

## Antimicrobial Drug Resistance Among Enterococci from Broilers and Poultry Abattoir Workers

<sup>1</sup>James W. Oguttu, <sup>2</sup>Jackie Picard and <sup>3</sup>Peter N. Thompson

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, College of Agriculture and Environmental Science, University of South Africa, Private Bag X11, 1710 Florida, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, <sup>3</sup>Department of Production Animal Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X04, 0110 Onderstepoort, South Africa

---

**Abstract:** Poultry abattoir workers who carry out eviscerate do pick up resistance from broiler enteric organisms. To test this hypothesis, the prevalence of and the association of antimicrobial drug resistance between enterococci from broilers and workers who carry out evisceration, washing and packing of broiler intestines were investigated. Broiler caecae (n = 240) from 6 farms were collected after slaughter. Caecal content as well as faeces from 29 abattoir workers and 28 human controls were selectively cultured for *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis*. The micro-dilution broth method was used to determine MICs for selected antimicrobials. Broilers carried higher levels of resistance for certain antimicrobials compared to the two human groups. Percent resistance and MIC<sub>90</sub> for enrofloxacin and bacitracin and MIC<sub>50</sub> for doxycycline showed that abattoir workers carried higher levels of resistance compared to the control group for antimicrobials used in poultry production. Resistance levels in the isolates from broilers and abattoir workers exhibited an association for certain drugs. Overall, the level of resistance in the two human populations did not exhibit a significant difference (p>0.05). Usage of antimicrobials as feed additives fuels resistance among broiler isolates. Abattoir workers are more likely to carry higher levels of resistance than the general public. However, this study did not demonstrate that carrying out evisceration, washing and packing of intestines of broilers fed antimicrobial feed additives significantly influences the level of resistance in abattoir workers.

**Key words:** Abattoir workers, broilers, enterococci, antimicrobial resistance, level of resistance

---

### INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance is commonly observed among commensal bacteria from intensively-reared food animals (De Oliveira *et al.*, 2004; Kalter *et al.*, 2010) and there is a possibility that this resistance could be passed on to animal handlers (Neely and Holder, 1999). Furthermore, there is a potential for antimicrobial usage in animals to induce cross-resistance to antimicrobials used in human medicine (Anderson *et al.*, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2002; Wegener *et al.*, 1999).

In sub-Saharan Africa antimicrobial resistance is increasingly recognised in pathogens that cause infections in health-care settings, rendering the first-line and cheaper antimicrobials ineffective (Byarugaba, 2004). This is problematic given that there is a high incidence of infectious diseases in developing countries caused by a multitude of microorganisms that include bacteria (Katende-Kyenda *et al.*, 2006) and that a large proportion

of the humans in sub-Saharan Africa live below the bread-line and many are affected with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) hence susceptible to life-threatening opportunistic bacterial infections. Therefore, infections due to resistant bacteria is likely to be associated with increased mortalities and prolonged hospital stays due to delays in administration of the correct antimicrobials (Byarugaba, 2004; Mayrhofer *et al.*, 2004; Nugent and Okeke, 2010). Including antimicrobials as feed additives in the diet of food animals is one of the drivers of resistance (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002; Kasimoglu-Dogru *et al.*, 2010). As a result, many developed nations including Canada, Australia and countries in Europe have banned the practice.

*Escherichia coli* and the thermophilic enterococci that are not only ubiquitous but have the ability to exchange resistant plasmids with related bacteria, e.g., human pathogens are used in surveillance programs for antimicrobial resistance (Kasimoglu-Dogru *et al.*, 2010;

Aarestrup *et al.*, 2001; Nel, 2002; WHO, 2000). While in the developed countries, there is a lot of information on resistance among isolates from food animals in developing nations including South Africa, the response has been very slow due to lack of resources and the need to attend to other pressing needs.

As a result, there is paucity of data on the subject more so on people who closely work with animals and are constantly in touch with potentially resistance enteric microbes.

To assess whether working in a poultry abattoir could influence the level of resistance among enteric organisms of abattoir workers, researchers investigated the prevalence of and the association between antimicrobial drug resistances among enterococci from broilers fed antimicrobial feed additives and abattoir workers who carry out the evisceration washing and packing of intestines from broilers after slaughter.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Specimen collection:** Collection of specimens from broilers and humans started in June, 2005 and was finalised in June, 2006. Broiler farms (n = 6) from different grow-out-cycles were sampled at one high-throughput poultry abattoir in Gauteng province, South Africa. Caecae were harvested from carcasses passed as fit for human consumption. Caecae (n = 240) were collected every 3-5 min after slaughter by excising them off carcasses using sterile scissors and forceps. Each caeca was tied off at the open end and placed separately in a labelled, sterile plastic bag then placed in a cooler box with ice packs.

Abattoir workers who eviscerate wash and pack intestines and had not been on antimicrobial therapy during the 3 months prior to sampling were asked to provide a faecal sample. Total 29 of 44 people completed informed consent forms and participated in the study. The control group (students and workers at the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria) had not received antimicrobial treatment and had not been in direct contact with poultry fed with antimicrobial feed additives 3 months prior to sampling. About 28 people completed informed consent forms and participated in the study.

The faecal sample was collected by the subjects themselves by scooping off the first or last faeces from the anal area using sterile plastic spoons supplied to them.

Samples were placed in sterile bottles which were dropped off as the workers reported for work. Samples were conveyed on frozen ice packs to the bacteriology

laboratory of the Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Science and processed the same day.

### **Culture and identification of *Enterococcus* sp.:**

Approximately 0.5 g caecal content or faeces from each sample was plated on Kanamycin Aesculin Azide agar (KAA) plates (Oxoid, UK), a selective medium for *Enterococcus* sp. and incubated at 45°C for 18-24 h. One presumptive colony of *Enterococcus* was then plated onto Columbia agar (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) containing 7% citrated horse blood and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. Pin-point white colonies with a zone of beta-haemolysis, containing gram-positive, coccoid, catalase-negative, non-motile organisms belonging to group D were identified as enterococci.

*Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* were differentiated using a panel of sugar fermentation tests (Manero and Blanch, 1999).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:** The Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) micro-broth dilution method (NCCLS, 1994) was used to determine the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). The isolates were classified as either susceptible or resistant depending on whether the MIC was higher or lower than microbiological cut-off recommended by CLSI or the surveillance programmes of some European Union countries (NCCLS, 1994; DANMAP, 2009). The following cut-off points were used: vancomycin 16 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, ampicillin 8 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, ceftriaxone 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, doxycycline 8 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, bacitracin 25 IU mL<sup>-1</sup>, erythromycin 4 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, enrofloxacin 0.25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, fosfomycin 16 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, sulphamethoxazole 256 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and trimethoprim 8 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

**Data analysis:** Stata 8.2 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for analysis. Fisher's exact test was used to compare percentages of antimicrobial drug resistance in the three populations. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> (MIC at which 50 and 90% of the strains were inhibited by the respective antimicrobial) were determined to assess shifts in antimicrobial susceptibility.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Prevalence of resistance:** The two species (*E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*) were combined and hence referred to as enterococci. High resistance was observed among broilers (Table 1) to classes of antimicrobials extensively used in broilers in South Africa, i.e., doxycycline (96.6%),

Table 1: Percentage of *Enterococcus* isolates resistant with exact binomial 95% confidence interval, amongst broilers, poultry abattoir workers and human controls

Antimicrobial drug	Human groups		
	Broilers	Abattoir workers	Controls
Vancomycin	2.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.5-7.30)	8.7 <sup>a</sup> (1.1- 28.0)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-20.6)
Virginiamycin	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-3.10)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-77.6)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-25.9)
Bacitracin	47.4 <sup>a</sup> (38.1-56.9)	8.7 <sup>a</sup> (1.1-28.0)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.0-20.6)
Doxycycline	96.6 <sup>a</sup> (91.4-99.1)	60.9 <sup>b</sup> (38.5-80.3)	46.2 <sup>b</sup> (19.2-74.9)
Trimethoprim	3.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.9-8.60)	30.4 <sup>b</sup> (13.2-52.9)	7.7 <sup>ab</sup> (0.2-36)
Sulphamethoxazole	88.8 <sup>a</sup> (81.6-93.9)	73.9 <sup>a</sup> (51.6-89.8)	76.9 <sup>a</sup> (46.2-95)
Ampicillin	10.3 <sup>a</sup> (5.5-17.4)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-12.2)	7.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.2-36)
Enrofloxacin	86.2 <sup>a</sup> (78.6-91.9)	56.5 <sup>b</sup> (34.5-76.8)	46.2 <sup>b</sup> (19.2-74.9)
Erythromycin	100.0 <sup>a</sup> (97.5-100)	78.3 <sup>b</sup> (56.3-92.5)	92.3 <sup>ab</sup> (64-99.8)
Fosfomycin	98.3 <sup>a</sup> (93.9-99.8)	91.3 <sup>a</sup> (72-98.9)	100.0 <sup>a</sup> (79.4-100)
Ceftriaxone	87.9 <sup>a</sup> (80.6-93.2)	60.9 <sup>b</sup> (38.5-80.3)	84.6 <sup>ab</sup> (54.6-98.1)

<sup>a-c</sup>Values within a row with no superscripts in common differ significantly (p<0.05)

Table 2: Comparison of the MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> for *Enterococcus* isolates from broilers, poultry abattoir workers and human controls

Antibiotic	Human controls		Abattoir workers		Broilers		Difference association
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	
Vancomycin	2	2	2	2	2	2	-
Virginiamycin	1	2	2	2	2	8	MIC <sub>90</sub>
Doxycycline	1	64	16	64	32	64	MIC <sub>50</sub> (HumanAb* broilers)
Trimethoprim	0.25	8	0.25	32	0.25	0.25	MIC <sub>90</sub> (HumanC#, HumanAb)
Sulphamethoxazole	2048	2048	2048	2048	2048	2048	-
Ampicillin	0.25	1	0.25	0.5	1	16	MIC <sub>90</sub>
Enrofloxacin	0.25	1	0.5	8	2	4	MIC <sub>90</sub> (HumanAb; broilers)
Erythromycin	256	256	32	256	256	256	MIC <sub>50</sub> (HumanC) broilers
Fosfomycin	128	128	128	128	128	128	-
Ceftriaxone	8	32	8	128	32	128	MIC <sub>90</sub> (HumanAb; broilers)
Bacitracin	3.13	12.5	3.13	50	25	100	MIC <sub>50</sub>

HumanAb\* = Human Abattoir workers; HumanC# = Human Control group

sulphamethoxazole (88.8%), bacitracin (47.4%), ampicillin (10.3%), erythromycin (86.2%), enrofloxacin (86.2%), fosfomycin (98.3%) and ceftriaxone (87.9%). Resistance to trimethoprim was lower in all the three populations compared to that of sulphamethoxazole (Table 1). Vancomycin a drug rarely used in the treatment of human infections in South Africa and its analogue avoparcin last used in poultry in South Africa 7 years prior to this study exhibited low resistance levels (Table 1) in all host populations (broilers: 2.6%; abattoir workers: 8.7% and control: 0%).

Abattoir workers carried higher levels of resistance to doxycycline (60.9%), trimethoprim (30.4%), bacitracin (8.7%) and enrofloxacin (56.5%) compared to the control (46.2, 7.7, 0 and 46.2%, respectively) (Table 1). Contrary to what was anticipated, the control group carried higher resistance (Table 1) for sulphamethoxazole (76.9%), ampicillin (7.7%), erythromycin (92.3%) and ceftriaxone (84.6%) compared to abattoir workers who carried resistance of 73.9, 0, 78.3 and 84.6%, respectively. However, resistance (Table 1) among isolates from abattoir workers and the control group showed no significant difference (p>0.05). The MIC<sub>90</sub> for isolates from abattoir workers (Table 2 and Fig. 1) for bacitracin and enrofloxacin tended towards those observed among poultry isolates.

The MIC<sub>50</sub> for doxycycline, virginiamycin and enrofloxacin (Table 2 and Fig. 2) among isolates from abattoir workers also, tended to equal that observed in broilers.

Classes of antimicrobials that were studied included potentiated sulphonamides, ampicillin, tetracyclines, enrofloxacin, ceftriaxone, erythromycin and vancomycin. The last three are available for use only in humans in South Africa. Ceftriaxone, a 3rd generation cephalosporin can develop cross resistance when isolates are exposed to other β-lactams. Tetracycline is available over the counter for use in animals as feed additives. In human medicine all antimicrobials are scheduled drugs, available only with prescription. Furthermore where prescriptions of β-lactams are required in human medicine, cephalosprins are likely to be prescribed as compared to tetracyclines. Macrolides, tetracyclines and sulphonamides were represented by erythromycin, doxycycline and sulphamethoxazole, respectively. The more stable ampicillin represented the β-lactams. Virginiamycin was not used in the flocks studied but is registered as an Antimicrobial Performance Enhancer (AMPE) in South Africa. However because of its potential to cause cross resistance to synergicid, macrolides and lincosamides, it was withdrawn in the European




Fig. 1: MIC<sub>90</sub> of Enterococcus isolates from broilers, abattoir workers and human controls




Fig. 2: MIC<sub>50</sub> of Enterococcus isolates from broilers, abattoir workers and human controls

Union (Chen *et al.*, 2002). Bacitracin was the only AMPE used in the flocks studied. Broilers are fed on AMPE almost their entire life and also have high use of antibiotics on veterinary prescription. This is known to select for resistance (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002) and hence explains the high resistance to both therapeutic antimicrobials and AMPE observed among broilers isolates in this study. Furthermore, since the birds studied were not exposed to virginiamycin, higher resistance to bacitracin compared to virginiamycin could be attributed to the higher selection pressure exerted as a result of exposure to bacitracin.

High erythromycin resistance (100%) observed in broilers is consistent with what others have reported (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002; Kasimoglu-Dogru *et al.*, 2010). This could be due to cross resistance due to its derivative tylosin that is routinely used to treat *Mycoplasma* sp., infections in poultry. Low level vancomycin resistance (2.6%) was anticipated even though its analogue, avoparcin had been withdrawn. In South Africa (SANVAD, 2007), Denmark (Kasimoglu-Dogru *et al.*, 2010; Aarestrup *et al.*, 2001; Bonten *et al.*, 2001) and Finland (Neely and Holder, 1999)

persistent antimicrobial drug resistance to certain drugs was observed several years after they were withdrawn. Though, Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci (VRE) no longer have a competitive advantage they have not been totally eliminated following the withdrawal of the drug (Neely and Holder, 1999).

A higher MIC<sub>90</sub> for virginiamycin in broilers compared to the humans could be due to resistance elements persisting on the farms or to the presence of *E. faecalis* among poultry isolates known to be intrinsically resistant to streptogramin like virginiamycin (McDonald *et al.*, 2001). Lower resistance to trimethoprim compared to sulphamethoxazole when flocks were exposed to potentiated sulphonamides could be attributed either to resistance development among enterococci to the trimethoprim taking place at a slower rate or to the selection pressure for sulphonamides being higher since, sulphonamides are added to poultry feed to control coccidiosis. Consistent with reports that people working with animals tend to carry higher levels of resistance compared to the general public (Kalter *et al.*, 2010; Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002), abattoir workers carried higher resistance to most antimicrobials compared to the controls.

However, a comparative analysis did not show a significant difference between the level of resistance among abattoir workers and the control group. The possible explanations for this include that eviscerating, washing and packing of intestines of broilers fed antimicrobial feed additives does not influence the level of resistance among the abattoir workers it has been reported that large numbers of immunocompromised patients and their frequent exposure to antibiotics against which enterococci are intrinsically resistant (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002; Kasimoglu-Dogru *et al.*, 2010) contribute to heightened resistance in the general public. Studies have shown that poultry *E. coli* is able to colonise humans more easily compared to enterococci (Kalter *et al.*, 2010; Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002). This could also explain the failure to observe a significant difference in the level of resistance among abattoir workers and the controls.

Reduced susceptibility to bacitracin among abattoir workers compared to the controls and the fact that the MIC<sub>90</sub> for bacitracin and the MIC<sub>50</sub> for virginiamycin of isolates from abattoir workers were closer to those of broilers, suggests the existence of an association. Failure to observe resistance to virginiamycin in the human population in this study is consistent with results of a study done in North America that showed little resistance to quinupristin-dalfopristin among enterococci isolated from people despite decades of virginiamycin use in farm animals (McDonald *et al.*, 2001).

Since, cephalosporins have very weak activity against enterococci (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002; Murray, 1990), high resistance to this antimicrobial was thus, anticipated in all three populations. In poultry and the control group, the predominance of *E. faecium* which is more resistant than *E. faecalis* and exhibits multi-resistance (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002), accounts for the higher resistance to ceftriaxone in the two populations. However, on consideration of the MIC<sub>90</sub> for this drug, an association of resistance between broilers and workers is evident. Penicillins constitute about 40% of the antimicrobials prescribed for treatment of respiratory tract infections in humans in South Africa (Katende-Kyenda *et al.*, 2006). This notwithstanding, the levels of resistance to amoxicillin were very low in the study. The slightly higher resistance among the control group compared to the abattoir workers could be attributed to the former carrying more *E. faecium* known to be resistant to penicillins.

Trimethoprim/sulphonamide combinations make up 23% of antimicrobial prescriptions for the treatment of human respiratory tract infections in South Africa (Katende-Kyenda *et al.*, 2006). In view of this, it was expected that resistance to both trimethoprim and sulphamethoxazole would be fairly similar. On the contrary resistance to trimethoprim was fairly low compared to sulphamethoxazole. As mentioned for broiler isolates, this suggests that resistance to the two parts of potentiated sulphonamides develops at different rates.

Given that doxycycline can only be obtained by prescription and that it is rarely used in human medicine in South Africa fairly high levels of resistance observed in the two human groups were not anticipated. Tetracycline resistance transfer takes place through several mechanisms, e.g., plasmid transfer. Therefore, workers can pick up resistance elements from broiler isolates. This explains the association between resistance in broilers and abattoir workers as demonstrated by the MIC<sub>50</sub> of their isolates. Hence, usage of antimicrobials as feed additives by the broiler industry has potential to contribute to resistance observed among humans. Resistance transfer among fluoroquinolones unlike for tetracycline is mediated by chromosomal mutations (Wilcks *et al.*, 2005). Hence, an association of resistance between broilers and abattoir workers as demonstrated by both the MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> for enrofloxacin was not anticipated.

In humans, fosfomycin is used as a 3rd choice empirical therapy (Knottnerus *et al.*, 2008) for the treatment of acute, uncomplicated urinary tract infections. Where fosfomycin has been used for many years,

resistance is still low (Knottnerus *et al.*, 2008). The high levels of resistance in this study could be due to a technical error. Fosfomycin activity is dependent upon a glucose-6-phosphate-induced transport system which enhances penetration of the drug into bacterial cells. A loss of this transport abolishes the action of fosfomycin (Yeo, 1988). It is thus recommended that testing of fosfomycin be done in the presence of glucose-6-phosphate (25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) (Greenwood *et al.*, 1992) to facilitate absorption of the drug by the bacteria. A high resistance to fosfomycin is postulated to be possible if all the isolates are mutants lacking this transport system (Yeo, 1988). Fosfomycin is not known to have cross-resistance with other antibiotics because it bears no chemical relationship to any of them. Hence, the high resistance can not be attributed to cross-resistance (Knottnerus *et al.*, 2008; Yeo, 1988).

Although, abattoir workers like people who work with animals on antimicrobials generally carried higher resistance levels than the control (Bogaard van den *et al.*, 2002), the resistance level in both human populations was lower than that reported in other developing countries where antimicrobials are readily available over the counter (Okeke and Lamikanara, 2003).

## CONCLUSION

Broilers exposed to antimicrobials included in their feed for therapeutic and growth enhancement purposes, carry high levels of antimicrobial drug resistance among their enteric organisms to such antimicrobials. There is an association between the resistance levels of enterococci from broilers and abattoir workers for bacitracin, ceftriaxone, enrofloxacin and doxycycline, classes of antimicrobials that are extensively used in broilers. Abattoir workers tend to carry higher levels of resistance among their enteric organisms compared to people not directly linked with the broiler industry more so, to antimicrobials frequently used as feed. However, carrying out evisceration washing and packing of intestines of broilers fed antimicrobial feed additives does not appear to significantly influence the level of resistance in abattoir workers. Vancomycin resistance genes introduced when avoparcin was extensively used in South Africa have not totally disappeared. Government and the poultry industry should work towards ensuring that avoparcin is not reintroduced for use as an AMPE in South Africa. There is a need to further investigate the role of the availability of tetracycline over the counter for use in animals in South Africa in the development of resistance against tetracycline among humans.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers wish to thank Ms. Janita Greyling for her assistance in the laboratory.

## REFERENCES

- Aarestrup, F.M., A.M. Seyfarth, H.D. Emborg, K. Pedersen, R.S. Hendriksen and F. Bager, 2001. Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion on occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in fecal enterococci from food animals in Denmark. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 45: 2054-2059.
- Anderson, A.D., J.M. Nelson, S. Rossiter and F.J. Angulo, 2003. Public health consequences of use of antimicrobial agents in food animals in the United States. *Microb. Drug Resistance*, 9: 373-379.
- Bogaard, van den A.E., R. Willems, N. London, J. Top and E.E. Stobberingh, 2002. Antibiotic resistance of faecal enterococci in poultry, poultry farmers and poultry slaughterers. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 49: 497-505.
- Bonten, M.J., R. Willems and R.A. Weinstein, 2001. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: Why are they here, and where do they come from? *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 1: 314-325.
- Byarugaba, K.D., 2004. A view on antimicrobial resistance in developing countries and responsible risk factors. *Int. J. Antimicrobial Agents*, 24: 105-110.
- Chen, H.Y., R.L. Hill, M. Kirk, M.W. Casewell and D. Beigton, 2002. Differential antimicrobial susceptibility between human and chicken isolates of vancomycin-resistant and sensitive *Enterococcus faecium*. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 19: 39-46.
- DANMAP, 2009. September/29/2009-last update, danish integrated antimicrobial resistance monitoring and research. <http://www.danmap.org/>.
- De Oliveira, D.S., F.S. Flores, L.R. dos Santos and A. Brandelli, 2004. Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella Enteritidis* strains isolated from broiler carcasses, food, human and poultry-related samples. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 97: 297-305.
- Greenwood, D., R. Edwards, J. Brown and P. Ridout, 1992. The comparative activity of fosfomycin trometamol against organisms isolated from infected urines. *Infect.*, 20: S302-S304.
- Kalter, D.H., H.R. Gilman, H.L. Moulton, R.A. Cullotta, L. Cabrera and B. Velapatino, 2010. Risk factors for antibiotic-resistant escherichia coli carriage in young children in peru: Community-based cross-sectional prevalence study. *Amer. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 82: 879-888.
- Kasimoglu-Dogru, A., Y.E. Gencay and N.D. Ayaz, 2010. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance profiles of *Enterococcus* species in chicken at slaughter level: Absence of vanA and vanB genes in *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, 89: 153-158.
- Katende-Kyenda, N.L., M.S. Lubbe, J.H. Serfontein and I. Truter, 2006. Inappropriateness of antimicrobial prescription in private primary health care settings in South Africa. *South African Med. J.*, 96: 704-705.
- Knottnerus, B.J., S. Nys, G. ter Riet, G. Donker, S.E. Geerlings and E. Stobberingh, 2008. Fosfomycin trometamol as second agent for the treatment of acute, uncomplicated urinary tract infections in adult female patients in The Netherlands?. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 62: 356-359.
- Manero, A. and A.R. Blanch, 1999. Identification of *Enterococcus* spp. with a biochemical key. *Applied Environ. Microbiol.*, 65: 4425-4430.
- Mayrhofer, S., P. Paulsen, F.J.M. Smulders and F. Hilbert, 2004. Antimicrobial resistance profile of five major food-borne pathogens isolated from beef, pork and poultry. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 97: 23-29.
- McDonald, L.C., S. Rossiter, C. Mackinson, Y.Y. Wang and S. Johnson *et al.*, 2001. Quinupristin-dalfopristin-resistant enterococcus faecium on chicken and in human stool specimens. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 345: 1155-1160.
- Murray, B.E., 1990. The life and times of the enterococcus. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 3: 46-65.
- NCCLS, 1994. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. Proposed Standard M31-p. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- Neely, A.N. and I.A. Holder, 1999. Antimicrobial resistance. *Burns*, 25: 17-24.
- Nel, H., 2002. The establishment and standardization of a veterinary antimicrobial resistance surveillance program in South Africa. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Nugent, R. and I.N. Okeke, 2010. When medicines fail: Recommendations for curbing antibiotic resistance. *J. Infect. Dev. Countries*, 4: 355-356.
- Okeke, I.N. and A. Lamikanra, 2003. Export of antimicrobial drugs by West African travelers. *J. Travel Med.*, 10: 133-135.
- SANVAD, 2007. South African National veterinary surveillance and monitoring programme for resistance to antimicrobial drugs. SANVAD, Pretoria, South Africa.

- WHO, 2000. Drug resistance threatens to reverse medical progress. Information Office-Press Release. <http://www.who.int/inf-pr-2000/en/pr2000-41.html>.
- Wegener, H.C., F.M. Aarestrup, L.B. Jensen, A.M. Hammerum and F. Bager, 1999. Use of antimicrobial growth promoters in food animals and *Enterococcus faecium* resistance to therapeutic antimicrobial drugs in Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 5: 329-335.
- Wilcks, A., S.R. Andersen and R.T. Licht, 2005. Characterization of transferable tetracycline resistance genes in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from raw food. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 243: 15-19.
- Yeo, M., 1988. Fosfomycin for the treatment of multidrug-resistant. *Singapor Med. J.*, 29: 91-92.