. Data obtained from the study were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the general information about the respondents expressed in percentages. Rearing of sheep and goats in Ogbomoso and its environs is common among adults of ages 30 and above. Some of these farmers had their first animal at the time of their marriage confirmation. Sixty% of the respondents were females while only 40% were males. This suggests that small ruminant production in this zone is still at the peasant level and considered an occupation for women and children. Most of the respondents had basic education up to secondary level while those with no education also were 31.4%. This clearly shows that small ruminant production in this zone will need the intervention of extension agents that can bring innovations and improve livestock management and production to increase the animal protein intake gotten from these animals (Egunjobi, 1989; Ikwuegbu *et al.*, 1994).

Table 2 shows the scope of small ruminant production. 44% of the respondents practiced extensive system of livestock production as contrasted to the 46% who practiced semi-intensive system. This result obviously disagrees with the widely reported traditional extensive systems prevalent in tropical Africa (Adegbola *et al.*, 1990). This observation might be due to the protection reasons. Most of the farmers (55%) acquired their foundation stock from the local market and this obviously shows the importance of these small ruminant market as channels of acquiring foundation stocks. This made it a bit difficult to trace the genology or history of the animals under investigation. In improving these stocks therefore, the farmers are to be tutored to keep records of production, though some of them were able to give verbal records, but were not too adequate. Other

Table 1: General information on the respondents

Criterion	Response	No. of respondents	Percentage
Age	10-19	4	4
	20-29	2	2
	30-39	29	22
	40-49	29	29
	50 and above	33	33
Sex	Male	40	40
	Female	60	60
Education	Primary	5	7.1
	Secondary	28	4.0
	Tertiary	15	21.4
	None	22	31.4

Table 2: Scope of small ruminant production

Criterion	Response	No. of respondents	Percentage
System of livestock	Intensive	10	10
	Semi-intensive	46	46
Production	Extensive	44	44
	Purchase from		
Source of	Local market	55	55
	Gift	35	35
	Contractual agreement	10	10

respondents got their stocks as gifts (35%) and on contractual agreements (10%) in which case the offsprings are shared by the parties involved. This idea of sharing also contributes to the low number of animals per head and which eventually affects both income and farm strength. This might have being responsible for the low percentage of farmers under intensive system (10%) and mostly low income farmers (FAO, 1989; Ademosun, 1988).

Table 3 shows the list of feed materials fed to sheep and goats by farmers in each local government area sampled. Forages, shrubs, cassava peels, yam peels, maize residues and sorghum residues were found predominant in all areas. Feedstuffs like plantain peels, palm kernel cake and groundnut tops were fed in the other three local governments except the North and South local areas. Kitchen waste and banana peels found to be part of the feed materials used in these other two local governments (North and South) but not in use in the others. These varieties of feed materials reveals that there are common feed materials while some are specific to the township (Adu *et al.*, 1986). Those in the rural places do not believe in feeding ruminants with kitchen waste because they believe these waste can be fed to other animals like dog instead of ruminants, that have abundant of grasses to consume. This act had a reflection on the appearance of the animals because some of them had deteriorated health condition and stunted growth because of the imbalance in the mineral profile (Anon, 1988; Lapkini, 2002).

Table 4 shows the list of feed materials fed to small ruminants by farmers in the five local governments of Ogbomoso, Nigeria. They include crop residues, peels of

Table 3: List of feed materials fed to sheep and goats by Farmers in the different local governments

Orire	Ogo-Oluwa	North	South	Surulere
Cassava peels	Yam peels	Kitchen waste	Kitchen waste	Cassava peels
Yam peels	Sorghums residues	Pawpaw waste	Cassava peels	Cowpea foliage
Maize starch residues	Millet residues	Plantain waste	Plantain waste	Groundnut foliage
Grasses shrubs	Maize stover	Maize residues and stover	Maize residues	Maize residues
Shrubs	Corn cobs	Sorghum residues	Cowpea wastes	Millet residues
Cowpea residues (foliage) (cotyledon)	Grasses shrubs	Cowpea wastes	Banana peels	Millet residues
Sorghum grains and foliage	<i>Melinia arborea</i>	<i>Stylosanthes sp.</i>	Soyabeans waste	Grasses
Groundnut tops	<i>Melinis minutiflora</i>	<i>Centrosema sp.</i>	Sorghum waste	Plantain peels
Palm kernel cake	Cassava peels	Banana peels	Grasses	Palm kernel
	Palm kernel cake	Grasses	Shrubs	Shrubs

Table 4: List of feed materials fed to small ruminants by farmers

Crop residues	Peels of crops	Industrial by-products	Grain processing
Maize stover	Cassava peels	Cowpea cotyledons	By-products
Sorghum stover	Yam peels	Cassava starch	Maize residues
Millet stover	Plantain peels	Chaff	Sorghum residues
Groundnut top	Banana peels		Grain chaffs
Cowpea foliage	Potato peels		Millet residues
Kitchen waste			
Roughages			
Grasses	Legumes/Browse plants		
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	<i>Cajanus cajan</i>		<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>	<i>Glycine max</i>		<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>		<i>Melinis minutiflora</i>
<i>Imperata cylindrical</i>	<i>Arachis hypogea</i>		<i>Melinia arborea</i>
		<i>Leuceana leucocephala</i>	

crops, industrial by-products, by-products of grain processing and roughages. The aforementioned are the feedstuffs which are still unexploited in Ogbomoso and its environs except suggestions have being made on the inclusion of these feed materials in ruminant feeding as strategies to improve the feeding of these animals most especially during the dry season when the quality of herbage is low and animals tend to loose weight (Bankole and Babayemi, 2004; Olurem and Iterikang, 2005).

The use of household waste combined with cassava peels or other crop by products of small scale commercial food processing in small ruminant feeding most especially for livestock owners without access to land and fodder crops have also being suggested by (Egunjobe, 1989; Olayiwola, 1985; Olayide, 1989) farmers in urban and peri-urban centers. Household waste especially kitchen waste can be a good source of mineral in the animals diet. The predominantly fed feedstuffs were maize starch residue, cassava peels and pasture plants. This could be explained by the fact that majority of the male farmers were food and tree crop farmers while the females were into the processing and marketing therefore making the products available to their animals Olukos, 1989. These list of feedstuffs are different from the ones fed especially in the Northern part of Nigeria (Groundnut haulms, cowpea hay and husk, reveal offal, straw materials *Andropogon sp.*, *Pennisetum sp.* and *Azadirachila sp.*).

## CONCLUSION

Feed materials available to farmers in Ogbomoso especially in the dry season include cassava peels, roughages, maize stovers, chaff, cowpea cotyledons and kitchen wastes.

Therefore, animal growth rate can be improved if a fadama can be set up to complement these available concentrate feeds.

## REFERENCES

- Adegbola, A.A., I.P. Smith and N.J. Okeudo, 1990. In: Utilization of research results on forage and agricultural by-products materials as animal feed resources in Africa, ILCA, Addis Ababa, pp: 357-366.
- Ademosun, A.A., 1988. Trends in small ruminant production for the last two decades and its future in west and central Africa. Keynote address In: Adeniji, K.O. (Ed). Improvement of Small Ruminant OAU (Organization of Africa unity) Nairobi, Kenya.
- Adu, I.F., B.B.A. Taiwo and C.A. M. Lapkini, 1986. Tips on sheep and goat management NPARI/ABU, Zaria, pp: 13.
- Anon, A., 1988. Proceedings on workshop on the utilization of cassava as livestock feed in Nigeria. Halm, S.K., L. Reynolds and G.N. Egbunike, Ibadan, Nigeria. IITA, ILCA pp: 39-52.

- Bankole, M.A. and O.J. Babayemi, 2004. Feeding goats with grass with energy concentrate. *Arch. Zootec*, 53: 13-23.
- Doma, U.D., I.K. Mohamed and A.P. Umeh, 1999. Observation on the characteristics of small holder sheep and goat management practices in old Bauchi State.
- Egunjobi, O.A., 1989. Women in Nigerian Agriculture. A lead paper at the symposium on the Nigerian Food Question. University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.
- FAO, 1989. Food and Agricultural Organization Production yearbook Rome, Italy.
- Ikwuegbu, O.A., G. Tarawali and R.M. Njwe, 1994. The Role of the West African Dwarf Goat in the Economy of the Small Holder Arable Farmer in the Sub Humid Zone of Nigeria. In: Lennie, S.H.B., B. Rey and E.K. Irunju (Eds.), Proceeding of the Second Biennial of the African Small Ruminant Research Network, AICC, Arusha, Tanzania. ILCA (International Livestock Center for Africa) CTA (Technical Center for Agricultural and Rural cooperation).
- Lapkini, C.A.M., 2002. Compilation for a training workshop on small ruminant production held at the National Animal Production Research Institute, Zaria, Nigeria, pp: 14-18.
- Olayide, J., 1989. Women in Agriculture. Newsletter National Farming System. Research Network .
- Olayiwola, C.B., 1985. Rural Women's Involvement in Food Production in Kaduna State. A Paper presented at the Seminar on Nigerian Women and National Development. University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Olomu, J.M., 1984. Livestock feeds some suggestions. Presidential address 9th Annual Convention of Conference of Nigeria Society Animal Production Nigerian *J. Anim. Prod.*, 11: 1-6.
- Olukosi, J.O., 1989. Women in Agriculture National Farming Systems. Research Network, No. 6. Ogowang, B.B., D. Mayimbe, R. Vilakati and G.Z. Khumab, 1994. Socio economic Aspect of goats nutrition in Swaziland In: Lebbie, S.H.B., B. Rey and E.K. Irunju (Eds.), Proceedings of the Second Biennial Conference of the African Small Ruminant Research Network AICC, Arusha, Tanzania 1992. ILCA (International Livestock Research Institute). ICTA (Technical center for Agricultural and rural cooperation). Addis Ababa., Ethiopia, pp: 15-18.
- Oluremi, O.I.A. and S.P. Iterikang, 2005. Rural Women and children in small ruminant production. A case of the river groups in Obaniliku local government in Cross River state of Nigeria. Proc. of 10th Annual Conf. of Anim. Sci. Associ. of Nigeria, pp: 331-334.
- Onwuka, C.F., P.O. Adetiloye and C.A. Afolami, 1997. Use of household waste and crop residue in small ruminant feeding In Nigeria. In: Small Ruminant Res., 241-237.
- Otchere, E.O., 1985. Small ruminant production in tropical Africa. In: Small Ruminant Production in developing countries F.A.O. (Food and Agricultural Organization) Anim. Prod. Health, pp: 203-210.
- Peters, K.J., 1988. The importance of small ruminants in rural economy Anim. Res. Dev., 28: 115-125.
- Sumberg, J.E. and K. Cassaday, 1985. Sheep and goats in the humid west Africa. In Sumberg, J.E. and K. Cassaday (Eds.) Sheep and goats in humid West Africa. Proceeding of a workshop on Small Ruminant Production System in Humid Zone West Africa Ibadan, Nigeria. 23-26 January, 1983 ILCA Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp: 3-5.