

## Economic Value of Date Pits Replaced with Maize in Broiler Chicken Diet

A. Masoudi, M. Bojarpour, M. Chaji, M. Eslami and Kh. Mirzadeh  
Department of Animal Science,

Ramin (Khuzestan) Agricultural and Natural Resources University, Mollassani, Khuzestan, Iran

---

**Abstract:** Study was conducted to evaluate the economic value of broiler chicken diets containing Date Pits (DP) replaced by maize. About 180 days old commercial broiler chickens randomly were assigned to four experimental diets; treatments 1-4 included dietary containing 0, 10, 20 and 30% of date pits, respectively. All diets were isonitrogenous and isocaloric and formulated according to Nutrient Requirements of poultry (NRC). The results showed that in compared with control group cost (kg) of feed decreased with increasing of date pits in diets. At whole of starter and grower periods, there were no significant differences ( $p>0.05$ ) in feed intake. Feed conversion ratio of diets containing 20 and 30% of date pits was significantly more than control diet (respectively, 2.44 and 2.53 vs. 1.91) ( $p<0.05$ ) but there was no significant difference with diet containing 10% date pits ( $p>0.05$ ). As cost (kg) of meat production there was no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) between diets, although the cost of meat production was higher in diets containing of date pits ( $p>0.05$ ). Therefore, date pits reduce the cost of diets but not meat.

**Key words:** Date pits, economic value, broiler chicken, maize, Khuzestan, Iran

---

### INTRODUCTION

The limited supply of good quality raw materials such as soybean meal, maize and cotton seed cake for the poultry feed industry has resulted in a continuous increase in the cost of production causing a phenomenal rise in the unit cost of products. Thus, these products have become too expensive for the majority of the population in different regions (Tewe, 2003; Esonu *et al.*, 2003). Feed cost is perhaps the most expensive input in poultry production as it constitutes about 70-80% of the real cost of production for intensively reared stocks (Omeje *et al.*, 1999). Many poultry researchers have attempted to reduce feed costs by using locally available cheaper unconventional feedstuffs.

Agro-industrial by-products in recent years become important feed components in poultry diets in some regions of the world mainly due to the increased competition for the conventional ingredients by humans and the food industries. High fiber ingredients such as dried tomato (Rahmatnejad *et al.*, 2009) and date pits (Hussein and Alhadrami, 2003; Hussein *et al.*, 1998) have been employed in the formulation of poultry feeds. Date pits (stones, kernels or seeds) form 6-12% of the date fruit depending on variety and quality grade. The date pits have the advantage of being locally produced in large quantities are cheap and high in energy content (Al-Bowait and Al-Sultan, 2006).

The chemical composition of date pits revealed percentages of 9.78, 5.12, 5.22, 0.84 and 11.58 for moisture, crude protein, ether extract, ash and crude fiber, respectively (Al-Hiti, 1978). Akpodiete *et al.* (2006) reported that the cost of feed reduction with increasing level of Palm Kernel Meal (PKM) in diet which is agree with other reports (Esuga *et al.*, 2008; Iyayi and Davies, 2005; Ugwu *et al.*, 2008; Ezieshi and Olomu, 2008). As there are large amounts of date pits in the Southeastern province of Iran and base on recommendations of literature about its values as feed stuffs, the present study was designed to compare the economic value of broiler diets contain date pits as partial replacement by maize in the control diet.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in two phases; starter (0-21 days) and grower (21-42 days) phases. Four diets were tested during the starter phase. Diets were contained 0, 10, 20 and 30% date pits (mixed of palm varieties), respectively. Chemical compositions of date pits are shown in Table 1. Diets were formulated to be isocaloric and isonitrogenous. The composition of the starter and finisher diets is shown in Table 2. About 180 days old Ross 308 strain broiler type chickens chicks were randomly assigned to 4 treatments with 4 replicate pens

**Table 1: Chemical composition of date pits (%)**

| References                 | Nutrients |         |         |          |       |           |           |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|
|                            | DM        | CP      | CF      | Fat      | Ash   | Ca        | F         |
| This experiment            | 91.76     | 6.2700  | 16.420  | 9.57     | 1.312 | 0.865     | 0.097     |
| Kamel <i>et al.</i> (1981) | 92.20     | 5.2-6.5 | 16.2-22 | 8.6-10.4 | 1.100 | 0.49-0.57 | 0.12-0.31 |

**Table 2: Composition of experimental diets (%)**

| Ingredients                   | Starter diets |           |           | Grower diets |           |           |           |           |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                               | 1 (control)   | 2 (10%DP) | 3 (20%DP) | 4 (30%DP)    | 1 control | 2 (10%DP) | 3 (20%DP) | 4 (30%DP) |
| Corn                          | 59.00         | 49.00     | 39.00     | 29.00        | 63.00     | 53.00     | 43.00     | 33.00     |
| Date pits                     | 0.00          | 10.00     | 20.00     | 30.00        | 0.00      | 10.00     | 20.00     | 30.00     |
| Soybean meal-44               | 32.03         | 32.70     | 30.91     | 30.86        | 27.79     | 26.40     | 24.50     | 22.50     |
| Fish meal                     | 3.00          | 3.00      | 4.70      | 5.20         | 2.50      | 3.92      | 5.70      | 7.45      |
| Soy oil                       | 1.81          | 2.03      | 2.40      | 2.66         | 3.25      | 3.82      | 4.42      | 5.03      |
| DCP                           | 1.50          | 1.20      | 0.90      | 0.35         | 0.90      | 0.50      | 0.10      | 0.00      |
| Oyster shale                  | 1.50          | 1.20      | 1.20      | 1.20         | 1.55      | 1.50      | 1.45      | 1.25      |
| Vit.and Min. Premix           | 0.50          | 0.50      | 0.50      | 0.50         | 0.50      | 0.50      | 0.50      | 0.50      |
| Salt                          | 0.40          | 0.35      | 0.3       | 0.22         | 0.32      | 0.30      | 0.28      | 0.16      |
| DL-Methionine                 | 0.2           | 0.00      | 0.08      | 0.00         | 0.1       | 0.06      | 0.05      | 0.02      |
| L-Lysine                      | 0.07          | 0.02      | 0.01      | 0.00         | 0.09      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| <b>Calculated composition</b> |               |           |           |              |           |           |           |           |
| ME (Kcal kg <sup>-1</sup> )   | 2950.00       | 2950.00   | 2950.00   | 2950.00      | 3100.00   | 3100.00   | 3100.00   | 3100.00   |
| Protein (%)                   | 21.20         | 21.20     | 21.20     | 21.20        | 19.37     | 19.37     | 19.37     | 19.37     |
| Ca (%)                        | 1.10          | 0.96      | 0.98      | 0.91         | 0.96      | 0.94      | 0.92      | 0.91      |
| P (%)                         | 0.50          | 0.49      | 0.50      | 0.45         | 0.37      | 0.36      | 0.36      | 0.42      |
| Lysine (%)                    | 1.26          | 1.24      | 1.27      | 1.20         | 1.14      | 1.11      | 1.14      | 1.17      |
| Met. + Cys. (%)               | 0.91          | 0.69      | 0.76      | 0.66         | 0.76      | 0.70      | 0.68      | 0.64      |

DP = Date Pits; DCP = Di-Calcium-phosphate; Vit.and Min.: Vitamin and Mineral; Met.+Cys.= Methionine + Cysteine; ME = Metabolisable Energy

containing 12 birds each. The average weight of chickens was 45 g and rose from 1 day old to 6 weeks of age. During the experiment birds access *ad libitum* to water and feed. The economic evaluation was performed according to amounts of feed consumption and its cost and weight of chickens and its cost; the cost of 1 kg feed and 1 kg broiler meat at the end of experiment was calculated.

Data were analyzed using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedure of SAS (SAS Inst., Cary, NC). The differences between the means of groups were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test. The significant level used for the group comparisons was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of substituting maize with date pits on economic value of growing broiler chicken are shown in Table 3. In compared with control group, the cost of kg feed decreased with increasing date pits in diets. During in starter phase (0-21 days), feed intake had no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between diets. Nevertheless, birds fed diet 4 (30% DP) had lower feed intake than another diets. Feed conversion ratio at the starter phases was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher in birds receiving the diets containing date pits than those receiving the control diet.

The control group had the best feed conversion ratio (1.94) than other trial diets. There were no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between bird fed control diet with bird fed diet containing date pits (10, 20 and 30%) for the cost of kg meat production. The cost of kg meat production increasing with increasing date pits in diets ( $p > 0.05$ ).

During the grower phase (21-42 day), the lowest feed intake and feed conversion ratio was belonged to bird fed diet 4 (30% DP) and control, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ). The cost of kg meat production had no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between experiment diet. At whole of period (0-42 days), there was no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) in feed intake between diet 1 (control group) with 2 (10% DP) and 3 (20% DP) diets. However, control group and bird fed diet 4 (30% DP) had significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ). The birds fed diet 4 had least feed intake than control and other trial group. Feed conversion ratio was significantly different in diets containing 20 and 30% date pits (diet 3 and 4) and was not significant difference with diet containing 10% date pits (diet 2). However, trial 4 had highest feed conversion and bird fed diet control had best feed conversion than other group. The cost of kg meat production had no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between diets. The cost of kg meat production was higher with increasing date pits in diets ( $p > 0.05$ ). In compared with control group, the cost of kg feed decreased with increasing date pits replaced with maize in diets. This

**Table 3: Economic evaluation: The effects of date pits as feed ingredient of broiler chickens on cost of feed and meat**

| Parameters                          | Diet (Percentage of DP/kg DM of diets) |                      |                      |                      | SEM*    |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                                     | 1(control)                             | 2 (10% DP)           | 3 (20% DP)           | 4 (30% DP)           |         |
| <b>Starter</b>                      |  |                      |                      |                      |         |
| C <sub>f</sub> (Rial <sup>1</sup> ) | 3752.00                                | 3420.00              | 3249.00              | 2994.00              | -       |
| FI (g)                              | 1011.57                                | 1029.00              | 1044.58              | 966.61               | 13.530  |
| FCR                                 | 1.83 <sup>c</sup>                      | 2.04 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.24 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.53 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.083   |
| C <sub>m</sub> (Rial)               | 6865.60                                | 6978.90              | 7297.50              | 7592.30              | 79.600  |
| <b>Grower</b>                       |  |                      |                      |                      |         |
| C <sub>f</sub> (Rial)               | 3564.00                                | 3284.00              | 3031.00              | 2768.00              | -       |
| FI (gr)                             | 2883.20 <sup>a</sup>                   | 2831.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2726.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2400.80 <sup>b</sup> | 69.470  |
| FCR                                 | 1.94 <sup>b</sup>                      | 2.23 <sup>ab</sup>   | 2.52 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.53 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.091   |
| C <sub>m</sub> (Rial)               | 6922.50                                | 7331.50              | 7685.20              | 6996.00              | 185.870 |
| <b>Total</b>                        |  |                      |                      |                      |         |
| C <sub>f</sub> (Rial)               | 3658.00                                | 3352.00              | 3140.00              | 2881.00              | -       |
| FI (gr)                             | 3894.80 <sup>a</sup>                   | 3860.70 <sup>a</sup> | 3771.30 <sup>a</sup> | 3367.40 <sup>b</sup> | 79.600  |
| FCR                                 | 1.91 <sup>c</sup>                      | 2.18 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.44 <sup>ab</sup>   | 2.53 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.084   |
| C <sub>m</sub> (Rial)               | 6894.10                                | 7155.200             | 7294.100             | 7491.30              | 128.600 |

DP = Date Pits; 1\$ = 10052 Rial; FI = Feed Intake; FCR = Feed Conversion Ratio; C<sub>f</sub> = The Cost of 1kg feed; C<sub>m</sub> = The Cost of 1 kg meat production; SEM = Standard Error of Means

could be attributed to the replacement of the more expensive maize with the relatively cheaper date pits. There were no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) in feed intake between diet 1 (control group) with 2 (10% DP) and 3 (20% DP) diets except diet 4 (30% DP). This result is adverse with finding of Olomu and Offiong (1980) and Olomu (1995), Also disagreement with those obtains by Ezieshi and Olomu (2004, 2008) and Iyayi and Davies (2005). In fact, the Feed conversion ratio value that is the most sensitive factor in assessing performance, significantly increased, an indication of poor utilization of diets by the birds because probably due to the higher crude fiber levels of the diets compared to the basal diet. The results agreed with obtained by Ojewola and Ozuo (2006), Hussein and Alhadrami (2003) and Ezieshi and Olomu (2004).

The results obtained from cost of kg meat production contradicts by report of Ojewola and Ozuo (2006), those reported when up to 25% PKM was used to substitute soybean meal in diets for cockerels, the performance and economic parameters considered were better than all the other diets including the control diet which contained 20% soybean meal.

Also result this experiment disagreement whit reports by Esuga *et al.* (2008) and Iyayi and Davies (2005). This could be due to the high fiber level of date pits and its gritty nature which were report to reduce digestibility and possibly the availability of nutrients especially amino acids (Onwudike, 1986; Yeong, 1983). The fiber in PKM, according to Babatunde *et al.* (1975) may be reduced digestibility of PKM protein and availability of the protein.

### CONCLUSION

Therefore, use of date pits reduces the cost of diets but had no significant effect on meat cost. Thus, date pits

may use in poultry diets in replacement by maize but there are no profits unless when access to maize is limit. As this could be due to the high fiber level of date pits that were reported to reduce digestibility and possibly the availability of nutrients especially amino acids so may use fibrolytic enzymes be useful.

### REFERENCES

- Akpodiete, O.J., D. Eruvbetine and E.E. Gagiyoowe, 2006. Effect of enzyme supplementation on palm kernel cake based diets on broiler chicken performance. *Nig. Poult. Sci. J.*, 4: 39-46.
- Al-Bowait, M. and S.I. Al-Sultan, 2006. Aspects of the serum biochemistry, carcass quality and organoleptic characteristics of broilers fed alkali-treated date pits. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 5: 284-288.
- Al-Hiti, M.K., 1978. Date waste without stones in broiler diets. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 19: 17-19.
- Babatunde, G.M., B.L. Fetuga, O. Odumosu and U.R. Oyenuga, 1975. Palm kernel meal as a major protein concentrate in the diets of pigs in the tropics. *J. Sci. Agric.*, 26: 1279-1291.
- Esonu, B.O., F.C. Iheukwumere, T.C. Iwuji, N. Akanu and O.H. Nwugo, 2003. Evaluation of microdermis puberula leaf meal as feed ingredient in broiler starter diets. *NJAP*, 30: 3-8.
- Esuga, P.M., A.A. Sekoni, J.J. Oimage and G.S. Bawa, 2008. Evaluation of enzyme (maxigrain®) supplementation of graded levels of palm kernel meal (PKM) on the performance of broiler chickens. *Pak. J. Nutr.*, 7: 607-613.
- Ezieshi, E.V. and J.M. Olomu, 2004. Comparative performance of broiler chickens fed varying levels of palm kernel cake and maize offal. *Pak. J. Nutr.*, 3: 254-257.

- Ezieshi, E.V. and J.M. Olomu, 2008. Nutritional evaluation of palm kernel meal types: 2. Effects on live performance and nutrient retention in broiler chicks diets. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 7: 1171-1175.
- Hussein, A.S. and G.A. Alhadrami, 2003. Effect of enzyme supplementation and diets containing date pits on growth and feed utilization of broiler chicks. *Agric. Mar. Sci.*, 8: 67-71.
- Hussein, A.S., G.A. Alhadrami and Y.H. Khalil, 1998. The use of dates and date pits in broiler starter and finishers diets. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 66: 219-223.
- Iyayi, E.A. and B.I. Davies, 2005. Effect of enzyme supplementation of palm kernel meal and brewer's dried grain on the performance of broilers. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4: 76-80.
- Kamel, B.S., M.F. Diab, A.A. Alian and A.J. Salman, 1981. Nutritional value of whole dates and dates pit in broiler ration. *Poult. Sci.*, 60: 1005-1012.
- Ojewola, G.S. and U.K. Ozu, 2006. Evaluation of palm kernel meal as substitute for soyabean meal in the diet of growing cockerels. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 5: 401-403.
- Olomu, J.M. and S.A. Offiong, 1980. Effect of protein and energy The effects of different protein and energy levels and time of change from starter to finisher ration on the performance of broiler chickens in the tropics. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 59: 828-835.
- Olomu, J.M., 1995. Monogastric animal nutrition principles and practice. *Jachem Publ.*, 1: 146-162.
- Omeje, S.T., F.U.C. Marere and J.O. Isikwun, 1999. Comparative affect of usual commercial feeds and cassava based formulation on the performance of Harco and Yaafa cockerels. Proceedings of the 26th Animal conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production (NSAP).
- Onwudike, O.C., 1986. Palm Kernel meal as a feed for poultry: 1 composition of Palm Kernel meal and availability of its amino acids to chicks. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 16: 179-186.
- Rahmatnejad, E., M. Bojarpour, M. Eslami, J. Fayazi and M. Mamouei, 2009. Economic value of dried tomato in broiler chickens diets. *Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 832-835.
- Tewe, O.O., 2003. Economics of the commercial utilization of Local and alternative feed resources. *Proc. 28th Annu. Conf. Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod.*, 28: 466-468.
- Ugwu, S.O.C., A.E. Onyimonyi and C.I. Ozonoh, 2008. Comparative performance and haematological indices of finishing broilers fed palm kernel cake, bambara offal and rice husk as partial replacement for maize. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 7: 299-303.
- Yeong, S.W., 1983. Amino acid availability of palm kernel cake, palm oil sludge and sludge fermented product (Prolima) in studies with chickens. *MARDI Res. Bull.*, 11: 84-88.