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#### Abstract

Typical modern internet applications rely on the collaboration of software components deploying in network hosts to deliver application services. As the previous literatures considered the total deploying cost, e.g., communication and computation costs of the service objects this researcher takes into account that how evenly the objects are distributed over the network. We demonstrate that evenly distributing the application components over the network can prevent bottlenecks and therefore, improves both of fault tolerance and system response time. In this study, we transform the minimum cost balanced deployment problem to the well known minimum cut problem and develop an efficient polynomial time deployment algorithm.
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## INTRODUCTION

Modern internet applications rely on the collaboration of computational objects that are distributed in the network hosts to deliver the application services (Choi et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Ambursa et al., 2017). The application performance is bounded by the network latency as well as the time required to compute the computational objects (Labrinidis et al., 2010; Viktorov, 2007; Samydurai and Shanmugam, 2014). Many research efforts have been devoted to partitioning and deploying a given set of computational objects over the hosts in varies distributed environments. For example, the literatures (Chen et al., 2010; Tang and Chanson, 2004; Vyavahare et al., 2016) considered the total deploying cost, e.g., communication and computation costs of the distributed objects.

This researcher investigates the object partition and deployment problem by considering not only the sum of the deploying costs but also how balanced the objects are distributed over the network. A balanced object deployment strategy tries to partition the objects to the network hosts evenly. The main benefit of evenly distributing the objects is that, we can enhance the performance via high parallelism and concurrency using multiple processors. Also, this strategy can prevent network bottlenecks and therefore, ensure the users share the network bandwidth fairly. Balanced object deployment also improves the degree of fault tolerance as there are no host take most objects that can be crashed at a same time.

In this study, we formally define the minimum cost balanced deployment problem and develop an efficient polynomial time algorithm. This proposed algorithm is


Fig. 1: An example of deploying an object computation graph on a 4-node mesh network
based on the transformation of the minimum cut problem that has been extensively studied and efficiently solved (Goldberg and Tarjan, 1988; Shah et al., 2013).

Problem definition: An object computation graph is represented as a DAG (Direct Acyclic Graph) $G=(V, E)$, $\mathrm{V}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ where each $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{V}$ refers to a data object and each link $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in E$ refers to the dependency relation from object $v_{i}$ to object $v_{j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$.. We assume that $G$ is a connected and the dependency relation induced by E is acyclic. Also, in the graph $G$ those nodes without predecessors are called initial nodes (or initial objects) and those nodes without successors are called final nodes (or final objects).

In this study, an object deployment is a partition on a set of objects with each part assigned to a different network host. For example, Fig. 1 illustrates a deployment of objects on a mesh network with four hosts.

For a directed graph $G$, a bisection ( $L, R$ ) partitions $G$ into two parts L and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{V} \backslash \mathrm{L}$ in terms of the precedence
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relation induced by E. Specifically, ( $L, R$ ) is a valid bisection if each object is partitioned along with all of its predecessor objects, i.e., $(u \in L) \wedge\left(\left(u^{\prime}, u\right) \in E\right) \Rightarrow u^{\prime} \in L$. Let the set of all bisections of $G$ be denoted by $B(G)$.

Let weight $(u) \in Z^{+}$be the weight of node $u$ of graph $G$. Also, let $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{S})=\sum_{\mathrm{u} \text { eS }}$ weight $(\mathrm{S})$ for node set S . A bisection is said balanced if it equally partitions the node set as defined in definition 1 .

Definition 1: For a mutually exclusive partition ( $L, R$ ) on Graph $G$, the balancing factor is defined as $|w(L)-w(R)|$. The partition ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ ) is called a most balanced bisection if its balancing factor is minimum among $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{G})$.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Basic most balanced algorithm: This study employs the arithmetic and geometric means formulas to transform the MBP problem to the well-known minimum cut problem that can be efficiently solved in polynomial time.

Recursive bisection: Recursively bisecting an object computation graph to adapt to various network topologies is an approach commonly employed for partitioning and deploying objects. The recursive bisecting is a divide-and-conquer procedure. It first bisects a partition of objects $P$ into two balanced parts $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ and then solves the smaller problems on $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ recursively until k partitions are obtained where k is the number of network hosts.

Figure 2 presents the divide and conquer procedure performed on three typical network topologies. In the linear structure shown in Fig. $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is assigned to hosts 1 to $\mathrm{k} / 2$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is assigned to hosts $\mathrm{k} / 2+1$ to k . In the tree structure shown in Fig. $2 b, P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ are assigned to the left and right subtrees, respectively. Also, in the mesh structure shown in Fig. 2c, $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ are assigned to the left (or upper) and right (or lower) submeshes, respectively.

Partition using arithmetic and geometric means: Given a list of real numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}$ the arithmetic mean and geometric mean are defined as $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{d}\right) / d$ and $\mathrm{d} \sqrt{\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}}$, respectively. The fundamental Arithmetic and Geometric Mean inequality (AM-GM inequality) states that the arithmetic mean must greater than or equal to the geometric mean and further the equal case occurs at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{2}=\ldots=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

A simple application of the AM-GM inequality is that among all the rectangles of perimeter 2 n (we assume that, n is an integer and is even), the rectangle with width equals to height (i.e., the square shape) has the greatest


Fig. 2: Hierarchical object deployment: a) Linear structure; b) Tree structure and c) Grid structure


Fig. 3: The 2-dimensional rectangles and their corresponding partitions
area. For a rectangle of height $x_{1}$ and width $x_{2}$ satisfying $\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{n}$, the AM-GM inequality states that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}\right) / 2 \geq \sqrt{\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the square root of both sides of Eq. 1 obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) / 2\right)^{2} \geq x_{1} x_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, the equal case occurs at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{2}$. We transform the balanced bisection problem using this AM-GM property as follows. Figure 3 demonstrates the relation between AM-GM property and balanced bisection. For a bisection ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ ) those edges across L and R are termed cross edges while the others (i.e., the edges with their end points both in L or both in R ) are termed non-cross edges. As Fig. 3 illustrates, the rectangle of area corresponds to
the bisection ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ ) with $|\mathrm{L}|=\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $|\mathrm{R}|=\mathrm{x}_{2}$ In this example, $\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}=6$. According to in Eq. 2 and AM-GM property, the largest rectangle area $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{2}$ occurs at $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{2}$ $=3$, the bisection $(L, R)$ with maximum number of cross edges must have $|\mathrm{L}|=|\mathrm{R}|=3$.

For mathematical convenient, we assume that the number of nodes n is even which is divisible by 2 . Given an object computation graph $G$, we construct a transformation graph H as follows.

Given $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, the transformation graph $\mathrm{H}=\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ is a complete graph where $E^{\prime}$ is the set of $n(n-1)$ edges that connect every pair of nodes. Theorem 3 proves the correctness of the above transformation based on AM-GM inequality.

Theorem 3: For an object computation graph $G$ and its transformation graph $H$. Assume that weight $(\mathrm{u})=1$ for all node $u$. The pair ( $L, R$ ) is a balanced bisection of $G$ if and only if ( $L, R$ ) incurs maximum number of cross edges in $H$.

Proof: Clearly, since, H is a complete graph, each bisection (L, R) of $H$ incurs $|L| \times|R|$ cross edges. Based on $\mathrm{AM}-\mathrm{GM}$ inequality, since, $|\mathrm{L}|+|\mathrm{R}|=\mathrm{n}$ where n is the number of nodes and is even, the maximum value of $|\mathrm{L}| \times|\mathrm{R}|$ occurs at $|\mathrm{L}|=|\mathrm{R}|$. That is the bisection with maximum number of cross edges corresponds to the balanced bisection.

Weighted MBP: Theorem 3 discusses the unweighted balanced bisection. For the weighted MBP problem, each node can have different weight and the partition is said balanced if the total weights of the partitions are as equal as possible. For example, in Fig. 4, partition (a) is balanced since, both sides incur total weight 4 while partition (b) is unbalanced as the left and right side incur unequal weights 5 and 3 , respectively.

Although, the AM-GM inequation is defined on real numbers, it can be applied to the discretized case that the node weights cannot be fully equally divided. Lemma 4 shows that for two bisections, more balanced partition will incur a greater multiplication value of the weights of the two partitions.

Lemma 4: For an object computation graph $G$ and its transformation graph $G$. The pair $(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R})$ is the weighted MBP of $G$ if and only if $w(L) \times w(R)=w\left(L^{\prime}\right) \times w\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\left(L^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right) \in B(G)$.

Proof: This property can be proved by reducing the weighted $M B P$ problem to the unweighted $M B P$ problem. Given an object computation graph $G$ with weight $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$


Fig. 4: Partitioning the weighted nodes: all nodes have weight of 1 except that weight node $1=3$; a) Balanced partition and b) Unbalanced partition
for each node $v_{i}$. We construct a graph $G^{\prime}$ by duplicating $k_{i}$ nodes in G' (with weight 1) for each node $v_{i}$ in G. Formally, for each node in G with weight $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$, add k cloned nodes $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}, 1}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}}$ to $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. Also, for each edge $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ in G , add $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{q}}\right)$ edges in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ for all $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, 1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{q} \leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Therefore, for an edge ( $v_{i}, v_{j}$ ) in $G$ there are $k_{i} \times k_{j}$ edges in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.

Applying AM-GM inequality on $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, the most balanced partition (L', R') must satisfy $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=|R '|$. Now reducing from $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ to G , the weighted maximum number of cross edges of $G^{\prime}$ corresponds to the weighted most balanced partition $G$. Note that in order to reducing $G$ ' to G correctly we restrict a group of cloned nodes in G' must be partitioned in a bundle manner. The proof is ignored in this study.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Transformation algorithm: This subsection discusses the weighted MBP algorithm which solves the problem by reducing to the well-known minimum cut problem. Unlike the MBP problem which deals with weights on nodes, the minimum cut problem is to find the bisection with minimum weights on edges. Let cap denote a capacity function mapping edges to integer values. A cut of a graph is a bisection ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ ) of the graph and the cost of the cut is defined as the sum of capacity values of edges across L and R .

A similar problem is the maximum cut problem which is intend to find a cut with maximum cut cost among all cuts. Finding maximum cut is known an NP-complete problem. In this subsection, we first transform the MBP problem to the maximum cut problem. Since, an object computation graph is acyclic and we restrict the graph must be partitioned under the precedence relation, we
than further transform the minimum cut to the minimum cut which can be solved in polynomial time. The main algorithm most balanced bisection is listed in Algorithm 1.

```
Algorithm 1; Most balanced bisection:
1 : let \(\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})\) be the input object computation graph
2: // construct transformation graph \(\mathrm{H}=\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)\)
3: for each node \(u_{i} \in V\) do
    let \(k_{i}=\) weight \(\left(v_{i}\right)\)
    add a group of clone nodes \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i} p}, \mathrm{P}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\), to \(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\)
    add an \(\infty\)-capacity edge between every pair of clone nodes of \(u_{i}\)
    end for
8: for each pair of \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{p}} \in \mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}, q} \in \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\) do
    add ( \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}\) ) to \(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\)
    0: let cap \(\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=1\)
    1: end for
    - //transfer max-cut to min-cut
    : let \(\left(L^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)=\max -\operatorname{cut}(H)\)
4: //reduce to the most balanced partition
5 : let \((L, R)\) be the original node of the clone nodes in ( \(\left.L^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)\)
16: return (L, R)
```

According to the AM-GM property, the most balanced partition has the greatest value of multiplication of the number of nodes on the left and right sides. In Algorithm most balanced bisection, given an object computation graph $G$ with weight $\left(v_{i}\right)=k_{i}$ for each node $v_{i}$. It constructs a group of clone nodes in $G^{\prime}$ for each node $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in G . In algorithm most balanced bisection line 6 there are $\infty$-capacity edge between each pair of clone nodes. Since, an $\infty$-capacity edge cannot be cut by a minimum cut, a group of clone nodes must be aggregated in one side of the bisection.

In algorithm most balanced bisection line 12-13, it invokes the maximum cut procedure to find the partition with maximum total capacity of the cross edges. For the special application of object computation graph, the maximum cut can be transformed to the minimum cut and solved in polynomial time (Chen et al., 2010).

In algorithm most balanced bisection line 4-5, each object $v_{i}$ with weight $k_{i}$ in $G$ is mapped to a set of $k_{i}$ clone nodes in $G^{\prime}$. Thus, for a bisection ( $L, R$ ) of $G$, the corresponding bisection of $G^{\prime}$ will have $w(L)$ clone nodes in the left side and $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{R})$ clone nodes in the right side. Further, the corresponding bisection of $G^{\prime}$ must have $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{L}) \times \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{R})$ cross edges. According to the AM-GM property, the most balanced partition has the greatest value of $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{L}) \times \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{R})$. Thus, algorithm most balanced bisection finds such most balanced partition correctly.

Enhanced transformation algorithm: In the above MBP algorithm, one object in G of weight k is mapped to k clone nodes in the transformation graph H . Furthermore, in graph H it incurs all-to-all edges connecting the nodes.


Fig. 5: a) Original object computation graph; b) Transformation graph; c) Merge the transformation edge ( 1,4 ) along path ( $1-4$ ) and d) Enhanced transformation graph

Both these properties lead to a transformation graph with large number of edges. This subsection discusses an approach to reduce the number of edges in H .

Recall that in study problem and definition, the object computation graph is a DAG and its nodes must be partitioned under the constraints of the precedence relation. Let us first consider a simple case that all nodes are arranged in a sequence of precedence relation as shown in Fig. 5a. In Fig. 5, the precedence relation is represented as bold arcs while the transformed graph edges are represented as thin arcs. The numbers beside arcs represent the capacity value of the arcs. Since, the predecessor nodes of a node $v_{i}$ must be partitioned along with $v_{i}$ in Fig. 5a there are only three valid bisections: $(\{1\},\{2,3,4\}),(\{1,2\},\{3,4\})$ and $(\{1,2,3\},\{4\})$.

Now, let us examine the transformed edge $(1,4)$ with capacity 3 in Fig. 5b. Every bisection cuts edge ( 1,4 ) must also cut the path (1-4) exactly once. Thus, we can propagate the capacity value 3 along path (1-4) as shown in in Fig. 5c. Through this propagation procedure, the capacity of edge $(1,4)$ is transferred to the edges on path (1-4) without changing the total cut cost of the valid bisection. Figure 5d shows the result of merging all the transformation graph edges to the precedence relation edges.

## CONCLUSION

This researcher presented the minimum cost balanced deployment problem and develop an efficient polynomial time algorithm. This proposed algorithm is based on the transformation of the minimum cut problem that has been extensively studied and efficiently solved. This researcher presented the enhanced algorithm to significantly reduce the number of edges in the transformation graph by merging the transformation edges.
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