

Viral and Bacterial Pathogen Isolated and Identified from Pneumonic Calves in Region of Diyarbakir and its Treatment with Tulathromycin

¹Hasan Icen, ¹Servet Sekin, ¹Aynur Simsek, ²Simten Yesilmen and ³Nurettin Isik

¹Department of Internal Medicine, ²Department of Microbiology,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Dicle University, 21280 Diyarbakir, Turkey

³Laboratory of Research, Diagnosis and Control of Animal Diseases, Diyarbakir, Turkey

Abstract: We tested the field efficacy of a new antibiotic tulathromycin in the treatment of naturally occurring bovine respiratory disease beef calves with rectal temperatures greater than 39.5°C and signs compatible bovine respiratory disease were entered into the trial. This study was performed on 30 mixed breed beef calves with bronchopneumonia, 8-10 months old. Bacteriological and serological examinations were performed in nasal swabs and blood samples collected from beef calves. *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, Coagulase (+) *Staphylococcus* sp., *Streptococcus* sp. were isolated from bacteriological examinations of nasofarengial swabs. Serum samples were tested serologically for antibodies to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, Parainfluenza-3, Bovine adenovirus and Bovine viral diarrhoea viruses. All samples were positive for antibodies to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, Parainfluenza-3, Bovine adenovirus and Bovine viral diarrhoea viruses. Calves were assigned to receive tulathromycin (2.5 mg kg⁻¹ bodyweight, subcutaneously). Clinical measures of efficacy included mortality, rectal temperatures, pulsation, respiratory rate, assessment of treatment success or failure and number of relapses. Four calves relapsed and needed second enjection. No significant adverse reactions were noticed with tulathromycin. After the treatment, all the calves were cured. Results indicate that Tulathromycin administration was found to be effective in the treatment of bovine respiratory diseases (especially, in bacterial infections) of beef calves in region of Diyarbakir.

Key words: Pneumonia, beef calves, treatment, antibiotic, tulathromycin, BRD, RSV

INTRODUCTION

Losses due to Bovine Disease (BRD) respiratory are among the most important health problems encountered the of feedlot calves during fattening (Harland *et al.*, 1991; Hartel *et al.*, 2004; Hodgson *et al.*, 2005). The Bovine Respiratory Diseases (BRD) result from the interaction of many pathogenic agents (virus, mycoplasmas and bacteria) and other aggressions like a concomitant disease, the stress related on the mixture and the transport of the animals at the time of regroupings such as the markets with the cattle, of the climatic or environmental conditions unfavourable (defective ventilation, for example), or of the unsuited conditions of breeding like a high density or a bad food (Hoar *et al.*, 1998; Hodgson, 2005; Godinho *et al.*, 2005b). The viruses cause the early phase of the disease and will further reduce the natural disease resistance of the upper airways (Poumarat *et al.*, 2001; Akdogan *et al.*, 2001; Rowan *et al.*, 2004). Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV),

Parainfluenza 3 (Pi3) and the IBR virus are the ones of importance. Bovine Viral Diarrhoea (BVD) virus does not damage the respiratory tract but lowers the immunity of the calves and so makes them more susceptible to the effects of the other infections (Loneragan *et al.*, 2001). The pathogenic agents *Mannheimia* (*Pasteurella*) *haemolytica*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Histophilus somni* (*Haemophilus somnus*) or *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and either alone or with viruses and *Mycoplasma bovis* are most common microorganisms isolated in case of BRD (Booker *et al.*, 1997; Lekeux and Art, 1988; Cimtay *et al.*, 2000; Thomas, 2001).

The initial symptoms of the disease include pyrexia, coughing, ocular and nasal discharge. The occurrence of anorexia, tachypnoea and dyspnoea indicates a more serious in BRD (Larsen *et al.*, 2001).

Antimicrobial therapy is the most effective method for the prevention and treatment of BRD. Treatments utilizing various antibacterials frequently are administered daily for several consecutive days. If response to the first

antibacterial is poor, a second antibiotic may be administered for another 2-3 days. Such a program is labor intensive because of the daily handling and stressful to the cattle due to the restraint involved (Gorham *et al.*, 1990). The antimicrobial agents commonly used to treat BRD include ampicillin, amoksisilin, ceftiofur, enrofloxacin, florfenicol, marbofloxacin, tilmicosin erythromycin, oxytetracycline, spectinomycin and sulfamethazine (Akgül *et al.*, 1995; Booker *et al.*, 1997; Gül *et al.*, 1999; Lekeux and Art, 1988; Thomas, 2001; Hibbard *et al.*, 2002). However, previous studies have indicated that resistance to these compounds is frequently encountered. Currently, several new antimicrobial agents have been introduced or are under development for the treatment of BRD. An antibacterial treatment, which could be administered as a single injection would offer numerous advantages.

The efficacy of a single dose of 2.5 mg tulathromycin kg⁻¹ bw has been sufficiently demonstrated for the treatment and prevention of BRD associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Pasteurella multocida* and *Haemophilus somnus* and the efficacy in the treatment of SRD associated with *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae*, *Pasteurella multocida* and *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* by the improvement of the clinical signs of the disease. Also, the efficacy of tulathromycin was demonstrated to be comparable to that of an already approved veterinary medicinal products containing tilmicosin (BRD), tiamulin (SRD) or florfenicol (SRD) (Kilgore *et al.*, 2005a; Godinho *et al.*, 2005b; Schunicht *et al.*, 2007; Booker *et al.*, 2007).

The aim of this clinical trial was to obtain basic knowledge of pathogens associated with bovine respiratory disease in Diyarbakir and evaluate single injection dosages of tulathromycin for treatment of naturally occurring BRD in feedlot calves.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was performed on 30 mixed-breed beef calves with bronchopneumonia, the age of the diseased calves varied from 8-10 months and the weights were between 50 and 100 kg.

The animals were clinically examined by the same investigator on D0, D3 and D7, for rectal temperature (°C), respiratory rate, general condition, dyspnea, nasal discharge, ocular discharge, bilateral conjunctivitis, abnormal lung sounds, mandibular lymph node enlargement and cough. All of the calves had abnormal sounds on auscultation of the respiratory tract and most had either one or several of the following symptoms: fever >39.5°C, elevated respiratory rate (>40/min), cough or nasal discharge. On 0 day, deep nasopharyngeal swabs

for the identification of respiratory pathogens were obtained from 11 animal before it was treated. Blood samples for serology were taken from 11 calves. Blood was collected into sterile vacutainers by jugular venipuncture. Sera were separated and stored at -20°C, until required for testing blood samples collected from beef calves. For bacteriological isolation blood agar, MacConkey Agar NO₂, Mycoplasma selective broth, Mycoplasma selective agar were used. Biochemical identifications of isolated gram negative bacterias was carried out according to API20E, but gram positive bacterias was identified biochemically according to bergeys manuel of systematic bacteriology; *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, Koagulase (+) *Staphylococcus* sp., *Streptococcus* sp. were isolated from bacteriological examinations of nasopharyngeal swabs.

The virus neutralization test was used to determine antibodies to Bovine Herpes Virus 1 (BHV1), Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD) virus and Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV). The hemagglutination inhibition test was used for antibodies to bovine parainfluenza virus according to standard protocol.

A single dose of 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ bw tulathromycin were administered subcutaneously to the diseased calves. The clinical examination were carried out following treatment of 3rd and 7th day. Seventy two hours later, they were given a second dose of danofloxacin if they had either a rectal temperature of at least 39.5°C or moderate or severe clinical signs of abnormal respiration or depression.

The one-way ANOVA test was performed in order to compare the three groups for each of the evaluated parameters. The data on the clinical signs, pulses, respiratory rate and the rectal temperatures were analyzed only for the animals. the statistical differences in the tulathromycine group between D0 and D3 and D7. A difference with p<0.05 was considered to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed with statistics package SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc.).

RESULTS

Rectal temperature, respiratory rate, appetite, dyspnea, coughing, nasal discharge and general condition were recorded on 0, 3 and 7 days. The clinical efficacy of tulathromycin were evaluated on 3 and 7 days. Mean, standard deviation and differences in values of parametres obtained in the research are given on the Table 1 and 2.

For animal completing the study the mean rectal temperature on 0 day was 40.2°C. On 3rd day, rectal temperature had reduced to 39.6°C and reduction was

Table 1: Temperature, respiratory rate and pulsation rate of pneumonic calves before (0 day) and after (3 and 7 days) application of tulathromycin

Parameters	0 day (x±Sx)	3 days (x±Sx)	7 days (x±Sx)	N = 30	p-value
Temperature	40.28±0.63	39.0±0.63***	38.6±0.36***	0-3	<0.001
				0-7	<0.001
				3-7	<0.001
Respiratory rate	46.53±9.56	25.20±7.65***	22.20±3.16*	0-3	<0.001
				0-7	<0.05
				3-7	>0.05
Pulsation	110.80±11.49	89.86±11.05	79.73±4.38	0-3	<0.001
				0-7	<0.001
				3-7	<0.001

Table 2: Clinical findings of pneumonic calves pre treatment (0 day) and after treatment (3 and 7 days) and application of tulathromycin

Nasal discharge (days)			Cough (days)			Conjunctiva (days)			Rumen moving (days)			Lung auskultastion (days)			Tulathromycin (days) (2.5 mg kg ⁻¹) SC		
0	3	7	0	3	7	0	3	7	0	3	7	0	3	7	0	3	7
SM	SM	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	5	11	DR	DR	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	7	12	DR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	4	9	10	MR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	3	7	9	MR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	5	11	13	DR	N	N	+		
S	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	5	13	12	HV	N	N	+		
SM	SM	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	3	9	14	MR	DR	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	8	11	DR	N	N	+		
SM	SM	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	11	12	DR	DR	N	+		+
S	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	4	10	12	HV	N	N	+		
S	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	3	9	13	HV	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	6	11	MR	N	N	+		
S	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	5	8	10	HV	N	N	+		
S	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	4	11	13	HV	N	N	+		
SM	SM	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	3	8	11	MR	N	N	+		
SM	SM	-	+	+	-	H	N	N	1	6	10	DR	DR	N	+		+
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	9	12	DR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	+	-	H	N	N	2	9	14	DR	N	N	+		
M	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	5	14	13	HV	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	3	9	12	MR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	8	13	MR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	9	11	MR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	3	11	14	MR	N	N	+		
S	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	4	7	12	HV	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	8	11	DR	N	N	+		
M	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	5	9	13	HV	N	N	+		
M	N	-	-	-	-	H	N	N	5	11	12	HV	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	-	-	H	N	N	2	6	11	DR	N	N	+		
SM	N	-	+	+	+	H	N	N	2	8	13	DR	N	N	+		+
SM	SM	-	+	+	+	H	N	N	1	7	12	DR	DR	N	+		+

SM: Seromucous; S: Serous; M: Mucous; N: Normal; H: Hyperemia; DR: Dry Rale; MR: Moist Rale; HV: Hard Vesicoul

Table 3: Viral and bacterial pathogen isolated and identified from pneumonic calves

A.N	Bacterial pathogen isolated and identified	Viral antibody				
		BRSV	PI-3	IBR	BVD	Adenovirus
1	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	+	-
3	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>					
	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-
9	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	+	-
12	<i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>					
	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-
14	<i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	-	+
15	<i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	-	+
16	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp. <i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	+	-
21	<i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>	+	+	+	-	-
24	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> ,	+	+	+	+	-
27	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> ,					
	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	-
29	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Mannheimia haemolytica</i>					
	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	-

sustained throughout to 7 days when, the mean rectal temperature were 38.6°C. The reduction between rectal temperatures on 3 and 7 days compared with 0 day were significant differences ($p < 0.001$). There were significant improvement in the distribution of clinical signs for abnormal respiration ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.005$, $p > 0.05$), pulsation ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$) and depression on 3 and 7 days compared to 0, 4 of 30 days beef calves received second enjection for treatment.

Klebsiella pneumoniae, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Koagulase (+) Staphylococcus* sp., *Streptococcus* sp. were isolated from bacteriological examinations of bronchoalveolar lavage.

Serological examination to viruses, Bovine Herpes Virus 1 (BHV1), Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD) virus and Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV) were determined in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

BRD is a very costly disease to cattle producers. Economic losses are more than just death. To treat BRD, it is very important to focus not only on prevention, but also to fight the bacteria that complicate the viral infections (Booker *et al.*, 2007).

The first clinical signs observed in calves affected were anorexia, rapid and labored breathing, dyspnea, abnormal lung sounds, mandibular lymph node enlargement and cough, nasal and ocular discharge, fever. There were significant improvement in the distribution of clinical signs for abnormal respiration ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.005$, $p > 0.05$), pulsation ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$) and depression on 3 and 7 days compared to 0 day. These findings were in accordance with previous studies, Akgül *et al.* (1995), Cimtayet *et al.* (2000), Akdogan *et al.* (2001) and Godinho *et al.* (2005a, b).

The animal's normal bodily defenses keep these bacteria in check in a healthy animal, they replicate slowly are destroyed by antibodies and removed by macrophages. Respiratory tract infections (pneumonia) due to these two bacteria occur when the organism is inhaled. Under conditions of impaired pulmonary defenses, a severe necrotizing fibrinous pneumonia develops. Spread of these organisms is by direct contact, or by ingestion of feed and water contaminated by nasal and oral discharges from infected cattle (Hoar *et al.*, 1998; Hartel *et al.*, 2004; Hodgson *et al.*, 2005). The severity of the disease depends upon the pathogenicity of the bacterial organism (s) and the associated infections (IBR, PI-3, BVD and BRSV, other viruses or bacteria). *M. haemolytica* is often associated with the more acute cases of BRD, while, *P. multocida* is often associated with

the longer-lasting cases of BRD. Therefore, these two bacteria are easily spread between cattle, especially, when calves are crowded (as in shipment) or closely confined (as in a dairy calf nursery) (Irsik, 2008).

Picavet *et al.* (1991), Booker *et al.* (1997) and Loneragan *et al.* (2001) reported that *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *P. (Mannheimia) haemolytica*, *P. multocida*, *M. bovis*, *Strept. bovis*, *Haemophilus somnus* were isolated from calves with bronchopneumonia. The data reported here support the finding. Bacteria isolated during the studies are classically considered to contribute to the pathology of BRD complex. In serological examination of virus in this study were Bovine Herpes Virus 1 (BHV1), Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD) virus and Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV). Similarly findings were reported by Godinho *et al.* (2005a, b), Loneragan *et al.* (2001), Gorham *et al.* (1990), Schunicht *et al.* (2007), Booker *et al.* (2007) and Robbet *et al.* (2007).

Many of the antibiotics used in the treatment of BRD, particularly to give protection to incontact animals, are long acting formulations with very long statutory withdrawal periods. A recently launched new product, containing Tulathromycin (Draxxin® Pfizer) has been marketed to veterinarians as suitable for treatment of BRD. Early administration of an effective antimicrobial at the appropriate dose is beneficial for the successful treatment of BRD affected animals. The most common antimicrobials used by feedlots for the initial treatment of respiratory disease were tilmicosin, florfenicol and tetracyclines. Akdogan *et al.* (2001) reported that combination of parapoxvirus with enrofloxacin resulted in more effective therapy than the Enrofloxacin monotherapy in the treatment of calves with enzootic pneumoniae.

The researcher reported that Kilgore *et al.* (2005b), Rooney *et al.* (2005) and Nutsch *et al.* (2005). Tulathromycin given to calves at high risk of developing BRD was significantly more effective in reducing BRD morbidity and mortality compared with florfenicol and tilmicosin.

The complete reversibility of the clinical and functional changes recorded in the diseased calves suggests that most of the microorganisms involved in the pathological effects on the respiratory tract were sensitive to tulathromycin. This is in agreement with previous reports.

In this study, a single dose of 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ bw tulathromycin were administered subcutaneously to the diseased calves. On the 3rd day, four calves (13 and 5%) relapsed and needed second enjection. No significant adverse reactions were noticed with tulathromycin. All the beef calves were cured. These findings are consistent

with the study data (Godinho *et al.*, 2005a, b; Schunicht *et al.*, 2007; Booker *et al.*, 2007; Robb *et al.*, 2007).

CONCLUSION

The tulathromycine can being used not only in the treatment of the respiratory disorders, but also in the prevention of the appearance of clinical signs of broncho-pneumonopathies in the animals sharing same space.

REFERENCES

- Akdogan, K.A., U. Bakirel and T. Bilal, 2001. Enzootik pnömonili buzagılarda parapoxvirus ovis D1701 susu ve enrofloxacin kombinasyonunun tedavi etkinligi uzerine bir arastirma. Istanbul Üniversitesi Veteriner Fak Derg, 27 (1): 1-6. <http://veteriner.istanbul.edu.tr/vetfakdergi/yayinlar/2001-1/index2001-1.php>.
- Akgül, Y., P. Tanritanir and H. Icen, 1995. Bronkopnömonili buzagıların sagaltimında farklı Tilmicosin dozlarının etkisi. YYÜ Sag Bil Derg, 1: 12-20.
- Booker, C.W., G.K. Jim, P.T. Guichon, O.C. Schunicht, B.E. Thorlakson and P.W. Lockwood, 1997. Evaluation of florfenicol for the treatment of undifferentiated fever in feedlot calves in western Canada. *Can. Vet. J.*, 38: 555-560. PMID: 9285135. PMID: PMC1576756.
- Booker, C.W., S.M. Abutarbush, O.C. Schunicht, G.K. Jim, T. Perrett, B.K. Wildman, P.T. Guichon, T.J. Pittman, C. Jones and C.M. Pollock, 2007. Evaluation of the efficacy of tulathromycin as a metaphylactic antimicrobial in feedlot calves. *Vet. Ther.*, 8 (3): 183-200. PMID: 17926304.
- Cımtay, I., T. Sahin and N.B.A. Kaya, 2000. Enzootik pnömonili besi sigirlarının tedavisinde amoksisilin'in etkinliginin arastırılması. YYÜ Vet. Fak. Derg., 11 (2): 113-116.
- Godinho, K.S., A. Rae, G.D. Windsor, N. Tilt, T.G. Rowan and S.J. Sunderland, 2005a. Efficacy of tulathromycin in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease associated with induced *Mycoplasma bovis* infections in young dairy calves. *Vet. Ther. Summer*, 6 (2): 96-112. PMID: 16094558.
- Godinho, K.S., P. Sarasola, J. Sherington, T.G. Rowan and S.J. Sunderland, 2005b. Evaluation of tulathromycin (Draxxin®) for the treatment and prevention of bovine respiratory disease under natural conditions. *Revue. Med. Vet.*, 156 (8-9): 437-444. INIST-CNRS, Cote INIST: 3502,35400013171229.0050.
- Gorham, P.E., L.H. Carroll, J.W. McAskill, L.E. Watkins, E.E. Ose, L.V. Tonkinson and J.K. Merrill, 1990. Tilmicosin as a single injection treatment for respiratory disease of feedlot cattle. *Can. Vet. J.*, 31: 826-829. PMID: 17423706. PMID: PMC1480886
- Gül, Y., M. Dabak, H. Kalander, Ö. Kizil and M. Issi, 1999. Enzootik pnömonili dana ve kuzularda Amoksisilin ile tedavi denemeleri. *Bültendif*, 12: 12-15.
- Harland, R.J., G.K. Jim, P.T. Guichon, G.G. Hugh and E.D.J. Townsend, 1991. Efficacy of parenteral antibiotics for disease prophylaxis in feedlot calves. *Can. Vet. J.*, 32 (3): 163-168. PMID: 17423754. PMID: PMC1480966.
- Hartel, H., S. Nikunen, E. Neuvonen, R. Tanskanen, S.L. Kivela, R. Aho, T. Soveri and H. Saloniemi, 2004. Viral and bacterial pathogens in bovine respiratory disease in Finland. *Acta. Vet. Scand.*, 45 (3-4): 193-200. DOI: 10.1186/1751-0147-45-193.
- Hibbard, B., E.J. Robb, J.R. Chester, K.J. Dame, J.F. Boucher and G.R. Alaniz, 2002. Dose determination and confirmation of a long-acting formulation of Ceftiofur (*Ceftiofur crystalline free acid*) administered subcutaneously for the treatment of bovine respiratory disease. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap.*, 25: 175-180. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2885.2002.00403.x.
- Hoar, B.R., M.D. Jelinski, C.S. Ribble, E.D. Janzen and J.C. Johnson, 1998. A comparison of the clinical field efficacy and safety of florfenicol and tilmicosin for the treatment of undifferentiated bovine respiratory disease of cattle in Western Canada. *Can. Vet. J.*, 39: 161-166. PMID: 9524721. PMID: PMC1539941.
- Hodgson, P.D., P. Aich, A. Manuja, K. Hokamp, F.M. Roche, F.S.L. Brinkman, A. Potter, L.A. Babiuk and P.J. Griebel, 2005. Effect of stress on viral bacterial synergy in bovine respiratory disease. Novel mechanisms to regulate inflammation. *Comparat. Funct. Genom.*, 6 (4): 244-250. DOI: 10.1002/cfg.474.
- Irsik, M., 2008. Bovine respiratory disease associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica* or *Pasteurella multocida* cooperative extension service. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/vm118>.
- Kilgore, W.R., M.S. Spensley, F. Sun, R.G. Nutsch, K.A. Rooney and T.L. Skogerboe, 2005a. Clinical effectiveness of tulathromycin, a novel triamilide antimicrobial, for the control of respiratory disease in cattle at high risk for developing bovine respiratory disease. *Vet. Ther.*, 6 (2): 136-42. PMID: 16094561.
- Kilgore, W.R., M.S. Spensley, F.S. Sun, R.G. Nutsch, K.A. Rooney and T.L. Skogerboe, 2005b. Therapeutic efficacy of tulathromycin, a novel triamilide antimicrobial, against bovine respiratory disease in feeder calves. *Vet. Ther.*, 6: 143-153. PMID: 16094562.

- Larsen, L.E., C. Tegtmeier and E. Pedersen, 2001. Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV) pneumonia in beef calf herds despite vaccination. *Acta Vet. Scand.*, 42: 113-121. DOI: 1186/1751-0147-42-113.
- Lekeux, P. and T. Art, 1988. Effect of enrofloxacin therapy on shipping fever pneumonia in feedlot cattle. *Vet. Record.*, 123: 205-207. PMID: 3051642.
- Loneragan, G.H., D.H. Gould, G.L. Mason, F.B. Garry, G.S. Yost, G. Miles, B.W. Hoffman and L.J. Mills, 2001. Involvement of microbial respiratory pathogens in acute interstitial pneumonia in feedlot cattle. *AJVR*, 62 (10): 1519-1524. PMID: 11592313.
- Nutsch, R.G., T.L. Skogerboe, K.A. Rooney, D.J. Weigel, K. Gajewski and K.F. Lechtenberg, 2005. Comparative efficacy of tulathromycin, tilmicosin and florfenicol in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease in stocker cattle. *Vet. Ther.*, 6: 167-179. PMID: 16094564.
- Picavet, T., E. Muylle, L.A. Devriese and J. Geryl, 1991. Efficacy of tilmicosin in treatment of pulmonary infections in calves. *Vet. Rec.*, 129 (18): 400-403. PMID: 1837391.
- Poumarat, F., D.L. Grand, S. Philippe, D. Calavas, F. Schelcher, P. Cabanie, P. Tessier and H. Navetat, 2001. Efficacy of spectinomycin against *Mycoplasma bovis* induced pneumonia in conventionally reared calves. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 80: 23-35. PMID: 11278120.
- Rowan, T.G., P. Sarasola, S.J. Sunderland, C.J. Giles and D.G. Smith, 2004. Efficacy of danofloxacin in the treatment of respiratory disease in European cattle. *Vet. Record.*, 154: 585-589. PMID: 15160844.
- Robb, E.J., C.M. Tucker, L. Corley, W.L. Bryson, K.C. Rogers, K. Sturgess, D.J. Bade and B. Brodersen, 2007. Efficacy of tulathromycin or enrofloxacin for initial treatment of naturally occurring bovine respiratory disease in feeder calves. *Vet. Ther. Summer.*, 8 (2): 127-35. PMID: 19177334.
- Rooney, K.A., R.G. Nutsch, T.L. Skogerboe, D.J. Weigel, K. Gajewski and W.R. Kilgore, 2005. Efficacy of tulathromycin compared with tilmicosin and florfenicol for the control of respiratory disease in cattle at high risk of developing bovine respiratory disease. *Vet. Ther.*, 6: 154-166. PMID: 16094563.
- Schunicht, O.C., C.W. Booker, P.T. Guichon, G.K. Jim, B.K., Wildman, T.J. Pittman and T. Perrett, 2007. An evaluation of the relative efficacy of tulathromycin for the treatment of undifferentiated fever in feedlot calves in Nebraska. *Can. Vet. J.*, 48 (6): 600-606. PMID: 17616056. PMCID: PMC1876186.
- Thomas, E., G.L. Caldow, D. Borell and J.L. Davot, 2001. A field comparison of the efficacy and tolerance of marbofloxacin in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap.*, 24: 353-358. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2885.2001.00333.x. PMID: 11696086.