Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences 12 (7): 1914-1919, 2017

ISSN: 1816-949X

© Medwell Journals, 2017

# The Influence of Job Embeddedness on Organizational Commitment Using by Structural Equation Modeling PLS Method, a Case Study in Iranian Oil Terminals Company\_Kharg Island

Reza Aboughadareh, Ali Taghizadeh Herat and Davoodjafari <sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, Parand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Parand, Iran

Abstract: The world is getting into a period that commitment, job satisfaction, retention and embedded of employees in the organization issues which leads to will not leaving the organization in various and different forms raised in the top threads and studies proposed in the field of "Organizational behavior". Organizations in the new age are human-centered organization that are the topic of human resources and labor and their satisfaction commitment to the job will guarantee their success and survival. Accordingly, this study aimed to investigate the impact of job embeddedness on employees organizational commitment after theoretical studies, the structural model provided to illustrate how to the relationship between organizational commitment and job embeddedness and by using prestigious questionnaires in these areas, data collected from 150 employees of iranian oil terminal company and then model fitted by PLS method. Estimating model, GOF indicator was achieved about 0.506, so the structural model fitting was confirmed and in 95% level of reliability main model hypothesizes was verified. According to the study it was shown that the three aspects of job embeddedness (link, fitness, sacrifice) in the job and out of job are one of the factors predicting the incidence of staff is committed behavior.

**Key words:** Organizational commitment, job embeddedness, structural equation modeling, Iranian Oil Terminals Company, commitment, job satisfaction

### INTRODUCTION

In the past decade commitment an important role in research on organizational behavior is accounted for. For an organization to another one criterion of having committed human resources. Such a force committed to the organizatio's image in the community and make important ground for the growth and development of the organization. Conversely, low manpower and belonging committing themselves, not only in order to achieve the goals of the organization is not moving.

But also in creating a culture of indifference to the problems of organization and more effective in achieving the goals and ideals of the organization failed to provide. What is certain is that commitment has led to productivity, The increased commitment (desirable) to promote compatibility and resistance organizations in the interpretation, individual creativity as well as the efficient use of human resources. On the other hand, business incubation can be considered a wide range of forces. The maintenance staff is affected. Job latency effects, psychological, social and financial staff in the decision to stay or leave an organization is effective is examined.

Given that the new issues business incubation management theory (Mitchell *et al.*, 2001) as an innovative and emerging structures of research and a way to discover why people remain in an organization is. So, understanding the relationships between latency occupational and organizational commitment can help managers on the policies and programs of the organization. Organizational commitment as a dependent variable represents the force that person to the belonging to stay in the organization and work to achieve organizational goals.

That commitment is accompanied by a series of productive behavior. Organizational commitment is an attitude of loyalty to the organization and continuous process which is due to the participation in organizational decisions, Attention to the organization and the organization brings prosperity. A keen sense of organizational commitment is to maintain membership in the organization and accepted norms, organizational goals and adherence to accepted principles and accepted by the organization, trying to meet organizational goals as well as having a positive or negative thinking about the entire organization.

According to this model of organizational commitment consists of three components:

- Emotional
- Normative
- Continuous

Mitchell and colleagues business incubation as an alternative model where agents "out of work" (i.e., latency family) and other organizational factors (latency and belong to the working groups) is included in an effective employee retention, suggest that they can not be found in the traditional model. The study results indicated that the latent job both "intention to leave and actual turnover" predict and better forecasting from the traditional model of "job satisfaction" "commitment" and "Find a job alternatives" to leave a voluntary offer The incubation career includes three dimensions: link, is fit and sacrifice. The next two sub-dimensions (the community) are. Accordingly these influences at work or outside of work sorted.

Job latency components: Formal or informal link between a person as communication with the surrounding community and the organization itself is defined. The communication range of relationships with non-family members and friends and other social institutions outside of their profession and the physical environment are included. Links can be financial, psychological and social.

The link with marital status, number of children and their ages, hobbies, religious activities, membership in social organizations, etc. (Mitchell and Lee, 2001) the role of business incubation work on other results are examined. After this hypothesis that latency control job attitudes in society must reduce turnover and absenteeism, supported. If people feel more latent in society they may feel that a career change can be costly, Especially if relocation is necessary in the future they may abandon its role in the community.

In contrast, incubation in work with optional external behaviors, even after controlling attitude of the business relationship by Ng and Feldman (2010). Was confirmed. In this study, participants with a series of scales to measure the latency of job such as "I feel like I'm Organization" the development of social capital such as "I have a lot of time and effort spent on communication networks would work with others" and the development of human capital such as "How often do you attend a training course". Job latency inverse relation with social capital and human capital development.

Holtom and Inderrieden (2006) demonstrated that the organization can connect using flexible working policies,

teams and increased long-term projects. Sacrifice (sacrifice) can connect to Enterprise and appropriateness of corporate bonuses by matching employee's knowledge, skills, abilities, job needs, values, desires to increase work requirements.

Dyk and Coetzee (2012) the results achieved training and development, career development opportunities developments in the perception of connection and a sense of victimization is a strong predictor. Relationships support such as mentoring and support supervisor as the strengthening of relations between employees and others in the organization is shown. This research by Lee et al. (2004) was conducted to evaluate the effect of latency on organizational citizenship job, job performance, absenteeism and turnover voluntary voluntarily engaged in the research as well as the commitment to the 8 item affective commitment. Allen and Meyer (1990)'s measured The analysis showed that incubation outside of work significantly "to leave voluntarily" and the absence of voluntary predicted. The results showed that incubation outside of the scope of work and significant relationships with desertion, citizenship, performance, satisfaction and commitment. While latency significant relationships outside of research with voluntary absence while latency is not within the scope of research.

In a literature review conducted no research inside and outside the country, the effect of these two components in large organizations, government services, by such population (Iranian Oil Terminals Company) is paid.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The population included Iranian oil terminals company employees in two parts export operations and engineering operations is 150. Kharg oil terminal operations to a specialized task to undertake. It should be noted that the iranian oil terminals company a total of 943 people members. According to cochran and the level of 05/0 to population size of 150, the minimum sample size should be 107, according to Barklay, the minimum sample size for this study is 100 people. Finally, 107 questionnaires were collected from the community and was used in this study.

Literature based on the idea of creating a model of structural equation modeling approach and then collect the data you want to test the model in the form of a questionnaire survey of employees will be khark Island oil terminal. To measure each of the variables of a standard questionnaire, a questionnaire on the "commitment" (Allen and Meyer's organizational commitment questionnaire). The 24 item questionnaire based business incubation (Holtom and Inderrieden 2006) with 44 items

was used. The questionnaire reliability coefficients, respectively for emotional, normative 0.85, 0.79, 0.83 obtained by questionnaire with 44 questions total latency job then link 14, 15 questions in the proportion of 15 questions in the sacrifice and in the study of the reliability of 95.0 have had. According to the worshipful master help text in order to preserve the meaning and validity of the questionnaire technique was used back translation. In this study, 150 questionnaires were distributed and finally 107 questionnaires were collected.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Findings:** In the first study, we examine the measurement model (based on partial least squares modelling). As in the original model some of the questions was lower factor loadings of 0.7 to the heterogeneity of the questions referred to measure the variables, therefore by examining the factor loadings, asking the load factor is <0.7, remove and re-measurement model implemented.

According to Moghimi (2006), the overall quality index values based on version 0.01-0.35 (Who represent poor quality, normal quality and strong quality) is measured And since this index of 0.506 was obtained for this model it can be safely said that the structural model study of very high quality (Table 1).

Descriptive statistics staff: About 81% of respondents were male and 19% are women; 15% of respondents to 5 years of research experience are 43% of patients experience from 5-10 years, 22% of patients experience from 10-15 years, 7% have work experience from 15-20 year and 13% of them are over 20 years of experience (Table 2).

## Measures of central tendency and dispersion variables: First, the researchers tried to draw features based on the

average of the index. Given that the average value for all the variables and their dimensions are >3 (according to the likert 5-tuple) represents a relatively good condition and above average latency of job and organizational commitment to employees is kharg island oil companies also because the average latency is less job commitment, organizational commitment can be said that employees of the oil companies are in a better position.

### The hypothesis:

H<sub>1</sub>: the incubation male employees than female staff is professional and organizational commitment

According to Table 3, since that amount was >05/0 so we can say that the group of subjects with equal variances assumed no significant difference On the other hand confidence interval includes numbers (+ and -) is:

H<sub>2</sub>: job latency, highly educated workers than employees with low education

Table 4 given that a significant amount of <0.05, there just between MS and BS, there is a difference in terms of business incubation and business incubation in people who are more highly educated BS of business incubation in people with postgraduate studies. Since the rows significantly, from 05. The larger, so between the two averages in other educational groups, there is no significant difference. Hence, the hypothesis that individuals with higher levels of education than those with lower education have higher latency job is rejected:

H<sub>3</sub>: highly educated workers compared to researcher with low education are higher organizational commitment

Table 5, the results of ANOVA analysis or the analysis of variance. In this episode of variance between groups than within groups variance is measured. As can

| Table 1: Results of mo  | dified measur | es (output data in pl | s Software) |          |                       |                           |                  |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Structures              | Question      | Load factors          | t-values    | AVE      | Composite reliability | The reliability of shared | Cronbach's alpha |
| Link in the             | Q1            | 0.628                 | 9.00600     | 0.563164 | 0.883682              | 0.563146                  | 0.841359         |
| community               | Q2            | 0.765                 | 14.32500    |          |                       |                           |                  |
| links                   | Q3            | 0.822                 | 17.70400    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q4            | 0.858                 | 42.187      |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q6            | 0.773                 | 8.3240      |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q7            | 0.800                 | 18.05000    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q1            | 0.768                 | 9.5470      | 0.576862 | 0.889998              | 0.576862                  | 0.853516         |
|                         | Q2            | 0.812                 | 21.29600    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q3            | 0.825                 | 14.02700    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q4            | 0.832                 | 14.25300    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q5            | 0.641                 | 8.68200     |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                         | Q6            | 0.833                 | 12.28800    |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Fit in the organization | Q3            | 30.848                | 44.75100    | 0.592895 | 0.8963                | 0.592895                  | 0.865523         |

Table 1: Continue

| Structures        | Question   | Load factors | t-values | AVE      | Composite reliability | The reliability of shared | Cronbach's alpha |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
|                   | Q4         | 0.8170       | 24.081   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q5         | 0.7120       | 7.6130   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q6         | 0.6170       | 5.8130   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q7         | 0.7690       | 10.874   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q8         | 0.8310       | 30.182   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Fitness community | Q4         | 0.9230       | 54.803   | 0.833884 | 0.909409              | 0.833                     | 0.801374         |
|                   | Q5         | 0.9030       | 30.641   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Sacrifice in the  | Q1         | 0.829        | 22.543   | 0.695086 | 0.939848              | 0.695                     | 0.92251          |
|                   | Q2         | 0.6950       | 7.0040   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q3         | 0.9080       | 52.837   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q4         | 0.9360       | 89.216   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q5         | 0.8810       | 32.986   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q6         | 0.9280       | 74.205   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q8         | 0.7980       | 6.4280   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Victimization     | Q1         | 0.7740       | 11.093   | 0.553754 | 1 0.787942            | 0.553                     | 0.618302         |
| in society        | Q3         | 0.6980       | 5.1460   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| •                 | Q4         | 0.7580       | 6.7660   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Emotional         | Q1         | 0.7440       | 16.733   | 0.542849 | 0.854283              | 0.542                     | 0.787866         |
| commitment        | Q3         | 0.8470       | 23.752   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q4         | 0.7620       | 15461    |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q6         | 0.9230       | 5.2770   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q7         | 0.7170       | 11.071   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Continuous        | Q2         | 0.7690       | 12.911   | 0.561143 | 0.864223              | 0.561                     | 0.803476         |
| commitment        | Q3         | 0.7480       | 16.942   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q5         | 0.6840       | 13.701   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q6         | 0.6930       | 8.4120   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q7         | 0.8070       | 19.978   |          |                       |                           |                  |
| Normative         | Q1         | 0.7490       | 16.016   | 0.642338 | 0.91472               | 0.642                     | 0.887499         |
|                   | Q3         | 0.8480       | 24.991   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q4         | 0.8600       | 33.590   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q5         | 0.8190       | 20.581   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | Q6         | 0.7070       | 14.310   |          |                       |                           |                  |
|                   | <b>Q</b> 7 | 0.8130       | 25.649   |          |                       |                           |                  |

Table 2: Central tendency and dispersion variables

| Variables                               | Average | Variance | SD      |
|---|---------|----------|---------|
| Job latency components                  |         |          |         |
| Job latency                             | 3.2733  | 0.259    | 0.50883 |
| Link in the organization                | 3.3594  | 0.532    | 0.72908 |
| Community links                         | 3.5871  | 0.466    | 0.68284 |
| Fit in the organization                 | 3.0804  | 0.340    | 0.58271 |
| Fitness Community                       | 3.2243  | 0.347    | 0.58923 |
| Sacrifice in organization               | 3.2513  | 0.553    | 0.74355 |
| Victimization in society                | 3.1373  | 0.478    | 0.69126 |
| Organizational commitment               | 3.3040  | 0.326    | 0.57122 |
| Components of organizational commitment |         |          |         |
| Emotional                               | 3.2045  | 0.477    | 0.69075 |
| Continuous                              | 3.5787  | 0.399    | 0.63188 |
| Normative                               | 3.1288  | 0.464    | 0.68140 |

| Table 3. | t-test | results  | on the | basis | of           | gender |
|----------|--------|----------|--------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Table 5. | t-cost | Legatics | on the | Dasis | $\mathbf{o}$ | guidei |

| The subject               | t-values | Sig.  | Confider | nce interval |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|----------|--------------|
| Job latency               | 0.141    | 0.904 | -0.23345 | 0.26926      |
| Organizational commitment | 1.052    | 0.680 | -0.13183 | 0.42963      |

be seen. The level of significance between groups is greater than the number 05. So it can be concluded that there is sufficient reason to reject the null hypothesis in this study. In other words, the average organizational commitment in three groups associate degree, BS and master's is no different.

The main hypothesis: The incubation job with the commitment of the Iranian oil terminals company has a significant positive relationship. The null hypothesis: the

incubation job with the commitment of the iranian oil terminals company has no significant positive relationship. As can be seen the path coefficient of 0.883 and a significant amount is 30.383. Since, a significant amount is >1.96 and the coefficient is positive path and therefore can be said to be 99%. Latency between research and commitment of the Iranian oil terminals company has a significant positive relationship and the main hypothesis is granted. According to the results in Table 6 and the coefficient obtained path and

<u>Table 4: Comparison of average latency of job between different educational groups</u>

Multiple comparisons

|                             |                       |         |       | 95% confidence ir | iterval     |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|-------------|
| Job latency scheffe/        |                       |         |       |                   |             |
| I, J education              | Mean difference (I-J) | SE      | Sig.  | Lower bound       | Upper bound |
| Associate degree            |                       |         |       |                   |             |
| Bachelor                    | 0.13248               | 0.20581 | 0.813 | -0.6436           | 0.3786      |
| A master's degree or higher | 0.17821               | 0.19579 | 0.662 | -0.3080           | 0.6644      |
| Bachelor degree             |                       |         |       |                   |             |
| Associate degree            | 0.73248               | 0.20581 | 0.813 | -0.3786           | 0.6436      |
| A master's degree or higher | 0.31069*              | 0.10574 | 0.016 | 0.0481            | 0.5733      |
| A master's degree or higher |                       |         |       |                   |             |
| Associate degree            | -0.17821              | 0.19579 | 0.662 | -0.6644           | 0.3080      |
| Bachelor                    | -0.31069*             | 0.10574 | 0.016 | -0.5733           | -0.0481     |

<sup>\*</sup>The mean difference is significant at the level 0.05 level

Table 5: The results mean difference between groups and within groups

ANOVA

| Organizational commitment | Sum of squares | df  | Mean square | F-values | Sig.  |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|----------|-------|
| Intergroup                | 1.181          | 2   | 0.591       | 1.839    | 0.164 |
| Among a group             | 33.406         | 104 | 0.321       |          |       |
| Total                     | 34.587         | 106 |             |          |       |

Table 6: Assumptions review sub-group (path t-statistic coefficient of determination)

| Predictive variable             | The dependent variable | Path coefficient | Sig.  | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | Results      |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| Link with the person            | Commitment             | 0.295            | 2.943 | 0.729          | Confirmation |
| Link people with                |                        | 0.018            | 0.298 |                | Rejected     |
| Fit organization                |                        | 0.176            | 2.642 |                | Confirmation |
| Fit society                     |                        | 0.249            | 2.976 |                | Confirmation |
| The sacrifice made by the       |                        | 0.263            | 3.092 |                | Confirmation |
| individual in the organization  |                        |                  |       |                |              |
| The sacrifice of the individual |                        | 0.05             | 0.78  |                | Rejection    |

statistics can be seen except the second and sixth hypothesis all coefficients >1.96 and at the same time is >2.58. Therefore, one can say with 99% confidence that all assumptions sub-groups except the second and fourth hypothesis is confirmed. And the latency of the link job with the greatest impact on the organization's commitment.

The relationship between occupational and organizational commitment oil terminals company incubation, the path coefficient of 0.883 and 30.838 showed a significant factor at a confidence level of 99 drsdbyn iranian oil terminals company incubation work and commitment and there is a significant positive relationship.

It is anticipated that a larger sample, positive relationship between job latency and organizational commitment is confirmed. Since, Ferreira and Coetzee (2013) the study examines the impact of latency on the OC job in the service industry in South Africa has been working adults, consistent As well as the findings with the results of Lee *et al.* (2004) examined the impact of business incubation on organizational citizenship behavior and job performance (Park and Park, 2009; Young *et al.* 2013; Beyerlein, 2005) agrees.

### CONCLUSION

In the relationship between the individual links with organizations and organizational commitment oil terminals company, a path coefficient 0.295 and 2.943 showed a significant factor it is anticipated that a larger sample, positive relationship between the individual links with organizations and organizational commitment is confirmed. Hence, in line with the results to officials suggested that the relationship between colleagues and committee research and teamwork increase to thereby to connect more people with the help and hence the commitment higher. In the relationship between the individual links with the community and organizational commitment oil terminals company, a path coefficient 0.018 and 0.298 showed a significant factor The link between the community and the commitment of the Iranian oil terminals company, there is no significant relationship, It is anticipated that a larger sample, the relationship between the individual links with the community and commitment to be rejected. In the relationship between person-organization fit and organizational commitment oil terminals company, a path coefficient 0.295 and 2.642 showed a significant factor at a confidence level of 99% between person-organization fit and organizational commitment of the iranian oil terminals company and there is a significant positive relationship.

Thus, according to the results to managers and directors of the companies recommended oil terminals. In the relationship between fit and organizational commitment of oil terminals company, it is anticipated that a larger sample, positive relationship between the proportion of individual and organizational commitment is confirmed. The society in which they were better and more adapt themselves and hence increases their level of commitment.

In the relationship between individual victimization in the organization and organizational commitment oil terminals company, a path coefficient 0.263 and 3.029 showed a significant factor. At a confidence level of 99 percent between sacrifice and commitment of individual employees iranian oil terminals there is a significant positive relationship. Therefore, it is anticipated that a larger sample, positive relationship between sacrifice and commitment of the organization to be verified.

Therefore, in line with the results achieved by managers and oil terminals company officials suggest that the respect for employees in the workplace, The development of individuals in the organization, the benefits of job suitability, appropriateness of insurance services for individuals, suitability for the job in the future and retirement benefits for employees provide more and better In line with its dedication and commitment to help individuals and organizations achieve their goals they doubled.

#### REFERENCES

Allen, N.J. and J.P. Meyer, 1990. The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. J. Occup. Psychol., 63: 1-18.

- Beyerlein, M., 2005. Job embeddedness versus traditional models of voluntary turnover: A test of voluntary turnover prediction. Ph.D Thesis, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas.
- Dyk, J.V. and M. Coetzee, 2012. Retention factors in relation to organisational commitment in a South African medical and information technology services. SA. J. Hum. Resour. Manage., 10: 1-11.
- Ferreira, N. and M. Coetzee, 2013. The influence of job embeddedness on black employees organisational commitment. Southern Afr. Bus. Rev., 17: 239-255.
- Holtom, B.C. and E.J. Inderrieden, 2006. Integrating the unfolding model and job embeddedness model to better understand voluntary turnover. J. Managerial Issues, 18: 435-452.
- Lee, T.W., T.R. Mitchell, C.J. Sablynski, J.P. Burton and B.C. Holtom, 2004. The effects of job embeddedness on organizational citizenship, job performance, volitional absences and voluntary turnover. Acad. Manage. J., 47: 711-722.
- Mitchell, T.R. and T.W. Lee, 2001. The unfolding model of voluntary turnover and job embeddedness: Foundations for a comprehensive theory of attachment. Res. Organizational Behav., 23: 189-246.
- Mitchell, T.R., B.C. Holtom, T.W. Lee, C.J. Sablynski and M. Erez, 2001. Why people stay: Using job embeddedness to predict voluntary turnover. Acade. Manage. J., 44: 1102-1121.
- Moghimi, S.M., 2006. Organization and Management Research Approach. Termeh Kousha Publisher, Tehran, Iran.
- Ng, T.W. and D.C. Feldman, 2010. The effects of organizational embeddedness on development of social capital and human capital. J. Appl. Psychol., 95: 696-712.
- Park, J.H. and H.K. Moon, 2009. Job embeddedness and subjective. Norms in an East Asian (Korea) context. J. Econ. Lit., 1: 1-22.
- Young, J.A., J. Stone, O. Aliaga and B. Shuck, 2013. Job embeddedness theory: Can it help explain employee retention among extension agents?. J. Extension, Vol. 51.